



Prop 64 and me. Tell us your story.

We ask that you complete this questionnaire which will describe your individual experiences as to how Prop 64 has affected you since its passing. Once completed you agree to your name and story be included in our database to be used to amend, challenge and/or improve various elements of Prop 64.

If you would like to keep your responses short, you can do so by just filling in the SECTION ONE and SECTION SEVEN portions of the questionnaire. We're looking for as many people as possible to contribute their Prop 64 stories to the site. It's not even mandatory that you are a CA resident to do so. We value everyone's participation on these stories.

Once you complete the form it will be time/date stamped and posted last name first alphabetically on our website. We will be running a general blog for all the posts and an individual blog associated with your post so that others might reach out to you with their specific comments or questions. When someone comments on your personal blog you will be notified by email so you can respond should you wish to do so. If in the future you wish to have your post removed from our site or would like to edit it simply notify us and we will be happy to accommodate those requests.

SECTION ONE: ABOUT ME

1.1) Name: Required

1.2) Age: Required

1.3) Email: Required

1.4.1) What state do you reside in? Required with a drop down menu of the states to choose from. If they choose California then the 1.4 questions fields can be filled in. A selection on any other state would not allow the 1.4 answers to be filled in

1.4.2) How many years have you lived in California? Required with drop down menu of years

1.4.3) Are you a registered CA voter? Required with Yes or No options to choose

1.4.4) County of Residence: Required

1.4.5) City: Optional

1.5.1) Do you use cannabis? Required with Yes or No as options to check. If they check yes they can fill in the rest of the 1.5 questions

1.5.2) I have been using cannabis since: Required with drop down menu of years

1.5.3) On a scale of 1-10, with 10 being the most important, how important is it that you have regular unimpeded access to quality cannabis genetics and products that meet your personal needs? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

1.5.4) What is your primary reason for taking cannabis? Required with options for Medical or Recreational

SECTION TWO: MY LIFE BEFORE PROP 64

2.1) Did you vote in the November 2016 elections? Required with Yes or No options to choose

2.2) Did you vote for or against Prop 64? Required. If they check the YES box they can fill in SectionS 4/5 if they check the NO box they can fill in Section 6

2.3) If you voted yes for Prop 64 briefly describe what personal beliefs you held that influenced your vote to approve this initiative at that time? Required if Applicable

2.4) Were there any specific individuals or associations that influenced your vote to approve this initiative? If so please name them: Required if Applicable

SECTION THREE: PROP 64 AS IT WAS PRESENTED TO THE VOTERS

Remembering back prior to the November 2016 elections, on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the highest level of YES and 1 being the lowest level of NO, please give us an idea of how well you feel Prop 64 did in giving you the information and understanding necessary to determine your vote:

3.1) Were you able to obtain and read the entire Prop 64 initiative prior to voting on it? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.2) Do you feel you had a complete and thorough understanding of the initiative and all its elements when you voted on it? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.3) Would you say the initiative was clear, concise and honest at the time it was presented as to what its intents and purposes were? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.4) Were you aware that by voting yes for Prop 64 you were voting to overwrite Prop 215? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.5) Were you satisfied that you had all the voter information you needed to decide your vote when you arrived at the ballot box? Required with a Yes No box

3.6) Do you feel that there should be strong distinctions made between the laws, regulations and administrative oversight between medical and recreational cannabis? Required with a Yes No box

3.7) Do you feel Prop 64 did a good job of explaining the distinctions between how medical and recreational cannabis laws and regulations would be administered? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.8) Do you feel Prop 64 did a good job of explaining how the transition from an unlicensed to a licensed cannabis business entity would occur? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.9) Do you feel Prop 64 had set forth realistic expectations in terms of how long it would take to transition what had been an unregulated industry into a regulated one? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.10) Do you feel Prop 64 did enough to encourage those that had been operating in an unregulated fashion to apply for and become licensed under a regulated system of compliance that Prop 64 described and what rules and regulations were to be determined after its passage? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.11) Do you feel Prop 64 did enough to encourage minority and disadvantaged business enterprises to engage in licensed and regulated cannabis businesses within their communities? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

3.12) Do you feel that Prop 64 did enough to create clear local government cannabis guidelines so that those local government laws and regulations would not exceed the authorities granted under those contained within Prop 64 and any future state regulatory guidelines that would be established after its passage? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

SECTION FOUR: WHY I VOTED YES ON PROP 64

Remembering back prior to the November 2016 elections, on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the highest level of YES and 1 being the lowest level of NO, please tell us as to what degree these elements had in influencing your YES vote on Prop 64:

4.1) Did those individuals and organizations who chose to endorse Prop 64 and actively worked to promote its passage, did an adequate job at conveying the essence of the initiative and its impact should it be passed? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.2) Did you believe that any of these individuals and organizations who chose to endorse Prop 64 were doing so on behalf of any special interest groups? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.3) Had you known that any of these individuals and organizations, who actively promoted Prop 64, had been paid to do so would it have influenced your decision to vote yes on Prop 64? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.4) Did celebrity endorsements influence your vote? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.5) Did political cannabis associations influence your vote? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.6) Did social media endorsements influence your vote? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.7) Did attorneys specializing in cannabis law influence your vote? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.8.1) Did you agree that language contained within Prop 64, Division 10, Chapter 1, General Provisions Section 26001(2)(dd) did enough to warn potential cannabis investors that with its passing those who would consider investing in any cannabis related business venture would be doing so despite what is being described as; *“Unreasonably impracticable” means that the measures to comply with the regulations require such a high investment of risk, money, time or any other resource or asset, that the operation of a marijuana establishment is not worthy of being carried out in practice by a reasonably prudent business person?* Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.8.2) If this were not a cannabis based investment would you be willing to make an investment whereby the “Unreasonably impracticable” language was a condition of the agreement? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.8.3) Prop 64 was offered without identifying certain elements that would be critical to determining investment values such as the category license fees. Do you believe that it is in the public’s best interest to vote on initiatives when essential costs to obtaining these licenses are unknown at the time the initiative is being voted on? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

4.9) Now that Prop 64 and its subsequent amendments have been implemented would you still vote YES on this initiative if it were on the next ballot? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

SECTION FIVE: THE SPECIFICS WITHIN PROP 64 THAT EARNED MY YES VOTE

Remembering back prior to the November 2016 elections, on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the highest level of IMPORTANCE and 1 being the lowest level, please tell us as to what degree these elements had in influencing your YES vote:

5.1) Environmental controls and regulations: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.2) Pesticide and toxicity testing standards: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.3) Manufacturing and safety standards for plant extractions: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.4) Cultivation standards and area square footage license limitations: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.5) Minority and disadvantaged business opportunities: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.6) Tax and fee revenues to be generated for the state: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.6.1) Revenues generated to go towards additional police enforcement: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.6.2) Revenues generated to go towards research and education: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.6.3) Revenues generated to go towards drug and substance abuse programs: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.6.4) Revenues generated to go towards jobs programs: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.6.5) That revenues generated from Prop 64 be independent of the General Fund: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.7) Cannabis related prison, sentencing and records reforms: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.8) Increased law enforcement actions that would reduce unlicensed cannabis activities: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.9) Combining medical and recreational laws and regulations under one state agency: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.10) Allows the initiative to be changed with a $\frac{2}{3}$ house majority vote: Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.11) Prop 64 requires the licensee to maintain all business records for a minimum of 7 years. Should the licensee fail to maintain the required records to the satisfaction of the licensing agencies requirements the licensee will be subject to a citation and fine of up to \$30K per individual violation. Would you agree that the fines and penalties being described were adequate and just? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.12.1) Did you believe that the added costs to cannabis products and in the increase in state revenue generated under Prop 64 licensing and regulations would reduce the unlicensed cannabis activities in the state? Required with a Yes or No choice

5.12.2) How important was it to you that all unlicensed cannabis activity be eliminated under Prop 64 regulatory guidelines? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

5.12.3) Did you believe that the fines and penalties for unlicensed cannabis activity, such as cultivation, were adequate and just? Required with a Yes or No choice

5.12.4) Did you believe that the state agency interdiction recovery costs associated with unlicensed cannabis activities would result in real property asset forfeiture were adequate and just? Required with a Yes or No choice

5.13) Did Prop 64 do enough to warn the voters that while cannabis policies may be allowable under state law they are not allowable under federal law and that in the event of federal prosecution, the state would be held harmless for having authorized and licensed the activities that one might face on a federal level? Required with a Yes or No choice

SECTION SIX: WHY I VOTED NO ON PROP 64

Remembering back prior to the November 2016 elections, on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the highest level of YES and 1 being the lowest level of NO, please tell us as to what degree these elements had in influencing your NO vote on Prop 64:

6.1) Did you believe that Prop 64 was overreaching in its attempts to regulate every aspect of cannabis and that the power the government was being given under Prop 64 left the majority of the regulatory process to be created and defined after its passage? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.2) Did you believe that Prop 64 would not be considerate of the medical cannabis patients' rights as had been established in Prop 215? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.3) Did you believe that had Prop 64 been solely focused on a series of for-profit recreational cannabis laws and regulations that allowed for taxation and control while leaving non-profit medical cannabis laws and regulations as established within Prop 215 you would have been more likely to have supported it? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.4) Did you believe that the majority of people who were endorsing Prop 64 were concentrating only on the positive aspects of it and not willing to address major flaws in the initiative that would lead to major challenges in the future? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.5) Did you try to educate others as to why they should vote no on Prop 64? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.6) Would you have more inclined to have supported Prop 64 if the 2/3 house majority vote to amend any aspect of Prop 64 language had not been included? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.7) Did you believe that by Prop 64 not having stated critical elements such as licensing fees it was giving these new agencies an unfettered opportunity to create unrealistic and disproportionately high fees and other barriers to entry that it could lead to licensing and regulatory compliance fees that would be unreasonably impractical to any prudent business person? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.8) Did you believe that Prop 64 was realistic in its timing expectations when tasked with creating the regulatory framework of rules and regulations that would convert unlicensed cannabis activities into licensed activities? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.9) Did you agree with the fact that under Prop 64 all taxable and fee related revenues raised would not be included in the state General Fund accounting but would be kept under separate authority that would be independent of those General Fund audits and controls? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

6.10) Prior to Prop 64 being proposed and under Prop 215 medical cannabis guidelines, there had been no state established safe practice pesticide and toxicity control levels for cannabis cultivation and patient consumption. While important to finally having these standards, Prop 64 would set these standards only after its passage. Did you believe that with the regulation and distribution of recreational and medical cannabis products subject to these yet to be defined standards there was no guarantee that the guidelines would be set within standards that could be met while licensed cannabis cultivation was taking place? Required with a 1-10 drop down menu

SECTION SEVEN: MY STORY

Please tell us about yourself and how cannabis has been a part of your life. Tell us what you hope the future of cannabis will look like under a system of laws and regulations that oversee both medical and recreational cannabis use. Do you feel that Prop 64 has been inclusive of your needs? Is it fair and considerate of what you have come to rely on prior to Prop 64 having been passed? We'd also like to know how when you were making your decision on Prop 64 what and who were the major influences that led to your vote? Perhaps you could tell us if you believe the subsequent amendments that have been passed since the passage of Prop 64 have been well reasoned and crafted to meet your needs and the communities best interests. This is your area to describe in your own terms what your thoughts and experiences have been with Prop 64 both before and after its passage.