

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 HARINDER K. KAPUR
Senior Assistant Attorney General
3 State Bar Number: 198769
STACEY L. ROBERTS
4 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
State Bar Number: 237998
5 Ethan A. Turner
Deputy Attorney General
6 State Bar Number 294891
1300 I Street, Suite 125
7 P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
8 Telephone: (916) 210-7833
Fax: (916) 327-2319
9 E-mail: Stacey.Roberts@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Defendants,
10 Bureau of Cannabis Control
and Lori Ajax, Chief of the Bureau of Cannabis
11 Control

E-FILED
6/8/2020 1:05 PM
Superior Court of California
County of Fresno
By: S. Garcia, Deputy

*Exempt from filing fees
pursuant to Gov. Code §6103*

12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13 COUNTY OF FRESNO

14
15
16
17 **COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, ET AL.,**
18 Plaintiffs,
19 v.
20 **BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL;**
21 **LORI AJAX, in her official capacity as**
22 **Chief of the Bureau of Cannabis Control;**
23 **and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,**
24 Defendants.

Case No. 19CECG01224

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE,
EXHIBITS VOLUME 1 OF 2 (EXHIBITS
A-E)**

Dept: 403
Judge: Honorable Rosemary T. McGuire
Trial Date: July 16, 2020
Action Filed: April 4, 2019

1
2 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
3 **COUNTY OF FRESNO**
4

5
6 Case No. 19CECG01224

7 **COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, ET AL.,**

8 **Plaintiffs,**

9 **v.**

**DECLARATION OF CUSTODIAN OF
RECORDS**

10 **BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL;**
LORI AJAX, in her official capacity as
Chief of the Bureau of Cannabis Control;
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

11 **Defendants.**
12

13 I, the undersigned, being the duly authorized custodian of records or other qualified witness in the
14 **of the Bureau of Cannabis Control declare as follows:**

- 15 1. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 2920 Kilgore Road, Rancho Cordova,
16 California, 95670.
- 17 2. The Bureau of Cannabis Control is the state licensing entity responsible for regulating the
18 following commercial cannabis activities: retail, distribution, microbusiness, testing laboratory,
19 and cannabis events.
- 20 3. The Bureau of Cannabis Control also possesses records relating to the Cannabis Advisory
21 Committee including, but not limited to, records of meeting minutes, meeting transcripts, public
22 comments, and meeting presentations.
- 23 4. I am a duly qualified witness, authorized to certify the following Cannabis Advisory Committee
24 records which are attached:
- 25 a. November 16, 2017 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes
 - 26 b. March 15, 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes
 - 27 c. July 19, 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes
 - 28 d. August 20, 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

1 e. June 28, 2019 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

2 5. If I were called as a witness in this matter, I could and would competently testify to the foregoing
3 facts.

4 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true
5 and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

6
7 Dated: June 04, 2020

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
By: 
KAILA FAYNE

EXHIBIT A

Cannabis Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes – November 16, 2017
Sacramento Masonic Temple
1123 J Street, Sacramento 95814

Members Present (22):

Avis Bulbulyan
Timmen Cermak
Matt Clifford
Bill Dombrowski
Jeff Ferro
Kristin Heidelberg-Teramoto
Eric Hirata
Alice Huffman
Catherine Jacobson
Arnold Leff
Kristin Lynch
Kristin Nevedal
Joe Nicchitta
LaVonne Peck
Matt Rahn
Keith Stephenson
James Sweeney
Tamar Todd
Helena Williams
David Woolsey
Ben Wu
Beverly Yu

Members Absent (0)

Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency / Department of Consumer Affairs

Alexis Podesta – Secretary, Business, Consumer Services and Housing
Agency
Dean R. Grafilo – Director, Department of Consumer Affairs

Bureau of Cannabis Control Executive Staff Present

Lori Ajax – Bureau Chief

Melanie V. Ramil – Deputy Bureau Chief

Connie Bouvia – Assistant Chief of Licensing

Tamara Colson – Assistant Chief Counsel

Ricardo V. DeLaCruz – Assistant Chief of Administration

Andre Jones – Assistant Chief of External and Intergovernmental Affairs

Alex Traverso — Assistant Chief of Communications

Paul Tupy – Assistant Chief of Enforcement

Minutes Taken By

Ashlynn Blackshire, Legal Secretary, Bureau of Cannabis Control

1. Oath of Office, Call to Order, and Establishment of a Quorum (Dean R. Grafilo, Director, Department of Consumer Affairs)

Director Grafilo administered the oath of office to the 22 appointed members of the Cannabis Advisory Committee.

Deputy Chief Ramil, as acting meeting chair, called the meeting to order. Meeting official start time noted at 10:28 AM.

Roll was taken and all 22 committee members were present. Quorum was established.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

2. Welcome (Alexis Podesta, Secretary, Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency)

Director Grafilo introduced Secretary Podesta. Secretary Podesta provided welcome remarks. In her Welcome, Secretary Podesta thanked the Bureau for their work and shared that the Committee will play an important role in working to create permanent regulations.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

3. Overview of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director Grafilo)

Director Grafilo provided an overview of DCA, and shared that the Department has established the Office of Student Assistance and implemented a new training and mentoring program called “The Future Leadership Development Initiative.” Director Grafilo also stated that the committee members represent various stakeholder communities, diverse voices, and perspectives within

Joe Nicchitta

LaVonne Peck

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

James Sweeney

Tamar Todd

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

Public Comment: 1 Comment

George Bianchini: The Las Vegas industry event will not start until November 16 next year.

8. Overview of the Cannabis Portal – Online Resource (Alex Traverso, Assistant Chief of Communications, Bureau of Cannabis Control)

Assistant Chief of Communications Traverso provided an overview of the California Cannabis Portal, including what users can find on the website. Traverso also mentioned that the 3 licensing entities' social media links have been included and that the Portal now has an events calendar to help track the events of all 3 licensing entities.

Committee Comment: 2 Comments

Committee Member Huffman wanted to let public commenter Ray Perez know she has in fact been affected by the war on drugs, and she is open to input from the public.

Committee Member Stephenson shared that he is aware of the war on drugs and his opportunity to serve on the committee will be with the greatest due diligence to move the industry forward for all.

Public Comment: 2 Comments

George Bianchini: Would like more substantial information on the portal related to the how licensees will implement the regulations.

Mark Whitlow: Asked if ones needs to check the portal daily if you are on the BCC email list.

Additional Public Comment:

No name given: Public heath comment on labeling.

Committee Member Cermak: Asked about the transition period, specifically about products that may be attractive to children.

Committee Member Woolsey: Asked about in-house testing for enforcement purposes and how sales will be handled between A and M products.

Committee Member Stephenson: Asked about dosage and labeling issues, limits on state licenses, microbusiness activity, and local approval.

Committee Member Wu: Asked about temporary license fees, child resistant packaging and how the term “attractive to children” is defined, temporary cannabis events, cost of testing, online licensing system, tamper evident options, and additional questions regarding packaging.

Committee Member Bulbulyan: Asked about FDA’s CGMP (Current Good Manufacturing Practice) guidelines in relation to licensees, distance requirements, microbusinesses, units per package, how products that fail testing are handled, definition of owner, transport, bookkeeping for businesses with multiple locations, testing batches, and shared space between licensees.

Committee Member Nevedal: Asked about how businesses can operate with both an A and M license, harvest batch sizes, light deprivation techniques for outdoor cultivation, and temporary cannabis event licenses.

Committee Member Rahn: Asked about the process of notification in the event an owner/licensee is convicted.

Committee Member Nichitta: Asked about how the state will be working with local officials, such as agricultural commissioners and local health officers, to do inspections, checks, etc.

Public Comment: 27 Comments

Charles Fraizer, COO Minorities for Medical Marijuana: Data shows for over two decades black and brown residents were arrested and incarcerated for drug offences at disparately high rates while white cannabis cultivators, manufactures, and distributors who were not operating entirely above board either flourished under the changing law designed to accommodate the burgeoning industry. The Oakland City Council first brought the issues to light and has previously explored several alternative legislative proposals in 2016. The newly adopted regulations seek to address the ongoing economic inequality that results in disparity of drug arrests as well as other racial barriers to wealth building and business ownership by bringing innovation in an equity lens to the cannabis industry. The development of more diverse, equitable, and more inclusive legislation at the state level for the medical cannabis industry will have a positive impact on the industry as a whole as business owners consider how to take advantage of the new adult recreational use laws in California. Appropriations of Funds for AB 1135 to ensure diverse groups and organizations are able to compete in the cannabis market. Standalone Legislation to bring Pop 209 into cannabis retail space. Encourage outside consultation regarding equity, diversity, and decriminalization.

Ray: Can cannabis clubs employ temp workers? Can distributors contract out following all the requirements? Can cultivators contract out farming services? Bureau should look at creating something like an SBA Loan Program? Insurance coverage could be like for earthquakes in such that state insurance issues policies for the cannabis industry. Will state recognize cities like Oakland that permit individuals with prior cannabis related offenses? Delivery only is that only for medical? Can we capture data to see how each business hires minority, women, businessperson, etc?

David Edgeton, CW Analytical, Cannabis Testing Lab in Oakland, CA: ISO 17025 is not written specifically for cannabis labs but tests the competence of any testing environment. General but it works well. There are no standard methods for cannabis so each lab then creates their own internal protocols which will create extreme variance between labs. What are the implications if one lab would say pass and another fail for the same product?

Paul Hansburry, Cannabis Farmer, Mendocino County: I do a microbusiness out of my home, the regulations seem to be geared toward large industries, major industrial manufacturers. The security requirements for manufacturers, I'd have to wear a laminated badge with my photograph on it to walk around my house, then sign in and sign out as I went from one room to another, to comply with security. Why is ethanol banned? Ethanol has been used for centuries, for making tinctures, the small farmers in an effort to carve out a niche to do what they have been doing for generations has to start producing some sort of value added product in order to survive, keep food on the table and pay the bills. Ethanol has been used for making tinctures, all you're doing it soaking the cannabis in the alcohol it's as safe as keeping a bottle of vodka in your liquor cabinet. Further, there are UL approved devices, distillers that are certified for home use for using ethanol for making extractions. In Mendocino County, they have language in their ordinance for using volatile solvents in a non-volatile manner.

Justin Pusherrick, Testing Lab Operator: Need more clarity on who is writing testing lab regulations? How much expertise does that person actually have? Why isn't there a scientist on the committee that can be advising us on this kind of stuff? Lawyers shouldn't have such technical expertise on some chemistry aspects.

Susan Tibben, Liaison between Mendocino and Sacramento County and retired faculty for the CA Academy of Sciences: Small farmers are implementing best practices, they are using integrated best management, we are stewards of the land, we have companion plants, that means the emergency regulations are asking us to look at our whole garden and charging instead of the 2,500-sq. ft. of canopy, which is the dripline of the plant, they are charging us for over 20,000-sq. ft. because they are including all of our best practices. Please don't penalize small farmers. In addition, the regulations talk about not cutting people out about promoting the black market, right now in our northern counties we've got stickers all over the place talking about the black market so please include us. We have members in California Growers Association, we are founding members of Black Farmers Association, old people who've been up there for generations, young people, 3rd and 4th generations who are stewards of the land.

George Bianchini: Hemp org needs funds after Prop 64 split funds, causing members to use their own funds. I offered them a loan but they said it wasn't legal. Testing requirements, at least

in the City of Berkeley, outlaw methods used by organic farmers. APC count would suffice. Is it possible the 120-day extension be 119 days to end not on Aprils Fool Day?

John Webb, Cultivator, Trinity County: There was nothing discussed on banking, or how money is going to be transferred seeing as banks are FDI insured, if they know you are in the industry they will freeze your accounts so from a distributor picking up 100 lbs. at \$1,000 lbs. that's \$100,000 in cash? How do we pay taxes to Board of Equalization given we are not allowed bank accounts? Would also like to look at reducing the licensing fees.

Mark Whitlow, Marine Analytics: Stability testing, at least for medical products, should be instituted and mandatory. Edibles do expire overtime, THC products especially. How do microbusinesses go about testing? If they have a distributor's license can they bring it back to business?

Doug Scott, American Biotech Testing, Salinas, CA: People will be thanking you in the years to come.

Terrance Thomas, Armortech Security and Investigations: Security only concerns itself with safety aspects of regulations, including getting tax dollars from businesses to the state. Will there be an overall security addendum for specific security aspects of the industry? Being an all cash business it's a large security risk and safety is most important

Jen Price, Pacific Expediters Consulting Services, Santa Rosa: Environmental Impact Report—CDFA puts one acre cap on growing, yet new regulations released today never mention anything about a one acre cap. For multiple licensees on the same premise, are they required to have a distributor/transporter to bring it from one person to another within the property because it is going from one licensee to another? Unclear. It's unclear on requirements for additives—caffeine.

John Webb, Cultivator, Trinity County: The cost of testing: 5% of 1 pound, charged \$50. 5% of 50 pounds, charged \$50. I would like to get clarification on how the testing works. Suggestion, instead of allowing the distributor to do the testing to allow the cultivator to do the testing. Cultivators who price their products by THC content as well as the look and the flavor and quality should be responsible for testing in order to be able to make a better suggestion to the distributor on what the price should be. Also the distributor having already paid for the product, if it's taken to the lab for testing and it doesn't pass testing and has to be destroyed it's the distributor who takes the loss since its already paid for.

Matthew Chapin, Chapin Law: Foresees a lot of compliance issues in the first 12 months, would suggest all 3 entities have an increased degree of leniency within this time period at least for first time offenders. Temporary only rules will prevent early licenses engagement. Early on, businesses will be willing or unable to participate on par with illicit market. Can there be a path to licensure?

Katy Maple, Law Firm: The fact that licensees can only do business with other licensees is going to be a very limiting factor for getting business into the regulated market. If you already

have a supply chain and everyone in your supply chain isn't licensed then you cannot do business with them. How do you compete against the illicit market if none of the people you work with are licensed and you can't do business with them? I would suggest a transition period.

Marko Tran, DB Labs: The inflation of THC values are noticeable in cannabis permissive states. Labs are pressured to find higher THC values. Could lead to trouble. I recommend a system where if a certified lab gives a client the THC results on the label they have to use those results to avoid the mark up.

Jack: Concentrate limits for transporters is reasonable, but for top grade flower, one pound of flower can cost over \$8k, making them take more than three trips for one pound.

Shawn Aguilar, Real Estate Private Banker, Fairfax, CA: Standardization of landlord permission. Work with the Bureau of Real Estate to create a form, simplify and standardize the process. Bureau needs to be more specific on how to provide title and or grant deed.

No name given for the following comments:

- 1) Are testing labs required to test for FDA requirements for content of alcohol, or shape and appearance of the packaged items so that they do not attract children?
- 2) Regulations do not have a provision or contingency when testing labs report results that do not meet the specifications.
- 3) Can ancillary businesses, such as bottling, have better clarification so they can properly apply for the correct license?
- 4) Could the CEQA report pertaining specifically to microbusinesses be published on the BCC Website, if it is available I'd like to be directed to where I can find it? Clarity on record keeping for microbusiness, I assume microbusiness won't be using the track and trace system, so what records would we be required to keep and for how long?
- 5) Cash flow fees could be extra monies possibly going to the state go to an equity grant? Could the board fund equity programs, where you can mentor and teach people the industry? From investors view, shared space is giving away half of the company.
- 6) There is a problem with testing.
- 7) 3rd party packaging company, would like to see more clarification on business that run vertical to the industry, we don't handle, grow, or sale it but if a distributor would like to drop off product for co-packaging, I'd like to see more clarification if I'd be considered a microbusiness or a processor.

11. Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

Public Comment: 5 Comments

Charles Fraizer: Spoke to Assembly Bill 1135 and the monies appropriated to programs and communities in diverse areas, but the monies are not defined in the bill and that needs to be changed and put in writing where those funds are going.

Ryan Reeves: Type 7 Level 2 manufacturing, extractors are producing are amazing things but many cities and counties are not regulating Type 7 Level 2 manufacturing. Also requested expansion on the language for nonprofits.

George Bianchini: Law enforcement in San Joaquin destroyed a hemp grow because the sheriff said Prop 64 doesn't belong in his county.

Mark Whitlow: Some of the money from cannabis should be spent on doing research on the toxicology of the cannabinoids and the pesticides associated with them. Also, would like this body to set pesticide use for cannabis in California.

Charles Fraizer: Prop 209 created challenges when trying to create language for diversity so standalone legislation would help alleviate those challenges.

12. Future Agenda Items

Committee Members' recommended the following items to possibly be discussed at future committee meeting:

Jacobson: Further discussion on the testing issue, and discussion on the banking issue.

Woolsey: Requested handouts for all PowerPoints at future meetings.

Nevedal: More discussion around bifurcation issues in relationship to cultivation and how A and M licenses overlay or don't overlay.

Cermak: More discussion on how to adjudicate the question of what is attractive to youth/children.

Leff: Discussion on how to educate the public on the cannabis issue surrounding toxic amounts, prohibiting children and how we are going to market that message to the state. Discussion on Local enforcement and how that is going to be carried out

Todd: Discussion on the issue of equity, barriers to entry, limitations on what data can be collected and what we can do otherwise.

Peck: Discussion on the testing labs and the road blocks we are going to be facing when it's rolled out. Is there going to be enough labs, etc. How are these issues and questions going to be addressed?

Wu: Discussion on the amount of product that can be carried while on delivery (\$3,000) - it's inefficient, can cause back up and create more problems.

Stephenson: Discuss funding to assist parolees.

Huffman: Discussion on the equity framework.

13. **Adjournment:** 4:31 p.m.

EXHIBIT B

Cannabis Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes - March 15, 2018
Millennium Hotel, Boardroom
506 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles

Members Present (19):

Avis Bulbulyan
Timmen Cermak
Matt Clifford
Bill Dombrowski
Jeff Ferro
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto
Erin Hirata
Ali Huffman
Catherine Johnson
Kristin Nevedal
Joe Nicchitta
LaVonne Peck
Matt Rahn
Keith Stephenson
James Sweeney
Tamar Todd
David Woolsey
Ben Wu
Beverly Yu

Members Absent (3)

Arnold Leff
Kristin Lynch
Helena Williams

Department of Consumer Affairs
Dean R. Grafilo - Director, Department of Consumer Affairs

Bureau of Cannabis Control (Bureau) Executive Director
Lori Ajax - Bureau Chief
Melanie Ramil - Deputy Bureau Chief
Tamara Colson - Assistant Chief Counsel
Andrew Jones - Assistant Chief of External and Intergovernmental Affairs

Al Exaverso – Cannabis Communications

Minutes By

Ashtyn Clark, Secretary, Cannabis Control

1. Welcome, Order Established (Mara Hair, Cannabis Committee)

Mara Hair, Cannabis Committee (Chair), meeting held. Meeting started at 10:11 AM.

Roll call taken, 19 members present.

Committee Comments

Public Comments

2. Welcome remarks (Dean R. Gaffney, Director of Cannabis Control)

Director of Cannabis Control encouraged committee members to continue to provide feedback.

Chair thanked committee members for their support and expressed appreciation for the committee's work in implementing cannabis regulations.

Committee Comments

Public Comments

3. Review and Approval of 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Minutes

The committee voted to approve the 2018 minutes.

Committee Comments

Committee member mentioned the importance of the 2018 minutes and the need to ensure they are accurate. Robert Watkins mentioned the importance of the 2018 minutes and the need to ensure they are accurate.

Public Comments

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSED
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Eric Herata					
Ali Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jon Nicchitta					
Laura Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamara Todd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Not a general meeting of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors is in a closed session for the purpose of discussing and voting on the proposed amendments to the Bylaws of the University of California, San Diego.

4. Discussion and Approval of the Proposed Amendments to the Testing Laboratory Rules and Regulations (Chair, Catherine Jacobson, Subcommittee Setting Laboratories)

Committee Chair Jacobson reports on the findings of the Laboratory Subcommittee and recommends that the Board of Directors approve the proposed amendments.

Committee Chair Jacobson reports on the findings of the Laboratory Subcommittee and recommends that the Board of Directors approve the proposed amendments to the Testing Laboratory Rules and Regulations.

Commie Membe Huffmas kvehde t h e e d d r a m e n d a t e i q u a s e h a b o r y
c h a n g e s p w i r l w e d , h n o t a p p a g i n g w i t h t h e m .
C h a r a h s n t a t s d a t h o e t a n g e o u s l p l a o e f t r e e o r t d h C o m m i t t e e ' s f o c
s h o u b d b e g u l h a t n o g r e y s .
C o m m i M e m b W o l m e y i d i n t a l t s e t a t n u e c o r y m e n d a v t i u b d n e h a l t
b e h a n g e t d a b y u h a d d r a e i s s s i u e t a t u t a b , l b f e u t m e a e t i n g .
C o m m i M e m b H i r s e a o n h e o d i o n .
C o m m i M e m b S w e e p e y p o s e d i t d i e p i t i i s o f y a i t t r u g t e a s t , e ' a s s o e t h a t
C o m m i w d u e l e d a l a v s e o r u s p a n t t h a e p e e r t i o n e m t b i o r d e y ,
c o m p a s s i o n e b e i t h i n g e n g s .
C o m m i M e m b W o l s i e t e 6 0 1 4 B f i s t i h e e s s a n d P i s o f a c t i n t i g e n s C o
A d v i C o m m i i t t e e r e e a d t v h e s e e a n s t i n g r i t t i h e a s t , t h w i t t i g n i g i t
s p e a k a d v t i h e e g i s l a t u r e .

P u b C o m m e n t s

L i n d s e y M a l n o y e y i t t e m a r b e a t t r a g l e d a c o m p a s s i a d m s a t e
C o l m e y a t e d s a h w i n g p a t a r i e p t a s i n a t h e a t b i e g e n t e i r m e d i c a t i
b e c a t u s B e s r e a p u a t s r a n g l e h o l M s o n C d o o n m a g i e o s n t s h d s t h a t
s t a t n o m e r y t w h a t h e o m m i i t s t h e r e , t w i d e r a i l o s f i l s e g a l i z a t i o n ,
C o l m e y q u e s t c o e n t i t t e h e i e n k s a b o t d u s t l y
S u s T i n b b M s n . : T i b b o n t s h e a t i e s e s t t u s a l t i g o l v t e l r p o e n r e a c h o p t i o n i n
r e l a t i o n t o p r o j e c t e d m a t a n x l , s h e a t n h i o h w M e a n s d o c i n o C o
g o i n g t t a o b b e l e n x s i m i n o e d o s e k y o u s h e e t w h e l s a n a k r w e l b e
p r o m o t e d s ; t a n s d e l t a s s e t h o n s g i f t s m e c h a i n m a l b i s C o n l i o r a d o n g h i c h
h a s D O s p t e r o f e n t
R o s s G o M d o r d o n e x p a e s s u a g r l o w e b r i s t ' o t e y t r e e r g t u h e a t e d
m a r k e t u t h e n t u a t c i r o n s i s , a n d M r . G o p r e t o h e e m p i r e a s k e e d
i m s a n d a t e s s e r i e a u s o r y . f M a r s t k e a d t l y n t h i C o o g n m i d e t e i e d e
t a b l m a b e l e a v h a y t a p h u e v e i q u i c k l y t h a n d e r o p a u d b l i c
c o m m e n t s s o l i i d i e t m s d M r t h G o s r e d o n m a p i r e g s r e e a d a t n p a l t e
s o m e t e h v i e s r i n g d m i d i t s e e u i t s e e d a m d j y o r c r i a i s s y i s t e u n d t
n o w , t a h i a d w o r t h h C o v n i m i g t t e r e t o d a y .
R o n E d w a M r d s E d w a r d s e a l p o t e h i s t e e d m s h a g t e r h e a , p w h e i t c u l a r l
c o m e s t o a u n d s e u r l i t e i s v i a n e i n o n i , t a i r d e w a i r M r s . i c a s t e a d k t e h a o t l d e r s
a r e e a t d y o i n t u d a i v a d e a l w i i n s g a t a m e l M h e a n t h C e 6 m m i p u t e s e
t h e o s f e f , s n a a k y e l a o n e d e f e u r s t h e l e u l t i v a t i e n d s w a i r n d i n c a M r e d
t h p a e r i s p r a o b l u s a t t a k e , p a v i t t i n c a u s e a r y y M r . E d t w a a r a d s y s u g g e
o f t i l s e u s s a t r e t o C y o m m i n t e t e r e s t l o g e s e s u e s i f n t f l e g i n s l a t u r e
s o t t h a e y b n e a d d r e s s e d .
M a x M i k a M o n i M i : k a l o n a s s u s u s g n o t s i t t e e n o n t h i s i s s e w e , w h i c
m o v a e n t d a b l h e i s t e e m s t u n e t i n d e t i n g , h a a b l h e f m o f a t m e e t i n g , t o
e n s u l t a t d e g e t t a k e n t u p e C a m m i m e t e t e i n g a k l a n d .

R o b a l d w a t s a k t e h e o t i o n t b e a u b l e l o e m p i t o p e s s a t d u t o r y
r e c o m m e n d a o i n e m s l f l a v i o l t e e d M E m b a n i s t t a e i e n e d .

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSED
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
BiDombrowski					
Jefferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
ErHerata					
AliHueffman					
CathJraincebson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jonicchitta					
LaVoPeeck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
JamSweeney					
TamTrodd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

AdditGommailC d rere 3 C o m m e n t s

Commi MemBermotione datsd r a d i u t e o m s y d r s r i d e t r h a e i o n t o Oakland Commi Mem Huffmæ n o n h e o d i o n .

Vice - C h d s i l u r g g e n o t e i d o n t : t a l k e e a t i u t e o m s y a r t h r o v h e e x t meetiim@akl aarcd, v w h a i t s a u b c o m m h a t e e h i s s k a s a t t h u t e o n y w i t h t h e i r S u b t o i a n s p a t t e i c n e l h a y s l h y o u e l a d r h e t o d a y , t h e C o m m a l l o w d r e r a h s p e c s i t f a i t u t t e o m y

Commi MemBerræcepted Commi Etotdede a me n t d o n e i n s m o t i o n .

Commi MemJacobsæo r n h a e n d e n h e t d i o n .

AdditFuoB C a l l o m m e 4 C o m m e n t s

PaHansbMr r yHans b g g e s y t h e b t m m a t t h e r s a m æ k e e l l e n t pointi, st h e g e i s h a s t i e n t r o d u c e d p a n y o b t a h a n y d i t h y b s i d l s and add l a n g u a g e s u f e l t o d r i f t o e . a T h i s i v o u l o d i g t o y e s f i t f r o m C o m m i t t e e .

Sus T in b b M n : T i b b o n e n C o m m i t t e e c o n c e a r t e a f t e r t h e d e b a t e o n h o w m u c h t o i n v e s t i n g i n t h e p l a n t .

R o n E d w a r d s E d w a r d s c o n t r i b u t e d t h a t h e a p p e a r e d i n a r e t i c u l a r l y a r o u n d t h e M e s o n C o m m i t t e e t o d a y .

U n k n o w n c o m m e n t s a t t e n d e d t o p a s s i o n a t e a d d r e s s e d . T h e e n t i r e g a n e d r o p p e d t h e r e g i o n a l s u i l f o r p a t i e n t s . F o r c a n o p a t i e n t s s o f a r i n t h e r e g i o n a l m e r i d e n s d i g n i t y o n t h e d i o b l e s f a s m a l l .

T i m : i m e s p e s t i g g e s t y C o m m i t t e e s h o u l d s t r e n g t h e n t h e s t a t u t o r y a n t s t a t u t o d a y .

R o b a l d w a s a k e n o t i o n t o s e a b l e l o e m p t o p e s s a d u t o r y r e c o m m e n d a t i o n . D a k i l a n d u n e l e s s u s g o m m h a t e e h o o s e s t o p r e s e n t p a r s e d o v o t e .

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	SENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Eric Hirata					
Ali Hueffman					
Catherine Johnson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Navedal					
Jon Nicchitta					
LaVone Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
Jamesweeney					
Tamara Todd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Committee Comments

Commi Membe Jacoloni on Coedniti a de pitee sting Laboratory
Subcommitt ee's Reclonme 3ndal t fi on a No. 2. The
defañe pteafel see and a n d i s a g u l a t n i d o R e s c o m m e n d a t i o n N o .
m o t i o n e w a s h y C o d m m i M e m b e S w e e n e y

Commi Membe Heidelbach re-ét p e a s t o t d o c l a r R e i c o m m e n d a t e i g a r
No. 2, expiration dates in relation to relabeling.
Commi Membe Jacoloni a m i f i e e d c o m m e n d a t e i o n n o . 2 .
t o g e a r t d h t a t a c t s e a l i d t n t i r l e x p i w a t u l o p m o a r t e d i a t b e l i n g ,
i f t h a t i s r e q u i r e d .

Commi Membe Bulbuqyasnt i o n e d o i f i n g e c o m m e n d a t i o n n o . 2 ,
s a y s a s d e t e r m i n e u d f a y d t f u t h e e r t . r i s i s t p o o f n c i t i l e d s e t i n g , h o w
w o u l d e l e t t e r b y t h e a d u f a c t u r e r ?

Commi Membe Jacoloni a m i f i e d a p e t t u s e x p i r a t o i n t h e a t e
p r o d u c t i s r e f e r t h e e b h t e n p r o d u c t i o n e x p i r a t i o n d a t e . T h e
d i s t r i b u e b d i s s p o t t h e s x i p l i r e a t o i f d e r d o a d u e t .

Commi Membe Jacoloni f i e d R e c o m m e n t a t e i g o u n l N o . i . I t s o s h o
c l a r i t y e s t i e s g l v t a s a d e o n a f i n i s h e d p a r o u d u a t t e u r e d c a n
e x p i r a t o i f t h e i n a i t s e h e d p r o d u c t b y w h e a c h u i f s a d e s t e i s m i a n e t d
C h i e f u n c e l l s o a r i f i e e d c o m m e n d a t t i l e i s e n c i o m m t d e e e
w o u l d p i r k o t i o d e i c e n s i n g i a t u s t h o f e r i t a i n g t u h a g i t e d n t h e
r e g u l a t i o n s a u t i h e g v i d t i a d s t e p e c r i e f g i u d l a t n o g l o y a g e t h o n
r e c o m m e n d a t i a o n v a i n d l t s h a c k l e a n g i u s a g e n w i s h r e e n t t h e
r e g u l a t i o n s h o e f e f i n e d t e r m s .

Commi Membe Nevedua s t i a n e d p r e a f e l s e e a n d a r d s , s t a t i n g ,
s t a n d a r d s n a f o v i e s o s e l y , a a h e a r t d t e o y M o n o p o l e v e l o p t h e i r
o w n r e f s e t r a m i t a r h s u s e .

Commi Membe Jacoloni s o m m e n t a d r e e f e e s e a n d a r d s a h a n d l e ,
u n l e s s u s a i n g e a r n e e f e s t a n d a r d s e n o t e p r o d u c e s i u b l t e s .

PubCómmeIntCómmeInt

Jos Epla Mr.: E v a n g g e s s u l d t h r a t t a s a c t o m g t n i a f r i e j d u a n a t e s t i
l a b o r s a t o u r i y h l e a n g s i a g e w h e r e .

Aar Bil My.: R s t a y e a d t h e a n n a b i r a o i e n t r e g a t a t a i v a n s a b l e
b y h i r d v e p r a d o t r y s .

Servi no Me g u S i e g a u d i r e a t t h e a l t e r a t e s t e a n d a r d s r a o n a i n y a b l e
d i f f a r e u n f a t t h a n y e o t s ; p e r w i t h e s p e c t t h e o f u n a Q c e r t i f i e d
l a N o a l r l e f e s t e a n d a n a d d e q u a l h e a r r e e v e n d i c f o p r a e m i t t a k e h a t
r e f e s t e a n d a w h s t r e l s a t e r d e w i p t e h e t a c h o t h i e l d b h e o e x a c t s a m
a b s o r t b l a s t c o u s e d e n .

Ti Morl Mrd: M o s l u g g e s t h a d r e d s t o o m e e f e s t e a n d a r d s .

O t h e r i v i s s g o i o m g a h t u e p e r o b i l t e m e a r k e t .

Suns Lieme Mol: e n c h o e t o t o h e d r c o m m e n t a t e s p w h o e a d h a v i n g
s i n g d f e e s t e a n d a e r n d c o S u h e C g e n d m t t h e o e k l a d i s i n a p l a f t r e a t i n g
a s t a t e e s t e a n d a r d .

Dan Ce h Mr.: C i r a n d e c a t t h e d a t h e a s t e e d e i o n t v h e h e f e d i t o f f
t h e p r o t o c p h s t t h e b s e a g r i s t c u t o p s a b r e e t C a r n d i n i n g

But right is his message and it is not about the right or the wrong of the act itself.

Ross Gordon is a member of the Senate and he is a member of the House of Representatives. He is a member of the Senate and he is a member of the House of Representatives. He is a member of the Senate and he is a member of the House of Representatives.

Unknown member of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Unknown member of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Alexis DeAngelis is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives. She is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives. She is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Unknown member of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Deputy Chief of Staff to the Speaker of the House of Representatives. She is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives. She is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Robert was a member of the Senate and House of Representatives. He is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives. He is a member of the Senate and House of Representatives.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
BiDombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
ErHerrata					
AliHuefman					
CathJraincebson					
ArnoldLeff					
KristinLynch					
KristinNevedal					
JonNicchitta					
LaVoPnek					

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

Jam Sweeney

Tamara Todd

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

5. Discussion And Pprrible, Model of Study (Committee
Cultivator and Kri Novendha Subcommitttee
Cultivators)

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Comments

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Committee Nevepradsent uel dti hvat or Spuboponsmed tee's
Recommenda Commsitttoeteh e

Public Comment

Ron Edwards: Edwards suggests that the current age level
to get a license is too high and that it is not a good idea to
very young people to get a license.

Unknown comment: Our comment is to be on the current
regulation of cycles.

Max Mikalonis: Mr. Mikalonis suggests that the current
regulation of cycles is not a good idea.

Emma Snuggs: Emma Snuggs suggests that the current
regulation of cycles is not a good idea.

Unknown comment: Our comment is to be on the current
regulation of cycles.

Robb was a keynote address to the Cultivator Subcommitttee's
Nos. 1 and 2 (with modified clause) passed on an

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	PRESENT	RECUSE
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
BiDombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach					
Teramoto					
ErHerata					
AliHueffman					
CatheJraincrobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joniccchitta					
LaVoPeeck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
Jamsweeney					
TamTordd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Committee Recommendation: The Board of Directors has reviewed the information provided and has recommended that the Board of Directors approve the proposed action.

Committee Comments

Committee Member: The Board of Directors has reviewed the information provided and has recommended that the Board of Directors approve the proposed action.

Committee Member: The Board of Directors has reviewed the information provided and has recommended that the Board of Directors approve the proposed action.

Committee Member: The Board of Directors has reviewed the information provided and has recommended that the Board of Directors approve the proposed action.

Committee Member: The Board of Directors has reviewed the information provided and has recommended that the Board of Directors approve the proposed action.

Crysd'aslo uz a, on tvi fth CDF Ame n h e r e s t a l t a u n t g o u t a g e i n g
l i c e p e s n e e d e s t i g h a c t M w h a s i a a y a e s s i g n t e h e r a n k - a n d - T r a c
l a b e h a s t o t a p p l i t o e p e r o d n u s t e n d e r t h a l t h i r s e e u s n e e n t l y
s t a t a u t o h r y r i z a t i t g r o f b e r t l p i e c e d y u p e v s e n l i i f c a h n o s l e d e s a a n d
M l i c e n s t e h e O h n s l a f f n i c k e d s a g n a r t M, d i h e s e a a u t t l o f r o y i t y
t h t a d b w i t t c o d a i d f l e i r e n t p e .
C o m m i M e m b W o l i s e y u i r e d R r e e g o a n n e i n d g a t i j o v i h y o s e
r e c o m m e n d a t c i a o n t a d a b l a t e k i d s a c t i r u s t r i d n o y v e o r f s v i g c h e v e d l
t h e r o t e c t i o n b o e b r y o g l a r v i i e s e w i a t n l C a h a i r M e m b N e v e d a l
r e s p o n d e h e g a h d o f t e s t i m o n i y w o t s h e a c t t i p a m s i v e m e r a o l y
a n d e x p t e n s u l v e i v a r t o t e s q u t h o l a r i v e d e o s u r a v e d i a l l y a n t e e m s
o n t h e i r c u l t r i y v l a t a s l o u n z s a i, c e t v i f t h C D F A m e n t h a t h e a r e
n o s e c r u e r q u i t i y r f e o m e m u l s t l i v a o v i r d s e, o a r t e q u i r e m e n t s .

P u b C o m m e n t 2 n t C o m m e n t

V i r G r i d M r . : G r a d t c a A a r d M a t h o e u l e d r i v i t t h e u l t i l v i t a s t o r s .
t h e a m e r o d l u p u t t i a d s u t e r e s s f o m a c h u e l i t a i l v l a y t t o h r e b y e v h a u t l o d e
c a t r w o y s t e m s i t t h e o g r e o s v a t p r e o d l u s c h t o . u d e b e r m i r r e e d e a n d t h e
G r e g o r y M e M e u M e g e n u r i a n h i c h o l i s v a o t t o d h t a v a e d b e t i w e y e n
m e d i a c n a d l a d a l t u l s e v a d n i b j n f . f T e h r e n A a r b e p M v o e d e n t h e
a s s o c i a t e d t a x e s p e o v i d i t s M r c e . M e g e a u t r i a n t i h n e d i c a t e d t h a
d e t e r m i n a t i b o n a s h a t h e b g i n o f i t s h e p h y i n b e h e a a b e t h y
p r o d u c t u l d r e s a n a v i h r e t t h e r a i n t e s i g n m e d i b a d l u l s t e .
R o n E d w a r d M r d s E d w a r d s h a n t l i n e a t l e d y e l m a t h s e h r o e r t i a g e v s e . r y
i m p o r t a n t , a p h e u t r i s c a u l y a h a . k t h a t s t i f t h a i t f i n e o o r i w e t l d .
M r . E d w a r d s s u g g r e u s t s e e d a t l e a s t w e d t o h e r i a m s p o n p r o d u c t , a s
a l l u n e d t h e o r i g r i e g a u l l a t i o n s .
G r e g M e M r o f M e n c o f f i n e d o p a s t e C h a n t m i t t e p e n e d i e c a l
c o n c e a s s i d e t a n d h e n p d a i r s a c t s e n a c i o n g t a l s e s i o n g r o u p s .
R o n J o h n M s J o h n s o n c o m m e n t e d o n R e c o m m e n d a t i o n N o . 1 1 . N o t
d i s t r i b u e t c i o m m e n t e H e l d t i v a t b o w a d t o s a e n d f i - s t t i p a e s u p e r t
R e c o m m e n d a t i o n N o . 1 1 .
R a n d y M r z e L e m r o z m m e n t e d o n R e c o m m e n d a t i o n N o . 1 1 . H e
C o m m i t t e e u l d o c r l e a r a i t h e y h e l d e l m o l t i e C o m m i t t e e o ' n s m e n d a t i o n
a l l o w s f u l l - b l o w a d i o s r t e r t i a b i u l t i e l d e a h n i t e t e e ' s
r e c o m m e n d a t i o n r e f r a t i n g e a r t a g t a r e e k u 5 f o e t a r g a n t s p l o e t
i t e m s l i m a t n e d d A , O m r w a z n t e o d i s t e r s o u n g p o f r a t s m u c h a s l u i d i t y
p o s s i b l e t v e e r A M a n d
R o s s G o M r o G o r d o n i n d i t h a r t e s q u t l e a n t s f e A a n d M e n
s t a k e a o d e d r e s r n e d o n a n d a b r e k h a o s o w i e t r h i u p p o n e k e t s
a n d u n d e i r s o p p e y s r i g e t a p e e r i o d t h a t u l l s a s t l s o t w i n i g s f e r s
b e t w e e n w h i s s e n t o e n d e o r M r n a l s o s u p p a n t s o p e n t a k i s i g b l e .
S u s T a n b b M s n . : T i b b o n c o m m e n t e d a n d h y a u t t i v a C o m m i t t e e
s h o u l d k e e p f i o n r m g u n d a t h r a s t a n d f a a h e o m e k r i s n g t a n t u s p 5 0 e r 0 0 a n d
h o u r t o p i t e r k a u n s a p o e l t i i y a e a t n o t h e t o d o p t S i h e r o m m e n t e d t h a t

AdditGoommailC d rere 4 C o m m e n t s

Commi Mem b Huffma n e s t i o n h e l d w h y s i n g t h e A e a r t d M s h a v e d i s t i n c t i a d a t b e r i d n g v e l .

Commi Mem b Bul b u d y n a m e n t l e o v i s c a n s o l i M a n d A n o f i f t h e w h o M a n A d t h i w a g n t e r s u p p l y e y l d b r e o u t e d b a s e d m a r c o n s u m e w i t h a w t t g g e v s h a t n e a r k e m a i n s d g o i n g t o b e .

Commi Mem b Ne v e d a l h m e n t o e n d t y d i e f x d r e e n e a e n d M i t s h e o t e x c t i a x e , a b a u t w h o t h o s t d s t a e d i s t e d i p g t y h e t e a t l e o s a l l a e n d u s t e a x e n s t p r a d u c t a n A d b i s c o n s t i t u t i o n e , d i c a l u o s r a e d u l t s u b j e c t u d t i v a t h i e o p e a r o d e t i n s e .

Commi Mem b Ne v e a l l a s o c o m m u n i t i e s e s d i o n m a l l t o h e u h g i v a t i o n s e c u t r o i s t e y t h e o j a r i s d i c t i o n a n d m u n i c i p a l i t y .

R o b h u d w a s a k t e h e o t i o n t C u a d o p t a t o r S e b o m m e n t a t e , e o n s N o 7 a n d 1 1 p a s s e v b o 7 6 c a m m i o n e a z e e r s a b s t a i n e d .

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bi D d m b r o w s k i					
Je F e r r o					
Kristin Heidelbach-					
Teramoto					
Er H e r a t a					
Al i H u e f f m a n					
Cat h e J r a i n e b s o n					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jo n i c c h i t t a					
La V o i p e e k					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
Ja m s w e e n e y					
T a m T o d d					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Commi Mem b Bul b u r h y t a i n o n e C d f r o m i t t h e a f e c o m m e n d a t i o n t o a m e n t A e a n d M d e s i g n a t t i h e a c a o n m s e u r b c h a l t e i g o i n s h e a f t o l l r e e

ne Commi Me mb S we e s e y o n h e o d i A o f n t . e r
Commi d it s e c u s s i o t r i m a g l a p r h e i v i g e t o e n m i C o m m i M e m b e r
B u l b u t l a y a l n e d h i s m o t i o n .

6. D i s c u s s i o n A n d P a p p r i b l e , M o d j i f o s t u b h e o m m i n t t e e
D i s t r i b u t o r s ' R e a d i n s h e m d y a i n o r C h a i r o n S u b c o m m i t t e e
D i s t r i b u t o r s)

Commi Me mb B u l b u p r y a s n e n D i e s t r i b u t o r S u b c o m m i t t e e ' s p
r e c o m m e n d a C o m m i s t t o e t e h e

Commi C o m m e n t s

Commi Me mb W o l s e y m e n t e d o n R e c o m m e n d a t i o n o a t 2 , o n
i f a d i d i a d e a l w o o h s l i v e t t e t a s u l t s .

Commi Me mb B u l b u l y s a p o n d e d w h e h t e s t e l s t a s n u f i a c t u r e r
a f f i d e n t a t c h , o f n h a t e a d g s h p e r o o y b u r c s t e h a l c i k t h e
m a n u f a c t u r e r , i b h t a b a b e a l n v i f t h e t s h u d t s .

Commi Me mb E r m a k e s t i o h a l d e d i s h e i b u t a d n w o a f f d i b e
w o u l d u p t r a e n u f a t a b e h r e s t o i t .

Commi Me mb B u l b u d y a m e n t a e d d i f l i a d i s a l t e t n d e f l i x e d o v e r
t h e m a n u f a c t u r e r s l a b e l .

Commi Me mb B u l b u h o y t a i n o r C o d m i t t a d e a p 4 r e g u l a t o r y
r e c o m m e n d a t i o n M e m b S w e e s e y o n h e o d i o n .

P u b C o m m e n t

T i M o r l a M r d : M o r e k a p m e s u p o r t h i s e t r i b u t o r e s t a b l i s h e d u g t s
w i t h c o t r e n s e t s u h w i t p l l a t h e i s p i r o t d o t m a r k e a i l

T y r F r e e m a n : F r e e m a n s d i g g e s i t h a t t h e a r s t m o a u l t h o r i t y
w i t h l i s e u p p h y i n , r e g a o d i f s c a t n m a b i s .

S u s T i n b b m s . : T i b b o n c o m m e n t s e a d m p h a s n , l y g a p d i t i g e n t s t o
o u a t b o t u e f f e c t i v e r e o e s b o t r f y a s a g n p l n e t . o f n h e r a n s i t i o n p e r i
s h a g r e h i a t h o u e d b e i n d e d t o c k i n o t e r a n s a o l l h a . A t h e y e d y n
t a x e d a n d l i c e a n s l e c t e s e s t h e u n . d b e

G r e g o r y M e g r e u M e g r o m m a n n R e c o m m e n d a t i o n N o e 1 r e g a
s a m p l i n g e t f s o a n d e r a d w h a s e s u p p o a r t i e n g h i i s g l o c a l
v e t e r a n s e g h e v o u s t a m p l e s a n d t h e i p a i r e g s h a f o r t u n a t e , h e
t h e w i t h t h e a i n d F T S D y ' e v e n d u r i n g t h o p r o b l e m s h e o u d t o b e
c a n n a a k i e s y o i s h l l o w e t n e g r o a e s p r e e s n o t h o n s h a e n d t l e M r .

M e g e u e r x i p a n e d e s t i b r a b t e p r o v e a n n a b e t s e t r o a n s h e e t a u s a n
s u i c i d e g o i n g t h r e o o f h

V e r L a e v e t t M S h s e y t t e C r a m e n y t e d o n R e c o m m e n d a t i o n N o .
r e g u l a t i o n 5 3 0 1 a l t p o w s s i t o r d i g e t r o f i d e n t o i r y c e n s e e
d e s i g n a t i o n e t a x i c h e r t v s e t M s e C a u s e s y t i e a m e l n y d m e a t d d
r e t a i l d i s t o n h t h i s r e c o m m e n d a t i o n .

M a x M i k a l o n i s : M r . M i k a l o n i s r e x p o m e s n e d a a p p n e s c r i e a t a i t
t r a n s i t i o n p e m i e e d l e x t r e m e s l i a o b n e d t a l m e g a f b o i r n o o r d s T H C n d , l a
b e l t i h a a f t l o w i n g s a m p l e s o n i f r e a c h m a p a t h w a y c o m p a s s i o n .

Steph Hoppell: Hoppell is a member of the...
important to get their products and help the...
Alex Zaid: Zaid is a member of the...
only to get their products and help the...
safely, in the...
secluded in the...
trucks seal the...
Mark Whitlow: Mr. Whitlow is a member of the...
stated that the...
Jacob Misk: Misk is a member of the...
going to...
Kathleen: Kathleen is a member of the...
should not be...

Additional Comments
Committee...
Committee...
The committee...
Assistant...
information...
it, but...
clarity...

Robert...
Nos. 1, 2, 3...

Additional Comments

Committee...
determine...
requirements.

Committee...
complicated.

The committee...
information...
Assistant...
testimony...
manufacture...
information...
it, but...
clarity...

Robert...
Nos. 1, 2, 3...

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timm Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach					
Teramoto					
Erin Herra					
Ali Huffman					
Catherine Johnson					

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Jonicchitta

LaVoie

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

Jamesweeney

Tamara

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

Chairman of the Board of Directors, Community Health Center, Inc. (CHC) is pleased to announce the following Board members for the 2015-2016 term. The Board will meet on Tuesday, August 11, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.

7. Discussion and Approval, Resolution of the Board of Directors, Chairman Robert

Community Health Center, Inc. Board of Directors is pleased to announce the following Board members for the 2015-2016 term. The Board will meet on Tuesday, August 11, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.

Community Health Center

Community Health Center Board of Directors is pleased to announce the following Board members for the 2015-2016 term. The Board will meet on Tuesday, August 11, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.

Public Comments

Zachary Pitts: I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs. I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs.

Dr. Lynn Silver: I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs. I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs.

Max Mikalonis: Mr. Mikalonis would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs. I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs.

Chris Hovell: I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs. I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs.

Joselyn: I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs. I would like to see the Board of Directors consider the use of evidence-based practices to improve the quality of care and reduce costs.

licensees need to be held to the same standards whether they are a real estate agent or a mobile business.

Ryan M. Miles, the Council's chief executive, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Ross Gordon, a commission member, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Joan L. Miles, a commission member, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Dan Ghisler, a commission member, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Paul Hansberry, a commission member, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Genevieve Todd, a commission member, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Unknown, a commission member, said the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one and that the commission's decision was a "compassionate" one.

Robb was a motion picture producer. Subsequent to the passage of the ordinance, members abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	SENT	RECU	SA
Avis Bulbulyan						
Timmen Cermak						
Matt Clifford						
BiDombrowski						
Jefferro						
Kristin Heidelbach-						
Teramoto						
ErHerata						
AliHueffman						
CatheJraicneebson						
ArnoldLeff						
KristinLynch						
KristinNevedal						
Joniccchitta						
LaVoPnek						
MattRahn						
KeithStephenson						
Jamsweeney						
TamTrodd						
HelenaWilliams						
DavidWoolsey						

Jacob Misk.: Subcommittee on Recommendation dated November 16, 2011. I recommend that the committee provide oversight and control under state and federal law.

Unknown member: The committee was requested to provide a recommendation on the issue of whether the state should regulate the use of prescription drugs.

Stephanie: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Paula: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Ralph: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

John: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Barbara: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Additional Comments

Charles: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Vice-Chair: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Christina Dempsey: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Vice-Chair: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

Robert: The committee should consider the impact of the proposed regulation on the health care industry and the general public. The committee should also consider the impact of the regulation on the state's economy.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					

Bi D d m b r o w s k i

J e F é r r o

K r i s t i n H e i d e l b a c h -

T e r a m o t o

E r H é r a t a

A l i H u e f f m a n

C a t h e J r a i c n e b s o n

A r n o l d L e f f

K r i s t i n L y n c h

K r i s t i n N e v e d a l

J o n i c c h i t t a

L a V o i P e e k

M a t t R a h n

K e i t h S t e p h e n s o n

J a m s w e e n e y

T a m T r o d d

H e l e n a W i l l i a m s

D a v i d W o o l s e y

B e n W u

B e v e r l y Y u

V i c e - C h a n c e l o r T o n a d e t p e n f o r S e c r e t M m i t t e e ' s R e c o m m e n d
C o m m i M e m b s w e e n e y o n t h e d i o n .

C o m m i C o m m e n t s

P u b C o m m e n t s

R o b a l d w a s a k t e h e o t i o n t t o E n d f o r t c e m e n t S u b c o m m i t t e e ' s
N o . 5 f a i l e d o n e a n 25 C M e m b e r s a b s t a i n e d .

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	SENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bi D d m b r o w s k i					
J e F é r r o					
K r i s t i n H e i d e l b a c h -					
T e r a m o t o					
E r H é r a t a					
A l i H u e f f m a n					
C a t h e J r a i c n e b s o n					

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Jonicchitta

LaVoie

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

Jamesweeney

Tamara Todd

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

Vice-Chair to the Enforcement Subcommittee
Recommendation 2, in Section 9 Members we see you on that list.

Public Comments

Ms. Feinberg expressed concern for the suspension of the
She expressed her concerns to the board to support the
Ms. Feinberg said she was not in compliance with

Mr. Grid: Grid said that the suspension of the
in the absence of the court's decision, it is important that
He indicated that the suspension of the "good-faith"
compliance is not for the moment

Mr. Anderson: Mr. Anderson said that the people
set the sign up that says that 'it is a business'.
needs to be taken care of the issues and the law is in
Colorado did.

Ms. Mergner: Ms. Mergner said that she indicated
that the unlicensed operator is a man
labor laws, only a part of the community. Such
bad a part of the operation is a man's work, which
is where the operator is doing the work

Kathleen: Kathleen said that the regulated market
She said that the committee should be a ban on the
manufacturer is a good idea

Ms. Baird: Ms. Baird said that she would like to
doing the work of the operator is a man's work
shops that are often found in

Ms. Kaloni: Ms. Kaloni said that she would like to
medical marijuana is a part of the community
violence and the use of the gun is a part of the
cannabis is a part of the community, it is a part of the

Robbald was a keynote presentation to the Enforcement Subcommittee's No. 2, 8, (with modifications) on 8/10/01.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	SENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Erin Herata					
Ali Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jon Nicchitta					
Laura Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamara Todd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Vice-Chairman James Sweeney on the Commission.

Committee Comments

Committee Chairman Bulbulyan highlighted the fact that the Commission is behind in its work and the Commission's recommendation, stating that the Commission's recommendation is not a recommendation, but a statement of fact. Committee Chairman Bulbulyan stated that the Commission's recommendation is not a recommendation, but a statement of fact. Committee Chairman Bulbulyan stated that the Commission's recommendation is not a recommendation, but a statement of fact.

Public Comments

Unknown comment directed to attendees' a meeting held
 revolved around the same issue in a meeting held
 through the committee in the meeting held
 put together in the meeting held
 following the meeting held.

Virginia: Grammatical error in the proposal
 Miss Anderson's rights for the committee
 equitably in the meeting held
 but they do not return the meeting held.
 did.

I was not at the meeting held
 Robert was a keynote speaker at the meeting held
 No. 7 failed to meet the meeting held.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	SENT	RECUSE
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-					
Teramoto					
Eric Hiraata					
Ali Huffman					
Catherine Johnson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jon Nicchitta					
LaVone Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamara Todd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Vice-Chairman's report on the meeting held
 Committee member's report on the meeting held.

Committee report

Comm Member Nevada Department of Health and Human Services regarding the implementation of the new health care reform measures. The committee will hold a public hearing on the proposed regulations on the following dates:

Public Meeting Comments

Susan B. Mason: Tibboni and the Raton National Forest. I have many years of experience in the field of environmental protection, and I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Ralph Huebner: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Ross Gordon: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Max Mikalonis: Mr. Mikalonis is a very concerned citizen. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Jimmie Dick: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Unknown Commenter: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Chris Ent: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Timothy: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Paul Hansberry: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Joshua Jenkins: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Virginia: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Unknown Commenter: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

Robb: The Raton National Forest is a very important part of our state's natural heritage. I am very concerned about the proposed changes to the Raton National Forest.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					

Kristin Heidelbach -

Teramoto

Er Herata

Ali Huefman

Catherine Johnson

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Jonicchitta

LaVon Peck

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

Jamesweeney

Tamara Todd

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

9. Discussion and Approval, Modification of Student Health Committee's Recommended Actions, (Chairman, Subcommittee Health)

Committee member makes presentation and Youth Subcommittee recommends to the

Committee action on the proposed Youth Subcommittee's Recommendations. Committee member Jamesweeney

Committee Comments

Committee member Yasuki Committee member would agreeable for the recommendation to the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services. Committee member accepted the recommendation.

Public Comments

Sustained: Tibbon Coonrod to be eliminated against people and/or students - examination of fees implementation of the description of all Max Mikaloni: Mr. Mikaloni signed a letter to the compassionate care center in the hospital that those are the only ones who are supposed to be the recommendation, participation in the hospital's recommendation is quite important.

J i m d i c a l t e d i s t c o a v e s r o e p l e t o p a t a l t d i s g u a n d s p e n e p h e
 s o m e w - i p r o g r a a n t s i a l k e o s f i m o s e n e i f d i i t f s a g e m d i e s t h a n d o u t
 m a d e i m o v a n a s t a a a l l i t . i s m p o t h a l t e c t o r b ' e s u r f d f e t i h e e n s t e
 p r o g r a m s .

R a l P h u e b M o o d r u e b l o t o l i a i t m c o i m m i t t e d e l e t h r e e d t h e a f t i s
 m e d i g i n e s t p e o l p h e c o m m i w a u e l e d d o e i v a t y t p o w e s a u r e
 t h t a l g y n e d i a s i n e a n d y h e a p l p o s s i b l e .

I w a s n o t c o m m i a m e m b e r s J a c C b s o l i e a f i n d e e t i n g , a n d q u o r u
 w a s a i n t a i c a e l l w a s t a k e m o t h e n t t d p u b l i c h e a l t h a n d Y o u t h
 S u b c o m m i t t e e ' s R e c o m m e n d a t i o n s 7 N o s 2 e (d w 5 t 0 m o t d e f i

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAI	MBS	SENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan						
Timmen Cermak						
Matt Clifford						
Bi D d m b r o w s k i						
J e f f e r r o						
Kristin Heidebach-						
Teramoto						
Er H i r a t a						
Al i H u e f f m a n						
Cat h e J r a i c n e b s o n						
Arnold Leff						
Kristin Lynch						
Kristin Nevedal						
J o n i c c h i t t a						
L a V o i p r e c k						
Matt Rahn						
Keith Stephenson						
J a m s w e e n e y						
T a m T r o d d						
Helena Williams						
David Woolsey						
Ben Wu						
Beverly Yu						

C o m m i M e m b e r m a k t i o n c o m m i t t e e d e p u b l i c h e a l t h a n d Y o u t h
 S u b c o m m i t t e e ' s R e c o m m e n d a t i o n s 4 , d 5 a n d 6 . M e m b e r s w e e n e y
 s e c o n d o t i o n .

Ali Hueffman
 Catherine Johnson
 Arnold Leff
 Kristin Lynch
 Kristin Nevedal
 Jon Nicchitta
 LaVonne Peck
 Matt Rahn
 Keith Stephenson
 James Sweeney
 Tamara Todd
 Helena Williams
 David Woolsey
 Ben Wu
 Beverly Yu

Committee on Children, Youth and Families
 Subcommittee's Recommendation
 Sweeney

Committee Comments

Public Comments

Robert was a keynote speaker at the Public Health and Youth Subcommittee
 Recommendation on November 8, 2012. Members abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Erin Herata					
Ali Hueffman					
Catherine Johnson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jon Nicchitta					

LaVoie

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

JamSweeney

TamTrodd

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

Commi Member mack i on Ceo d m h t a d e P u b l i c a n d Y o u t h
Subcommittee's Recommendation on C o m b i M e m b e r
Sweeney

Commi C o m m e n t s

Commi Member mack m m e n t e d i n n 2 0 2 1 M e d i a i n e n o m m e h a l e d
to avoid a d a e r t o l o s t l o g t h o l u p t o 2 0 , t h a p e r a e u p t a g 8 5
w a s e a n d , t h a d y m e a o n t h a t t h o l g h a t s e a m h i n g h o t d s , s i n c e
Commi it t e a d k o w a t g c h i s e a g s h o w s , t h e a d m i n g a z i n t h e s a y t h a t
i n t e r e s t e d i t h e p p r e a e n e w i t h i g s h i i n t e g o d u l g t o s i f n o g a s m a n y
p e o p h e a s w a n .

P u b C o m m e n t s

R o b a d w a s a k t h e m o t i o n t o P u b l i c a n d Y o u t h S u b c o m m i t t e e
R e c o m m e n d a p a s o n e N o o n a t e 8 - 1 5 m i M e m b e r s a b s t a i n e d .

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
BiDombrowski					
JeFerro					
Kristin Heidelbach-					
Teramoto					
ErHerrata					
AliHueffman					
CatHeJraicneebson					
ArnoldLeff					
KristinLynch					
KristinNevedal					
JonNicchitta					
LaVoie					

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

Jamesweeney

Tamara Todd

Helena Williams

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

1 Discussion and Appendix, Modified Subcommittee Recommendations (James Sweeney, Equity Subcommittee), Subcommittee

Committee members present at the committee's proposed recommendations to the Board of Directors. The committee's proposed recommendations to the Board of Directors are as follows: The Equity Subcommittee's proposed recommendations are as follows:

Committee Comments

Committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Vice-Chairman noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt. The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Chairman noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt. The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Committee member commented on recommendations relating to quiet in program and bank. The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Committee member noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt. The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Public Comments

Mr. Grid: The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt. The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Ms. Pac: The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt. The committee members noted that the recommendations would provide for communities such as Humboldt.

Mar 17, 2017
Ms. F. G. Ingram, the author of the book "The Heart of the Matter" is a leading expert on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She has written several books on the subject and is a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Malcolm X was a prominent leader of the Nation of Islam, a religious and political organization. He was known for his powerful speeches and his leadership of the organization. He was assassinated in 1969.

Joseph P. Kamp, a former member of the U.S. House of Representatives, is a prominent conservative politician. He served in the House from 1983 to 1991. He is currently a member of the U.S. Senate.

Sarah Armstrong is a prominent author and journalist. She has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Unknown comment: The author of the book "The Heart of the Matter" is a leading expert on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She has written several books on the subject and is a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Victor R. Vasquez is a prominent author and journalist. He has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. He is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. He is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Lynne M. S. is a prominent author and journalist. She has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Alex Z. is a prominent author and journalist. He has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. He is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. He is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Ada M. is a prominent author and journalist. She has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Greg M. is a prominent author and journalist. He has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. He is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. He is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Ross M. is a prominent author and journalist. He has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. He is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. He is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Timothy M. is a prominent author and journalist. He has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. He is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. He is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Myra D. is a prominent author and journalist. She has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Unknown comment: The author of the book "The Heart of the Matter" is a leading expert on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She has written several books on the subject and is a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Sheila M. is a prominent author and journalist. She has written several books on the subject of the heart and its relationship to the brain and the body. She is also a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars. She is also a member of the American Heart Association and the American Psychological Association.

Ryan Mitchell, M.D., is a board member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System.

Unknown member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System.

Warrior, a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System.

Barbara McCombs, a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System. She is also a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System.

James Edwards, a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System.

I was not a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System, and quorum was not maintained. The Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System has recommended that the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System should be dissolved.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	MBSENT	RECUSA
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro					
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Erin Herata					
Ali Hueffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Jon Nicchitta					
Laura Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
Jamesweeney					
Tamara Todd					
Helena Williams					
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Committee members were notified of the meeting and the meeting was held. The Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System has recommended that the Board of Directors of the University of Michigan Health System should be dissolved.

Charhmo te a g h a d a m s 81 00, h r e c b m m e n d a t t h e s e b s o f m m i n t t e e s
o n l i c e n s i n g A p p l i c a t i o n , M a n u f a c t u r e r s o f i t s o g b a e n d M i c r o
c o n t i n u e d c a t m m i n t e t e i n g .
C o m m i M e m b B u l b u s y g a g e s t i n s t t h a d t o f i s c u s s i t n g o t i e d n
r e g a M a i n r d g A d e s i g a r g a t e i e d n t , o h a e l l o w B U h a i a m B a l a f f i n g e t h e r
o n t n o e t i o n t o b a e d f o r h a e s n t i e t t i n m g C o m m i M e m b B u l b u l y a n
a s k t e h a f t , t d u i e m i t a i t s i h a t s d l i e d p m e n e t t o i t n g e d d e a d n a s
a g e n d a m l a n e m e t i n g .

1 P. u b C o m m e n t t o e m s N o A g e n t d a e

P u b C o m m e n t C o m m e n t s

S u s t i n b b m s n . : T i b b o n i n d i a c a x t t e r d e t i n s a t t y t h a i t e r d o b u s i n e s s e s
n o s t e t m b f e m m e d c i o a n t c e e r n k e l e p r i a n s d e t h s e t a s o m s w a t h s s e e d s .

M s . S u b s c r i b e c k r e t h a t t h e c o m m i t t e e t e h e a r s n u b c o m m i t a k e e
i o n a w h o t l e d i s c e u s e s r i t c h e e n s a r r e t g e r a n t d o s r t y a t s u t o e s y w i t h t h e
e v e o r t g a h i z e n s e .

U n k n o w n c o m m e n t e r p r e s e n t e r g a t d i e n n g i g r o a m t u t n h i t a y s
b e e n f u t a g a i n , t h e o r s e n t e y o f s l e g i s l a t i v m i o t r t s e o m t e o t h e l n g
p r o p e o p h a t i e n h i s c l o u g t h r y a n t o m e d i t h a t t e o p a r t i n c i p a t e
t h i s o m i i m g u s t r y .

U n k n o w n c o m m e n t e r d i r c a t t h e w y t h e a t t w a i r s e h a f a d i l i s t i n d e a r e
c o n s i d e r a t i o n s . B u t r a d f t s i o o a n f e a q u i l p m e i n e t s u a s r i c h g n o n v o l
v o l f t o i r l m s o f e x t r a a v a i o l n a b s l h e o . u l d b e

J i d i i c o m m e n t t e h e n a l d C u p a n d e v e i n t p s o H i n k a s a t m e s e s ,
i i t s h e i r l w h v o e l l e i h o o n a , k r e o f p r e a s e n t s .

B e t s y K a b a k a e r a c k d e s m e n t h a t t h e c o m m i t t e e t a k e t o c o n s i d e r a t i o
c r a f t l u a s t w h y a s v e a s i n d i e n i t d e a p r e a n t e u r y t s e w h t o s e p a c e .

T h e u r d e i n s t a e r r e s e .

U n k n o w n c o m m e n t e r C a s k r a i d t t h e e n s i d e s r u s s i o n g g a a s z e
j o b a h a o t n g e a y .

M a t t h e w : t h e w c o m m i t t e e g l t h u a t a v e d i m a l l e e r s a n d a t k e p r i s c a l
t e t s t h e i r p r a d i c a t t h e t r e t s t h a i n r c o o n c e a n n h a i b g e s a n t c e n t r a t i o n .

P a u l H a n s b : M r r y H a n s o m m e n t h e d e t f l i e d c u m e n s e h a t t l e d
" M i c r o b u s i n e s s . "

T i m o t h y M r l . l A e n l e n e x i p t r e s e s t i e d i l l e a n t m i d o r e s d i d e r f r o s i t y
t h i i s d u s t h e a r g e o i t n o g b o m p a n h e s h a r t t i n g e x c e d u r e n c e , t h a t
e n t r e p w i e l n e i u r s r i t i e s , a w i l d h a s t e i n c a l t i l h y e n e e d u s t r y .

U n k n o w n c o m m e n t e r d i r c a f t a s d m a h a l t b u s i a n e e s s a k l i t c h e n t o m a k
t o p i c a a l s e o n t a m p l e a c l e A ' i s n z o n i n g a n a e p o s t h e s l p l o t s . T h e
c o m m e n t l e r d o m m i t t e e r e s t i h a e t r d a b e z u o t n i s g n a d b u s i n e s s e s .

R a c h e a l c h o m m e n t t e e d s t f i a n t i g l i e s i t e s n a t e o n f u d l e m p l i a n c e
t e s b e i b g e t w e e n \$ 9 0 0 f i n d s a s r e o q u i t o r t e d s e r i y n b a t e c h , t h e
m i n i m u m f i t a a b l e s h a z e o s e l a h i g w i l e q u i o n s i d e a p a b l e l a d
i n v e s i t m e n t a t t h e u r . d e t h i e n g f o n s u m e r - g p a o v i s t a g e s d i c h h i g h t e s
c o s t s n a v i c a l n p a r b o d s l e s s a c c e s s i b l e .

Joshua J. Minkins commented that BUS is a small POB if
stated quarterly at least then to be a small business for the
Bureau of Cannabis Control. He thought this is an acceptable
treatment for veteran-owned businesses.
Unknown comment left to be shared with the great
recommendations.

1 2A dj our r6me3nl t P M

EXHIBIT C

Cannabis Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes – July 19, 2018
Hilton San Diego Mission Valley, Cortez Ballroom
901 Camino Del Rio South, San Diego

Members Present (16):

Timmen Cermak
Matt Clifford
Jeff Ferro
Kristin Heidelberg-Teramoto
Eric Hirata
Arnold Leff
Kristin Lynch
Kristin Nevedal
Joe Nicchitta
LaVonne Peck
Matt Rahn
James Sweeney
Tamar Todd
David Woolsey
Ben Wu
Beverly Yu

Members Absent (6)

Avis Bulbulyan
Bill Dombrowski
Alice Huffman
Catherine Jacobson
Keith Stephenson
Helena Williams

Bureau of Cannabis Control (Bureau) Executive Staff Present

Lori Ajax – Bureau Chief
Melanie V. Ramil – Deputy Bureau Chief
Tamara Colson – Assistant Chief Counsel
Andre Jones – Assistant Chief of External and Intergovernmental Affairs
Alex Traverso — Assistant Chief of Communications

Minutes Taken By

Kaila Fayne, Staff Services Analyst, Bureau of Cannabis Control

1. Welcome, Call to Order, and Establishment of a Quorum (Matt Rahn, Chair, Cannabis Advisory Committee)

Matt Rahn, Cannabis Advisory Committee (Committee) Chair, called the meeting to order. Meeting official start time noted as 10:04 AM.

Roll was taken, 16 Committee members were present. Quorum was established.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

2. Welcome Remarks (Lori Ajax, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Cannabis Control)

Chief Ajax thanked the public and the Committee members for attending today and noted that the meeting will have two informational panels. Chief Ajax also stated that all three licensing authorities have released their proposed regulations and that each licensing authority will be holding public regulation hearings devoted to hearing and listening to public comments.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

3. Review and Approval of May 17, 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

The Committee reviewed the May 17, 2018 draft minutes.

Committee Comment: 3 Comments

Committee Member Peck motioned to the Committee to approve and adopt the May 17, 2018 draft minutes as presented. **Committee Member Sweeney** seconded the motion.

Committee Member Ferro suggested that since **Committee Member Sweeney** was not at the May 17 meeting, one of the committee members that was present be the second. **Chair Rahn** responded that it is typically not a problem as long as the committee member has read the draft minutes. **Committee Member Sweeney** stated that he has read the draft minutes.

Committee Member Cermak suggested that an addendum be added to future minutes that references the subcommittees' recommendations. Since the minutes only refer to the recommendations by number, members do not know what the recommendations are. **Committee Member Leff** agreed with **Committee Member Cermak** and stated that unless members know what "recommendation 1" is, the minutes are not very useful.

Public Comment: 2 Comments

Susan Tibbon: Ms. Tibbon expressed concern that the Bureau did not refer to the May 17 meeting minutes prior to releasing the readopted regulations. She suggested that a new “home business” license be created for small businesses and legacy farmers to enter the legal market.

Paul Hansberry: Mr. Hansberry agreed with Ms. Tibbon’s statements and added the he had hoped the issues surrounding microbusinesses would have been added to today’s agenda. He also expressed concern with the language in the readopted regulations prohibiting cannabis operations in private residences because it hurts communities, such as Mendocino County, where people have been operating from their homes. He also agreed with the suggestion of creating a home business license.

Additional Committee Comment:

Committee Member Sweeney asked, if a mistake was made, would the minutes be corrected before the Committee votes.

Chair Rahn responded that Bureau staff will review the minutes to see if an error was made and suggested the motion be modified to approve the minutes as written with an amendment that allows an update to the minutes if it is found that an error was made. **Committee Member Peck** withdrew her motion and suggested tabling the approval of the minutes until after Bureau staff reviews for any errors.

**4. Informational Presentation: “Cannabis Enforcement from the Local Perspective”
(Joe Devlin, Chief of Cannabis Policy and Enforcement, City of Sacramento;
Jonathan Feldman, Legislative Advocate, California Police Chiefs Association)**

Chair Rahn introduced **Jonathan Feldman, Legislative Advocate, California Police Chiefs Association (CPCA)** and **Joe Devlin, Chief of Cannabis Policy and Enforcement, City of Sacramento**. Mr. Feldman and Mr. Devlin gave presentations to the Committee on cannabis enforcement from local government perspective.

Committee Comment: 31 Comments

Committee Member Peck asked if a physical copy of the presentations or a report was going to be made available to the Committee. **Jonathan Feldman** responded that he could make a bullet point report if the Committee wishes.

Committee Member Cermak asked how to go about reframing the idea of a “low level marijuana crime” and stated that non-compliance is not a low-level crime but a tax evasion crime, which is a felony. He also stated that non-compliant businesses take money away from environmental rehabilitation, law enforcement, and funds for youth prevention, education, early intervention and treatment.

Jonathan Feldman responded and agreed with **Committee Member Cermak** that these crimes do need to be reframed from drug crimes to financial crimes and stated that there needs to be appropriate penalties, which will induce more involvement and cooperation with local law enforcement and tax agencies.

Committee Member Cermak responded that these crimes are not just financial, but they also undercut the legal cannabis industry, slowing down its ability to dominate the cannabis market.

Jonathan Feldman agreed and stated the importance of legal cannabis businesses informing local law enforcement about illegal operations in their cities.

Committee Member Sweeney asked how Mr. Devlin's efforts to educate individuals on enforcement and compliance align with efforts to ensure social equity in cities.

Joe Devlin responded that they are still in the process of trying to establish that framework to provide education to the city's equity program. Right now, enforcement is not focused on individuals selling small amounts of cannabis on the street, but more on illegal residential cultivation operations and illegal retail operations.

Jonathan Feldman added that racial and implicit bias training is being given to every officer now, both at the Academy and with current officers. He confirmed to **Committee Member Sweeney** that this is the Racial Identity Profiling Act (RIPA), which has recently been published on the internet and the largest law enforcement agencies in the state are now collecting data on identity, race, gender, and ethnic makeup of everyone they come in contact with. He adds that this is an issue which law enforcement agencies are taking very seriously.

Committee Member Peck asked if there has been an increase in crimes statewide since recreational cannabis has been legalized.

Jonathan Feldman responded that they are not collecting uniform state-wide data on crimes, and that it is hard to definitively say whether crime has increased or decreased statewide. He adds that the CPCA has a task force that is currently looking at trends and will hopefully be able to present recommendations in the next year or so.

Joe Devlin responded that he has not seen an increase in the number of illegal residential cultivation operations or illegal delivery operations and stated that if there was a shift in population from the legal market to the illicit market, it would be younger consumers. He adds that the challenge is how to get these consumers back to the legal market.

Committee Member Ferro thanked both gentlemen for presenting and noted that the Committee and licensing authorities must find a way to get the illicit market off the table. He expressed concern that if legal businesses feel that not enough is being done to stop illegal businesses from operating, they may decide to move back into the black market.

Vice-Chair Todd thanked the presenters for coming and asked what training has been provided by law enforcement in terms of interaction post-Proposition 64 (Prop 64) with cannabis consumers and people working in the now legal cannabis industry.

Jonathan Feldman responded that the Police Officer Standards Testing (POST) is the statewide commission that develops all training for law enforcement and has done some educational cannabis programs following Prop 64. He added that he believes smell of marijuana may not be probable cause to search an individual, but would check. He also stated that he is not certain of the details of the POST training regarding cannabis but can provide an outline to the Committee if they would like. **Vice-Chair Todd** responded that if there has been updates to the training, she would like to see them.

Committee Member Wu asked if there is a formal plan in place to collect data statewide on the issues discussed today. **Jonathan Feldman** responded that he was unaware of any plans to collect statewide data but that there is pending legislation to set up uniform-data collection for DUIs and cannabis-related impairment driving. **Joe Devlin** added that the State may need to help facilitate cooperation between all the organizations and agencies come up with ways to implement data collection statewide.

Committee Member Wu asked if data collection was on the Bureau's priority list. **Bureau Chief Ajax** responded that data collection is a priority and once the Bureau begins to issue annual licenses, licensees will be required to use the State's Track-and-Trace reporting system which will produce data on the movement of cannabis from seed-to-sale. Chief Ajax also stated that there will be more focus on working with tax and other licensing authorities on consolidating all the data together in one place.

Committee Member Nicchitta requested that any documents or notes sent to the Bureau in response to a committee member's question be distributed to the Committee as well and asked Mr. Devlin if there have been efforts to educate consumers on what is legal and what is not. **Joe Devlin** responded that his office did create a website, know the law, to educate the public, but has primarily focused on educating the youth through TV, radio, internet and print materials.

Committee Member Sweeney asked how many licensed testing laboratories are currently in the state. **Bureau Chief Ajax** responded that there are currently thirty-one licensed laboratories.

Committee Member Sweeney asked if there are currently enough licensed testing laboratories to handle the needs of the legal market. **Joe Devlin** responded that there probably was not enough but that ultimately the market will correct itself. He stated that the choke point in the supply chain is around distribution; there are currently not enough statewide distributors, but that the city of Sacramento will continue to issue more local permits for distributors.

Committee Member Cermak asked if illegal operations are the bigger issue or if individuals selling on the street are the bigger issue in terms of non-compliance in the industry. **Joe Devlin** responded that illegal operations and unlicensed businesses such as delivery operations are the biggest issues in non-compliance right now and stated that relaxing some of the barriers for businesses to enter the legal market will help combat the illicit market.

Chair Rahn commented that from a local government perspective, there is no uniform code or ordinance for cities to follow; each jurisdiction tends to have their own way of going about permitting businesses and asked how can cooperation between cities be facilitated to share data and information so that a model ordinance or code can be created? **Joe Devlin** responded that there is a lot of information sharing, but that it's just being done peer-to-peer, and that it is needed because some aspects of compliance and enforcement should be standardized statewide.

Jonathan Feldman added that the CPCA sent out a survey to its members asking for information on how cannabis enforcement was faring in their cities and compiling the information from the responses. He disagreed that there should be a model, statewide ordinance, stating that it would not work for a state like California, that is so diverse.

Chair Rahn commented that it is important to have some uniformity because businesses will operate in numerous jurisdictions and if each jurisdiction has its own rules, it will create confusion for consumers and licensees alike.

Jonathan Feldman commented that data collection is important, but also making sure to have the right people analyzing the data. **Committee Member Cermak** asked if the Committee will be able to see CPCA's survey results when it is finished. **Jonathan Feldman** responded that he would provide the report to the Committee if they wish.

Committee Member Lynch asked if cities like Sacramento have considered a tiered-scale for city business taxes based on the size of the operation. **Joe Devlin** responded that Sacramento has not considered adjusting the city tax that businesses must pay, but may have to in the future for distributors, testing laboratories, and other cannabis businesses that have thinner margins. As to the excise tax, he stated that the sixty percent markup rate could be adjusted and lowered to give

tax relief to licensees. **Jonathan Feldman** agreed with Mr. Devlin's comments and added that enough research has shown that high taxes will incentivize the black market.

Chair Rahn commented that cities that have banned commercial cannabis activity are inflicting self-harm because they are not generating the revenue from taxes needed to fund local enforcement to help combat illegal operations as well as return revenue back to the State to help with adjusting and lowering of tax rates and asked how this issue can be addressed. **Jonathan Feldman** responded that there will need to be money in the state budget to disperse to not just local law enforcement, but code enforcement, utility companies, local permitting authorities, and financial investigators. He noted that this might be difficult in the current political climate due to the controversial nature of legalized cannabis.

Committee Member Woolsey agreed that enforcement is important, but also noted that educating consumers is important and helping them understand that when they pay excise and other taxes, they are being guaranteed safe products that have undergone testing and are labeled and marked properly, which will also help drive more people to the legal, licensed businesses as opposed to the black market.

Committee Member Nevedal noted that the presenters spoke about illegal grow houses being a big issue in many jurisdictions and asked if there have been issues for local law enforcement in determining if someone is illegally operating as a cultivator versus cultivating for personal use.

Joe Devlin responded that, at least in Sacramento, that has not been a problem. Law enforcement is not targeting individuals with seven plants in their homes; the houses and operations they are busting have 700 or 1500 plants inside. He added that the challenge with outdoor cultivation, in urban environments, is the smell and how that might be unpleasant for residents.

Jonathan Feldman added that the best way to help law enforcement is to require legal growers to report on illegal operations. **Joe Devlin** agreed and added that law enforcement uses a variety of ways to determine illegal cultivators, including monitoring electricity consumption of suspected households.

Committee Member Yu asked if Sacramento's zoning and land use requirements have created challenges for businesses trying to enter the legal market. **Joe Devlin** responded that Sacramento set some zoning limits for businesses, such as being at least 600 feet away from parks, which have concentrated available area in Sacramento to two parts of the city. He admitted that the limit to these two parts of the city has created challenges for new businesses wanting to enter the market.

Public Comment: 11 Comments

Susan Tibbon: Ms. Tibbon commented that legacy farmers and small rural communities have few if any job opportunities, and in many ways, are similar to the small communities that are dealing with increased opioid addiction. She stated that enlisting community members to report on unlicensed farmers promotes hopelessness, illegality, suicide, and a drain on rural law enforcement and instead suggested the Bureau create a home business license to help small farmers enter the market.

Paul Hansberry: Mr. Hansberry commented that there are too many barriers for businesses to enter the legal market and suggested that the Bureau focus more on providing incentives for businesses to enter the legal market instead of adding more regulations.

Joshua Jenkins: Mr. Jenkins believes the presentations were not informational and were instead lobbying efforts that continued to push the war on drugs. He added that there will always be people

who break the law, but there are financial laws in place right now to protect from that just like every other business and asked the Committee to ignore the “statistical anomalies” and look at the actual data.

William Parno: Mr. Parno presented data from a San Diego County Marijuana Prevention Initiative study that found the number one reason for youth and county-funded drug treatment programs age 12 to 17 in San Diego County is marijuana. A survey from the San Diego Association of Government stated 91 percent of youth going into juvenile facilities who take the survey test positive for marijuana use. He suggested looking at regional resources when considering funding for enforcement.

John Tibbets: Mr. Tibbets expressed concern regarding the regulations surrounding child-resistant packaging and the challenges with trying to comply with changing requirements.

John Barthal: Mr. Barthal commented that more enforcement is not needed but rather lower taxes and that lower costs means consumers go to the legal industry. He added that some local jurisdictions over taxation or prohibition is hurting the industry and the market needs to be opened and accessible to everyone, especially small businesses.

Anna Foster: Ms. Foster agreed with **Committee Member Lynch’s** statement that high taxes are the biggest barrier for small farmers trying to enter the legal market and supported the idea of a tiered tax scale based on operation size.

Laura Wilkinson: Ms. Wilkinson spoke about the city of Chula Vista’s issues with enforcement and stated that lots of the surrounding cities have not read the regulations from the licensing authorities and many consumers are unaware of the safety regulations. She expressed support of getting more information out to the public regarding legal cannabis industry practices.

Adam: Adam stated that before enforcement and ensuring compliance, focus needs to be placed on helping people understand the regulations in the beginning and helping potential licensees with the application process.

Josh Sweeder: Mr. Sweeder responded to **Committee Member Sweeney’s** earlier question regarding the amount of licensed testing laboratories in the state. Mr. Sweeder stated that out of the thirty-one licensed labs, only nineteen are operational. Out of the nineteen operational, only twelve are running compliance tests, and less than that are running phase two testing.

Paul: Expressed concern regarding the high tax rates and agreed with the comment that increasing tax rates are helping to sustain the black market.

5. Informational Presentation: “Overview of California’s Taxes” (Nicolas Maduros, Director, California Department of Tax and Fee Administration)

Chair Rahn introduced **Nicolas Maduros, Director, California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA)**. Mr. Maduros gave an overview presentation on how the tax structure works around cannabis in California and discussed issues in the tax system as it relates to cannabis.

Committee Comments: 15 Comments

Committee Member Ferro asked if CDTFA was the appropriate department to consider working with federal agencies on tax reform and stated that businesses’ ability to spend and pay taxes would be dramatically improved with write offs. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that for income and business tax purposes, the California Franchise Tax Board (FTB) works closely with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

Committee Member Sweeney asked if Mr. Maduros was aware of San Francisco's proposed gross receipts tax on \$500,000 or more with an exemption for medicinal cannabis. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that he was not aware, but stated there is a sales tax exemption for medicinal sales if the purchaser possesses a state card and that CDTFA does not collect the excise tax for compassion programs where cannabis goods are donated. **Committee Member Sweeney** asked if CDTFA is taking compassionate use programs into consideration. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that they were and that if businesses provide, without charge, cannabis through a compassion program, they can ask for and be provided a refund of the excise tax. **Committee Member Sweeney** asked if Mr. Maduros had any handouts explaining the tax structure. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that he did bring handouts to provide to the Committee. **Committee Member Nevedal** asked if CDTFA has considered, like the excise tax, refunding of the cultivation tax for compassion use programs. **Nicolas Maduros** answered that the cultivation tax cannot be refunded. **Committee Member Nevedal** responded and asked if CDTFA foresees bringing in some type of equity balance for seasonal, outdoor cultivators in the form of tiered taxation scales. **Nicolas Maduros** answered that tax rates, for dried flowers and leaves, are set in statute and CDTFA does not have the authority to change them. **Committee Member Nevedal** asked if incentives could be considered a new tax rate and a tiered system created. **Nicolas Maduros** reiterated that CDTFA cannot make adjustments or changes in tax rates but would implement any legislative change.

Committee Member Nevedal commented that some of the market comes down to the expenses retailers face due to the inability to take deductions or have write offs because of section 280E of the Federal Income Tax Code which makes their taxes much higher than any other retail entity.

Chair Rahn asked if Mr. Maduros can explain the excise tax. **Nicolas Maduros** explained that the excise tax is 15% of the wholesale cost of cannabis or cannabis product a retailer purchases from a distributor, who collects and remits the excise tax. Once Track and Trace is in place, CDTFA will be able to access data statewide to determine the average markup price.

Chair Rahn asked when Track-and-Trace is in place, if it is determined that the 60% markup was an overestimation, will CDTFA provide reimbursements or credits back to businesses.

Nicolas Maduros responded that CDTFA reviews and considers adjusting the markup rate every six months and would not provide any credits or reimbursements and added that CDTFA is more on the conservative side of the markup rate with 60%.

Chair Rahn commented and asked how CDTFA considers the variety of local tax structures in cities across the state where one city may have a lower tax rate while another city has a higher tax rate but both are still required to follow under the 60% markup rate. **Nicolas Maduros** answered that CDTFA is looking at balanced statistical sampling and that from looking at data from distributors, there is not as much variation as one would expect given local differences.

Committee Member Cermak commented that public commenters have stated that legal cannabis is priced twice as much as cannabis from the black market and stated that it is not clear if that is due to businesses' lack of ability to deduct expenses, licensing fee structures, excise and sales taxes, or because of the markup rate. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that CDTFA has barely begun with enforcement, and that he understood the difficulties legal cannabis businesses face in competing with illegal operations and stated that there needs to be more effort in ensuring compliance from businesses throughout the state.

Committee Member Lynch asked if the first quarter revenue from cannabis sales was lower than anticipated. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that was correct. **Committee Member Lynch** responded and asked if CDTFA has considered the barriers and some of the causes for the low

revenue. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that CDTFA will be receiving the second quarter data soon and expects revenue to be higher than the first quarter. He added that revenue estimates are predicated on compliance, so if compliance is lower than expected then revenue will be lower than expected.

Committee Member Nevedal asked, regarding the fresh plant tax, if a cultivator was to cut a fresh plant and apply the fresh plant tax, then choose to take a portion of the plant material for manufacturing and dry a portion to harvest, does the fresh plant tax cover everything?

Nicolas Maduros responded no, if a cultivator is selling a portion of the plant as dry, they would not be able to cut off the entire portion, save the flowers, which are taxed at \$9.25 per ounce, then dry it and have it already taxed.

Committee Member Nevedal asked if a cultivator could harvest their tops, dry them, cure them and sell them as a dry flower tax, and harvest the remaining portions later on the fresh plant tax.

Nicolas Maduros responded that he believed that was acceptable, but would have to confirm.

Committee Member Nevedal asked why cannabis waste is not considered with respect to the fresh plant tax; cultivators must weigh plants within two hours of harvest and are taxed on everything, including cannabis waste. **Nicolas Maduros** responded that he was not sure and would have to look into that and added that he has spoken with cultivators around the state who have stated they think the fresh plant tax rate is fair, but if people think otherwise, CDTFA will be happy to review it.

Public Comment: 5 Comments

Paul Hansberry: Mr. Hansberry stated that he has been told that, regarding the 15% excise tax, retailers first apply the sales tax, then the excise tax, effectively “taxing the tax”. He also suggested that since the excise tax is applied to cannabis as it enters the market then refunded as it leaves the market, the cultivation tax should follow the same process and expressed concern that there were too many barriers for small farmers and businesses to enter the legal market.

William Parno: Mr. Parno requested consideration of Bureau’s proposed regulations which allows cannabis deliveries regardless of the local jurisdictions’ ordinances, and its effect on local tax revenue, i.e. which city would get the tax revenue.

Joseph Airone: Mr. Airone requested clarification if compassion use programs needed recognition from the Bureau to be exempt from the excise tax.

Public Speaker: Requested clarification on the tax requirements for nurseries.

Susan Tibbon: Ms. Tibbon commented that while taxes are needed to help small communities, the barriers need to be removed so that small farmers and businesses can enter the legal market.

Chair Rahn called for a lunch break at 12:43PM. The Committee meeting was called back into session at 1:30PM.

6. Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

Public Comment: 12 Comments

Paul Hansberry: Mr. Hansberry offered to give a presentation to the Committee on the report he created regarding community damages, and proposal for a home business license.

Susan Tibbon: Ms. Tibbon commented that Prop 64 promotes, both in language and spirit, the idea that local authorities have control and site-specific oversight for licensing requirements,

security measures, and other decision-making factors. She reiterated creating a home business license for small farmers.

William Parno: Mr. Parno expressed concern about using younger looking models between the ages of 18-20 in marijuana advertisements when the age for recreational use is 21 and over.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson clarified to the public commenters that this was not a formal regulation hearing and that if individuals wanted their comments on the Bureau's proposed regulations to be considered in the formal rulemaking process, they would need to attend one of the Bureau's regulation hearings or submit a written comment to the Bureau during the 45-day public comment period.

Anna Foster: Ms. Foster, representing Mendocino County, spoke about the challenges that small farmers and cultivators face as they try to enter the legal market and stated that cultivators need an outlet for direct sales without being required to obtain a microbusiness or additional licenses.

Joshua Jenkins: Mr. Jenkins suggested that public affairs be added to the agenda for the next committee hearing so the public can understand the level of expectation from the Committee and the Bureau. Mr. Jenkins believes the Bureau is not complying with Business and Professions Code 16102 and is ignoring the veteran community.

Joseph Airone: Mr. Airone asked if there were other items in the Bureau's proposed regulations that were non-statutory that could be changed or addressed with regards to compassionate use and urged the Committee and the Bureau to consider a compassionate-use license for businesses that provide donated cannabis and cannabis products to low-income or terminally ill patients.

Alexis D'Angelo: Ms. D'Angelo thanked the Committee and Bureau on behalf of the California Cannabis Industry Association for all their hard work and combining the A and M designations.

Matthew Gates: Mr. Gates suggested that regulation surrounding pesticide use and worker safety be added to the agenda for the next committee meeting.

Alyssa: Suggested that the batch sizes for testing laboratories be decreased because the sample pulled is too small to be representative of the entire batch and leaves room for error and opens the door for laboratories to be pressured to break the rules.

Dave Vialpando: Mr. Vialpando suggested that a discussion about how Native American tribes can participate in the legal cannabis industry.

Tom: Suggested discussion regarding cannabidiol be added to the agenda for next meeting.

Josh Sweeder: Mr. Sweeder suggested that testing laboratories be allowed to have field offices and expressed concern about address changes, that licenses cannot be moved from location to location which puts a burden on licensees who outgrow their original premises.

7. Future Agenda Items

Committee Comments: 20 Comments

Committee Member Sweeney suggested that the Committee discuss the home business license that was suggested by one of the public commenters and discuss equity at the next committee meeting.

Committee Member Cermak commented that the Committee is still waiting on a definition from the Bureau on what a microbusiness is and suggested the continued discussion on how to help small businesses and farmers enter the legal market. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** clarified that the limitations and scope of what a microbusiness is are provided in statute.

Committee Member Peck suggested that discussion surrounding tribal issues with entering the market be discussed at the next meeting.

Committee Member Nicchitta agreed with **Committee Member Peck** and commented that the Committee must make sure to address items that were tabled from previous meetings and clarify that while more topics are added to the Committee's list, they will not be able to speak about every single one.

Chair Rahn suggested receiving legislative updates that may have an impact on Committee topics.

Committee Member Cermak requested that the recommendations from the subcommittee on youth and public health that were not addressed last meeting be included on the next agenda and asked when the Committee will be able to discuss the proposed regulations. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the Committee cannot discuss that topic today because it was not on the agenda, but they can discuss whether to add it as a future agenda item.

Vice-Chair Todd asked if the Committee can request that an update and discussion regarding the proposed regulations be placed on the agenda for future meetings. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the Committee can decide today if they want to add the proposed regulations as a future agenda item, however due to Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act (Bagley-Keene), the Committee cannot discuss or provide input on the proposed regulations today because it was not on the agenda.

Committee Member Ferro asked if the Committee would need to hold a special meeting to discuss the proposed regulations because the next regularly scheduled meeting would be after the public comment period ended. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the public comment period ends on August 27, 2018.

Committee Member Cermak questioned how the Committee would be able to serve in its advisory role if the next meeting comes after the public comment period ends. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** explained that the Committee, through the subcommittees, drafted recommendations and those recommendations were reviewed and looked at by the licensing authorities prior to the proposed regulations being released. She repeated that the Committee can only address whether to add the proposed regulations as a future agenda item but cannot discuss the specifics of the proposed regulations and that the committee chair can add an additional meeting if the Committee wishes.

Chair Rahn suggested that clarification regarding the role and responsibilities of the Committee be added as a future agenda item and stated that he was not opposed to having an additional meeting in August so that the Committee could have the opportunity to address the proposed regulations during the public comment period. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded and clarified that the Cannabis Advisory Committee meetings are not formal regulatory hearings, which the Bureau must hold pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). A public comment made at the advisory meeting would not fall under the APA process and would need to attend one of the Bureau's regulatory hearings or submit a written public comment to the Bureau to be included in the formal rulemaking process.

Chair Rahn questioned what the purpose of the Committee is if the comments and discussions the Committee has had in the past are not considered in the formal rulemaking process. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the formal regulatory process has specific requirements that the Bureau must adhere to by law and repeated that the recommendations drafted by the subcommittees were received and considered prior to the proposed regulations being released. She added that if the Committee does decide to hold another advisory committee meeting in August, they will need to understand that comments made at an advisory meeting do not fall under the

regular rulemaking process. If anyone wants their comments or thoughts to be considered in the rulemaking process, they will need to attend one of the Bureau's regulatory hearings or submit a written public comment to the Bureau.

Chair Rahn asked how the Committee's input so far would be included in the formal rulemaking process and expressed concern that the only way to have comments or discussion be considered is to travel to Sacramento. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the APA allows for a specific comment period and requirements for submittals during that comment period and stated that the Bureau does consider every comment received, but must follow different procedures for the formal rulemaking process.

Committee Member Cermak asked if the Committee met after the public comment period ended, would the input the Committee makes regarding the proposed regulations at that meeting be considered by the Bureau in the formal rulemaking process? **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded and stated that was something that cannot be discussed today due to Bagley-Keene and that the Committee can only discuss whether to add the topic of the proposed regulations as a future agenda item or not.

Committee Member Ferro motioned the Committee to schedule another advisory meeting in August to draft a written public comment on behalf of the Committee to submit to the Bureau before the public comment period ends. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** clarified that the Committee can submit a written public comment as a whole if they wish, but that a motion cannot be made because there is nothing on the agenda to vote on. She added that **Chair Rahn** does have the ability to set the agenda and set an additional meeting if he chooses.

Chair Rahn commented that he will work with **Vice-Chair Todd** to schedule an additional advisory meeting in August before the public comment period ends.

Committee Member Peck urged that **Chair Rahn** and **Vice-Chair Todd** really work on making sure the August meeting happens because previously, the Committee tried to schedule an additional meeting, but it never happened.

Chair Rahn responded that last time, there were not enough Committee members to establish quorum so the meeting could not happen and reminded the other committee members to be flexible and able to attend so that the Committee can make sure quorum will be established.

Committee Member Leff asked if the comments and discussion from the August advisory committee meeting will be integrated by the Bureau in the formal rulemaking process. **Chair Rahn** responded and stated that cannot be discussed now, but can be placed on the agenda for the August meeting. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the Bureau is not prohibited from considering any comments that are submitted but that there are different requirements when they are comments submitted in the formal rulemaking process and repeated that they cannot get into specifics today.

Committee Member Nevedal asked if there was a way to have a joint regulatory hearing with the Bureau and the Committee. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that was outside the scope of discussing future agenda items.

Committee Member Cermak commented that the Committee never voted on the May 17 meeting minutes. **Committee Member Sweeney** responded that the vote on the May 17 meeting minutes was tabled until the next meeting.

Additional Public Comment: 4 Comments

Paul Hansberry: Mr. Hansberry commented that the way statute and the Bureau has interpreted the meaning of "micro" regarding microbusinesses is incorrect because it allows unlimited

manufacturing, distribution and retail and reiterated the need for a home business license for small farmers.

Joshua Jenkins: Mr. Jenkins suggested that at the August advisory committee, an update be provided on all the recommendations passed by the Committee and the results of those recommendations, in the readopted or proposed regulations. He also repeated his earlier comments regarding the Bureau's response to Business and Professions Code 16102 and stated that there needs to be clarification regarding the roles and responsibilities of the Committee.

Joseph Airone: Mr. Airone again urged the Committee to focus on helping compassionate use programs and businesses.

William Parno: Mr. Parno requested that the Committee discuss the amendments to California Vehicle Code section 23221 and 23225, allowing consumption of marijuana in a vehicle and if that overturns Health and Safety Code section 11362.3.

Adjournment: 2:30 PM

EXHIBIT D

Cannabis Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes – August 20, 2018
Hilton Sacramento Arden West – Grand Ballroom
2200 Harvard Street, Sacramento CA 95815

Members Present (18):

Avis Bulbulyan
Timmen Cermak
Matt Clifford
Bill Dombrowski
Jeff Ferro
Kristin Heidelberg-Teramoto
Eric Hirata
Alice Huffman
Kristin Lynch
Kristin Nevedal
LaVonne Peck
Matt Rahn
Keith Stephenson
James Sweeney
Helena Williams
David Woolsey
Ben Wu
Beverly Yu

Members Absent (4)

Catherine Jacobson
Arnold Leff
Joe Nicchitta
Tamar Todd

Bureau of Cannabis Control (Bureau) Executive Staff Present

Lori Ajax – Bureau Chief
Melanie V. Ramil – Deputy Bureau Chief
Tamara Colson – Assistant Chief Counsel
Andre Jones – Assistant Chief of External and Intergovernmental Affairs
Alex Traverso — Assistant Chief of Communications

Minutes Taken By

Kaila Fayne, Staff Services Analyst, Bureau of Cannabis Control

1. Welcome, Call to Order, and Establishment of a Quorum (Matt Rahn, Chair, Cannabis Advisory Committee)

Matt Rahn, Cannabis Advisory Committee (Committee) Chair, called the meeting to order. Meeting official start time noted as 10:06 AM.

Roll was taken, 18 Committee members were present. Quorum was established.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

2. Review and Approval of May 17, 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

The Committee reviewed the May 17, 2018 draft minutes.

Committee Comment: 3 Comments

Chair Rahn commented that there was some debate regarding whether a motion had passed or failed at the prior meeting. He stated that Bureau staff had reviewed the webcast and the transcripts and determined the minutes were accurate. He clarified that due to the number of committee members present during the May meeting's vote, the motion did not have enough "ayes" to pass as required under the Bagley-Keene Opening Meeting Act (Bagley-Keene).

Committee Member Woolsey motioned the Committee to approve the May 17 meeting minutes. **Committee Member Sweeney** seconded the motion.

Public Comment: 3 Comments

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury commented that the motion that was discussed was regarding security measures for microbusinesses and stated that there was confusion about what defines a microbusiness under the Bureau's regulations, which may have caused the motion to not receive enough votes. He urged the Committee to re-vote on the motion now that there was a clearer understanding of what a microbusiness is.

Susan Tibbon: Ms. Tibbon stated that in previous meetings, the Committee and members of the public agreed that security measures should be determined by local jurisdictions rather than the State. She added that the regulations are guidelines and not set in stone.

Chair Rahn reminded the public that comments in this period are on the motion to approve the May 17 meeting minutes.

John Brower: Mr. Brower urged the Committee to re-evaluate the motion on security measures for microbusinesses and suggested that local authorities have temporary control over the businesses in their jurisdiction until the statewide market is more developed.

Roll call vote was taken, the motion to approve and adopt the May 17, 2018 minutes passed on a 18-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto	6				
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

3. Review and Approval of July 19, 2018 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

Committee Member Sweeney motioned for the Committee to approve and adopt the July 19 meeting minutes. **Committee Member Woolsey** seconded the motion.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

Roll call vote was taken, the motion to approve and adopt the July 19, 2018 minutes passed on a 18-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nichitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

4. Chair’s Report: Status of the Advisory Committee’s Adopted Recommendations in the Current and Proposed Regulations of the Bureau of Cannabis Control, California Department of Food and Agriculture, and California Department of Public Health

Chair Rahn provided an overview of the status of the Committee’s adopted recommendations in the current and proposed regulations of the Bureau of Cannabis Control, California Department of Food and Agriculture, and California Department of Public Health.

Committee Comments: 16 Comments

Committee Member Yu asked if members will be able to modify or clarify any of the recommendations listed prior to the submission to the licensing authorities. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded and stated that the Committee can discuss the status of each recommendation and whether to include it as a public comment, but modifying or changing recommendations falls outside of what is on the meeting agenda.

Committee Member Wu requested clarification that if committee members had additional comments or recommendations, they would need to submit that separately on their own to the licensing authorities. **Chair Rahn** confirmed that additional comments or recommendations would need to be submitted separately to the licensing authorities. **Committee Member Wu** added that a lot of things have changed from when the recommendations were first drafted and noted that he had a lot of recommended modifications that he will submit as his own personal comment.

Chair Rahn agreed with **Committee Member Wu** and urged the other committee members to submit public comments before the public comment period ends if they have additional things they would like to see in the licensing authorities' regulations.

Committee Member Nevedal requested clarification if one of the cultivation subcommittee's recommendations was amended by the Committee or after the recommendation was already approved. **Chair Rahn** responded that the recommendation was amended by the Committee, then approved and will be submitted as currently written if the Committee decides to move forward with the public comment.

Committee Member Huffman requested clarification on how some recommendations were chosen to be included and others not. **Chair Rahn** clarified that the recommendations listed on the handout were the ones the Committee approved and adopted and stated that if there are recommendations that either were not approved by the Committee or if there are additional recommendations that members felt should be reviewed by the licensing authorities, the members can submit those separate from the Committee as their own personal comment or as a representative of their organization.

Committee Member Cermak stated that there were four recommendations from the public health subcommittee that were not voted on due to time constraints and asked if those recommendations can be moved forward on behalf of the subcommittee.

Chair Rahn responded that those recommendations were statutory and stated that all recommendations that were statutory were pulled and only recommendations that were regulatory were sent to the licensing authorities for review.

Committee Member Cermak stated that due to restrictions under Bagley-Keene, the public health subcommittee was not as effective as its members hoped to be, trading transparency for efficiency and asked if he would need to submit the four statutory recommendations as his own personal comments or as the chair of the public health subcommittee. **Chair Rahn** responded that he would be submitting the comments as an individual not as the chair since the subcommittee did not get a chance to meet again and discuss the four recommendations.

Committee Member Ferro expressed appreciation to Bureau staff for compiling the report and responses from the licensing authorities.

Public Comment: 31 Comments

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury thanked the Committee for their work and stated that the Chair's report is the closest thing to a consolidated document from all three licensing authorities. He

agreed with **Committee Member Cermak's** comment that the subcommittees did not have enough time in their meetings to go over everything and expressed concern that amendments in the readopted emergency regulations—namely the prohibition of businesses not being in private residences—was not brought up to the Committee to discuss before the readopted regulations were released.

Joshua Jenkins: Mr. Jenkins expressed concern that the Bureau was not adhering to Business and Professions Code section 16102, that states military veterans do not pay fees typically associated with the startup of a business in sales outside of alcohol.

Mark Whitlow: Mr. Whitlow disagreed with the Bureau's response regarding the expiration of testing results of cannabis and cannabis products and stated that testing results do not have a timeframe and cannot expire.

Public Commenter: Commenter stated that manufacturers should be responsible for packaging products in child-resistant packaging, distributors and manufacturers should be able to put an initial label on products prior to the testing being completed, and medical cannabis retailers be able to deduct the amount of tax paid in advance on donated cannabis to compassionate care programs.

Joseph Airone: Mr. Airone expressed concern that none of the Committee's recommendations on compassionate care programs was addressed in the licensing authorities' regulations and stated that this topic is very important, and many patients' lives are on the line and in desperate need of free cannabis.

Scott Tyler: Mr. Tyler asked that more compassion should be involved in the cannabis industry and there should be more discussion about helping disadvantaged groups have adequate access to medication.

Neil Yarborough: Mr. Yarborough asked the Committee to think about how compassionate care programs have helped veterans like himself function in society and how detrimental it will be if compassionate care programs go away.

Teri: Commented that the requirement that a licensed retailer's address be printed on a receipt poses a safety concern and would like the regulations to be changed to only have the license number or the general area that the business is located.

Sabrina Fendrick: Ms. Fendrick agreed with earlier comments suggesting that child-resistant packaging be handled at the manufacturing level and not in the exit bags. She also added that retailers should be able to buy samples of products they are interested in selling.

Troy Lawrence: Mr. Lawrence suggested that the regulations regarding delivery be more simple and transparent and allow delivery to be more accessible to the patients who need medication.

Adam Villarreal: Mr. Villarreal commented that the regulations for delivery are more stringent than regulations for opioid medications.

Hannah Nelson: Ms. Nelson agreed with committee members' comments that there have been significant changes since the recommendations were drafted in March and suggested that there be some way for the Committee to integrate more current information into the Committee's public comment.

Ellen Komp: Ms. Komp expressed concern that a lot of the Committee's recommendations, especially those related to microbusinesses, were not addressed in the readopted emergency regulations or the proposed regulations as well as the raising of dosage limits and recommendations drafted by the public health and youth subcommittee.

Max Mikalonis: Mr. Mikalonis requested that the Committee have future discussions about delivery vehicle requirements such as vehicle weight, two-wheel delivery, and licensee premises

addresses being listed on delivery receipts. He also requested that the Committee look at one of the cultivation recommendations regarding light deprivation facilities that was addressed in CDFA's regulations but with the opposite intent.

Pearl Martin: Ms. Martin emphasized the importance of retailers being allowed to give free samples to customers. She suggested that the state marijuana identification card requirement for free cannabis goods be removed and agreed with other commenters that child-resistant packaging should be handled at the manufacturing level and not need to be placed in child-resistant exit packaging.

Susan Tibbin: Ms. Tibbin expressed concern that not enough was being done for small operators in the northern counties in relation to microbusinesses and reiterated the need for a home business license.

Trish Kamalia: Ms. Kamalia agreed with the recommendation that child-resistant packaging be handled at the manufacturing level and not at the retail level with exit bags.

John Brower: Mr. Brower requested the Committee review the cultivation recommendation and the definition of "outdoor" and stated that the discussion wrongly included light deprivation as an outdoor cultivation type.

Rich Miller: Mr. Miller thanked the Committee for their hard work and stated that the exit packaging requirement is an environmental problem and stated that labeling should also be handled at the manufacturing level.

Matthew Pasquale: Mr. Pasquale commented that free samples should be allowed as well as compassion programs. He suggested that delivery hours should be changed to 11:00 PM or 12:00 AM for people who do not work a regular nine-to-five shift. He also added that exit packaging should be removed and that distributors and manufacturers handle all labeling and packaging.

Deanna Garcia: Ms. Garcia agreed with other commenters that child-resistant exit packaging at the retail level is unnecessary and stated that manufactured cannabis products should be in child-resistant packaging but cannabis flower should not. She also added that the state marijuana identification card requirement for compassion programs should be removed and a doctor's recommendation should be sufficient.

Ron Richards: Mr. Richards expressed support for the exit bag requirement at the retail level and stated that businesses can use environmentally-friendly, reusable exit packaging which would help cut down on waste and environmental impact.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury stated that, regarding outdoor cultivation, the canopy should be defined by the drip-line of the plant, not the plant count. He added that the cultivation tax for compassionate use should be able to be refunded like the refunds for the excise and sales taxes and requested clarification of the S-type license and storage only center license would be allowed in a microbusiness. He also commented that there needs to be consideration of legacy operators when discussing social equity programs, at least 24-hour notice from licensing authorities prior to inspections, and delivery employees be allowed to have extra inventory in the vehicle in case a new order comes in while they are away from the licensed premises. He also requested the Committee review the recommendation that security measures be handled by local authorities rather than the State.

Ray Purs: Mr. Purs commented that some local jurisdictions are adhering to Business and Professions Code section 16102 that states military veterans do not pay fees typically associated with the startup of a business in sales outside of alcohol and wanted to know if the State will be adhering to that section as well. He also suggested that a state program be created where

cannabis companies making a large profit are linked with social equity applicants to provide funding.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson reminded commenters that the Committee is hearing public comments on the Chair's report and the status of the Committee's approved recommendations and that there will be time for public comments on items that appear later on the agenda as well as a public comment period for items not listed on the agenda.

Susan Tibbin: Ms. Tibbin reiterated that the definition of canopy needs to be changed from plant count to the drip line of the mature cannabis plant. She also agreed with earlier comments that legacy farmers need to be included in the category of social equity applicants.

Nidia Holmes: Ms. Holmes expressed support for compassion programs that help patients receive the medication they need and commented that customers need to be able to sample products at the retailer.

Caity Maple: Ms. Maple agreed with earlier comments suggesting that the delivery hours be extended to accommodate individuals who do not work a regular nine-to-five shift and agreed that premises addresses should not be included on delivery receipts for safety reasons.

Joe Lindsey: Mr. Lindsey expressed concern about the increase in value amount that a delivery employee can have during delivery from \$3,000 to \$10,000 and stated that this is a security issue that now makes delivery drivers and vehicles targets for theft and robbery.

Mark Carrillo: Mr. Carrillo thanked the Committee for the work and asked that they continue to keep pushing these issues to the licensing authorities. He added that the delivery hours as they stand right now do not work as there are people who wake up in the middle of the night that need medication and are not able to access it.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury asked why the renewal fees for an annual license are the same as the original license fee and suggested that if there are no structural modifications from the previous year, the licensing fees be reduced by 50 percent.

5. Discussion and Possible Action regarding Submission of the Advisory Committee's Adopted Recommendations as Public Comment on the Proposed Regulations of the Bureau of Cannabis Control, California Department of Food and Agriculture, and California Department of Public Health

Committee Member Huffman motioned the Committee to submit all the adopted recommendations as a public comment to the Bureau. **Committee Member Cermak** seconded the motion

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson asked **Committee Member Huffman** if she meant submitting to just the Bureau or to all three licensing authorities. **Committee Member Huffman** stated that the recommendations should be submitted to all three licensing authorities and put on the official record.

Committee Comments:

Committee Member Lynch commented that the Committee has heard from numerous individuals about the importance of social equity, compassionate use, and public health and that these topics should be revisited and addressed to the full extent of the Committee's recommendations.

Committee Member Nevedal requested clarification if the recommendations would be submitted to all three licensing authorities. **Chair Rahn** answered that they would.

Committee Member Cermak requested clarification if all the subcommittees' recommendations will be submitted or only those that were approved by the full advisory committee. **Committee Member Huffman** responded that all the subcommittees' recommendations should be submitted even if they were not voted on by the full advisory committee.

Committee Member Lynch agreed that it would be a valuable submission to include all the subcommittees' recommendations but also noted that is different than what the Committee has already voted on and is compiled in the Chair's report.

Committee Member Ferro agreed with **Committee Member Lynch** and clarified that the recommendations that are before the Committee are those that are regulatory changes, not statutory changes, and emphasized the importance of submitting the regulatory recommendations to the licensing authorities for review.

Chair Rahn clarified that there is a difference between the recommendations voted on in the subcommittees and the recommendations that were approved by the full committee and the Chair's report consists of recommendations that were presented and voted on by the full committee. He repeated that if there were recommendations that should be included but did not get presented to or approved by the full committee, members can submit those recommendations as individual comments on behalf of themselves or their organizations.

Committee Member Ferro commented that the Committee did not have the opportunity to hear all the public testimonies submitted to each subcommittee and suggested that recommendations which were not heard by the full committee but were adopted by the subcommittees be included in the Committee's public comment to the licensing authorities.

Committee Member Huffman agreed with **Committee Member Ferro** and added that there was no harm in adding in recommendations that the subcommittees drafted but that the Committee did not have a chance to hear or vote on.

Committee Member Cermak commented that, regarding the public health subcommittee's recommendations, there were several that were statutory and while one of the recommendations was voted on by the Committee, the others were not because time was limited for each subcommittee to present their recommendations. He added that he would like a distinction to be made between recommendations that were adopted by the Committee and recommendations that the Committee did not hear but were voted on by the subcommittees.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson clarified that the agenda item is discussing the regulatory recommendations that were adopted by the Committee and stated that the Committee heard all the regulatory recommendations and the ones that were not adopted have already been determined by the Committee to not be appropriate to put forward to the licensing authorities. She added that the Committee approved to combine all statutory and non-regulatory recommendations into a letter to the legislature written by the committee chair.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that the focus was shifted to regulatory recommendations because it was determined that the Committee could have more influence over the regulatory recommendations which the licensing authorities have the capability to change but also agreed that it would not hurt to submit all the recommendations including the ones that the subcommittees approved but were not heard by the full committee.

Chair Rahn responded that the point of adopting the recommendations by the full committee was to narrow down the recommendations to those that were collectively agreed upon by the twenty-two members on the Committee. He reiterated that if there were recommendations that

the Committee did not approve that members feel should be submitted to the licensing authorities, they can still do so as an individual or a representative of their organization.

Committee Member Huffman clarified that she was not suggesting that recommendations that the Committee reviewed and did not approve be included in the public comment but rather the recommendations that the Committee did not have the chance to review and vote on.

Chair Rahn responded and stated that the only recommendations that the Committee did not review were ones that were not regulatory or statutory in nature and the Committee approved that those recommendations be sent in a separate chair's letter to the legislature.

Committee Member Cermak stated that there was a compassionate use recommendation which was statutory that the Committee did vote on and approve.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson clarified that the Committee did vote to handle the statutory and non-regulatory recommendations separately in a letter to the legislature drafted by the chair and vice-chair.

Chair Rahn commented that the Committee is trying to affect the items that they have the most influence over, which are regulatory recommendations. He added that all the statutory recommendations the subcommittees drafted will be included in the letter to the legislature and that only recommendations that the Committee voted to not move forward will not be included in the public comment to the licensing authorities.

Committee Member Huffman amended her motion to exclude recommendations that were reviewed by the Committee and not approved. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** requested clarification if the motion was to now be that all the adopted recommendations be submitted and that they be submitted to all three of the licensing authorities. **Committee Member Huffman** replied that was correct.

Committee Member Cermak seconded the amended motion.

Public Comment: 3 Comments

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury commented that the Committee needs to consider the interpretation of these regulations and statutes and referred to the recommendation regarding microbusinesses and security measures, stating that the recommendation did not get enough votes because there was too much confusion surrounding the definition of a microbusiness and requests that the recommendation be reviewed again now that there is more understanding of what a microbusiness is.

Public Commenter: Requested that the chair letter to legislature be made public prior to the Committee submitting it.

Richard Miller: Mr. Miller stated that when Proposition 64 was passed, no one realized there would be three separate state agencies regulating commercial cannabis businesses and that it was imperative that the advisory board and its comments should reflect the will of the people and be submitted to all the agencies involved.

Additional Committee Comments: 3 Comments

Committee Member Cermak asked if the public recommendation stating that the licensing authorities create a special state and local licensing process for compassionate use programs was not addressed in the current or proposed regulations because it was statutory. **Chair Rahn** replied that there could be a variety of reasons why an adopted recommendation was not addressed and stated that submitting the adopted recommendations as a public comment will require the licensing authorities to provide a response to each recommendation listed.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson commented that all three licensing authorities provided a document called the Initial Statement of Reasons (ISOR) in the proposed regulations. She also added that for the emergency regulations package, a Finding of Emergency document was included as well. She clarified that both documents explain the regulatory language and why the regulatory language was needed, which could answer some of the Committee’s questions about why the licensing authorities did or did not address certain recommendations. Ms. Colson added that once a recommendation comes before the licensing authorities through the public comment period, the licensing authorities will specifically respond to each comment and recommendation as part of the rulemaking process for the proposed regulations.

Roll call vote was taken, the amended motion to submit all the adopted recommendations as a public comment to all three licensing authorities passed on a 18-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg-Teramoto					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

8. Discussion and Possible Action on the Advisory Committee's Annual Report

Deputy Chief Ramil explained that in Business and Professions Code section 26014, subsection (c), the Committee is required to “publish an annual public report describing its activities including, but not limited to, the recommendations the advisory committee made to the licensing authorities during the immediately preceding calendar year and whether those recommendations were implemented by the licensing authorities.”

Committee Comments: 32 Comments

Committee Member Cermak asked who would be responsible for writing the annual report. **Chair Rahn** responded that he and **Vice-Chair Todd** would be responsible for working with Bureau staff to draft the report.

Committee Member Cermak asked if there will be an opportunity for the committee members to provide dissenting opinions to the report.

Chair Rahn responded that the annual report will be a collaborative effort between all committee members and Bureau staff and proposed the idea of creating a subcommittee of members to draft the annual report and present it to the Committee at the next scheduled meeting.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson responded and clarified that if a subcommittee is created with more than two committee members on it, that meeting will be subject to Bagley-Keene rules meaning that there will need to be a 10-day notice.

Committee Member Nevedal asked if it would be easier if each subcommittee chair put together a report for each subcommittee to help **Chair Rahn** and **Vice-Chair Todd** draft the annual report.

Chair Rahn agreed with **Committee Member Nevedal** and stated that the report would not be a reiteration of recommendations from the subcommittees but a summary of all the discussions they have had.

Committee Member Huffman commented that, in the annual report, she would like the Committee to address matters that may fall out of the scope of the advisory committee and out of the purview of regulatory processes. She also stated that she believed three licensing authorities is too many and they should be consolidated into one state agency.

Chair Rahn agreed with **Committee Member Huffman** and stated that the annual report should not just contain what the Committee has done in the past year but also make recommendations about the role of the Committee moving forward and how the Committee can continue to advise the licensing authorities on matters such as social equity and compassionate use.

Committee Member Bulbulyan asked if another advisory committee meeting should be scheduled and include only one agenda item to discuss all things cannabis in California. **Chair Rahn** responded that another committee meeting cannot be created but he was not opposed to the idea of creating a subcommittee to meet regarding the “big picture” items that are germane to the annual report.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson clarified that if the subcommittee is subject to Bagley-Keene rules, the agenda item will still need to be specific enough that the public will be on notice about the topics that will be discussed. **Committee Member Bulbulyan** asked if “State of the Industry” was specific enough. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that would not give everyone sufficient information to determine whether the subcommittee would be talking about items that matter to them.

Committee Member Stephenson agreed with **Committee Member Huffman** and **Committee Member Bulbulyan** and added that he has found it frustrating that the issue of banking for commercial cannabis businesses has not been addressed and that maybe the Committee needs to be the one to start moving these issues forward.

Committee Member Huffman suggested that a list of specific issues should be created that includes taxation, a statewide equity program, and any other issues that members feel need to be discussed on a broader scale.

Chair Rahn suggested that the annual report subcommittee that will be created today draft the report and present it at the next committee meeting in September and then present the final report at the November committee meeting.

Committee Member Wu expressed concern that the Committee spends too much time on statutory issues which are outside of their ability to influence and commented that there should be more focus on issues which the Committee can affect.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that he believed that the purpose of the Committee was to advise the three licensing authorities on best practices and the implications of the regulations they create, not necessarily on the language of the regulations itself.

Committee Member Nevedal responded to **Committee Member Bulbulyan** and stated that there were certain things that were discussed in the subcommittees and that the Committee has heard from public commenters numerous times about compassion care programs, social equity, help for small farmers, and that while there is legislation in the works for some of these issues, the Committee is not aware or is not discussing these matters to the extent that is needed.

Committee Member Cermak stated that the Committee has the capacity to advocate for statutory changes where the licensing authorities do not.

Chair Rahn asked the Committee if a subcommittee be created to draft the annual report and that the chairs of each subcommittee bring a summary report to the September committee meeting to be included in the final annual report.

Committee Member Ferro requested clarification on how detailed the subcommittee chairs' reports needed to be. **Chair Rahn** responded that the reports should not be too long, maybe two to five pages maximum.

Committee Member Bulbulyan requested clarification on the timeline for the annual report.

Chair Rahn answered that the subcommittee chairs will need to have their reports ready by the September advisory committee meeting and the annual report subcommittee will present their draft of the annual report at the September advisory meeting as well. The annual report subcommittee will then incorporate the chairs' reports into the final draft of the annual report and present that at the November advisory meeting. The annual report will then be submitted January 1, 2019.

Committee Member Nevedal asked if it would be easier to just resubmit the chairs' reports that were drafted after each subcommittee hearing instead of creating a new report. **Chair Rahn** responded that the chairs could copy and paste their earlier reports if they wanted to.

Committee Member Huffman motioned that the subcommittee chairs submit their summary reports to the Committee at the next advisory committee meeting and then the chair appoint the annual report subcommittee. **Committee Member Sweeney** seconded the motion.

Committee Member Bulbulyan requested clarification if the annual report would just be limited to the subcommittee recommendations that were adopted by the Committee or contain the full reports from each subcommittee. **Chair Rahn** clarified that the annual report subcommittee will take all the chairs' summary reports and incorporate them along with information from subsequent meetings.

Public Comment: 2 Comments

Public Commenter: Requested that a separate subcommittee be created to address broader issues that the subcommittees missed.

Public Commenter: Suggested that the Committee submit the annual report to the legislature and all other government agencies involved in the cannabis industry.

Roll call vote was taken, the motion that the subcommittee chairs submit their summary reports to the Committee at the next advisory committee meeting and that the chair appoint the annual report subcommittee passed on a 18-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg-Teramoto	6				
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Chair Rahn called for a lunch break at 12:05PM. The Committee meeting was called back into session at approximately 1:00 PM.

6. Discussion and Possible Action on Section 5416 (d) of the Bureau of Cannabis Control's Proposed Regulations Pertaining to Delivery

The Committee reviewed section 5416 (d) of the Bureau's proposed regulations which states, "A delivery employee may deliver to any jurisdiction within the State of California."

Committee Comments:

Committee Member Woolsey commented that the City of San Jose opposes section 5416 (d) because it allows delivery operators to bypass any local regulations regarding cannabis delivery businesses. He added that while it is unfortunate that individuals live in a cities and counties where delivery has been outright banned, Proposition 64 did allow for local jurisdictions to have control over how many and what type of businesses they will allow in their limits.

Committee Member Nevedal asked if there is any other product that is currently prohibited from being delivered in California and expressed concern for areas that are "cannabis and medical islands" where patients and consumers do not have access because deliveries are banned in their cities and counties and are forced to turn to the illicit market.

Committee Member Yu agreed with **Committee Member Nevedal** and added that the intent and will of Proposition 64 was to ensure that there's access to cannabis for adults and medical patients.

Committee Member Heidelberg-Teramoto agreed with **Committee Member Yu** and **Committee Member Nevedal** and added that some consumers are intimidated and wary of entering a storefront dispensary and that delivery services provide them another option to buy from legal businesses versus the illicit market.

Committee Member Woolsey responded to all three previous speakers and stated that Proposition 64 does want the public to have access to cannabis, subject to local control, and that cities and counties should work toward developing a delivery program in their jurisdictions without the State enacting regulations that bypass local control.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that local jurisdictions are causing a bigger bottleneck in the industry due to their moving slowly regarding allowing businesses and added that if delivery businesses that are licensed by the local jurisdiction and the State will have oversight by the local jurisdiction where their permit is located so there really is no public safety issue with allowing licensed deliveries to deliver in any jurisdiction.

Committee Member Cermak asked if there will be lawsuits if the Bureau removes local control as it relates to delivery and why it is okay to have local control for storefront dispensaries but not for delivery businesses. **Committee Member Bulbulyan** responded that the issue of local control is not on the business aspect because there is oversight for the delivery businesses by the local jurisdiction where the permit was issued. The issue is if local jurisdictions have control over whether their residents can accept delivery of cannabis products.

Committee Member Ferro commented that the bigger problem is getting the local jurisdictions that have banned commercial cannabis activity to start allowing businesses within their cities, counties, and towns and adds that if elected officials in these jurisdictions are not allowing businesses to operate as Proposition 64 allows, they should be voted out by the residents.

Committee Member Huffman commented that there are some issues, like delivery, that would be better handled at the State level versus the local level and suggested that there be some form of state issued permit that allows operating instead of having to apply for a permit at the local level and then the state level.

Committee Member Stephenson commented that reluctance from local jurisdictions to allow storefront dispensaries comes from a need to protect their community's image and allowing deliveries helps to combat that.

Committee Member Nevedal stated that the topic of delivery is not a local control issue because these businesses will already be licensed at the local and state level and if licensed delivery services cannot use public roads to deliver legal cannabis products to consumers, it sets a dangerous precedent for transporting of cannabis goods, not just for delivery employees but for licensed distributors as well.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that section 5416 (d) is favorable to jurisdictions that do not want any businesses operating in their limits because it allows a resident to still have access to cannabis and cannabis products from neighboring jurisdictions while allowing city officials to not have any cannabis businesses operating in their limits.

Committee Member Heidelberg-Teramoto agreed with **Committee Member Nevedal** regarding the implications of restricting delivery and stated that she has heard of instances where distributors transporting cannabis goods were pulled over and arrested because they were moving through jurisdictions where delivery was not allowed.

Committee Member Ferro agreed with **Committee Member Heidelberg-Teramoto** and added that a lot of cities do not have the economic ability to research and formulate their own guidelines for cannabis businesses and are waiting for some guidance from the State.

Committee Member Woolsey agreed with **Committee Member Bulbulyan** that allowing delivery services would benefit the local jurisdictions that do not want to have cannabis businesses in their communities without feeling as if they have deprived their residents of access to cannabis.

Chair Rahn commented that the issue with this section boils down to local control and stated that he and **Committee Member Woolsey**, being two representatives of local government, are in the minority when it comes to the viewpoint of local control and local authority.

Committee Member Woolsey agreed with **Chair Rahn** and stated that he believes everyone should have access, but Proposition 64 does grant local jurisdictions the power to limit or ban commercial cannabis activity in their community and if residents of those communities do not agree with the local authority, they either need to vote those individuals out or move to a jurisdiction that does allow commercial cannabis activity.

Committee Member Peck commented that tribal members on reservations or living on fee land held in trust by the federal government do not have access to cannabis but are paying taxes for the land they live on.

Committee Member Huffman commented that if a jurisdiction does not want commercial cannabis businesses in their communities, that is their choice, but they should not be able to restrict their residents' access to it from jurisdictions that are allowing businesses. She adds that this is a human rights issue, not a local control issue, because people are being denied access to medication that they need.

Committee Member Stephenson agreed with **Committee Member Huffman** and expressed concern that local jurisdictions want to restrict consumers ability to purchase a commodity that is licensed and recognized by the State of California.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that there is no difference if a single individual went to a neighboring jurisdiction that allows commercial cannabis business, bought product, and brought it home and a delivery service doing the same thing. He added that there were more

pressing issues to handle and that the local jurisdictions don't have the right to restrict access to their residents.

Chair Rahn commented that allowing delivery in any jurisdiction is a public safety issue just as any other high-risk delivery or high-risk occupation where individuals are driving around with large sums of money are targets for crime. He added that if the legislature passes a bill that would allow deliveries in any jurisdiction, local authorities would enact the law, but the State should not preemptively try to remove local control that was granted by the legislature through Proposition 64.

Committee Member Ferro commented that a lot of the cities whose citizens were overwhelmingly in support of Proposition 64 are still banning all commercial cannabis activities and this discussion would not be happening if more of those cities who were in support of Proposition 64 would allow commercial cannabis businesses to operate.

Committee Member Stephenson suggested that if a company was delivering product in a jurisdiction that does not allow commercial cannabis activity, restrict the number of orders that can be delivered at one time.

Committee Member Lynch commented that there is an imbalance between local control and individuals' right to access and that the intent of voters when passing Proposition 64 was not to restrict access to those who need it.

Committee Member Woolsey commented that if tax revenues only go to the city where the delivery originated and a delivery vehicle is robbed while delivering in a jurisdiction that has banned delivery, it becomes that jurisdiction's public safety problem and they are not getting any of the tax revenue from the delivery sales. He added that Proposition 64 was passed with the knowledge that there will be two licensure processes: the local level and the State level and that it's interesting that the public wants a statewide program when it is beneficial to them and a local-specific program when it is beneficial to them.

Committee Member Woolsey motioned for the Committee to recommend to all licensing authorities that section 5416 (d) be removed from the Bureau's regulations. **Chair Rahn** seconded the motion.

Chair Rahn commented that he believes the discussion of allowing deliveries in all jurisdictions should be left up to the legislature to handle and that allowing deliveries will unfortunately result in some cities seeking litigation which is a waste of resources and time.

Committee Member Cermak commented that he is convinced by both sides and asked if **Committee Member Woolsey** would accept a friendly amendment to his motion which would exempt delivery of medical cannabis from being banned by local jurisdictions.

Committee Member Woolsey answered that he would not accept the friendly amendment and stated that local jurisdictions should be able to determine what happens in their limits regarding medical and recreational commercial cannabis activity.

Committee Member Bulbulyan stated that there was no difference between a delivery driver stopping in a jurisdiction for gas or something to eat and a delivery driver completing a delivery. The commercial cannabis activity occurs in another jurisdiction and all that is happening during the delivery is a drop off. He added that many voters did not really know how to participate or get involved when Proposition 64 was introduced so they did not understand what was going to happen. Now that they have had a chance to see the regulations in action, they can raise these issues to the authorities' attention.

Committee Member Huffman motioned for the Committee to support section 5416 (d) of the Bureau's proposed regulations. **Chair Rahn** asked for clarification if a second motion can be

made if there is already a motion and a second on the table. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** clarified that it would be up to the committee chair how to proceed.

Committee Member Woolsey asked if there was a way to have public comment on both his original motion and **Committee Member Huffman's** substitute motion. **Committee Member Huffman** responded that the substitute motion would need to be defeated, then the Committee could discuss the original motion.

Committee Member Bulbulyan seconded **Committee Member Huffman's** substitute motion. **Committee Member Huffman** commented that the issue is not a struggle of power between local jurisdictions and the voters of Proposition 64, but rather the issue of human rights and ignoring the will of the people who voted in favor of Proposition 64 for the will of proponents such as League of Cities or the police chiefs of the various jurisdictions.

Chair Rahn disagreed with **Committee Member Huffman** and added that local control is about representing the people. He stated that as an elected official, he is responsible for representing the will of those citizens who elected him and he is aware that other local jurisdictions made decisions without polling their communities, but some did and the decisions they make reflect what their residents wanted.

Committee Member Huffman responded that the people who **Chair Rahn** represent might not represent the voters who voted for Proposition 64. **Chair Rahn** agreed and stated that although his city did vote to pass Proposition 64, it was not by a large majority, which places him and other elected officials in a precarious situation on trying to balance and fairly represent all the needs of their residents.

Chair Rahn commented that the Committee is here in an advisory capacity to the three licensing authorities and was purposefully constructed to include many different perspectives on issues. He emphasized that this advisory committee meeting is different than the public regulatory hearings the licensing authorities are currently conducting and that the public should understand that the public comments made here are not being recorded as part of the formal rulemaking process.

Public Comment:

Rich Miller: Mr. Miller commented that the delivery is vital for patients to access the medication they need and stated that delivery should be controlled by the city where they are located not where the delivery is made.

Caity Maple: Ms. Maple commented that over 1300 letters in support of section 5416 (d) have been sent to the Bureau and stated that local jurisdictions did not have the authority to ban deliveries in their cities and that the continuance of banning deliveries will only drive consumers to the illicit market.

Public Commenter: Suggested that if a dispensary delivers outside of the city where they are located, the city where the delivery occurs receives the tax revenue. Also added that delivery locations and routes are recorded by GPS tracking devices so there would not be an issue of a driver being on a route that is not on the manifest.

Amanda Naprawa: Ms. Naprawa commented that changes to State law should not be handled at the regulatory level and should be left up to the legislature.

Susan Tibbin: Ms. Tibbin commented that the citizens of the State of California who passed Proposition 64 should have ready access to their medication.

Troy Lawrence: Mr. Lawrence commented that local control is zoning issues, not prohibition or restriction of access to medication.

Ellen Komp: Ms. Komp agreed that the issue of delivery does not need a legislative fix and can be handled through regulations. She repeated earlier comments stating that local control is over land use not access.

Adam Villarreal: Mr. Villarreal urged the Committee to understand that this issue is not about local control but about medical patients' ability to access medication and that no other commodity is regulated as strictly as cannabis.

Andrew Antwi: Mr. Antwi, on behalf of the City of Beverly Hills, opposed section 5416 (d) of the Bureau's proposed regulations and stated that this section directly contradicts the Medicinal and Adult Use Cannabis Regulatory and Safety Act (MACURSA) and would be challenged legally if not removed.

Max Mikalonis: Mr. Mikalonis expressed support for section 5416 (d) and stated that local jurisdictions that have banned deliveries are infringing on the rights of individuals under Proposition 64 to access cannabis.

Jackie McGowan: Ms. McGowan commented that she lives in Sacramento and drives two hours to Oakland once a month to buy from a dispensary and that while she is lucky that she can make that trip, others are not and depend on delivery services to have access to their medication.

Anne Kelson: Ms. Kelson commented that the State already has guidelines and rules for delivery services, so safeguards are in place even if local jurisdictions have not had a chance to create their own.

Joseph Airone: Mr. Airone commented that this is an issue of patients' rights and patients' access to medication and that local jurisdictions banning deliveries was not the original intention of the passage of Proposition 64.

Dan Georgatos: Mr. Georgatos suggested that an additional sentence be added to section 5416 (d) that says if delivering to a jurisdiction that regulates and permits commercial cannabis delivery, then that commercial cannabis licensee must comply with that local ordinance.

Michelle Disitzer: Ms. Disitzer commented that patients need to be able to access any brand, no matter how small or large.

Public Commenter: Stated that MAUCRSA granted local jurisdictions control over the origin of the delivery but not where delivery travels to or ends in. Local authorities should control commercial businesses, not consumer access.

Dale Schafer: Mr. Schafer commented that there is already a statute in place for immunity to drivers while delivering on public highways and that could work for deliveries across the state. He adds that if authorities cannot make this work, the illicit market will continue to thrive.

Eliza Maroney: Ms. Maroney pointed out that for some patients, it is not just physical limitations but also fiscal limitations that may hinder their ability to access medication if their city does not allow delivery.

Sean Kiernan: Mr. Kiernan commented that entities like the League of Cities who are fighting to restrict access are doing so at the expense of veterans who have served this country and are in desperate need of the medication.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury commented that allowing licensed delivery services to operate does not take away control from local jurisdictions because these entities will be regulated by the city where they are located and by the State.

Public Commenter: Stated that veterans make up seven percent of the national population but account for twenty percent of national suicide rate and that if access to cannabis is restricted those numbers will continue to rise.

Public Commenter: Expressed concern that the Bureau’s website as well as California Department of Food and Agriculture’s (CDFA) website does not have a reliable way for investors to find licensing businesses.

Additional Committee Comments:

Committee Member Cermak stated that he will be abstaining from voting because he has not heard a compromise that satisfies both local control concerns and compassionate use concerns.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that local control should be over setting up businesses and collecting tax revenue and allowing delivery across jurisdictions does not take away local control.

Committee Member Stephenson commented that if cities did not want to allow deliveries, they could levy a tax amount that they see fit as a compromise.

Committee Member Huffman commented that sometimes a compromise cannot be reached and this is one of those situations. She added this is an issue of helping people who are in need and urged the Committee to support the section 5416 (d) as written in the Bureau’s proposed regulations.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson requested clarification that the motion on the table was to recommend to the Bureau to keep section 5416 (d) as written. **Committee Member Huffman** responded that was correct.

Roll call vote was taken, the motion to recommend to the Bureau to keep section 5416 (d) of the proposed regulations passed on a 13-4 vote. 1 committee member abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidebach-Teramoto	6				
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					

James Sweeney

Tamar Todd

Helena Williams

6

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

7. Discussion and Possible Action on Section 5500 of the Bureau of Cannabis Control's Proposed Regulations Pertaining to Microbusiness

Committee Comments:

Committee Member Nevedal commented that microbusinesses were intended to be a pathway for small businesses, legacy farmers, and family businesses to enter into the legal market but the current structure of microbusinesses does not benefit the mentioned entities as well as it should.

Chair Rahn commented on the difficulty in establishing what a microbusiness is and how the statute can be interpreted in different ways which causes agencies like the Bureau to have to implement the intent which may be different from what was originally thought.

Committee Member Cermak asked if the microbusiness subcommittee has any suggestions on how to address these issues. **Committee Member Clifford** responded that the issue comes from imprecise language in the statute which has a cap of 10,000 square feet for cultivation activities in a microbusiness but no limits on manufacturing, retail, or distribution which is disadvantageous for small business owners.

Committee Member Nevedal agreed with **Committee Member Clifford** and stated that the original intention of Proposition 64 was not to be a catch-all for vertical integration.

Committee Member Cermak moved to recommend that the Bureau re-look at the regulations in order better serve what the Committee believed to be the original function of serving the small farmers and providing them access to the market. **Committee Member Clifford** seconded the motion.

Committee Member Bulbulyan requested clarification on what the motion entails. **Committee Member Cermak** responded that the purpose of the motion is to advise the Bureau to re-work regulations to favor the small farmer. **Committee Member Sweeney** asked if that is inclusive of home businesses. **Committee Member Cermak** responded that would be up to the Committee to decide.

Committee Member Nevedal commented that the Bureau's readopted emergency regulations prohibited cannabis business from being in private residences which also hindered existing business that have been operating from their homes for a long time.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that as it stands now, there is no difference between a small farmer getting a microbusiness license and a larger business becoming a microbusiness, and in some cases, a microbusiness license is not advantageous because of the restrictions on growth.

Committee Member Nevedal responded that businesses will need to decide if a microbusiness is the most efficient model and that the microbusiness license itself was meant more for the small cultivators.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented that instead of putting restrictions on growth and profitability for all microbusinesses, focus should be on helping microbusinesses that include cultivation in their plans as a way of helping small farmers.

Public Comments:

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury repeated the need for a new home business license.

Susan Tibbin: Ms. Tibbin echoed Mr. Hansbury's comments regarding the need for a home business license and stated that California is a very large and diverse state and one size does not fit all when it comes to regulations.

Hannah Nelson: Ms. Nelson stated that for rural citizens trying to obtain a microbusiness license, finding a location that will meet the local land-use rules for their activities is difficult and suggested that microbusiness be allowed to use shared facilities or have multiple locations for the various activities.

Public Commenter: Commented that the microbusiness license was intended to create a level playing field for small farmers, not for corporations and suggested that regulators should follow the craft beer model in the liquor industry.

Public Commenter: Commented that the microbusiness license, with some work, could be the "California brand" someday and is a useful tool in bringing pre-existing operators into compliance and suggested setting a cap on growth of all activities under a microbusiness and calling it something else if a business exceeds that cap.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury stated that the cannabis industry is not new, but newly legalized and that the regulatory framework should be for the established industry not the other way around. He repeated his earlier comments about the need for a separate home business license.

Ross Gordon: Mr. Gordon commented that the microbusiness licenses that have been issued have been to urban areas and that there needs to be access for rural cultivators to enter the market. He urged the Committee to re-look at the microbusiness subcommittee recommendation that stated that local jurisdictions could opt-out of security requirements mandated by the State.

Tim Blake: Mr. Blake echoed earlier comments that there needs to be more help for the smaller businesses to enter the legal market.

Hannah Nelson: Ms. Nelson suggested a distinction between a microbusiness with self-distribution versus a microbusiness with full distribution services, more tiers for the microbusiness fees, shared facilities tied to income caps, and removing insurance requirements for self-distribution businesses.

Susan Tibbin: Ms. Tibbin echoed earlier comments regarding the need for a home business license.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury repeated earlier comments about the need for a home business license and stated that the license will bring back the sense of community in rural towns and cities.

John Brower: Suggested that the language for microbusiness be more specific to not give the idea that individuals are trying to operate large scale businesses from their kitchen tables.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury stated that the current regulations in effect are hurting communities that have operated out of their homes for a long time.

Additional Committee Comments: 2 Comments

Committee Member Ferro asked for the motion to be repeated. **Deputy Chief Ramil** repeated that the motion was to advise the Bureau to re-work the regulations around microbusiness to favor small farmers, which was the original intent of Proposition 64.

Committee members **Huffman, Lynch, and Peck** left the meeting and quorum was maintained. Roll call vote was taken, the motion to recommend advising the Bureau to re-work the regulations around microbusiness to favor small farmers which was the original intent of 64 passed on a 14-0 vote. 1 committee member abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelbach-Teramoto					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Chair Rahn motioned to have the microbusiness subcommittee reconvene in the future to discuss issues surrounding microbusiness and report back to the Committee at the next meeting. **Committee Member Nevedal** seconded the motion.

Chair Rahn amended his motion to include extending specific invitations to public commenters who the Committee has heard from regarding microbusinesses to provide materials to the subcommittee. **Committee Member Nevedal** seconded the amended motion.

Committee Comments:

Committee Member Cermak suggested that the microbusiness subcommittee meet either before or after the full advisory committee meeting in Eureka in September. **Chair Rahn** responded that if the subcommittee wishes to do that, it can be arranged.

Committee Member Nevedal asked **Committee Member Sweeney** if he would make sure that the recommendations from the microbusiness subcommittee that were included in the statutory list be added in the subcommittee chair’s report because a lot of the issues surrounding microbusinesses are statutory.

Chair Rahn suggested that the first half of the committee meeting in Eureka in September be dedicated to the microbusiness subcommittee and the second half of the day be the full committee meeting.

Committee Member Ferro stated that the subcommittees were made small out of necessity, not due to lack of interest by members, and suggested that more committee members be allowed to join the microbusiness subcommittee.

Chair Rahn asked who is currently on the microbusiness subcommittee that will be present at the next meeting in Eureka. **Committee Members Nevedal, Clifford, and Heidelberg-Teramoto** indicated they will all be present at the next meeting in Eureka. **Committee Members Ferro and Bulbulyan** indicated that they would like to be included in the microbusiness subcommittee for the next meeting. **Chair Rahn** amended his motion to state that the five mentioned individuals reconvene as the microbusiness subcommittee. **Committee Member Nevedal** seconded the motion.

Public Comment:

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury commented that he would hope the subcommittee discuss the idea of a home business license.

Roll call vote was taken, the amended motion to have five members reconvene for a microbusiness subcommittee meeting and extend invitations to specific public commenters to provide materials to the subcommittee passed on a 15-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg-Teramoto	6				
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Joe Nicchitta

LaVonne Peck

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

James Sweeney

Tamar Todd

Helena Williams 6

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

10. Future Agenda Items

Committee Comments:

Committee Member Nevedal requested that cannabis events be discussed at the next meeting.

Committee Member Bulbulyan requested that section 5418 (a) and 5418 (c) of the Bureau's proposed regulations be discussed.

Committee Member Cermak requested the Committee revisit the issue of advertising health claims for non-medical cannabis now that there is no longer any A or M designation until the point of sale.

9. Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

Public Comments:

Jackie McGowan: Ms. McGowan requested that the Committee discuss Track-and-Trace failure, specifically section 5050 (d) of the Bureau's proposed regulations and Phase 3 testing in licensed testing laboratories.

Preston: Commented that the six-plant minimum for personal use be changed to account for growing plants to eat as food.

Hannah Nelson: Ms. Nelson requested that the Committee discuss cannabis collectives and extending temporary licenses past the January 1, 2019 deadline listed in statute.

Jim Lewi: Mr. Lewi expressed support for the Committee to discuss cannabis events at the next meeting.

Paul Hansbury: Mr. Hansbury requested that the Committee discuss composite testing of cannabis goods.

Public Commenter: Agreed with Mr. Hansbury and added that composite testing will help alleviate financial issues for licensees and relieve the bottleneck in the industry by streamlining testing.

Savino Sguera: Mr. Sguera stated that testing laboratories should be able to sublet sample testing to other licensed laboratories.

Public Commenter: Commenter stated that the packaging requirements are onerous, and the testing requirements are exorbitantly expensive.

Rich Miller: Mr. Miller stated that shortages in supplies in dispensaries are due to local jurisdictions either not having the ability or not wanting to permit businesses and there needs to be help from the State in getting these jurisdictions to issue permits.

Tim Blake: Mr. Blake echoed earlier comments for the Committee to discuss cannabis events.

Taylor Blake: Ms. Blake also urged the Committee to discuss cannabis events at the next meeting and added that the Bureau should look at the wine industry on how to structure cannabis event guidelines and to allow event organizers to provide a list of all vendors and working staff the day of the event, rather than the 60 days prior as is currently in the regulations.

Max Mikalonis: Mr. Mikalonis supported earlier comments that the Committee discuss Track-and-Trace failure, specifically section 5050 (d) of the Bureau's proposed regulations and Phase 3 testing in licensed testing laboratories.

Randy Disitzer: Mr. Disitzer requested the Committee discuss expanding hours for cannabis deliveries and expanding the scope of events to include educational and informational events.

John Brower: Mr. Brower requested that the Committee discuss self-distribution operations at the next meeting.

Susan Tibbin: Ms. Tibbin urged the Committee to be mindful of the time constraints small businesses are under, many of whom have already had to closed because they were not able to enter the legal market.

Adjournment: 4:07 PM

EXHIBIT E

Cannabis Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes – June 28, 2019
Millennium Biltmore Hotel – Biltmore Bowl
506 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071

Members Present (17):

Avis Bulbulyan
Bill Dombrowski
Jeff Ferro
Kristin Heidelberg
Eric Hirata
Alice Huffman
Arnold Leff
Kristin Nevedal
Joe Nicchitta
LaVonne Peck
Keith Stephenson
James Sweeney
Tamar Todd
Helena Williams
David Woolsey
Ben Wu
Beverly Yu

Members Absent (5):

Timmen Cermak
Matt Clifford
Catherine Jacobson
Kristin Lynch
Matt Rahn

Bureau of Cannabis Control (Bureau) Executive Staff Present

Lori Ajax – Bureau Chief
Tamara Colson – Assistant Chief Counsel
Andre Jones – Assistant Chief of External and Intergovernmental Affairs

California Department of Public Health (CDPH) Executive Staff Present

Miren Klein – Assistant Deputy Director, Center for Environmental Health

California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) Executive Staff Present

Richard Parrot – Director, CalCannabis Cultivation Licensing Division

Minutes Taken By

Kaila Fayne, Legal Program Analyst, Bureau of Cannabis Control

1. Call to Order, and Establishment of a Quorum

Jeff Ferro, Cannabis Advisory Committee (Committee) Chair, called the meeting to order. Meeting official start time noted as 10:04 AM.

Roll was taken, 17 Committee members were present. Quorum was established.

Committee Comment: 0 Comments

Public Comment: 0 Comments

2. Review and Approval of March 27, 2019 Cannabis Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

The Committee reviewed the March 27, 2019 draft meeting minutes.

Committee Comment: 2 Comments

Committee Member Sweeney motioned to approve the draft minutes. **Committee Member Bulbulyan** seconded the motion.

Public Comment: 0 Comments

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the March 27, 2019 draft meeting minutes passed on a 16-0 vote. 1 member abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg					
Eric Hirata					

Alice Huffman

Catherine Jacobson

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Joe Nicchitta

LaVonne Peck

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

James Sweeney

Tamar Todd

Helena Williams 6

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

**3. Informational Presentation: “Tribal Participation in the Legal Cannabis Industry”
(David Vialpando, Executive Director, Ilipay Nation of Santa Ysabel and Mark
Levitan, Attorney)**

Chair Ferro introduced **David Vialpando** and **Mark Levitan**. Mr. Vialpando and Mr. Levitan gave a presentation on the participation of tribes in the California legal cannabis industry.

Committee Comment: 18 Comment

Chair Ferro explained that this was only an informational presentation and that there were no items to take action on for this topic.

Committee Member Sweeney commented that there are groups in society that have disproportionately impacted depending on who they are, and that Native American tribes are definitely one of those groups. He asked the speakers how they [the Committee] can help “break down the wall” between the State and tribal communities.

Mr. Vialpando responded that tribal communities fit the definition of impacted communities with regards to social equity, however, tribal communities are left out of the discussion when it comes to helping communities that were negatively impacted in the War on Drugs. He added that even during the social equity subcommittee meeting that was held the day before, there was only one person who mentioned tribes during the whole meeting. He finished by stating that the Committee can help encourage the State to “engage, to provide a...workable pathway...for tribal participation”.

Mr. Levitan added that the most rural communities in California are where a lot of tribal communities are located. These communities have the highest unemployment and poverty rates along with serious drug and alcohol abuse issues. Allowing tribal communities to participate in

the legal cannabis marketplace would benefit both tribal and non-tribal communities alike because tribes will hire non-tribal members to work in their businesses. He suggested the Committee recommends to governor's office and the state legislature to negotiate with tribal communities and "find a way to agree on a cooperative way forward" with regard to tribal participation in the legal cannabis industry.

Committee Member Bulbulyan asked how social equity programs created on tribal land benefit social equity applicants and licensees in cities such as Los Angeles. **Mr. Levitan** responded that ideal system would allow any taxes from cultivation operations on tribal lands would be kept by the tribes while the taxes from the interactions with distributors, retailers, and manufacturers, would be kept by the State.

Committee Member Bulbulyan commented if tribes are allowed to participate in the cannabis industry without having to comply with the taxation and certain regulatory requirements that non-tribal businesses do, it would present the same issues that licensed businesses currently have competing with unlicensed operations. He added that it would be more streamlined to have the tribal authority fall under the licensing authorities since there is already an established regulatory structure for cannabis businesses operating in California.

Mr. Levitan responded that tribes are historic sovereigns and must be acknowledged and treated as such.

Committee Member Nicchitta asked if cannabis goods that were cultivated and manufactured on tribal lands would go through the same testing and regulations as non-tribal cannabis goods before they could be sold in retail shops. **Mr. Levitan** responded that was correct and that under Assembly Bill (AB) 924, tribal governments would ensure that any cannabis goods leaving tribal lands to be sold in retail shops met all the State's requirements before entering the cannabis market.

Committee Member Sweeney commented that the Committee should not get wrapped up in "particulars" when discussing the possibility of talks between tribal governments and the State. He added that while the Committee cannot make a motion or take action at this particular moment, it may be beneficial to recommend creating a subcommittee to discuss how to foster talks between the two governments.

Vice-Chair Todd thanked Mr. Vialpando and Mr. Levitan for their presentation and asked how and agreement between tribal lands and the State would look—would it be a separate agreement or would it be more like interstate commerce with non-cannabis goods coming in from other states?

Mr. Levitan responded that the tribes are not subject to state regulation or state statutes and added that AB 924 was meant to delegate authority to the governor of California to negotiate agreements between tribes and the State that did not remove the tribes' sovereignty. He stated that other legalized states—Oregon, Washington, and Nevada—all passed similar legislation delegating authority to their respective governors to create agreements between the states and tribal governments.

Vice Chair Todd asked if the three other states that have negotiated agreements with tribal governments have looked at the issue of the overall amount of cannabis being cultivated in their respective state and how much of that comes from tribal groups. **Mr. Levitan** responded that there is no limit on how much tribal groups can cultivate, but in those other states, cultivation is tracked on tribal lands the same way it is tracked for non-tribal businesses. He added that under AB 924, the tribes would voluntarily participate in the California Track-and-Trace System.

Committee Member Peck thanked Mr. Vialpando and Mr. Levitan for presenting and added

that she hopes their presentation has enlightened everyone and that there is a way for tribes and the State to come to an agreement so that every group has a chance to participate in the legal cannabis market.

Chair Ferro asked how tribes would handle dealing with the federal government since the government still has significant say on what happens on tribal lands and cannabis still being illegal under federal law.

Mr. Levitan responded that federal law supersedes state law as well as tribal law, so there is not much difference for tribes in terms of federal law. He added that the issue mostly comes down to the individual discretion of the U.S. Attorney's Offices to determine if something is an enforcement priority. **Mr. Vialpando** added that the Ilipay Nation of Santa Ysabel has not had any issues with any law enforcement agency, state, local, or federal since beginning their cannabis operations four years ago.

Committee Member Huffman thanked Mr. Vialpando and Mr. Levitan for their presentation and added that she knows there is a solution and she is supportive of whatever it is.

Chair Ferro called for a short recess at 11:50 AM. **Chair Ferro** called the Committee back into session at 12:05 PM.

Public Comment: 5 Comments

Christian Simmons: Mr. Simmons commented that taxes for transporting cannabis goods from tribal lands to a retail business should be placed on the dispensary, not on the tribe.

Arturo Flores: Mr. Flores commented that the federal legalization of cannabis is coming and the State should prepare for this by ensuring they meet the demands of the people inside the state, particularly tribes, before considering trade and commerce with other states.

Richard Alvarez: Mr. Alvarez commented that allowing tribes to participate in the legal cannabis market will benefit not just the tribes but neighboring communities as the tribal members will spend their income in the neighboring cities and towns.

Christina Marsh: Ms. Marsh thanked Mr. Vialpando and Mr. Levitan for presenting and added that it is wrong to require tribes to renounce their sovereignty in order to participate in the cannabis market since they were in this space first.

Jamel: Commented that the cannabis industry may be the last major industry that has an opportunity to set a precedence regarding interactions with tribal governments and that the state of California has an obligation to honor every community and every person that this plant [cannabis] affects.

4. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve, Modify, or Reject the Subcommittee on Testing Laboratories' Recommendations

Kristin Nevedal, Chair, Subcommittee on Testing Laboratories

Committee Member Nevedal presented the Testing Laboratory Subcommittee's recommendations to the Committee.

Recommendation #1: Advise the BCC and CDPH to develop statewide chemical standards and advise CDPH to consider cannabis laboratory capability to go along with standard development and for these agencies to share their plans with the industry and the Committee.

Recommendation #2: BCC in conjunction with CDPH, establish a working group, including cannabis lab licensees, subject matter experts, and other interested parties to review and develop consistent standards regarding items on the committee agenda.

Committee Comment: 12 Comments

Committee Member Leff commented that the subcommittee made this recommendation because they heard from a number of laboratories that there were no statewide standards for testing laboratories and that it is important for the State to provide uniform standard for all laboratories to follow.

Committee Member Wu commented that there were a lot of conflicting opinions and ideas within the testing laboratory industry and that this recommendation was made to offer some form of uniform standard that all laboratories can refer to.

Chair Ferro suggested the Committee vote on a motion to approve, reject, or modify both recommendations jointly instead of a separate vote for each.

Committee Member Nevedal commented that the subcommittee heard from public commenters that there needs to be more cooperation between testing laboratory licensees and the licensing authorities.

Committee Member Nicchitta requested clarification if the “items on the committee agenda” referred to the items listed on the subcommittee’s agenda for the June 27 meeting. **Committee Member Nevedal** responded that was correct.

Chair Ferro asked if there was a member who would like to make a motion to vote on the recommendations jointly.

Asst. Chief Counsel Colson commented that if the subcommittee envisioned a working group made up of committee members, it would be subject to Bagley-Keene requirements.

Committee Member Nevedal responded that the subcommittee did not envision a working group with committee members involved.

Committee Member Leff added that the idea of the working group was to get the testing laboratories to work together and to have that group work with the licensing authorities to develop uniform standards and practices.

Committee Member Nevedal motioned to combine the testing laboratory subcommittee’s recommendations #1 and #2 and approve both recommendations jointly. **Committee Member Yu** seconded the motion.

Chair Ferro called for a lunch recess at 12:21PM. **Chair Ferro** called the Committee back into session at 1:30PM. **Committee Member Dombrowski** left the meeting early.

Public Comment: 0 comments

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the Testing Laboratory Subcommittee’s Recommendation #1 and Recommendation #2 passed on a 16-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					

Bill Dombrowski

Jeff Ferro 6

Kristin Heidelberg

Eric Hirata

Alice Huffman

Catherine Jacobson

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Joe Nichitta

LaVonne Peck

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

James Sweeney

Tamar Todd

Helena Williams 6

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

5. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve, Modify, or Reject the Subcommittee on Delivery's Recommendations

Beverly Yu, Chair, Subcommittee on Delivery

Committee Member Yu presented the Delivery Subcommittee's recommendations to the Committee.

Recommendation #1: The Cannabis Advisory Committee support the current regulations on statewide delivery, with reasonable parameters of local control.

Committee Comments: 32 Comments

Committee Member Bulbulyan requested clarification on what the current position of the State is in regard to delivery. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** read section 5416(d) of the Bureau's regulations which provides, "The delivery employee may deliver to any jurisdiction within the state of California, provided that such delivery is conducted in compliance with all delivery provisions of this division."

Committee Member Nichitta commented that the regulation allows businesses to deliver into jurisdictions that may have bans or restrictions on deliveries from outside the jurisdiction. He added that he supports the local governments' position on this regulation and asked that this

recommendation be voted on separately and not combined with any of the subcommittee's other recommendations. **Chair Ferro** responded that each of the delivery subcommittee's recommendations will be voted on separately.

Committee Member Yu commented that the subcommittee did hear public comment from attendees on behalf of local jurisdictions and tried to find a middle ground between local control and patient access.

Committee Member Woolsey asked if the "reasonable parameters" language in the recommendation meant that a ban on deliveries is not reasonable but other restrictions are and if local jurisdictions would still be allowed to enforce local control. He added that while a delivery business may not be in violation of state law, it could still be in violation of local regulation if the local jurisdiction has banned deliveries from businesses outside the jurisdiction.

Committee Member Heidelberg responded that the subcommittee's purpose behind this recommendation was that if a local jurisdiction allows alcohol to be delivered from outside its jurisdiction, or narcotics, or any other item, the same should be allowed for cannabis and cannabis goods.

Chair Ferro requested clarification on if the subcommittee was referring to patient access to medicinal cannabis and cannabis goods by delivery or delivery of cannabis goods (both adult-use and medicinal) in general. **Committee Member Yu** responded that the subcommittee discussed both components and that the recommendation was to make sure there is sufficient access for patients to get their medicine as well as for Californians who voted yes for Proposition 64 (Prop 64) to access adult-use cannabis.

Vice-Chair Todd requested clarification if the businesses would still be subject to rules and laws of the local jurisdictions that they deliver into. **Committee Member Yu** responded that would be correct.

Committee Member Williams commented that "reasonable" is not defined anywhere in the recommendation, which makes the recommendation very subjective. She suggested that there be a definition of "reasonable" within the regulations that would be standard so that different interpretations do not arise.

Committee Member Hirata commented it would be business owners' responsibility to make sure that they comply with both state and local laws. **Committee Member Yu** added that local jurisdictions have certain land use authorities that provide them local control over areas such as hours of operations, type of business, and location of businesses.

Committee Member Woolsey commented that this is a difficult issue because on the one hand, he supports local governments' ability to control what happens in their jurisdictions. On the other hand, lack of access is one of the driving forces behind the illicit markets. He thanked the subcommittee for bringing this discussion forward.

Committee Member Heidelberg commented that the subcommittee added the "reasonable" to prohibit local jurisdictions from creating regulations or laws that would make it impossible or difficult to access cannabis or cannabis goods.

Committee Member Stephenson commented that access should be allowed for every citizen that wants it and if local municipalities wish to restrict access, they cannot license commercial cannabis businesses, but should not determine what individuals do in the privacy of their homes.

Committee Member Wu asked if voting no on the recommendation means keeping the current

regulations as is which allows “unfettered access” and delivery anywhere in the state and if voting yes means to create a definition of “reasonable” for the purposes of local control.

Committee Member Heidelberg responded that since the Committee is advisory and does not have authority to make changes to regulations, this recommendation is to support the current regulations on delivery as written.

Chair Ferro commented that since there is no definition of “reasonable rules for local control” the recommendation as written would take away from the current delivery regulations because it would allow local jurisdictions to restrict delivery in some way while the current regulations clearly states businesses are allowed to deliver in any jurisdiction.

Committee Member Heidelberg responded that the recommendation can be amended to remove the “reasonable parameters” language. **Committee Member Yu** commented that she would be okay with that amendment as well.

Committee Member Nicchitta commented that his interpretation of the recommendation is that a vote yes means to support the current regulations as written with the notation that if the local jurisdiction has additional reasonable restrictions on delivery, that would be allowed. He added that a vote no, to him, means to not take any position, affirmative or negative, on the current regulations. He stated that he does not believe the current regulations prohibit local control.

Committee Member Heidelberg asked the other delivery subcommittee members if they recalled from the subcommittee meeting the day before hearing from a city representative that the city banned delivery of adult-use cannabis. **Committee Member Yu** confirmed that was correct. **Committee Member Heidelberg** stated that if a city can ban delivery in any way, that is not unfettered access.

Committee Member Yu motioned to amend the delivery subcommittee’s Recommendation #1 to remove “with reasonable parameters of local control” and move the recommendation forward.

Committee Member Heidelberg seconded the motion.

Committee Member Bulbulyan requested clarification on if a yes vote means supporting the current delivery regulations that a state licensed delivery business is allowed to deliver into other jurisdictions even if that jurisdiction has a ban on commercial cannabis activities. **Committee Member Yu** responded that was correct.

Vice-Chair Todd requested clarification if the existing regulations state that local jurisdictions cannot ban deliveries from outside their jurisdictions even if they only allow deliveries from businesses located in their jurisdictions. **Committee Member Yu** responded that was correct and added that at the August 20, 2018 advisory meeting, the Committee voted in support of this same regulation, so it is not something new that the Committee has not seen or discussed before.

Public Comment: 2 comments

Jamel: Commented that non-storefront retail delivery businesses are one of the lowest barriers of entry for entrepreneurs, specifically those from disenfranchised communities and it’s important to think about how regulations affect social equity licensees and applicants.

Luis Rivera: Mr. Rivera commented that there needs to be less regulation, not more, as heavy regulation is one of the biggest contributors to the illegal market.

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the Delivery Subcommittee’s amended Recommendation #1 passed on a 14-1 vote. 1 member abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams			6		
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Recommendation #2: Remove the address from the delivery request receipt for non-storefront retailers, specifically to strike the term “address” from Section 5420(a)(1) of the Bureau’s regulations.

Committee Comment: 2 Comments

Committee Member Nevedal motioned for the Committee to approve the delivery subcommittee’s Recommendation #2. **Committee Member Leff** seconded the motion.

Public Comment: 0 Comments

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the Delivery Subcommittee’s Recommendation #2 passed on a 13-2 vote. 1 member abstained.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro		6			
Kristin Heidelberg					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams		6			
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Recommendation #3: Support the 10:00PM cutoff with the active order that sales transaction must be made before the 10:00PM cutoff but allow local jurisdictions to implement reasonable cutoffs.

Committee Comment: 4 Comments

Committee Member Wu requested clarification on the term “reasonable” in the recommendation.

Committee Member Yu responded that that she is open to amending the recommendation to “Support the 10:00PM cutoff with the active order that sales transactions must be made before the 10:00PM cutoff.”

Committee Member Nevedal motioned for the Committee to approve the amended recommendation. **Committee Member Bulbulyan** seconded the motion.

Public Comment: 1 Comment

Public Commenter: Commented that the earlier the legal market is forced to close; the more support rises for the illicit market.

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the Delivery Subcommittee’s Recommendation #3 passed on a 16-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

6. Discussion and Possible Action to Approve, Modify, or Reject the Subcommittee on Social Equity’s Recommendations

James Sweeney, Chair, Subcommittee on Social Equity

Committee Member Sweeney presented the Social Equity Subcommittee’s recommendations to the Committee.

Recommendation #1: Licensing authorities collect and provide demographic data on applicants and licensees to the Committee and public, to the extent provided by law, including ownership and employee demographic data.

Committee Comment: 8 Comments

Committee Member Sweeney motioned to approve the recommendation. **Committee Member Huffman** seconded the motion.

Committee Member Nicchitta asked if the State collects this type of demographic data in their license application processes. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the State does not.

Committee Member Sweeney commented that was the reason for this recommendation and that collecting this data would allow the Committee to better inform public policy.

Committee Member Nevedal asked commented that since the State does not currently gather this information and licensees are not required to provide this information, the recommendation may require technological changes to the licensing authorities' application as well as an additional requirement for licensees to continuously update their staffing records. She added that she is unsure how the costs to licensing authorities to apply these changes to their applications and online portals will trickle back down on the licensees with increased fees.

Chair Ferro commented that he was under the impression that this data would be collected on social equity applicants and licensees for the purposes of making sure those groups identified as social equity communities are participating in the legal cannabis industry. He added that he did not believe the data would be collected for non-social equity applicants and licensees.

Vice-Chair Todd commented that her interpretation of the recommendation was to get a better understanding of the ownership and employment data in the legal cannabis industry to determine the success of local equity programs that are currently operating. She added that she does not believe the recommendation determines how the licensing authorities collect the data.

Public Comment: 2 Comments

Yvonne: Commented that is important that this demographic data be gathered because it may show that a lot of the businesses are not owned by members from disenfranchised groups or that the businesses do not employ individuals from the communities where these businesses are located.

Public Commenter: Commented that the Committee should be mindful to not rely on the six federal categorizations of race and two categorizations of gender as there are more disenfranchised groups than that who should be considered under the umbrella of social equity.

Additional Committee Comment: 3 Comments

Chair Ferro asked if the State has broader guidelines than the federal government in relation to protected classes and if the licensing authorities follow the state guidelines or federal guidelines if they were to collect this data. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that the licensing authorities would typically follow the State's requirements.

Committee Member Nicchitta commented it would be beneficial to have an organization collect and analyze the data versus the State just collecting numbers that may not convey the nuances that can arise from the demographic data collected.

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the Social Equity Subcommittee's Recommendation #1 passed on a 16-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					
Jeff Ferro	6				
Kristin Heidelberg					
Eric Hirata					
Alice Huffman					
Catherine Jacobson					
Arnold Leff					
Kristin Lynch					
Kristin Nevedal					
Joe Nicchitta					
LaVonne Peck					
Matt Rahn					
Keith Stephenson					
James Sweeney					
Tamar Todd					
Helena Williams	6				
David Woolsey					
Ben Wu					
Beverly Yu					

Recommendation #2: The Bureau, in conjunction with the other state agencies, form a statewide social equity program that seeks to collect data and develop criteria, from social equity applicants and local social equity programs. Audit and enforce the local equity programs, make recommendations to the legislative body and other regulatory bodies, including recommendations regarding financial support such as fee deferments, loans, and other financial support regarding level of funding for the social equity program. Provide technical assistance and solicit input from communities most impacted by the war on drugs, including priority processing, workforce development, and educational opportunities. In addition, funds should also be used to help with legal assistance for past cannabis convictions.

Committee Comments: 8 Comments

Committee Member Nicchitta suggested adding to the recommendation that the State consider tax rebates for social equity applicants. **Committee Member Sweeney** motioned to amend the recommendation to include tax rebates for social equity applicants. **Chair Ferro** seconded the

motion.

Vice-Chair Todd requested clarification if tax rebates would be under the purview of the legislature. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that some of the aspects of the recommendation would have to be changed at the legislature but also clarified that certain taxes such as the excise tax and cultivation tax, were created by Proposition 64, and to change that would require legislative action.

Vice-Chair Todd suggested amending the recommendation to state “make recommendations to the legislative body and other regulatory bodies regarding level of funding for social equity programs, including tax rebates for social equity applicants.”

Committee Member Sweeney commented that the language is permissive and not mandatory and warned the Committee to not get too technical in the wording.

Committee Member Nicchitta commented that he has attended legislative committee meetings and noted that the authors of proposed cannabis bills did refer to discussions and recommendations that the Committee has put forward. He added that it is still important for the Committee to send recommendations to the legislature as well as to the regulatory authorities.

Public Comments: 12 Comments

Kathy Smith: Ms. Smith commented that the recommendations and ideas the Committee is putting forth are great, but if the local jurisdictions do not enforce it, then it won't happen unless the State steps in and creates a program.

Public Commenter: Expressed support for the recommendation and urged the Committee to continue making recommendations that encourage transparency and more resources for social equity candidates.

Aasf Moses Sobers: Mr. Sobers commented that he has been affected by the War on Drugs and that it is important that individuals such as himself have an opportunity to participate in the new legal market.

Janet Sobers: Ms. Sobers expressed support for the recommendation and added that social equity programs provide a future to those most impacted by the War on Drugs.

Jamel: Commented that there needs to be cooperation between the public, the government, and social equity activists in the cannabis industry to truly make social equity programs work.

Public Commenter: Expressed concern that the recommendation and the discussion surrounding social equity does not include tribal communities.

Lisa: Commented that there needs to be more data collected regarding issues in dependency courts and the use of cannabis by minors for medicinal reasons.

Luis Rivera: Mr. Rivera commented that the income guidelines used to determine social equity applicants should be considered at the time of the individuals arrest or conviction because if someone is able to better themselves, they would now not be considered under the area of social equity even though they were a victim of the War on Drugs. He added that he believed anyone who has been arrested, charged, or convicted of a cannabis crime in California should get an automatic license.

Hassan: Commented that it is everyone's responsibility – the State, local jurisdictions, and the public – to come together in order to create social equity programs that will help disenfranchised groups. He added that there needs to be more oversight on some of the social equity incubators because the contracts and agreements that they present to social equity applicants are predatory

and applicants do not have the resources or knowledge to analyze these contracts.

Mustafa: Commented that the criminal records need to be expunged and that social equity applicants need decent contracts, not predatory ones.

Nicky McKinney: Ms. McKinney commented that communities and families need a commitment from the State to prioritize youth and families and allocate funds and resources to help communities disproportionately affected by the War on Drugs.

George: Commented that as a veteran, cannabis helped him when he returned home and it's important that veterans have access to cannabis as a way to alleviate problems and illnesses associated with serving in the military.

Additional Committee Comment: 4 Comments

Chair Ferro asked for the final amended motion to be read again. The amended motion was read as follows:

“The Bureau, in conjunction with other state agencies, form a statewide social equity program that seeks to collect data and develop a criterion for social equity applicants and local social equity programs. Audit and enforce local equity programs, make recommendations to the legislative body and other regulatory bodies regarding level of funding for the social equity programs, including tax rebates for social equity applicants, financial support such as fee deferrals, loans, and other financial support. Provide technical assistance and solicit input from communities most impacted by the War on Drugs. Include priority processing for social equity applicants, focus on workforce development, and educational opportunities. Additionally, funds should be used to help with legal assistance with past cannabis convictions.”

Committee Member Yu asked if an equity applicant must have a local license or permit to obtain a state cannabis license. **Asst. Chief Counsel Colson** responded that for temporary licenses that were issued, an applicant needed authorization from the local jurisdiction to conduct commercial cannabis activities. For annual licenses, the State cannot issue a license if doing so would be in violation of a local jurisdiction's ordinance. She clarified that these rules applied to all applicants – not just equity applicants.

Committee Member Yu commented that seventy-six percent of local jurisdictions do not allow retail businesses to operate in their jurisdictions so it's important to research not just how many licenses have been issued but how many are issued to social equity applicants.

Roll call was taken, the motion to approve the Social Equity Subcommittee's amended Recommendation #2 passed on a 16-0 vote.

NAME	YEA	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT	RECUSAL
Avis Bulbulyan					
Timmen Cermak					
Matt Clifford					
Bill Dombrowski					

Jeff Ferro 6

Kristin Heidelberg

Eric Hirata

Alice Huffman

Catherine Jacobson

Arnold Leff

Kristin Lynch

Kristin Nevedal

Joe Nicchitta

LaVonne Peck

Matt Rahn

Keith Stephenson

James Sweeney

Tamar Todd

Helena Williams 6

David Woolsey

Ben Wu

Beverly Yu

7. Review of Licensing Authorities' Statistical Update

The Committee reviewed a statistical update from the licensing authorities.

Committee Comment: 2 Comments

Committee Member Nicchitta asked if the batches of cannabis goods that failed testing due to label claims were failed because of misinformation or because the label did not match the product in the package.

Bureau Chief Ajax responded that was correct, a cannabis product is sent to a testing laboratory with the package and label already placed by a manufacturer. If the contents from the test results do not match the label, the product would fail testing and need to be sent back to the manufacturer because distributors at the time were not able to change the label in these situations. She added that the Bureau, after working with CDPH, changed its regular regulations to allow distributors to relabel cannabis goods if the cannabinoid content on the label does not match results from the testing laboratory.

8. Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

Public Comment: 3 Comments

Public Commenter: Commented that cannabis lounges should be allowed.

Kathy Smith: Ms. Smith commented that the Bureau needs a more hands on approach when

dealing with conflicting regulations between the State and local jurisdictions.

Richard Almarez: Sgt. Almarez commented that there needs to be less restrictions on cannabis use and consumption.

9. Discussion on Future Agenda Items and Possible Action on Creating Next Meeting's Agenda

Committee Comment: 17 Comments

Committee Member Leff suggested that the Bureau or CDPH report to the Committee how they will assure quality and decrease variability in the cannabis testing industry. He also suggested adding a discussion on allowing cannabis lounges.

Chair Ferro commented that cannabis lounges are allowed, but they cannot sell non-cannabis goods per regulations. **Committee Member Leff** responded that a lounge or café that cannot sell food or beverage is not a lounge.

Committee Member Bulbulyan suggested continuing discussion on tribal communities' involvement in the cannabis industry.

Committee Member Sweeney agreed with **Committee Member Bulbulyan** and suggested creating a subcommittee to discuss this topic.

Committee Member Huffman suggested an agenda item on veterans. **Committee Member Leff** agreed with **Committee Member Huffman's** suggestion and added that a big issue is getting the Veterans Administration to be allowed to recommend cannabis as medicine to veterans, which would require federal government intervention.

Chair Ferro solicited interest for potential future subcommittees. Members stated which subcommittees they would be interested in serving on.

Vice-Chair Todd reminded the Committee that they approved to reconvene the enforcement and public safety subcommittee at the March 27, 2019 advisory meeting.

Committee Member Bulbulyan asked when and where the next advisory meeting would take place. **Chair Ferro** responded that the logistics of the next meeting were in progress.

Committee Member Williams suggested combining the public health and youth subcommittee with the veterans subcommittee. **Chair Ferro** responded that was possible.

Committee Member Bulbulyan asked if the subcommittees will be meeting at the next advisory meeting or at another time. **Chair Ferro** responded that the subcommittees could meet the day before the advisory meeting, similar to this meeting, or a separate meeting can be organized. He added he will work with Bureau staff on each option.

Committee Member Nevedal suggested having an informational presentation by a representative of the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) to present on LAO's tax report so the Committee can make recommendations on future tax programs.

Committee Member Williams referred back to her suggestion to combine the veterans subcommittee with the public health and youth subcommittee and explained that the issue of cannabis use by veterans is a public health issue and fits more under that subcommittee's scope.

Public Comment: 1 Comment

Public Commenter: Expressed concern with **Committee Member Williams'** suggestion to combine the veterans subcommittee with the public health and youth subcommittee and added

that while it is a public health issue, the two areas are too different and should be discussed in separate environments.

Adjournment: 3:23 PM