

Subject: Federal Blvd Property
From: Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcisd.net>
To: Darryl Cotton <darryl@inda-gro.com>
Date: Monday, February 27, 2017 8:49:16 AM GMT-08:00

Hi Daryl,

Attached is the draft purchase of the property for 400k. The additional contract for the 400k should be in today and I will forward it to you as well.

Best Regards,

Larry E. Geraci, EA

Tax & Financial Center, Inc
5402 Ruffin Rd, Ste 200
San Diego, Ca 92123

Web: Larrygeraci.com
Bus: 858.576.1040
Fax: 858.630.3900

Circular 230 Disclaimer:

IRS regulations require us to advise you that, unless otherwise specifically noted, any federal tax advice in this communication (including any attachments, enclosures, or other accompanying materials) was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties; furthermore, this communication was not intended or written to support the promotion or marketing of any of the transactions or matters it addresses. This email is considered a confidential communication and is intended for the person or firm identified above. If you have received this in error, please contact us at (858)576-1040 and return this to us or destroy it immediately. If you are in possession of this confidential information, and you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized disclosure, copying, distribution or dissemination of the contents hereof is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender of this facsimile immediately and arrange for the return or destruction of this facsimile and all attachments.

Subject: Re: Statement
From: Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com>
To: Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcgsd.net>
Date: Friday, March 3, 2017 8:22:09 AM GMT-08:00

②
Larry,

I read the Side Agreement in your attachment and I see that no reference is made to the 10% equity position as per my Inda-Gro GERL Service Agreement (see attached) in the new store. In fact para 3.11 looks to avoid our agreement completely. It looks like counsel did not get a copy of that document. Can you explain?

On Thu, Mar 2, 2017 at 8:51 AM, Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcgsd.net> wrote:

Best Regards,

Larry E. Geraci, EA

Tax & Financial Center, Inc
5402 Ruffin Rd, Ste 200
San Diego, Ca 92123

Web: Larrygeraci.com

Bus: 858.576.1040

Fax: 858.630.3900

Circular 230 Disclaimer:

IRS regulations require us to advise you that, unless otherwise specifically noted, any federal tax advice in this communication (including any attachments, enclosures, or other accompanying materials) was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties; furthermore, this communication was not intended or written to support the promotion or marketing of any of the transactions or matters it addresses. This email is considered a confidential communication and is intended for the person or firm identified above. If you have received this in error, please contact us at **858.576.1040** and return this to us or destroy it.

1 / 4

Subject: Contract Review
From: Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcscd.net>
To: Darryl Cotton <darryl@inda-gro.com>
Date: Tuesday, March 7, 2017 12:05:43 PM GMT-08:00

③
Hi Daryl,

I have not reviewed this yet but wanted you to look at it and give me your thoughts. Talking to Matt, the 10k a month might be difficult to hit until the sixth month....can we do 5k, and on the seventh month start 10k?

Best Regards,

Larry E. Geraci, EA

Tax & Financial Center, Inc
5402 Ruffin Rd, Ste 200
San Diego, Ca 92123

Web: Larrygeraci.com
Bus: 858.576.1040
Fax: 858.630.3900

Circular 230 Disclaimer:

IRS regulations require us to advise you that, unless otherwise specifically noted, any federal tax advice in this communication (including any attachments, enclosures, or other accompanying materials) was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties; furthermore, this communication was not intended or written to support the promotion or marketing of any of the transactions or matters it addresses. This email is considered a confidential communication and is intended for the person or firm identified above. If you have received this in error, please contact us at (858)576-1040 and return this to us or destroy it immediately. If you are in possession of this confidential information, and you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized disclosure, copying, distribution or dissemination of the contents hereof is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender of this facsimile immediately and arrange for the return or destruction of this facsimile and all attachments.

Subject: Re: Contract Review
From: Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com>
To: Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcisd.net>
Date: Friday, March 17, 2017 2:15:50 PM GMT-07:00

3
Larry, I received your text asking to meet in person tomorrow. I would prefer that until we have final agreements, that we converse exclusively via email. My greatest concern is that you will get a denial on the CUP application and not provide the remaining \$40,000 non-refundable deposit. To be frank, I feel that you are not dealing with me in good faith. you told me repeatedly that you could not submit a CUP application until certain zoning issues had been resolved and that you had spent hundreds of thousands of dollars on getting them resolved. You lied to me, I found out yesterday from the City of San Diego that you submitted a CUP application on October 31, 2016 BEFORE we even signed our agreement on the 2nd of November. There is no situation where an oral agreement will convince me that you are dealing with me in good faith and will honor our agreement. We need a final written, legal, binding agreement.

Please confirm, as requested, by 12:00 PM Monday that you are honoring our agreement and will have final drafts (reflecting completely the below) by Wednesday at 12:00 PM.

It is unfortunate that matters have turned out like this, but hearing from the city that the application had been submitted before our deal was signed and that it is already under review, meaning you have been lying to me for months, forces me to take this course of action.

Again, please respond to this email so that there is a clear record of our conversations from this point forward or at least until we have final executed documents.

-Darryl

On Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 8:23 PM, Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com> wrote:
Larry,

4.1
My apologies ahead of time as I am going to provide frank comments on the agreement so that we can finalize it and get this closed. And, so that you understand where I am coming from, just want to lay out a few of our milestones.

Throughout October we had discussions regarding the sale of my property. We met on 11/2 and agreed upon an \$800,000 purchase price, a \$50,000 non-refundable deposit, a 10% equity stake with a monthly guaranteed minimum \$10,000 payment and to definitive agreements that contained a few other conditions (e.g., I stay at the property if the CUP is issued until construction starts). We executed a good faith agreement that day stating the sale of the property was for the \$800,000 and that as a sign of good faith, you were providing a \$10,000 deposit towards the required \$50,000 non-refundable deposit. That same day you scanned and emailed to me the agreement and I replied and noted that the agreement did not contain the 10% equity stake in the dispensary. I asked you to please respond and confirm via email that a condition of the sale was my 10% equity stake. You did not respond and confirm the 10% as I requested.

Almost 4 months later, on 2/27, you forwarded a draft purchase agreement for the property that again did not contain the agreed upon 10% equity stake, it also does not mention the remaining \$40,000 towards the non-refundable deposit. I called you about this and we spoke.

On 3/2, you forwarded a draft Side Agreement that again did not contain the 10% equity stake. I replied the next day on 3/3 raising the 10% equity issue and attaching the draft services

1 / 4

4.2
agreement that I drafted that contains some of the terms we had agreed upon.

On 3/7, email below, you forwarded a revised Side Agreement that did contain the 10% equity stake, but in the body of the email you requested that the \$10,000 minimum monthly payment be held off until month 7 and that months 1-6 be reduced to \$5,000 a month. I know from our conversations that you have spent over \$300,000 on lobbying and zoning efforts for this property, which has caused you to be strapped for cash. However, I am not in a position to take a \$5,000 reduction for 6 months.

The long and short of it, we started these negotiations 4 months ago and the drafts and our communications have not reflected what we agreed upon and are still far from reflecting our original agreement. Here is my proposal, please have your attorney Gina revise the Purchase Agreement and Side Agreement to incorporate all the terms we have agreed upon so that we can execute final versions and get this closed.

Please have these terms incorporated into revised drafts:

- The remaining \$40,000 deposit, which is nonrefundable in the event you choose to not close on the property if the CUP is denied. And which is to be provided upon execution of the final agreements.
- If the CUP is granted, my business can remain at the property until the city has finalized the plans and construction begins at the property.
- A 10% equity stake with a minimum guaranteed monthly distribution of \$10,000, whichever is greater.
- A clause that my 10% equity stake carries with it consent rights for any material decisions. Those items that are to require my consent can be standard minority consent rights, but basically that my consent is required for large decisions like the issuance of employee bonus and for agreements with suppliers and vendors that are not done on an arm-lengths basis. A friend of mine said that these are standard "Minority Shareholder Protection Rights."
- A provision requiring that upon the creation of the formation and governance documents of the CUP entity, that there is a requirement that the accounting is to be done by a third-party accounting firm that will also be responsible for calculating my 10% monthly equity distributions.
- The incorporation of all the terms in the MOU that I created that Gina references in the draft purchase agreement.
- Please have Gina delete the clause in the purchase agreement that says both you and I had our own counsel review the agreement. You told me I could just communicate with Gina and though I tried to engage an attorney, I did not ultimately do so for cost reasons.

The intent of all this is to ensure that the agreement we have agreed upon can be executed and verified. Having said all this, I really want to finalize this as soon as possible - I found out today that a CUP application for my property was submitted in October, which I am assuming is from someone connected to you. Although, I note that you told me that the \$40,000 deposit balance would be paid once the CUP was submitted and that you were waiting on certain zoning issues to be resolved. Which is not the case.

Ultimately, the main point is that we were supposed to execute our agreements as soon as possible so that I could receive the total \$50,000 non-refundable deposit and you would take the risk of the non-approval of the CUP. If this keeps dragging on and we do not finalize and execute our agreements, then you may get a denial from the city on the CUP and then simply walk away. At that point, the property having been denied, no other party would be willing to take on that risk. If you are not willing to take on that risk as originally agreed upon, please let me know as there are other parties who would match your terms and be willing to take on that risk.

Please confirm by Monday 12:00 PM whether we are on the same page and you plan to

4.3
continue with our agreement. Or, if not, so I can return your \$10,000 of the \$50,000 required deposit. If, hopefully, we can work through this, please confirm that revised final drafts that incorporate the terms above will be provided by Wednesday at 12:00 PM. I promise to review and provide comments that same day so we can execute the same or next day.

In anticipation of your reply, I remain,

Darryl Cotton

On Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 12:05 PM, Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcsl.net> wrote:

Hi Daryl,

3
I have not reviewed this yet but wanted you to look at it and give me your thoughts. Talking to Matt, the 10k a month might be difficult to hit until the sixth month....can we do 5k, and on the seventh month start 10k?

Best Regards,

Larry E. Geraci, EA

Tax & Financial Center, Inc
5402 Ruffin Rd, Ste 200
San Diego, Ca 92123

Web: Larrygeraci.com

Bus: **858.576.1040**

Fax: **858.630.3900**

Circular 230 Disclaimer:

Subject: Re: Contract Review
From: Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com>
To: Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcfsd.net>
Date: Sunday, March 19, 2017 9:02:18 AM GMT-07:00

Larry,

7 I understand that drafting the agreements will take time, but you don't need to consult with your attorneys to tell me whether or not you are going to honor our agreement.

I need written confirmation that you will honor our agreement so that I know that you are not just playing for time - hoping to get a response from the City before you put down in writing that you owe me the remainder of the \$50,000 nonrefundable deposit we agreed to.

If I do not have a written confirmation from you by 12:00 PM tomorrow, I will contacting the City of San Diego and let them know that our agreement was not completed and that the application pending on my property needs to be denied because the applicant has no right to my property.

On Sat, Mar 18, 2017 at 1:43 PM, Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcfsd.net> wrote:

Darryl,

8 I have an attorney working on the situation now. I will follow up by Wednesday with the response as their timing will play a factor.

Best Regards,

Larry E. Geraci, EA

Tax & Financial Center, Inc

5402 Ruffin Rd, Ste 200

San Diego, Ca 92123

Web: Larrygeraci.com

1 / 5

Subject: Re: Contract Review
From: Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com>
To: Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcisd.net>
Date: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 3:18:36 PM GMT-07:00

(9) Larry, I have been in communications over the last 2 days with Firouzeh, the Development Project Manager for the City of San Diego who is handling CUP applications. She made it 100% clear that there are no restrictions on my property and that there is no recommendation that a CUP application on my property be denied. In fact she told me the application had just passed the "Deemed Complete" phase and was entering the review process. She also confirmed that the application was paid for in October, before we even signed our agreement.

This is our last communication, you have failed to live up to your agreement and have continuously lied to me and kept pushing off creating final legal agreements because you wanted to push it off to get a response from the City without taking the risk of losing the non-refundable deposit in the event the CUP application is denied.

To be clear, as of now, you have no interest in my property, contingent or otherwise. I will be entering into an agreement with a third-party to sell my property and they will be taking on the potential costs associated with any litigation arising from this failed agreement with you.

Darryl Cotton

On Sun, Mar 19, 2017 at 6:47 PM, Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com> wrote:
Larry,

(8) I have not been changing my mind. The only additional requests have been in regards to putting in place third party accounting and other mechanisms to ensure that my interests are protected. I have only done so because you kept providing draft agreements that continuously failed the terms we agreed to.

It is blatantly clear to me now that you have been stringing me along, even now all your responses are to buy more time. So there is no confusion, you have until tomorrow 12:00 PM to provide confirmation as requested below. If you don't, I am emailing the City of San Diego regarding the fact that no third-party has any interest in my property and the application currently pending needs to be denied.

On Sun, Mar 19, 2017 at 3:11 PM, Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcisd.net> wrote:

Darryl,

At this point, you keep changing your mind every time we talk. My attorneys will move forward on the agreement as planned. Any signed written agreement will be followed by the letter of the law. It's not about any deposit, it's about you changing what is not in writing. So there is no confusion, the attorneys will move forward with an agreement.

As to lying about the status, read the comment below from the city on Wednesday 3/15/2017. We are addressing this currently with the city. I have been forthright with you this entire