

To: Larry Geraci[Larry@tfcisd.net]
From: Darryl Cotton
Sent: Fri 3/17/2017 2:15:50 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Contract Review
Received: Fri 3/17/2017 2:15:56 PM

Court's Ex. **069**
Case # 37-2017-00010073-CU-BC-CTL
Rec'd _____
Dept. **C-73** Clk. _____

Larry, I received your text asking to meet in person tomorrow. I would prefer that until we have final agreements, that we converse exclusively via email. My greatest concern is that you will get a denial on the CUP application and not provide the remaining \$40,000 non-refundable deposit. To be frank, I feel that you are not dealing with me in good faith, you told me repeatedly that you could not submit a CUP application until certain zoning issues had been resolved and that you had spent hundreds of thousands of dollars on getting them resolved. You lied to me, I found out yesterday from the City of San Diego that you submitted a CUP application on October 31, 2016 BEFORE we even signed our agreement on the 2nd of November. There is no situation where an oral agreement will convince me that you are dealing with me in good faith and will honor our agreement. We need a final written, legal, binding agreement.

Please confirm, as requested, by 12:00 PM Monday that you are honoring our agreement and will have final drafts (reflecting completely the below) by Wednesday at 12:00 PM.

It is unfortunate that matters have turned out like this, but hearing from the city that the application had been submitted before our deal was signed and that it is already under review, meaning you have been lying to me for months, forces me to take this course of action.

Again, please respond to this email so that there is a clear record of our conversations from this point forward or at least until we have final executed documents.

-Darryl

On Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 8:23 PM, Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com> wrote:

Larry,

My apologies ahead of time as I am going to provide frank comments on the agreement so that we can finalize it and get this closed. And, so that you understand where I am coming from, just want to lay out a few of our milestones.

Throughout October we had discussions regarding the sale of my property. We met on 11/2 and agreed upon an \$800,000 purchase price, a \$50,000 non-refundable deposit, a 10% equity stake with a monthly guaranteed minimum \$10,000 payment and to definitive agreements that contained a few other conditions (e.g., I stay at the property if the CUP is issued until construction starts). We executed a good faith agreement that day stating the sale of the property was for the \$800,000 and that as a sign of good faith, you were providing a \$10,000 deposit towards the required \$50,000 non-refundable deposit. That same day you scanned and emailed to me the agreement and I replied and noted that the agreement did not contain the 10% equity stake in the dispensary. I asked you to please respond and confirm via email that a condition of the sale was my 10% equity stake. You did not respond and confirm the 10% as I requested.

Almost 4 months later, on 2/27, you forwarded a draft purchase agreement for the property that again did not contain the agreed upon 10% equity stake, it also does not mention the remaining \$40,000 towards the non-refundable deposit. I called you about this and we spoke.

On 3/2, you forwarded a draft Side Agreement that again did not contain the 10% equity stake. I replied the next day on 3/3 raising the 10% equity issue and attaching the draft services agreement that I drafted that contains some of the terms we had agreed upon.

On 3/7, email below, you forwarded a revised Side Agreement that did contain the 10% equity stake, but in the body of the email you requested that the \$10,000 minimum monthly payment be held off until month 7 and that months 1-6 be reduced to \$5,000 a month. I know from our conversations that you have spent over \$300,000 on lobbying and zoning

efforts for this property, which has caused you to be strapped for cash. However, I am not in a position to take a \$5,000 reduction for 6 months.

The long and short of it, we started these negotiations 4 months ago and the drafts and our communications have not reflected what we agreed upon and are still far from reflecting our original agreement. Here is my proposal, please have your attorney Gina revise the Purchase Agreement and Side Agreement to incorporate all the terms we have agreed upon so that we can execute final versions and get this closed.

Please have these terms incorporated into revised drafts:

- The remaining \$40,000 deposit, which is nonrefundable in the event you choose to not close on the property if the CUP is denied. And which is to be provided upon execution of the final agreements.
- If the CUP is granted, my business can remain at the property until the city has finalized the plans and construction begins at the property.
- A 10% equity stake with a minimum guaranteed monthly distribution of \$10,000, whichever is greater.
- A clause that my 10% equity stake carries with it consent rights for any material decisions. Those items that are to require my consent can be standard minority consent rights, but basically that my consent is required for large decisions like the issuance of employee bonus and for agreements with suppliers and vendors that are not done on an arm-lengths basis. A friend of mine said that these are standard "Minority Shareholder Protection Rights."
- A provision requiring that upon the creation of the formation and governance documents of the CUP entity, that there is a requirement that the accounting is to be done by a third-party accounting firm that will also be responsible for calculating my 10% monthly equity distributions.
- The incorporation of all the terms in the MOU that I created that Gina references in the draft purchase agreement.
- Please have Gina delete the clause in the purchase agreement that says both you and I had our own counsel review the agreement. You told me I could just communicate with Gina and though I tried to engage an attorney, I did not ultimately do so for cost reasons.

The intent of all this is to ensure that the agreement we have agreed upon can be executed and verified. Having said all this, I really want to finalize this as soon as possible - I found out today that a CUP application for my property was submitted in October, which I am assuming is from someone connected to you. Although, I note that you told me that the \$40,000 deposit balance would be paid once the CUP was submitted and that you were waiting on certain zoning issues to be resolved. Which is not the case.

Ultimately, the main point is that we were supposed to execute our agreements as soon as possible so that I could receive the total \$50,000 non-refundable deposit and you would take the risk of the non-approval of the CUP. If this keeps dragging on and we do not finalize and execute our agreements, then you may get a denial from the city on the CUP and then simply walk away. At that point, the property having been denied, no other party would be willing to take on that risk. If you are not willing to take on that risk as originally agreed upon, please let me know as there are other parties who would match your terms and be willing to take on that risk.

Please confirm by Monday 12:00 PM whether we are on the same page and you plan to continue with our agreement. Or, if not, so I can return your \$10,000 of the \$50,000 required deposit. If, hopefully, we can work through this, please confirm that revised final drafts that incorporate the terms above will be provided by Wednesday at 12:00 PM. I promise to review and provide comments that same day so we can execute the same or next day.

In anticipation of your reply, I remain,

Darryl Cotton

On Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 12:05 PM, Larry Geraci <Larry@tfcisd.net> wrote:

Hi Daryl,

I have not reviewed this yet but wanted you to look at it and give me your thoughts. Talking to Matt, the 10k a month might be difficult to hit until the sixth month....can we do 5k, and on the seventh month start 10k?

Best Regards,

Larry E. Geraci, EA

Tax & Financial Center, Inc

5402 Ruffin Rd, Ste 200

San Diego, Ca 92123

Web: Larrygeraci.com

Bus: [858.576.1040](tel:858.576.1040)

Fax: [858.630.3900](tel:858.630.3900)

Circular 230 Disclaimer:

IRS regulations require us to advise you that, unless otherwise specifically noted, any federal tax advice in this communication (including any attachments, enclosures, or other accompanying materials) was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties; furthermore, this communication was not intended or written to support the promotion or marketing of any of the transactions or matters it addresses. This email is considered a confidential communication and is intended for the person or firm identified above. If you have received this in error, please contact us at [\(858\)576-1040](tel:858.576.1040) and return this to us or destroy it immediately. If you are in possession of this confidential information, and you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized disclosure, copying, distribution or dissemination of the contents hereof is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender of this facsimile immediately and arrange for the return or destruction of this facsimile and all attachments.