```
1
                    SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
               COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION
 3
    Department 73
                                       Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil
 4
 5
    LARRY GERACI, an individual, )
 6
              Plaintiff,
                                   ) 37-2017-00010073-CU-BC-CTL
 7
      vs.
    DARRYL COTTON, an individual;
8
9
    and DOES 1 through 10,
                                    )
10
     inclusive,
11
              Defendants.
12
13
    AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.
14
15
16
               Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings
17
                            JULY 9, 2019
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
    Reported By:
25
    Margaret A. Smith
26
    CSR 9733, RPR, CRR
2.7
    Certified Shorthand Reporter
28
    Job No. 10057775
```

1 **APPEARANCES** 2 FOR PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANT LARRY GERACI AND 3 CROSS-DEFENDANT REBECCA BERRY: FERRIS & BRITTON 4 5 BY: MICHAEL R. WEINSTEIN, ESQUIRE 6 BY: SCOTT H. TOOTHACRE, ESQUIRE 7 BY: ELYSSA K. KULAS, ESQUIRE 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 8 9 San Diego, California 92101 mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com 10 11 stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com 12 ekulas@ferrisbritton.com 13 14 FOR DEFENDANT AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT DARRYL COTTON: 15 ATTORNEY AT LAW 16 BY: JACOB P. AUSTIN, ESQUIRE 17 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 500 18 San Diego, California 92108 619.357.6850 19 20 jpa@jacobaustinesq.com 21 22 FOR FIROUZEH TIRANDAZI: 23 OFFICE OF THE SAN DIEGO CITY ATTORNEY 2.4 BY: M. TRAVIS PHELPS 25 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 100 26 San Diego, California 92101 2.7 619.533.5800 28 mphelps@sandiego.gov

1	INDEX		
2		PAGE	
3	WITNESSES:		
4	ABHAY SCHWEITZER	16	
5	Resumed direct by Mr. Toothacre Cross by Mr. Austin Redirect by Mr. Toothacre	60 81	
6	FIROUZEH TIRANDAZI	01	
7	Direct by Mr. Toothacre Cross by Mr. Austin	84 108	
8		200	
9	JOSEPH HURTADO Direct by Mr. Austin Cross by Mr. Toothacre	131 153	
10	cross by Mr. Toothacre	153	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			- 1

				,
1		INDEX		
2				
3	EXHII	BITS	IDENTIFIED /	ADMITTED
4 5	11	Draft Memorandum of Understanding between Cotton and GERL		161
6 7	50	Email to Larry Geraci and Rebecca Berry from Abhay Schweitzer Re Update, dated 12/02/16	17	17
8 9	57	CUP Submittal Plans - CUP Submittal 1 dated 02/22/2017	18	18
10 11	58	Cycle Issues Responses for Completeness Review dated 02/24/2017	21	21
12 13	65	Email to Abhay Schweitzer from Firouzeh Tirandazi FW PTS 520606 - Federal Boulevard MMCC	22	22
14 15	66	dated 3/14/17 Letter from City of San Diego to Barbara Harris dated 03/14/2017		23
16	67	Email from Jim Bartell to Gina Austin dated 03/15/2017	24	24
17	68	Email to Darryl Cotton from Firouzeh Tirandazi re PTS 520600 Federal Blvd MMCC, dated 3/16/1		92
19 20	80	Email to Larry Geraci from Abhay Schweitzer Re: form needed, date	y 25	25
21 22	86	3/22/17 Email from Tirandazi to Schweit: dated 04/03/17	zer 26	26
23	88	88 Picture of Posted Notice of Application on property fence o	29 £	29
2425		6176 Federal Blvd. with cell photime screen shot, dated 94/94/20 at 8:58 p.m.	one	
26 27	91	City of San Diego Verification of Posting Public Notice signed by Firouzeh Tirandazi, dated 4/04/2		30
28		,		

1		I N D E X (continued)			
2						
3	EXHIBITS IDEN		NTIFIED /	TIFIED / ADMITTED		
4 5 6	95	Email to Larry Geraci and Jim Bartell from Abhay Schweitzer Fwd: 520606 - Draft Cycle Issues, dated 5/10/17 with attached Draft City of San Diego Cycle Issues	31	31		
7 8	96	Email to Firouzeh Tirandazi from Darryl Cotton re Federal Blvd. Application, dated 5/15/17	97	97		
9	97	Email from Larry Geraci to Abhay Schweitzer, dated 05/15/2017	33	33		
11	98	Letter from City of SD to Abhay Schweitzer dated 05/19/2017	35	35		
12 13	102	Email from Ben Peterson to Abhay Schweitzer dated 07/10/2017 re: Geotechnical Investigation proposa	36 1	36		
14 15 16	104	Email to Larry Geraci, Jim Bartell and Gina Austin from Abhay Schweitzer Fwd: 6176 Federal Blvd: Zoning Distance Requirement, dated 7/17/17	, 37	37		
17 18	105	Email from Jim Bartell to Abhay Schweitzer dated 7/24/17 re: Geotechnical Investigation	39	39		
19 20	106	Email to Rodney Bruce from Firouzeh Tirandazi Re PTC 520606, dated 8/09/17	100	100		
21 22	107	Email to Firouzeh Tirandazi from Abhay Schweitzer Re PTC 520606, dated 8/10/17	103	103		
23 24	108	Email from Abhay Schweitzer to Firouzeh Tirandazi dated 08/15/2017 - 08/17/17	42	42		
25	110	TECHNE Cycle Issues Responses, dated 09/07/2017	43	43		
26 27	111	TECHNE Draft Findings for 6176 Federal Blvd., dated 09/07/2017	44	44		
28						

	TTAITSCI	ipt of Froceedings		aci vs. Cotton, et al.
1				
2	I N D E X (continued)			
3				
4	EXHIBITS IDENTIF			/ ADMITTED
5	112	TECHNE Cycle Issues Responses, dated 09/11/2017	40	40
6	113	Email to Larry Geraci, Rebecca	44	44
7	113	Berry, Gina Austin, Michael Weinstein, and Jim Bartell from	1	
8		Abhay Schweitzer re Federal Blv Resubmitted, dated 9/6/17	rd.	
9	114		105	105
10		Glavinic from Firouzeh Tirandaz dated September 20, 2017		
11	124	SCST Geotechnical Investigation Reported dated 06/08/2018	n 46	46
12	125	TECHNE Draft Findings for	48	48
13		6176 Federal Blvd., dated 06/11/2018		
14 15	126	TECHNE Cycle Issues Responses: LDR - Geology dated 06/12/2018	48	48
16	136	CUP Submittal Plans - CUP Submittal 3 dated 06/18/2018	149	149
17 18	147	TECHNE Expense Summary with Supporting Documentation	58	58
19	149	TECHNE Appeal of Project 598124	54	54
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

```
1
           July 9, 2019; San Diego, California; 8:50 a.m.
 2
                    Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil
 3
                              -- 000 --
 4
              THE COURT: Counsel, let's spend a few moments
     before we bring the jury in. As I'm making my way
 5
     through the jury instructions, I have come across some
 6
     interesting issues, one of which is the instructions
 7
 8
     involving expert witness testimony.
 9
              Now, I understand that one or both of you have
10
     said nobody was designated to testify as an expert, but,
11
     clearly, Ms. Austin, probably Mr. Schweitzer -- I don't
12
     know if there's others. But there has been what I would
13
     normally characterize as expert opinion testimony
14
    provided by the witnesses.
15
              Now, there is the lay opinion instruction, 223.
16
     But we're going to have to spend a little time sorting
17
     out that.
18
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
19
              THE COURT: So I'm including those types of
20
     instructions in the batch that you -- sometime before
21
     the close of business today.
22
              There's other examples, but I just wanted to
     note that while it was at the top of my mind.
23
              Now, let me go back to plaintiff's counsel.
24
25
     How much longer will you have with Mr. Schweitzer?
26
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm quessing an hour.
2.7
              THE COURT: You need another hour with
     Mr. Schweitzer?
28
```

```
1
              MR. TOOTHACRE: We're just getting into what
 2
    he's done. I've cut out a bunch this morning. So I'm
 3
    not sure.
              THE COURT: All right. So you're not going to
 4
 5
     be going back over a lot of the same documents that had
     been previously admitted into evidence?
 6
 7
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to start where I
     started yesterday -- no. I'm just kidding. No, we're
 8
 9
    not.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. So you have an hour with
11
    him.
12
              And let me go to defense counsel.
13
             How much time will you have with cross?
             MR. AUSTIN: Thirty to 40 minutes.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Well, that sounds like
     he'll be with us until the morning break.
16
17
              And then who does Plaintiff have lined up after
18
     Mr. Schweitzer?
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Firouzeh Tirandazi will be
19
20
    here, your Honor.
21
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I've asked her to be here
22
     through her attorney at 9:45. So she should be here in
23
    plenty of time.
              THE COURT: But your estimate was a half hour
24
25
     or so?
26
              MR. TOOTHACRE: She is not lengthy.
2.7
              THE COURT: And then how about cross?
28
              MR. AUSTIN: Cross will be short. Like, no
```

Transcript of Proceedings

```
1
    more than 20 minutes.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. And is that plaintiff's
 3
     last witness in your case?
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Subject to --
 4
              THE COURT: Subject to Mr. Bartell tomorrow
 5
    morning?
 6
 7
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. So who will you be calling
 8
9
    after the second witness by the plaintiffs?
10
              MR. AUSTIN:
                           That would be Mr. Hurtado. Would
11
     it be safe to say I should tell him to be here at 1:30?
12
              THE COURT: No. I think it's safe to tell him
13
    to be here by about 11 o'clock.
14
              MR. AUSTIN: 11 o'clock.
15
              THE COURT: Yeah. So even if we don't have as
16
    much as an hour, I suspect we're going to have something
    before the lunch hour that I want to take advantage of.
17
18
              And you're going to spend how much time with
19
    Mr. Hurtado?
20
             MR. AUSTIN: Possibly two hours.
2.1
              THE COURT: Two hours. Okay.
22
              And then how about cross?
23
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, so that's,
    obviously, Mr. Austin's estimate. I think Mr. Hurtado
2.4
25
     is a short witness because I think he has very little
26
    personal knowledge of the facts. So I think he's going
2.7
    to be much shorter. And my cross will be short if his
    direct is short. It depends.
28
```

```
1
              THE COURT: I gotcha. That's reasonable.
              And is he your last witness today, Counsel, or
 2
 3
     do you have somebody else? Well, you have Mr. Cotton.
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. Mr. Cotton.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Now, is it your intention to call
     him before, or after Mr. Bartell?
 6
 7
              MR. AUSTIN: Oh. I mean, I would have
     preferred after, but if that doesn't work for the Court.
 8
9
              THE COURT: The Court's intention is not to
10
     squeeze, in this case, the defense to make you call
11
     witnesses that you prefer not to have to call only
12
     because Plaintiff has one witness that you want extra
13
     time to call.
              So I don't mind ending a little bit earlier
14
15
     today if I'm being told by counsel that the last two
     witnesses in the case would be Mr. Bartell and then
16
17
     Plaintiff rests and Mr. Cotton and then defense will
18
     rest. Is that correct?
19
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: How much time do you estimate
21
     you'll have -- let me go back over here.
22
              How much time with Mr. Bartell on direct?
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm thinking 30 to 45 minutes
23
2.4
     at the most.
25
              THE COURT: And how about you on cross?
26
              MR. AUSTIN: Half that.
2.7
              THE COURT: How about Mr. Cotton, when it's
28
     your turn for redirect?
```

```
1
              MR. AUSTIN: Forty-five minutes to an hour.
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: That's --
              THE COURT: There's nothing unreasonable about
 4
 5
     that.
              But, Counsel, what I'm hearing if -- well, is
 6
 7
     it your preference that you not have to call Mr. Cotton
     until after Mr. Bartell?
8
9
              MR. AUSTIN: I would like to hear what
10
     Mr. Bartell has to say. I would like to go after.
11
              THE COURT: All right. That's fine.
12
              So what we're going to tell the jury is they're
13
     going to have a short day today, sometime this afternoon
     is what I'm estimating.
14
15
              And then more than likely have a shorter day
16
     tomorrow, not come in on Thursday.
17
              And when they come here the first thing Monday
     morning on the 15th, I'll instruct, you two will argue,
18
19
     and they'll have the case early to mid afternoon, Monday
20
     afternoon.
21
              Counsel, once I tell them that, it's laid in
22
     cement. All right. There is no deviating from that.
              So let me go to plaintiff's side. Is there
23
     anything that I just said that sounds unreasonable?
24
25
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
26
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              No.
2.7
              THE COURT: Okay. Defense side?
28
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
```

```
1
             All right. That's how we'll do it. Time
 2
    permitting either today or tomorrow afternoon we will
 3
     spend -- well, probably tomorrow afternoon, since you
    will not have had a chance to look at the instructions
 4
 5
     that I have put together.
 6
              So we'll take that up tomorrow.
 7
              Now, before -- Madam Deputy, do we have our
 8
     jurors?
9
              THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Wow. They have assembled before
11
     9 o'clock. Sounds like you have a captive audience,
12
    Counsel. That's always good news for the lawyers and
13
    the parties.
14
              And to go back to plaintiff's side, anything
15
    else before we bring our jury?
16
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I just need to grab our exhibit
17
    books out of the --
18
              THE COURT: Why don't you do that now, Counsel.
              Let me go to defense. Anything else before we
19
20
    bring our jury in?
21
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes. Madam Clerk said yesterday
     to prepare Exhibit 381. So I --
22
23
              THE CLERK:
                          281.
              THE COURT: 281. I don't know. What is it?
24
25
              THE CLERK: It was marked yesterday, your
26
    Honor.
            I just need to have --
2.7
              THE COURT: Okay. So lodge that with -- now,
28
     Counsel, did you have an extra copy for opposing
```

```
1
     counsel?
 2
              THE REPORTER: Your Honor, the reporter has a
 3
    question. Just regarding Thursday, will that be a day
     that's reported? The jury will be off?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Good question. Counsel, do you
 6
    want the reporter here on Thursday morning when we go
 7
    over the instructions?
 8
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I do not need a reporter for
9
    that.
10
              THE COURT: Counsel?
11
              MR. AUSTIN: Oh, I'm sorry?
12
              THE COURT: Do you waive reporting of the jury
13
     instruction conference on Thursday? I suspect, though,
14
    counsel that we may be able to accomplish all that on
15
    Wednesday and maybe relieve you -- you also of having to
16
    come in on Thursday.
17
              So but what I'm hearing is let's have the
18
    reporter here through and including but not necessary on
19
    Thursday?
20
              MR. AUSTIN: That sounds good, your Honor.
21
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              Sounds good, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: All right. So Madam Reporter --
23
    Counsel, do you have everything you need?
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes, sir.
2.4
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Schweitzer, where are you?
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
26
2.7
              THE COURT: Why don't you come up here and take
     the witness stand.
28
```

1 Madam Deputy, you can bring in the jury. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 3 THE COURT: All right. Good morning, everybody. I can't begin to tell you how good it is to 4 see every single one of you. So -- and we do have 5 6 everybody. Sometimes along the way, we lose one or two 7 people. Thank you. And I know you were out there a couple minutes before 9:00. We had a few books we had 8 9 to put away. So it took us a few extra moments. 10 sailing along. 11 And, again, I want to emphasize that I am 12 constantly conferring with counsel about scheduling. 13 soon as I get a more updated estimate, I want to provide 14 it to you. Nobody wants to be kept in the dark. 15 So what I'm anticipating is we'll have a shorter day today, sometime this afternoon, well before 16 17 4:30 where we will be done with today's evidence. 18 Similarly, I expect we'll have a shorter day 19 tomorrow, meaning 4:00, 4:30 when we will be done with 20 the evidence. There's one witness that isn't available until 21 22 tomorrow, which is why we're probably going to end 23 shorter today. And then I expect all the evidence will be done sometime tomorrow afternoon. 2.4 25 I'll then have to spend a significant amount of 26 time with the lawyers to finalize the jury instructions 2.7 and the verdict forms.

So you are going to be off on Thursday in

```
1
     addition, of course, to Friday.
 2
              And then when you come in Monday, the 15th,
 3
     I'll instruct you, meaning, I'll give you the law. The
     lawyers will argue it. And I expect you'll have the
 4
     case to begin your deliberations well before the close
 5
     of business on Monday, the 15th.
 6
 7
              So we are way ahead of what we originally told
     you when you came in here. And that's a tribute to how
 8
9
     well the lawyers and the parties are cooperating and us
10
     trying to get you the evidence that you need.
11
              So I just want to make sure you were aware of
12
     that at the beginning of today.
13
              Mr. Schweitzer, welcome back.
              You understand you're still under oath?
14
15
              THE WITNESS:
                            I do.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Don't strain your neck
17
     by leaning over to the microphone. If you need to
18
     adjust that, you feel free to do so.
19
              Counsel, please continue your direct
20
     examination.
21
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, your Honor.
22
23
                          Abhay Schweitzer,
     being called on behalf of the plaintiff/cross-defendant,
24
25
     having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:
     \ \ \
26
2.7
     | | |
     | | |
28
```

(Resumed direct examination of Abhay Schweitzer) 1 2 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 3 0 Good morning, Mr. Schweitzer. 4 Α Good morning. Can I direct your attention to Exhibit 49, 5 Q which I show is in evidence, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: It is. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 8 9 Did you author that email, Mr. Schweitzer? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. I would like to direct your attention to 0 12 the second full paragraph. I'm going to ask you what 13 you meant by that comment. 14 Α What I meant by that comment -- and it's 15 something that was covered earlier -- is that there was a conflict between the information bulletin and what the 16 17 Municipal Code says. So when we got the official 18 comments from staff, after they started reviewing the 19 merits of the project, they indicated that they would 20 not be able to support it since it was in the wrong 21 zone, based on the Municipal Code, not the information 2.2 bulletin. 23 And then the last paragraph on that particular exhibit, you indicate that other than that issue, you're 24 25 ready for full submittal? 26 Α Let me correct what I just said. 2.7 was prior to the full submittal. This was the comments

we received from staff after the submitted completeness

1 review. 2 Okay. And let me direct your 3 attention -- strike that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Our Honor, I'd like to move 4 into evidence Exhibit 50. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 7 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 50 will be admitted into 8 9 evidence. 10 MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, your Honor. 11 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 50, Email to Larry 12 Geraci and Rebecca Berry from Abhay Schweitzer Re Update, dated 12/02/16, with attachment, was 13 admitted into evidence.) 14 15 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: Mr. Schweitzer, Exhibit 50 appears to be an 16 Q 17 email string. The last one to Larry Geraci, Becky 18 Berry, and Jim Bartell, from you on December 2nd, 2016. 19 And I wanted to focus on the second paragraph again and 20 ask you if the City was still focusing on the conflict between the Bulletin 190 and the Municipal Code at this 21 22 point in time? 23 Α Yes. And what was your purpose in sending this --24 Q 25 this email on December 2nd, 2016? 26 Α The general purpose was to give the clients an 2.7 update on the status. 28 Okay. And the third paragraph, you indicate Q

```
1
     that there was some good news going?
 2
              Yes. We had submitted to the City the drawings
 3
     which proposed the dedication so that we could have more
     than 100 feet of separation from the residential zone.
 4
 5
     And there was no comment saying that they would not
 6
     accept that at this stage. And I thought that was good
 7
     news. And that's why I sent the email.
              And so, apparently, the City was not going to
8
         Q
9
     have you rectify or propose any other plans other than
10
     the dedication?
11
              Based on the information they gave us at that
12
     time, that's what we understood.
13
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Your Honor, I'd offer
     57.
14
15
              THE COURT: Any objection?
16
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 57 will be admitted into
17
18
     evidence.
19
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 57, CUP Submittal
20
              Plans - CUP Submittal 1 dated 02/22/2017,
              was admitted into evidence.)
21
22
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
23
         0
              Do you have that in front of you,
     Mr. Schweitzer?
24
25
              I do.
        Α
26
              And, generally, what is Exhibit 57? I mean,
         Q
27
     real generally. It's a 16-page document.
              Yes. So what this is -- what this exhibit is
28
         Α
```

is the copy of the drawings which we submitted as part 1 2 of the first full submittal after the completeness 3 review. And there are 16 documents in there. Can you 4 0 just generally describe what they are. 5 Page 1 is the title sheet. It's the 6 Α Yes. 7 general summary of the project. Page 2 of the exhibit are notes which reflect 8 9 conditions that this particular project would have to 10 meet in order for it to be approved. 11 On Page No. 3 of the exhibit is the topographic 12 survey, which we had discussed before. Page 4 is the existing site plan, which we've 13 also discussed before. 14 15 Page 5 is the proposed site plan, this being 16 more developed than the previous exhibits that we looked 17 at. 18 Page 6 deals with storm water prevention 19 measures during and after construction. 20 Page 7 shows, again, the dedication and the 21 full width of the right-of-way, which we previously 22 discussed, this one being in more detail. Page 8, this is the existing floor plan of the 23 24 building, as it stood at that time. 25 Page 9 is the proposed building, the floor plan 26 for that particular building. Page 10, this is a drawing -- another floor 2.7

plan. The purpose of it is to show that we're meeting

1 the egress requirements from the California Building 2 Code. 3 Page 11, this is a security diagram. These particular projects have very specific security 4 5 requirements. And we have to depict that graphically so that City staff can have evidence that we're meeting the 6 7 conditions which we have to meet that relate to the security of the facility. 8 9 Page 12 is the proposed roof plan. 10 Page 13 is a floor plan showing the proposed 11 lighting. Lighting is also a particular requirement on 12 these projects, which is why we prepared this drawing. 13 Page 14 shows the proposed elevations of the 14 building. 15 Page 15 shows a -- two sections: One of the entire site on the bottom, going from the street towards 16 17 the back of the property; and then what you see on the 18 top is a section cut through the property from one side 19 of the property line to the other. 20 Page 16, this is a drawing prepared by another 21 consultant, Sam Wade Landscape Architect. And this is 22 the landscape development architect plan. This is the first full submittal to the 23 0 Okay. 24 City? 25 That's correct. Α 26 On Mr. Geraci's project? Q 2.7 Α That's correct. MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, I'd move 58 into 28

```
1
     evidence.
 2
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 58 will be admitted.
 4
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
 5
                              Thank you, your Honor.
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 58, Cycle Issues
 6
 7
              Responses for Completeness Review dated
              02/24/2017, was admitted into evidence.)
 8
 9
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
10
         Q
              Mr. Schweitzer, do you recognize Exhibit 58?
11
              Yes, I do.
         Α
12
              And what is Exhibit 58?
         0
13
              What Exhibit 58 is these are cycle issue
         Α
     responses. In essence, this is a letter which we write
14
15
     to the City where we explain to them how we address all
     of the comments which we received from them.
16
17
              In particular, this one deals with the
18
     submitted completeness review. The reason we prepare
19
     this is to assist them as they go through our plans to
20
     be able to find out how and where we're answering any
21
     corrections that they may have.
22
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Your Honor, I'd move 65
23
     into evidence. And I've got to switch books.
              THE COURT: Any objection?
2.4
25
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 65 will be admitted.
26
2.7
     | | |
     | | |
28
```

(Premarked Joint Exhibit 65, Email to Abhay 1 2 Schweitzer from Firouzeh Tirandazi 3 FW PTS 520606 - Federal Boulevard MMCC, dated 3/14/17, was admitted into evidence.) 4 MR. TOOTHACRE: You have to switch books too, 5 Mr. Schweitzer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 8 9 Are you on Exhibit 65, Mr. Schweitzer? Q 10 Α I'm ready. 11 And what is this exhibit? Q 12 This is an email from Firouzeh Tirandazi, who Α at the time was a development project manager from the 13 City of San Diego for this particular project. And, in 14 15 summary, she introduces herself as the development 16 project manager, and then she also states that the 17 project is located in the CO-2-1 zone and that per the 18 San Diego Municipal Code, MMCCs are not permitted in 19 that zone and, therefore, staff would be recommending 20 denial. She furthermore asks if in spite of that if we 21 want to continue the application or if we want to 22 withdraw it. 23 0 And did you respond that you want to continue with the application? 24 25 I believe I did. Α 26 In fact, hadn't the zoning been changed a day Q 27 prior to this and she just had not received word at that 28 time?

That's what I believe, but I don't recall the 1 2 exact date which the zoning was changed. 3 0 Okay. Let me have you -- or strike that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, I'd like to move 66 4 5 into evidence. 6 THE COURT: Any objection? 7 MR. AUSTIN: No objection. THE COURT: Exhibit 66 will be admitted. 8 9 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 66, Letter from City of 10 San Diego to Barbara Harris dated 03/14/2017, 11 was admitted into evidence.) 12 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 13 Have you seen that document before, Q Mr. Schweitzer? 14 15 Α Yes, I have. And what is this document? 16 0 17 Α This document -- so once the City has gone 18 through one full review of the project, the development 19 project manager prepares a letter summarizing what has 20 happened any major issues. Sometimes it contains the 21 following steps. And that is provided to us along with 22 the corrections from each individual reviewer. And this 23 is the letter only. Okay. And on the second paragraph, she 24 Q 25 indicates enclosed are posted notice of application and 26 verification of posting public notice forms. Do you recall receiving that? 27 I do. 28 Α

MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. I'd like to introduce 1 2 Exhibit 67, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 67 will be admitted. 5 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 67, Email from Jim 6 7 Bartell to Gina Austin dated 03/15/2017, was admitted into evidence.) 8 9 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 10 This particular email string, Mr. Schweitzer, 11 starts from the rear and goes forward. But I would like to focus on page -- on page 02, the second page, and 12 13 come forward to 01. 14 And ask you what this email string represents. 15 This is an email string based on a previous Α 16 email we just looked at. It appears that I had 17 forwarded Firouzeh's email to Jim Bartell, I believe. Give me a moment. Let me just make sure. 18 19 Q Sure. 20 So it appears that Firouzeh had sent the email Α 2.1 to myself with a copy to Becky. Subsequent to that, I 22 received, which is what you'll see at the top of page 2, 23 a response from Larry to myself and to Jim Bartell. 24 Q And what was Larry's response? 25 He was asking for us to explain what Firouzeh 26 had stated in her original email. 27 Q And that was -- was that the -- she had recommended denial because it was not in the correct

1 zone? 2 That's correct. Α 3 Okay. And Jim Bartell indicates in this email 0 string that Firouzeh had just called him and told her --4 told Mr. Bartell that Jeff Murphy spoke to her and we 5 are good to go. Do you know what that is regarding? 6 7 Α I believe that that's regarding the amendment to the Municipal Code which corrected the inconsistency 8 9 and clarified that the zone which this project was 10 located in did qualify for a conditional use permit for 11 this use. 12 Okay. And that email is dated March 15, 2017? 0 13 Α It appears to be. 14 MR. TOOTHACRE: Let me have you -- your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit 80 into evidence. 15 16 THE COURT: Any objection? 17 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Exhibit 80 will be admitted. 19 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 80, Email to Larry 20 Geraci from Abhay Schweitzer Re: form needed, 21 dated 3/22/17, was admitted into evidence.) 22 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: This appears to be an email, Mr. Schweitzer, 23 0 from you to Mr. Geraci, dated March 22nd, 2017. Is that 24 25 correct? Call up the first paragraph. 26 Α Yes. 27 And you indicate that the application was Q deemed complete by the City on March 13. Is that 28

1 correct? 2 That's correct. Α 3 So it apparently took from October 31st, 2016 0 to March 13, 2017 just to pass completeness review on 4 5 this project. Correct? I believe that's correct, but I am not 100 6 Α 7 percent certain of what the City legally means by saying "deemed complete." 8 9 Deemed -- does deemed complete have a special 10 meaning within the CUP process? 11 It's not a milestone that we have to reach. 12 know that from my -- from my work with other consultants 13 that it does have a legal implication, but I wouldn't be 14 able to speak about that. 15 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. I would like to move 86 16 into evidence, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Any objection? 18 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Exhibit 86 will be admitted. 20 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 86, Email from 2.1 Tirandazi to Schweitzer dated 04/03/17, was 22 admitted into evidence.) 23 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: Mr. Schweitzer, is there a requirement of 24 Q 25 posting notice on the project? 26 Α Yes. 27 And what is that requirement? Q 28 It is part of a City requirement that the Α

public be notified when certain types of permits are 1 applied for. And one of the ways that the public is 2 3 notified is by posting a notice of that application along the property line of the project --4 5 Q Okay. 6 Α -- or of the proposed project site. 7 I'd like to focus on page 3, the first Q 8 paragraph. 9 You indicate "Good morning, Firouzeh. We are 10 working with the property owner on this project to get 11 the notice posted, but we are not able to do it yet. Would it be possible to get an extension?" 12 Why were you unable to post on the property at 13 14 that particular time? 15 Α I was unable to post because I was informed by 16 Gina Austin, I believe, that the owner of the property 17 would not allow us to post. 18 0 And if you come forward to the second email 19 page, does Firouzeh respond to you? 20 She does. On page 2. She says "Per the Α SDMC" -- that's San Diego Municipal Code, "the notice of 21 22 application is to be posted within five business days of receipt." And then she provides the section below that. 23 24 Q And did you then respond back to her on the first page of Exhibit 86? 25 26 Α I did. 27 And what was your response? Q I thanked her for her fast response, and then I 28 Α

2.7

asked her what happens if we can't post on time or if we can't post at all.

- Q And she indicates it would be a violation of the Code. Correct?
- A Yes. Yeah. She indicates it would be a violation of the Code. Those are her specific words, and then she provides the particular section of that code, San Diego Municipal Code that deals with error in posting.
- Q Okay. And did you find a way around this posting issue, not being allowed to be on Mr. Cotton's property?
 - A T did.
- Q And what did you do? If you can explain to the jury how you devised a way to get the posting on the property.
- A Yes. Of course. Since we had a topographic survey which depicted very accurately and in detail most of the elements on the site, including the fences, we noticed that there was a portion of the front fence which was actually over the property line and on the City property. And that specific location is where I was able to personally post it, since it would be along the property line, without having to go on the private property at all.
- MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Your Honor, I'd move Exhibit 88 into evidence.
- 28 THE COURT: Any objection?

```
MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Exhibit 88 will be admitted.
 3
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 88, Picture of Posted
              Notice of Application on property fence of 6176
 4
 5
              Federal Blvd. with cell phone time screen shot,
 6
              dated 94/94/2017 at 8:58 p.m., was admitted into
              evidence.)
 7
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
 8
 9
              Do you have 88 in front of you, Mr. Schweitzer?
         Q
10
         Α
              I do.
              What is Exhibit 88?
11
         Q
12
         Α
              I believe that this is the picture that I took
13
     after posting. I did want some proof that we had
14
     posted, that we had posted accurately. So along with
15
     the picture, which I took on my phone, I also took a
     screen shot of the metadata which shows the location and
16
17
     time which I made that posting.
18
         Q
              Okay. And nobody interfered with you when you
19
     were posting?
20
         Α
              No.
21
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. I'd like to enter
22
     Exhibit 91, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Any objection?
23
2.4
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 91 will be admitted.
25
26
     | | |
27
     | | |
28
     | | |
```

```
1
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 91, City of San Diego
 2
              Verification of Posting Public Notice signed by
 3
              Firouzeh Tirandazi, dated 4/04/17, was admitted
              into evidence.)
 4
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
 5
              Have you seen Exhibit 91 before,
 6
         0
 7
     Mr. Schweitzer?
 8
         Α
              Yes.
9
              And what is Exhibit 91?
         0
10
         Α
              Exhibit 91 is a form that is prepared by the
11
     City. The purpose of this form is for the applicant to
12
     verify to the City that this particular notice has been
     posted. And this is a signed copy. And I signed this
13
14
     myself.
15
              Okay. And so the notification requirements had
         0
16
     been met, then, by that point?
17
         Α
              As they relate to this particular posting, as I
18
     understand there are other public notices that the City
19
     is responsible for. But this particular verification
20
     deals with the posting that you just saw a picture of.
21
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, I'd like to move 95
22
     into evidence.
23
              THE COURT: Any objection?
2.4
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 95 will be admitted.
25
     | | |
26
27
     | | |
     | | |
28
```

2.7

28

1 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 95, Email to Larry 2 Geraci and Jim Bartell from Abhay Schweitzer 3 Fwd: 520606 - Draft Cycle Issues, dated 5/10/17 with attached Draft City of San Diego Cycle 4 Issues, was admitted into evidence.) 5 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 6 7 Q I'd like to call out the bottom email from Firouzeh to you on May 10th, 2017. 8 9 Is this on page 1? Α It is on page 1. Again, I'm going to ask 10 0 11 you -- she says, "Hi, Abhay, here are the draft cycle 12 issues for Federal Boulevard." 13 What are draft cycle issues? So draft cycle issues are the draft comments 14 Α 15 which the City staff prepares. Them being draft because 16 they have not yet been finalized, or as I understand it, 17 reviewed by the development project manager and formally 18 issued to the applicant. 19 Q Okay. Is it unusual in your practice to 20 receive draft cycle issues from the City? 2.1 It's not unusual for our firm, and the reason 22 why we request it is so we can get a head start on 23 addressing the comments because sometimes it takes a while for the project to assemble all of the comments, 24 25 to wait for every single reviewer to finish, to prepare 26 their letter. And we like to move as quickly as we can.

So almost for every single letter of this type, we

request draft cycle issues when they're available.

1 And that's just so you can expedite your 2 response --3 Α Yes. -- back to the City? 4 Q Okay. Can we look at the top email, which 5 appears to be your reply. And you indicate engineering 6 7 is asking for an even bigger dedication. So I see no issues with that at all. 8 9 What was engineering asking for? 10 Α I'd have to look at that comment. 11 Are you asking how much bigger that dedication 12 was? 13 Yes. Just off the top of your head, generally. Q I can't locate it now. From recollection, it 14 Α 15 may have been as much as 10 feet. I recall it being 16 significantly larger than what we had proposed. 17 Q Okay. And then you indicate in the next 18 sentence that planning is asking you to redesign the building to bring it closer to the street. 19 20 Were you able to comply with that? 21 Eventually, we were able to comply with that. Α 22 Okay. And you indicate in the last sentence 0 that you haven't reviewed the draft cycle issues in 23 24 detail but you think you can get both those issues 25 approved? 26 Α Yes. 27 And were you able to get those approved? Q 28 Eventually, we were able to get those issues Α

```
1
     cleared.
 2
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Let me have you -- let
 3
     me move into evidence Exhibit 97, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
 4
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Exhibit 97 will be admitted.
 6
 7
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 97, Email from Larry
              Geraci to Abhay Schweitzer, dated 05/15/2017,
 8
 9
              was admitted into evidence.)
10
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
11
              I'd like to focus on the bottom email first.
         0
12
     Have you seen that document, Mr. Schweitzer?
13
         Α
              Yes.
14
              And this appears to be an email from Mr. Cotton
         Q
15
     to Firouzeh. Correct?
16
              It appears to be.
         Α
17
         Q
              And he says that "Following up on our
18
     conversation on Friday, I appreciate that you
19
     procedurally cannot accept the updated ownership
20
     disclosure statement, reflecting Richard Martin for the
     CUP application on the property."
21
22
              Did that have any meaning to you?
23
         Α
              Yes.
24
         Q
              And what was the meaning to you?
25
         Α
              What --
26
              Were you concerned with that statement?
         Q
2.7
              Yes.
         Α
28
              And what was your concern?
         Q
```

My concern is that it appeared that Mr. Cotton 1 2 was trying to switch who the owner was on the 3 application. Okay. And did you do anything in response to 4 0 that email? Let me refer you to the top part of the 5 email. 6 7 Α I forwarded it to Larry. 8 And what did you say to Larry in that first 0 full sentence? 9 10 I said just saw this email from Firouzeh 11 responding to an email from Darryl regarding trying to 12 change the CUP applicant. 13 Okay. And I skipped a reply by Firouzeh to Mr. Cotton in the middle section. 14 15 What was her reply to Mr. Cotton? 16 What she said is you may have misunderstood me. Α 17 If the property has changed ownership, feel free to 18 provide an updated general application, ownership 19 disclosure statement, and the new grant deed so that we 20 may have the most updated information for the project 21 file. If as a result of this there is a new financial 22 responsible party, please provide a change of 23 responsible party form. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let me move into evidence 98, 24 25 your Honor. 26 THE COURT: Any objection? 2.7 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 98 will be admitted. 28

1	(Premarked Joint Exhibit 98, Letter from City of		
2	SD to Abhay Schweitzer dated 05/19/2017, was		
3	admitted into evidence.)		
4	BY MR. TOOTHACRE:		
5	Q For the record, Exhibit 98 appears to be an		
6	exhibit dated May 19th to you, Mr. Schweitzer. Is that		
7	correct?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q And on the first sentence of the third		
10	paragraph, starting there. There you go. What was the		
11	City telling you?		
12	A What the development project manager was saying		
13	is that they had been informed that the property had		
14	been sold, and in order to continue processing, they		
15	would need a new grant deed, an updated ownership		
16	disclosure statement, and a change of financial		
17	responsible form if that financial responsible party		
18	also changed.		
19	Q Were you surprised to learn that City staff had		
20	been informed that the property had been sold?		
21	A I don't think at that time I was, since I had		
22	received a prior email from Firouzeh, if this is in		
23	chronological order.		
24	Q Okay. And do you know who was representing to		
25	the City that the property had been sold?		
26	A From the email that Firouzeh forwarded to me,		
27	it was Mr. Cotton.		
28	Q Okay. And did you take any action in response		

```
to receiving this letter?
 1
 2
         Α
              Yes.
 3
         Q
              What did you do?
              I replied to her.
 4
         Α
              And what was your reply?
 5
         Q
 6
         Α
              The reply was that nothing has changed.
 7
              Did you tell her the property hadn't been sold?
         Q
              I believe I did.
 8
         Α
 9
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I will move into evidence
10
     No. 102, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Any objection?
12
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Exhibit 102 will be admitted.
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 102, Email from Ben
14
15
              Peterson to Abhay Schweitzer dated 07/10/2017
16
              re: Geotechnical Investigation proposal, was
17
              admitted into evidence.)
18
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
19
         Q
              Have you seen this document before,
20
     Mr. Schweitzer?
2.1
         Α
              Yes.
22
              And what is this document?
         0
23
         Α
              This is an email that Ben Peterson, who is an
24
     employee of my firm, forwarded to me with the proposal
25
     for the geotechnical investigation for this particular
26
     property.
27
              And we discussed yesterday that a geotechnical
         Q
     soil evaluation was required by the City for this
28
```

```
1
     property?
 2
         Α
              Yes.
 3
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I'd like to move into evidence,
 4
     your Honor, 104.
 5
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 6
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 104 will be admitted.
 7
 8
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 104, Email to Larry
 9
              Geraci, Jim Bartell, and Gina Austin from Abhay
10
              Schweitzer Fwd: 6176 Federal Blvd: Zoning
11
              Distance Requirement, dated 7/17/17, was
              admitted into evidence.)
12
13
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
14
              This appears to be to Mr. Schweitzer in an
         0
     email chain.
15
16
              Have you seen this document before?
17
         Α
              Yes.
18
         0
              I believe it reads from page 2 back to the
19
             Can we call up the email on page 2. This
20
     appears to be an email to Firouzeh Tirandazi from Rodney
21
     Bruce.
22
              Do you know who Rodney Bruce is?
23
         Α
              I don't know who Rodney Bruce is.
              You indicate "I've been asked by the property
24
         Q
25
     owner of 6176 Federal Boulevard to review some of the
26
     major plan review comments to determine whether these
27
     issues can be cleared."
28
              Did that concern you?
```

1 Not necessarily. 2 Did Firouzeh forward this to you? Q 3 Α It appears that she responded to Mr. Bruce and 4 copied me. 5 0 Okay. What was her response? Her response basically indicated to Mr. Bruce 6 Α 7 that he had to coordinate his inquiries with the project point of contact, which in this case was myself. 8 9 Okay. Did you come to some understanding as to 0 10 what Mr. Bruce was attempting to do on the project you 11 were working on? 12 Only by the content of his initial email. Α 13 Okay. Did you find it odd that someone was Q essentially looking over your shoulder on your project? 14 15 It's unusual. Α 16 Do you know who hired Mr. Bruce? Q 17 Α Well, in his email, he says the property owner 18 for the property hired him. And that would have been Mr. Cotton? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Let me refer -- or, your Honor, I'd like 0 Okav. 22 to move 105 into evidence. 23 THE COURT: Any objection? 2.4 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 105 will be admitted. 25 | | | 26 2.7 | | | | | | 28

1	(Premarked Joint Exhibit 105, Email from Jim
2	Bartell to Abhay Schweitzer dated 7/24/17 re:
3	Geotechnical Investigation, was admitted into
4	evidence.)
5	BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
6	Q I'd like to bring up the body of this. This
7	appears to be, Mr. Schweitzer, an email from you to Jim
8	Bartell, dated July 24, 2017.
9	Were you having trouble at this time getting a
10	geotechnical survey conducted?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And what was the problem with getting the
13	geotechnical survey conducted?
14	A The problem was that I was informed that the
15	property owner would not allow the engineers on site.
16	Q Okay. And you sent this email to Jim. Is that
17	Jim Bartell?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q And you're asking for what from Mr. Bartell?
20	A What I asked from Jim is to schedule a meeting
21	with Kreg Mills.
22	Q Who is Kreg Mills?
23	A Kreg Mills I don't know if he still is, but
24	at the time, he was an employee with the City of
25	San Diego. And he was the person responsible for the
26	geology review. And he is also the one who followed up
27	by asking for a geotechnical report.
28	O Okay. So what were you asking I

1 interrupted. What were you asking Mr. Bartell? 2 What I was asking Jim to do, Mr. Bartell, is to 3 meet with him and his supervisor, I believe, to see if -- there was a way to condition the project where we 4 5 would provide that report at a later date. 6 0 Okay. And what was the response? 7 Α I wasn't at that meeting myself, but what I was informed happened at that meeting is that they would 8 9 consider a report from a nearby property if we could 10 produce that. And they would evaluate it to see if it 11 was adequate. 12 MR. TOOTHACRE: One second, your Honor, please. 13 Let me have -- your Honor, I'd like to move 112 into 14 evidence, please. 15 THE COURT: 112? 16 MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Any objection? 18 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Exhibit 112 will be admitted into 20 evidence. 21 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 112, TECHNE Cycle 22 Issues Responses, dated 09/11/2017, was admitted into evidence.) 23 2.4 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 25 And what is Exhibit 112, Mr. Schweitzer? 0 26 Α Exhibit 112 is a series of cycle issue 2.7 responses similar to the one we covered earlier.

these addressing now the full review of the project and

1 addressed to each particular review discipline. 2 And does 112 include a reference to a 3 geotechnical report? On page 3 of the exhibit? 4 Α Yeah. What does that reference? 5 0 6 Α These are our responses to the reviewer. Ιf 7 you could please go to No. 2. 8 Q Yes. 9 I apologize. From No. 2 -- all the numbers, Α 10 please, if you could. So on No. 2, we were, I believe, responding to 11 12 his request for a report and following up from the 13 meeting as well. So at that time, we researched the City records and also the City of Lemon Grove records 14 15 since this property is very near the border. And we 16 found, from what I recall, at least two reports which we 17 submitted as agreed during the meeting which I had 18 referenced before. 19 So you were submitting geotechnical reports Q 20 from nearby properties attempting to get around the 21 issue of not being able to get on Mr. Cotton's property 22 for the soils testing? 23 Α That's correct. And did those geotechnical reports from the 24 Q 25 nearby properties meet with the City's approval? 26 Α Unfortunately, they did not. 27 And did they continue to request a site Q specific geotechnical report? 28

1 Α Yes. 2 Let me have you refer to Exhibit 108, please. Q 3 MR. TOOTHACRE: Move Exhibit 108 into evidence, 4 your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. AUSTIN: No objection. 6 THE COURT: Exhibit 108 will be admitted. 7 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 108, Email from Abhay 8 9 Schweitzer to Firouzeh Tirandazi dated 10 08/15/2017 - 08/17/17, was admitted into 11 evidence.) 12 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 13 Do you recognize this particular email string Q between you and Firouzeh Tirandazi? 14 15 Α Yes. And was this Ms. Tirandazi's denial or refusal 16 0 17 to consider the nearby geotechnical reports as meeting a condition the City was requiring? 18 19 Α What --20 I'll refer you to the middle of the page on 0 21 page 1. 22 What the response was after we submitted the Α 23 addresses where we could find the reports to Mr. Mills 24 asking if that would be close enough, as is often the 25 case, City staff won't give a "yes" or "no" answer 26 unless you go through a formal submittal process. We 2.7 try. We want to move as quickly as we can. 28 And, in essence, what Kreg said is we'll

consider it once you submit it. Please coordinate with 1 2 Firouzeh, who is the project manager, to get them 3 submitted. Okay. And let me have you -- strike that? 4 0 MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, I'd move 110 into 5 evidence, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Exhibit 110 will be admitted. 10 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 110, TECHNE Cycle 11 Issues Responses, dated 09/07/2017, was admitted into evidence.) 12 13 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: Exhibit 110, Mr. Schweitzer, is entitled "cycle 14 0 issue responses." Can you describe what this is just 15 16 briefly. 17 Α These are another set of response letters which 18 we prepared similar to the ones we discussed earlier. 19 Q And what is the purpose of these responses? 20 The purpose is to assist City staff when we Α submit the revised project for them to find where on the 21 22 documents and drawings we submitted that they can find 23 the answers to the issues that they brought up. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. I'd move 111 into 2.4 25 evidence, your Honor. 26 THE COURT: Any objection? 2.7 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 111 will be admitted. 28

```
(Premarked Joint Exhibit 111, TECHNE Draft
1
 2
              Findings for 6176 Federal Blvd., dated
 3
              09/07/2017, was admitted into evidence.)
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
 4
              Mr. Schweitzer, Exhibit 111 is entitled "Draft
 5
         Q
     findings."
 6
 7
              Was this prepared by your firm?
         Α
 8
              Yes.
9
              And what is the purpose of draft findings?
         0
10
         Α
              This particular type of permit requires that
11
     specific findings be made in order for it to be
12
     approved. It's common for City staff to ask the
13
     applicant, and in this case, our firm representing the
     applicant, to prepare a draft of those findings
14
15
     explaining how and why the project would be able to meet
     all of the required findings. And that's what this is.
16
17
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. I move admission of 113,
18
    your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Any objection?
20
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Exhibit 113 will be admitted.
22
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 113, Email to Larry
23
              Geraci, Rebecca Berry, Gina Austin, Michael
              Weinstein, and Jim Bartell from Abhay Schweitzer
2.4
25
              re Federal Blvd. - Resubmitted, dated 9/6/17,
              was admitted into evidence.)
26
2.7
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
              Do you recognize Exhibit 113, Mr. Schweitzer?
28
         Q
```

1 Α Yes. That's dated September 16, 2017? 2 Q 3 Α Yes. And in that email, you're indicating to 4 0 Mr. Geraci and Gina Austin, Mr. Weinstein, and Jim 5 Bartell that "On Wednesday of this week, we successfully 6 7 resubmitted your project to the City of San Diego." 8 Was it just an update you were sending? 9 Yes. We also sent it to Becky Berry. Α 10 0 Okay. And you indicate you expect to receive comments from the City in two to three weeks? 11 12 Α Yes. Do you recall whether or not you received the 13 Q 14 comments from the City in two to three weeks? I don't recall offhand. 15 Α 16 Do you recall how many submittals you did, Q cycle reviews, for the City in this project? 17 18 Α Full submittals? 19 Q Yes. 20 Three to four. Α Did you spend considerable time on this 21 Q 22 project? 23 Α Yes. Do you have a -- well, do you know how much 24 Q 25 time you and your firm spent on this project? 26 Α As of last week, it was approximately 680 2.7 hours. 28 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Let me have you refer to

Transcript of Proceedings

```
1
     Exhibit 124, please.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Did you say 124?
 3
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              Yes.
              Your Honor, I'd like to move 124 into evidence.
 4
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 5
 6
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 124 will be admitted.
 7
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 124, SCST Geotechnical
 8
 9
              Investigation Reported dated 06/08/2018, was
10
              admitted into evidence.)
11
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
12
              Do you recognize that document?
         0
              I do.
13
         Α
              And what is this document?
14
         Q
15
              This is the geotechnical report which was
         Α
16
     ultimately prepared for that specific site by Southern
17
     California Soils and Testing.
18
         0
              Okay. So apparently the soils testing company
19
     was eventually let onto Mr. Cotton's property. Is that
20
     correct?
2.1
         Α
              Yes.
22
              Do you understand that Mr. Geraci had to resort
23
     to the Court to obtain permission -- or court order for
     Mr. Cotton to allow the soils testing engineers on the
24
25
     property?
26
         Α
              I do.
27
              And even after receipt of that court order, are
         Q
     you aware of whether or not Mr. Geraci (sic) refused to
28
```

1 sign the document permitting the soils engineers on the 2 property? 3 Α What I was told, then, I believe is that 4 Mr. Cotton refused to sign that. Okay. And so are you aware of whether or not 5 0 Mr. Geraci had to resort to the Court again to have the 6 7 clerk of the court sign that document in Mr. Cotton's stead? 8 9 That's what I was informed. And I believe Α 10 that. 11 So this particular report is dated June 8th, Q 12 2018. Do you know when the soils engineers were finally 13 allowed to be on the property? 14 Α I don't remember the specific date, but 15 usually, the reports take two to four weeks. So I 16 assumed within that period of this date. 17 Q The soils engineers gave you their proposal 18 back on July 10, 2017. Is that correct? We looked at 19 that yesterday. 20 Α Yes. So nearly a year has gone by, nine months has 21 Q 22 gone by between their proposal, between being allowed on 23 the property and to finalize a report? 2.4 Α Yes. I think it was 11 months approximately. 25 0 And did this report satisfy the requirement for 26 the City, then? 2.7 Α Yes. 28 MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, I'd move 125 into

```
1
     evidence.
 2
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 125 will be admitted.
 4
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 125, TECHNE Draft
 5
              Findings for 6176 Federal Blvd., dated
 6
 7
              06/11/2018, was admitted into evidence.)
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
 8
 9
              Mr. Schweitzer, have you seen Exhibit 125
         0
10
     before?
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              And what is Exhibit 125?
         0
13
              This, I believe, is another iteration of the
         Α
14
     draft findings that I spoke of earlier.
              Okay. And can you -- I'm not going to offer it
15
         0
16
     into evidence. But can you look at 126, please.
17
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 126, TECHNE Cycle
18
              Issues Responses: LDR - Geology dated
19
              06/12/2018, was identified.)
20
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
              Is that another iteration of cycle responses?
21
         Q
22
              THE WITNESS: Yes. Specifically to the geology
23
     reviewer.
24
         Q
              Let me just ask you to go -- let me ask you:
25
     How many iterations of the cycle issue responses were
26
     there in this submittal? You can just go through the
27
     rest of that book and count them.
28
              It appears at eight.
         A
```

```
1
              I'm going to refer you --
 2
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Actually, your Honor, I'm going
 3
     to move into evidence 136, which is a different book,
     Mr. Schweitzer.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: The number is 146?
 6
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Thirty-six, your Honor.
              THE COURT: 136.
 7
 8
              Any objection?
 9
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 136 will be admitted into
10
11
     evidence.
12
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 136, CUP Submittal
13
              Plans - CUP Submittal 3 dated 06/18/2018, was
              admitted into evidence.)
14
15
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
16
         0
              What is Exhibit 136, Mr. Schweitzer? Is this a
     final full submittal?
17
18
        Α
              136?
19
              I am on 136.
         Q
20
              I apologize. I'm in the wrong exhibit. Give
         Α
2.1
     me one moment.
22
              This is what I believe a full resubmittal for
     this project, the drawings for that resubmittal.
23
              Okay. And what is the date on that, if you
24
         Q
25
     look in the right-hand corner ledger? I forget what you
26
     call that.
2.7
         Α
              Yes. If you go -- right there. Perfect.
28
              Could you zoom into the top third of that,
```

1 please. 2 If you see Item No. 4, dated 6/18/18, CUP 3 Submittal 3, that's what these drawings are, I believe. Okay. So each of those dates represents a 4 0 submittal except for the first one, which is the 5 completeness review? 6 7 The completeness review is also a type of Α submittal. And then following that, we have three full 8 9 submittals. 10 0 Okay. And just so we have a timeline, you did 11 a completeness review on October 28, 2016. Correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 And CUP Submittal 1 on February 22, 2017. Q 14 Correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 And Submittal 3 on September 11, 2017? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 Q Actually, that's CUP Submittal 2? 19 Α 2. Right. 20 And then CUP Submittal 3 was on June 18, 2018. 0 Correct? 21 22 Α Correct. 23 0 Now, do you recall whether or not there was any 24 further submittals on this project by TECHNE? I don't think there was, but I'm not 100 25 Α 26 percent sure. 27 Q Okay. I'm going to change topics here for a 28 second, Mr. Schweitzer, and talk about the competing --

1	what's been referred to as the competing CUP.
2	Was there a competing CUP in progress at the
3	same time Mr. Geraci's project was in process?
4	A For a portion of that time, yes.
5	Q And was that competing CUP located at 6220
6	Federal Boulevard?
7	A I believe that's the address, yes.
8	Q Okay. And do you know who was the applicant on
9	that CUP?
10	A We were informed that the applicant was Aaron
11	Magagna.
12	Q Okay. Had you met Aaron Magagna prior to his
13	submitting his CUP on 6220?
14	A No.
15	Q Do you know Mr. Magagna now?
16	A I do know him now.
17	Q How did you come to know him in the interim?
18	A I was introduced to him from another client and
19	friend who is also in the cannabis industry.
20	Q And when did you first learn to the best of
21	your recollection that Mr. Magagna had filed for a
22	competing CUP?
23	A It was sometime in 2018. I think the first
24	half of the year. But I don't remember with any more
25	certainty than that.
26	Q Okay. Did you have concern that that CUP had
27	been filed?
28	A Initially we didn't have a concern because we

know how long from our history it takes for these to get 1 2 approved. And my initial feeling was there was no way 3 that they would catch up or pass us. Did you make a request to the City planning 4 group or planning commission that you obtain a copy of 5 the plans on 6220? 6 7 Α We did not request it from the planning commission, but we did request the plans for that 8 9 project multiple times. 10 0 And did you finally obtain plans? 11 Finally, we did. Α Okay. And do you recall when that was? 12 0 I don't recall exactly when that was. 13 Α 14 Okay. Did you do any work on behalf of the Q competing CUP for Mr. Magagna? 15 16 Α No. 17 Q Did TECHNE do any work on the competing CUP for 18 Mr. Magagna? 19 Α No. 20 Let me back up one -- strike that. 0 Did the competing CUP beat Mr. Geraci's CUP 21 application to the finish line? 22 Ultimately, it did. 23 Α Okay. Let me back up one second. 24 Q 25 Back to the soils testing, was there any effort 26 on behalf of Mr. Geraci's team to sabotage the soils 27 testing? Not that I'm aware of. 28 Α

1	Q Can you imagine what such a scenario would be,
2	what would sabotage a soils testing?
3	A Not being able to test the soil would sabotage
4	it.
5	Q Do you believe if you had access to
6	Mr. Cotton's property for the soils testing when you
7	first attempted that your CUP would have beat 6220 to
8	the finish line?
9	A The process is unpredictable, but from my
10	evaluation, I think our chances would have been very
11	high.
12	Q Was there any other interference by Mr. Cotton
13	that you believe delayed your particular effort to get
14	the CUP to the finish line?
15	A I can't say for certain, but it was obvious
16	from the communications we were receiving that he was
17	attempting to interfere at the City. And I do believe
18	that that caused a delay.
19	Q Did you appeal the approval of 6220?
20	A Yes. So that project was first approved at the
21	hearing officer, who is the first decision-maker, and on
22	behalf of my client and the applicant for 6176. I did
23	appeal that decision.
24	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Your Honor, I would like
25	to move into evidence Exhibit 149, please.
26	THE COURT: Any objection?
27	MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
28	THE COURT: Exhibit 149 will be admitted.

Α

1 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 149, TECHNE Appeal of 2 Project 598124, was admitted into evidence.) 3 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: Do you recognize that document, Mr. Schweitzer? 4 Q 5 Yes, I do. Α And what is that document? 6 0 7 Α Subsequent to filing the appeal, which is done on a standard City form, we, as in myself and my firm, 8 9 we did a comprehensive review of the competing project, 10 the information we could get, which were the drawings, 11 in order to find out the extent of issues that we had 12 already tentatively identified. And as a result of 13 that, we prepared a very comprehensive supplemental information package, which we submitted to the planning 14 15 commission, which was the body to hear the appeal in order to substantiate the claims which we were making 16 17 and to ask them to, because of all these errors and 18 omissions and everything we identified in this pretty 19 lengthy document, that they shouldn't approve that 20 project, they should deny it. Okay. And how many issues generally did you 21 22 find problems with regard to the 6220 application? If you could just quickly just highlight a couple of them 23 for the jury. I know it's a 32-page document, but I'd 24 25 just like you to highlight a few of them. 26 Α Yes. Give me just a moment. 27 Q Sure.

If you would go to page 2 of the exhibit,

2.7

please, and highlight Section A.

So one set of issues which seem to be consistent through the plans which we identified, based on the project submittal manual -- if you recall, we went over that earlier -- is that there were a lot of basic information that the City stipulates must be on the plans that just were not on these plans at all. And we went over in quite detailed fashion specifically what each of those items were, the significance of that being that the Municipal Code states that the decision on a project must be made on the information provided on the plans, not in any oral information or anything else. It has to be based on what we -- what was submitted.

And we determined quite clearly, because of the host of specific items that the City requires that were not there and that are important, that that finding simply couldn't be made.

- Q And did you point out each of those items in this document to the City?
- A Yes.
- Q Can you just hit two or three of the significant ones.

A If you would go to page 5, please, of the exhibit, if you could highlight Section 2. The Municipal Code -- the submittal manual, which is part of the Municipal Code, clearly states for this sort of project, which was a proposed new building as well, that a site section is required. We cite specifically that

2.1

2.7

it is required. We further cite the section that states it is required. And then we go into the details that would have to be provided in that drawing, which were obviously not provided as well, such as the grade, soil elevations, building height.

If you would go to Section 3, please, right below that. This is another example of information that's missing. On the elevation, this section, we claim that the existing and proposed grades are missing. The grade being the existing topography, how the land, where it meets the building, what does that look like.

Furthermore, finish floor elevations, which are required, are also not provided. And this is a snapshot of the actual drawing so we could point out specifically where that information was missing.

Q If you could show one more major.

A Certainly. If you could go to page 7, please. If you could zoom into section -- from section -- start at Section B to the bottom of that page.

Q Section B?

A Yes. All the way to the bottom of the page, please.

Through the numerous projects which we've processed through DSD, which is Development Services Department, specifically cannabis and marijuana-related projects, they tend to scrutinize the drawings. And they would not allow even the most minor inconsistency, and oftentimes even spelling mistakes. This is the

- level of care that we were accustomed to and that we believe they applied to all the projects. But in this particular project, if you see here, the title of the section is "Conflicting or Grossly Misrepresented Information." Here, I give an overview. And then here I detail specifically what's missing and what's conflicting.
 - I'll point out this one. The parking, which is crucial for these projects, was depicted on two separate sheets as a different number of parking spaces. So it would be very difficult for somebody to understand how many spaces they were trying to propose. And that is relevant in making the findings to approve that project.
 - Q Okay. And how many total issues did you take with the 6220 project? Your best estimate.
 - A Twenty to 30 to be -- 20 to 30 specific issues at least.
 - Q Okay. And did you argue that before the commission?
 - A I did.
 - Q And what was the result of the appeal?
 - A After a lot of back and forth and me making the case as best as I could orally in front of the Commission, ultimately, there wasn't -- there weren't enough votes to uphold the appeal and thus deny the project. And they ultimately did approve that project.
 - Q Okay. And what did the approval of that project mean for Mr. Geraci's project?

1 Once that project is approved and, in this 2 case, at the final instance, there are no other appeals 3 that can be filed, it is then considered an approved MMCC and no other MMCCs could be located within 4 1,000 feet of it. And since the project we were working 5 on was within a thousand feet of that now just approved 6 7 project, it would automatically mean that there would be 8 almost no way for us to proceed forward because the 9 Municipal Code wouldn't allow that. We wouldn't be able 10 to meet the separation requirements. 11 Okay. And how did you feel about that? Q 12 I felt horrible, to be honest. We don't like Α to lose. We fight hard on every single project. And, 13 14 yeah, it wasn't pleasant. 15 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Let me move 147 into evidence, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. AUSTIN: No objection. 18 19 THE COURT: Exhibit 147 will be admitted. 20 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 147, TECHNE Expense 21 Summary with Supporting Documentation, was 22 admitted into evidence.) 23 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 24 Q Do you have 147 in front of you? I do. 25 Α 26 And what is 147? Go ahead and pull it up. Q 2.7 I believe that 147 is a tabulation of the Α 28 invoices which we issued and the payments which we

```
1
     received.
 2
              On Mr. Geraci's project?
         Q
 3
         Α
              On Mr. Geraci's project, correct.
              So the total Mr. Geraci paid TECHNE for all
 4
         0
     their efforts in this project is $86,631.75?
 5
              I believe that's correct.
 6
         Α
 7
         Q
              Do you believe that without Mr. Geraci's (sic)
 8
     interference, you would have beat 6222 to the finish
     line?
 9
10
         Α
              I think you mean Mr. Cotton.
11
              I'm sorry. I knew I was going to do it once.
         0
12
     I do mean Mr. Cotton.
13
              I think our chances would have been
         Α
     significantly better, and I think it would be very
14
15
     likely that we would have got that approved first.
16
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, Mr. Schweitzer.
17
     Nothing further, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Cross-examination.
18
19
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, before we proceed
21
     to cross-examination, could I just have the bailiff --
22
     the next witness is probably in the hall and probably
23
     needs to be updated.
              THE COURT: You can go out there and talk to
24
25
     him or her. Thank you very much.
              Cross-examination.
26
     | | |
2.7
     \ \ \
28
```

(Cross-examination of Abhay Schweitzer) 1 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: 3 Good morning, Mr. Schweitzer. 0 Good morning. 4 Α It seems you worked very diligently for several 5 0 months to -- from 2016 to 2017. You must have been 6 7 pretty disappointed when that project didn't go through, huh? 8 It was very disappointing. 9 Α 10 0 Yeah. So the original TECHNE contract that you 11 entered into, was that with Mr. Geraci, or Ms. Berry? 12 Α It was with Ms. Berry. So there wasn't two contracts: One with 13 Q 14 Mr. Geraci's name and then your request to take it off and put Ms. Berry's name on there? 15 16 Α There was only one signed contract. 17 0 There was only one signed contract, but was 18 there another draft? 19 Α I don't recall there being another draft. 20 Okay. Was it your understanding that Ms. Berry 0 would be in control of the project as the listed owner? 21 22 My understanding is that she would be Α 23 Mr. Geraci's agent. And is that the standard practice in the 24 25 marijuana industry? 26 Α I wouldn't characterize it as standard, but 2.7 it's not unusual. 28 At any point in the 6176 DSD CUP application Q

1	process, did Rebecca Berry ever make any decisions?
2	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. AUSTIN:
5	Q Did Rebecca Berry ever tell you how she wanted
6	this processed, or was she a point of contact for you?
7	A She was a point of contact. We routinely sent
8	emails to her.
9	Q But was she a part of the decision-making
10	process, in your estimation?
11	A It appeared to me that she was involved, since
12	we were sending her correspondences and sometimes they
13	would reply to those.
14	Q Were those any was the correspondence
15	related to any big issues?
16	A Can you be specific
17	MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah.
18	THE WITNESS: on what you mean by "big
19	issues"?
20	BY MR. AUSTIN:
21	Q I'll just move on.
22	Had you ever met Darryl Cotton?
23	A I don't believe I had met him until seeing him
24	here in the courtroom.
25	Q But you did know he was the owner of the
26	property?
27	A That's what I was told, and I believe that the
28	grant deeds we received did reflect that. So I did

Q

1 believe that. 2 Was he ever made a part of any of the 3 decision-making process? Not that I'm aware of. 4 Α What is your understanding of the nature of 5 0 Mr. Cotton's role in the CUP process? 6 7 Α He was the property owner. 8 Were you ever informed that he might have a Q 9 decision-making power? 10 Α I don't recall ever being informed of that. 11 So from July 2016 to March 2017, you never sat 0 12 in on any discussions with Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton? 13 I don't believe so. Α 14 So were you ever shown any email conversations 0 15 or text messages between Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton 16 during that time period? 17 Α What time period? 18 0 October 2016 to March '17. 19 Α I don't recall being shown any in that period. 20 So you're not aware of any discussions or 0 conversations that Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton had 21 22 regarding the future of the property? 23 Α The extent of my exposure to Mr. Cotton was through having him sign forms, and there may have been 2.4 25 an email forwarded during that time. But I don't recall 26 interacting directly with Mr. Cotton or directly with Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton at the same time. 2.7

To your knowledge, was anyone on your team

1	keeping Mr. Cotton updated, including Mr. Geraci?
2	A Mr. Geraci is not on our team, but we had no
3	instructions to keep Mr. Cotton updated. Neither did I
4	provide any instructions to my team to do so.
5	Q So from your testimony and the testimony of
6	another member of your team, Attorney Gina Austin,
7	yesterday, it seems the 6176 CUP failed because of the
8	competing 6220 Federal Boulevard CUP. Is that correct?
9	A I wasn't here during her testimony.
10	Q If it weren't for the 6220 Federal Boulevard
11	CUP, do you believe the 6176 CUP would have been
12	approved?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q And is that because they're within 1,000 feet
15	of each other. The failure of 6176, is that because of
16	the proximity to 6220?
17	A Are you asking that the reason it ultimately
18	wasn't approved is because the other project at 6220 was
19	approved?
20	Q Because it was within a 1,000-foot radius.
21	Correct?
22	A Yes. Like I testified earlier.
23	Q And part of one of the main reasons you
24	cited as to 6176 was delayed was because of this
25	geotechnical investigation. Correct?
26	A It had a significant effect in my opinion.
27	Q Yes. When did you first know that the
28	geotechnical investigation was necessary?

1 I believe when we received the comments for the 2 completeness review, they were already asking for it. 3 Q And when was the completeness review submitted? I'm going off my memory, but I think 10/31/16. 4 Α 5 Q Okay. To the best of my recollection. 6 Α 7 Q Yeah, that sounds right. So October 2016, you knew of the soils testing. 8 9 So why -- why didn't you try to do it much 10 sooner? 11 Try to do what? Α 12 Why didn't you try to have the geotechnical 0 13 investigations completed months earlier? 14 Α We tried to address that requirement right away with the City. We submitted a report for another 15 16 property. They deemed it was inadequate. We followed 17 up by meeting with Mr. Kreg Mills to get more specifics 18 on an alternate report that may be accepted. We then 19 procured those reports. We submitted them to Mr. Mills. 20 Ultimately, he rejected them. And then at that point, 21 we proceeded to, from my recollection, to try to attempt 22 to have the engineers do a report specific for that 23 property. 24 Q Approximately what was the time you were 25 communicating with Mr. Mills? 26 Α I'm sorry? 27 Approximately what was the date you were Q communicating with Mr. Mills? 28

1 I'd have to refer back to the exhibit. So you've -- you've completed 20 or 30 2 Q 3 marijuana CUPs. Correct? We have worked on a number of marijuana-related 4 CUPs. Many of them approved. Some of them not. But 5 that number, I think, is a reasonable approximation. 6 7 Q All right. And for this -- for the particular type of CUP you were pursuing for Mr. Geraci, has there 8 9 ever been a time when a geotechnical survey was not 10 required? 11 For most CUPs, a geotechnical investigation is Α 12 not required. But for the particular type Mr. Geraci was 13 Q 14 pursuing, you knew that the soils testing would be 15 required. Correct? 16 I'm not sure what you mean by "particular Α 17 type." 18 Q Well, at the time, it was the MMCC, now a 19 marijuana outlet, a retail facility for -- for sales of 20 marijuana. Typically, for MMCCs, a geotechnical report was 21 22 not required. It was unusual, but we did become aware of it. 23 In October of 2016? 24 Q 25 I would have to refer to the exhibit to give 26 you a precise date. 27 That's what you said earlier when you submitted Q 28 the completion report.

2.7

A If that's what it reflects, then that's correct.

Q So other than the soils testing, there could have been other things that would disqualify the CUP from being approved, such as schools, parks, childcare facilities within a thousand feet. Correct?

A So for a CUP, there are a set of conditions or findings that need to be made. I've touched on some of them earlier. One of them is that it must be -- it must have separation from specific uses, schools being one of them. There's a host of other ones as well.

Q All right. So schools, what about childcare facilities?

A The City has a particular definition of that, but from recollection, I do think that's correct, if a childcare facility meets the City's definition of it and it's within the stipulated minimum distance, then, yes, that project would not be able to be approved.

Q So when you were processing the 6176 CUP, you did check for all of those things, schools, childcare facilities, et cetera. Right?

A For that particular scope of the work, we contracted -- not ourselves, but the client did. We facilitated it -- with an outside consultant called Title Pro. We use them for almost all of our cannabis-related projects. They do that research on what's nearby, what the distances are. They prepare the maps depicting it graphically. And we subsequently take

1	that information and submit it to the City for review.
2	So, no, I did not do that personally, but we did hire a
3	consultant to do that.
4	Q So TECHNE did check?
5	A I'm sorry?
6	Q So your company, TECHNE, did make sure that was
7	checked?
8	A No. We hired somebody to do that, and we did
9	trust that their work was correct. If that's what you
10	characterize as checked, yes. I just want to be
11	accurate on the record.
12	Q Yes. Thank you.
13	So you testified that you attended the public
14	hearing on October 18, 2018, regarding the appeal of the
15	6220 CUP. Correct?
16	A If you're referring to the planning commission
17	hearing and that date is accurate, yes, I absolutely did
18	attend that.
19	Q And you said in your document you brought up 20
20	or 30 issues that were problematic with that property?
21	A With that application.
22	Q With that application, yes.
23	So how long did you speak orally before that
24	commission?
25	A Usually, they provide three minutes per
26	speaker. What we typically do is we have other people
27	on the team that can seed the time to whoever is
28	speaking. And in that case, it was me. And I

1 approximate -- approximate it was somewhere between six 2 and 12 minutes that I gave my initial argument. I may 3 have replied to questions after that, but as best I can recall, that's the time that was allotted to me. And I 4 think I used most of it. 5 So if someone was in attendance at that 6 0 7 commission hearing and they testified you only spoke for one or two minutes, would that person be lying? 8 9 I don't have the video of that commission. Α 10 would be unusual that I could go through the information 11 that I provided in just one or two minutes. I can't 12 speak to that person's credibility, but typically, I know how long it takes to go through a presentation. 13 And it seems that one or two minutes would be 14 15 inadequate. Okay. So in your estimation, 6220 was missing 16 Q 17 several things like grading and elevation issues. If --18 if 6176 was missing those issues, would you anticipate 19 that project be approved, assuming 6220 never existed? 20 Could you repeat that. Α Yes. Assuming 6220 never existed and your 6176 21 0 22 project had problems with grading and elevation issues and parking and the other list you went through, would 23 24 you anticipate your CUP would be approved? 25 MR. TOOTHACRE: Incomplete hypothetical, your 26 Honor. Vague and ambiguous. 2.7 THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I think what you're asking -- and

- 1 | please clarify me if I misrepresent it -- is if our
- 2 | project had the shortcomings and the errors that we
- 3 | identified in the other project's drawings, would it
- 4 | have ultimately been approved?
- 5 BY MR. AUSTIN:
- 6 Q Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A Is that correct?
- 8 Q Yes. That's the question.
 - A I think that those issues would have been brought up before it went to hearing, and we would have addressed them as we usually do. But I don't recall us, as in my firm, ever having a project with drawings of that poor quality going to hearing.
 - Q So perhaps some of those issues are more discretionary?
 - A I don't know what you mean by that.
 - Q Perhaps some of the quality issues might be considered more discretionary by the Department of Development Services?
 - A We know that the staff who reviews the project does have some discretion. And they did argue during the hearing that they felt the drawings were complete. We absolutely disagreed with them. We were quite specific. But from my recollection, that is the position that they took.
 - Q Okay. But if they knew that the property was within a thousand feet of, say, a school or a childcare facility, that would probably be a complete bar.

Correct?

- A Which property, and who is they?
- Q To the -- any one of those CUPs, either 6176 or 6220, would DSD have an issue if that property was within a thousand feet of a school or a daycare facility?

A I can only speak from experience, and I can't speak on behalf of the City. I want to make that very clear.

It's been our experience that if a use that must meet a certain separation is identified and the City staff agrees with that interpretation, that they would not support approval of that project.

Q Thank you.

Are you aware that the 6220 CUP was within a 1,000-foot radius of not one but two childcare facilities?

A It's our understanding -- and this was brought up against their project -- it was brought up at the hearing, I recall. There was an allegation made that these were daycare facilities that were within -- within the minimum distance of both facilities. But from what I recall, City staff determined that those particular, what somebody called daycare, did not meet their criteria.

Q Did you have your independent contractor do a radius check for daycare facilities on the 6220 property?

1	A I don't recall asking for that.
2	Q Okay. So if somebody came in and made this
3	allegation, did you feel it would have been important
4	for you to double-check whether or not there was a
5	childcare facility within a thousand feet of 6220?
6	A I think we did double-check that. And,
7	obviously, our consultant looked at it at the time that
8	we submitted. We then determined that those met the
9	requirement.
10	Q Okay. So that wouldn't have been a worthy
11	argument at the commission hearing for you to make?
12	A I don't know what you mean by by "worthy."
13	It's possible that I may make an argument like that. I
14	definitely believe that it did not affect our project.
15	Q Okay. Does TECHNE employ a man named Carlos
15 16	Q Okay. Does TECHNE employ a man named Carlos Gonzales?
16	Gonzales?
16 17	Gonzales? A Yes.
16 17 18	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you?
16 17 18 19	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes.
16 17 18 19 20	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes. Q Yes. Are you aware that the San Diego
16 17 18 19 20 21	Gonzales: A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes. Q Yes. Are you aware that the San Diego Department of Development Services website had Carlos
16 17 18 19 20 21	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes. Q Yes. Are you aware that the San Diego Department of Development Services website had Carlos Gonzales listed as someone working for or on the project
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes. Q Yes. Are you aware that the San Diego Department of Development Services website had Carlos Gonzales listed as someone working for or on the project 6220?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes. Q Yes. Are you aware that the San Diego Department of Development Services website had Carlos Gonzales listed as someone working for or on the project 6220? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Gonzales? A Yes. Q So he works for you? A Carlos is an employee of my firm, yes. Q Yes. Are you aware that the San Diego Department of Development Services website had Carlos Gonzales listed as someone working for or on the project 6220? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained.

2.7

contain information on CUPs that are being processed?

A That's a very broad question. And, certainly, we don't manage or publish that website. From our experience in DSD, Development Services Department, you can obtain some information on projects that the City chooses to place on that website.

Q Yes. So usually it's basic like information, like we did see an exhibit earlier that had certain pieces of information, just like very broad, like owner, point of contact, things of that nature. Like, what would you describe as some of the information that website would show the public?

A The website is quite broad, as you may imagine. There is a portion of it where you can search for projects. You can get access to invoices if you have the right information to search for them. You can get access oftentimes to a description of the project. They may identify, if they choose to do it, a point of contact or the property owner or the agent or anybody else who may have inquired about that project. And sometimes too they give a status, often incorrect, I may say from experience, on where the project is in each particular review discipline.

THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to take our morning break at this time. We're going to be in recess for 15 minutes.

Do not form or express an opinion or discuss the case until deliberations. We'll be in recess for 15

```
1
    minutes.
 2
              All right. The jury has left the courtroom.
 3
              Feel free to sit down, Mr. Schweitzer.
              THE WITNESS:
 4
                            Thank you.
 5
              THE COURT: We'll be in recess, Counsel.
              (Recess from 10:28 a.m. to 10:50 a.m.)
 6
 7
              THE COURT: The Court indicated that we were
    going to take a 15-minute recess, and now we're 20
 8
 9
    minutes plus. And this is not the first time you've
10
    been late. And this time, we made the jury wait. I
11
    don't understand why 15 minutes to everybody else means
12
     20 minutes or more to you.
13
              MR. AUSTIN: I should have set a timer. I
14
    apologize, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Now, folks, we're all
15
16
    adults. I try to treat everybody like adults. So I'm
17
    not so sure you want me, if you're inviting the Court to
18
    treat you differently, because you are behaving
19
    differently than everybody else.
20
              So, Counsel, no more times to be late. And,
21
    again, my primary audience is the jury, which you all,
22
    right now, you're examining this witness, I would think
    would want to be focused on.
23
              Madam Deputy, bring the jury in.
2.4
25
              THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor.
26
              THE COURT: All right. Folks, thanks for being
2.7
     so patient. Everybody is in their chairs.
28
              Counsel, why don't you continue with your
```

1 cross-examination of Mr. Schweitzer. 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: 3 Mr. Schweitzer, are you aware of how many 0 project managers you had to deal with on the 6176 CUP 4 5 application? My own project managers? 6 Α 7 Q Through DSD. Well, certainly, Mr. Tirandazi. And I think 8 9 later there may have been another one. I'm not certain. 10 I believe there have been four. Does that 11 sound accurate? 12 Α That does not sound accurate. So I remember on the board -- I didn't pull out 13 Q 14 that particular exhibit. 15 But I did see a name possibly. Does Delores Gonzales sound familiar? 16 17 Delores Gonzales I believe is an employee at Α the Development Services Department. She is not a 18 19 development project manager, as far as I know. 20 If I could direct your attention to Exhibit 47 0 in the binder. It's already been admitted in evidence. 21 22 Towards the top left, it says project manager, Gonzales. 23 Α Just give me one moment. I have the exhibit in front of me. 2.4 25 0 Do you see in that top left corner a 26 couple lines down, it says project manager, Delores 27 Gonzales? I do. 28 Α

1	Q	Okay. And this was on your project, was it
2	not?	
3	A	This is a correspondence from the City
4	indicati	ng comments at the completeness review portion
5	of our p	roject.
6	Q	So
7	A	I don't recall us dealing with Ms. Gonzales
8	directly	at all.
9	Q	And through your company, a lot of people deal
10	with the	City for you. Correct? You don't always take
11	lead on	every single issue, do you?
12	A	For the cannabis projects, I'm usually the
13	person t	hat takes the lead on all the issues. Although,
14	it is co	mmon for other people on my staff to communicate
15	with the	City.
16	Q	So you said one of the main project managers
17	was Tira	ndazi?
18	A	Yes. I think it's important to differentiate
19	that onc	e the project is in full re
20	Q	It's a "yes" or "no" question.
21	A	Was the question if Mr. Tirandazi was one of
22	the main	project managers?
23	Q	Yes, on the project.
24	A	The City doesn't have that title. She was a
25	developm	ent project manager, yes.
26	Q	Okay. Do you remember a woman named Cherlyn
27	Cac, C-a	-c?
28	A	Yes.

1	Q Was she in a similar position as Tirandazi?
2	A As I understand it, she at least was a
3	development project manager, and she did work on
4	cannabis projects as well.
5	Q And after her, was there another project
6	manager who was assigned to the CUP on 6176?
7	A It's possible. The City does change staff.
8	It's up to them to do that.
9	Q When there's a change in staff for a project on
10	the CUP, does that at all delay the process of the
11	application?
12	A Are you asking a broad question for all CUPs?
13	Q For yours in particular, do you think that
14	would have slowed the process down at all?
15	A That has happened to us on some projects, and
16	it really depends on that particular project manager.
17	Some are a little faster to respond. Some are a little
18	slower. We don't get the same level of service with
19	everybody.
20	Q Are you aware of how many project managers the
21	6220 CUP application had to deal with?
22	A City project managers?
23	Q Yes.
24	A I'm not aware.
25	Q And how long did the 6220 process take? You
26	said it started in the first months of 2018. Correct?
27	A I don't believe I've said that.
28	Q I believe you did say in one of the first

1	months of 20	018 is when you found out about Aaron
2	Magagna's f	iling for the CUP. Correct?
3	A I	did become aware of that project in 2018, but
4	I don't reca	all offhand specifically when it started, as
5	you stated.	
6	QII	pelieve it was Exhibit 67, there was an email
7	chain betwee	en you and Gina Austin. It's towards the
8	middle of the	ne page.
9	Th	is will be a "yes" or "no" question. Do you
10	see the part	t where Gina Austin is talking to or is
11	emailing you	about how Tirandazi is slow? Do you see
12	that email?	
13	A I	see a response from Gina Austin at the bottom
14	of that. The	nere are three correspondences on that page.
15	And what she	e says is she is a little slow. I will call
16	her today.	
17	Q Oka	ay. All right. Shifting gears a little bit,
18	in order to	get the 6176 CUP application approved, how
19	many outstar	nding issues did you have?
20	A Th	roughout the course of the project?
21	Q Inc	complete issues that were outstanding.
22	A At	what point in time?
23	MR	. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous, your
24	Honor.	
25	THI	E COURT: Sustained. Why don't you narrow
26	the time fra	ame.
27	MR	. AUSTIN: Okay.
28		

28

BY MR. AUSTIN: 1 2 Roughly -- let's even go back to a year ago 3 when you were doing a soils analysis, when you had to come back for court orders. So you said that was 4 roughly January/March of 2018. Correct? 5 6 Α I'm sorry. One more time? 7 Q In order to get access to Mr. Cotton's property on 6176, in order to do the geotechnical investigation, 8 9 you had to get -- there had to be two court orders. 10 Correct? 11 I was informed and I believed that. But I Α 12 didn't participate in those legal proceedings. Okay. I do believe I did see some declarations 13 Q 14 with your name on it for each of those proceedings. MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. 15 16 MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: One moment. Only one of you. 18 MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts. 19 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 20 Next question. BY MR. AUSTIN: 21 In March 2018, do you know approximately how 22 many outstanding issues needed to be resolved with DSD 23 in order to have final approval from the City for the 24 CUP application? 25 26 Α I don't recall specifically without looking at 2.7 the record how many open cycle issues. But I do want to

be clear, the staff does not approve these projects.

- 1 They recommend it for approval, and it goes to the 2 hearing officer in this case. But I don't recall 3 without looking at the City's cycle issues to be able to tell you with certainty if it was 10 or 50 or 100. 4 5 just don't have that good of a memory. 6 Q Do you have any type of estimate, 7 approximation. Fifty to 75? Seventy-five to 100? Between 10 and 100. 8 Α 9 Okay. Thank you. 0 10 Based on your experience in assisting with so 11 many marijuana and cannabis-related projects, you feel 12 like you're pretty knowledgeable in this area of law. 13 Correct? 14 Α No, I'm not an attorney, and I would not say 15 I'm knowledgeable in any area of the law, unfortunately. 16 Q When it comes to municipal codes, wouldn't you 17 be aware of a great many of those --
 - A I wouldn't characterize it as a great many.

 We've worked on some jurisdictions. I had mentioned

 Oakland before, Richmond, Santa Barbara, San Diego, the

 City of San Diego, County of San Diego. And I'm

 familiar with to some extent and to a different extent

 those municipal codes, depending on how many projects

 we've done in that jurisdiction.
 - Q Are you aware of any lawsuits or sanctions that have been leveled against Mr. Geraci in relation to illegal cannabis dispensaries?
 - A I'm not aware.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 You're not aware of those? 0 2 Α No. 3 If theoretically he had been sanctioned by a 0 governmental agency for illegal dispensaries, are you 4 5 aware of that barring Mr. Geraci from being the applicant on a CUP? 6 7 MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts. And it's an 8 incomplete hypothetical. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 THE WITNESS: What we focus on in the Municipal 11 Code is what it relates to the drawings. On most 12 projects, we have an attorney, and they would deal with 13 these legal issues. So I don't have in-depth knowledge 14 of that. I have heard in the past that it may affect 15 it, but I can't tell you with certainty that I know or 16 don't know that. It's just not an area of the Code that 17 we specialize in. BY MR. AUSTIN: 18 19 So if someone told you -- if an attorney told Q 20 you that it was illegal, would you still try to assist 21 Mr. Geraci in pursuing the CUP? 22 MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 23 MR. AUSTIN: No further questions. 2.4 25 THE COURT: All right. Redirect? 26 MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. Just a couple areas 2.7 quickly, your Honor. | | | 28

28

that one.

1	(Redirect examination of Abhay Schweitzer)
2	BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
3	Q Mr. Schweitzer, with regard to the geotechnical
4	soils analysis report, you became aware that the that
5	the City was requiring such a report at the completeness
6	review. Is that correct?
7	A We received the comments from the completeness
8	review.
9	Q And that was not on October 31 when you
10	submitted the application. Is that correct?
11	A Yeah. They're they're not that fast. I
12	think it was about a couple weeks after that submittal.
13	Q Okay. And at the time you were made aware that
L 4	the City was going to require a geotechnical soils
15	analysis, were you already aware that Mr. Cotton was not
L 6	allowing you on the property to post notice?
17	A I don't remember the exact date, but I know it
18	was early on that I was informed that, no, we were not
19	welcome on the property nor was anybody else. At least,
20	that was by the time of the posting that I that there
21	was a picture of. So it was quite early on.
22	Q And did you begin at that time to start trying
23	to come up with an alternative to having the soils
24	engineers actually go on the property?
25	A I believe we did because we had to do that in
26	order to address the comments. So we we did take
27	action on every single comment we received, including

2.7

28

The number of outstanding cycle issues 1 Okay. 2 just referred to by Mr. Austin, was it a large number in 3 comparison to other projects? Are you referring to at the date --4 5 0 Yes. -- that Mr. Austin -- I think at that point, we 6 Α 7 felt it was towards the tail end of the project. It wasn't unusual the number of comments we received. 8 9 Okay. And did you feel that you were going to 0 10 be able to address all those comments in a timely 11 fashion and get the matter before hearing? 12 We were pretty confident after the third 13 submittal that there was nothing there that we couldn't address and that if the project went to hearing and 14 15 there was no other reason, as in the opposing project, 16 for example, to deny it, that it would have gotten 17 approved. 18 0 Focusing on 6220, what contacts did you have 19 with the City with regard to 6220, the competing 20 application? 21 So part of what we did was to request from the 22 City drawings for that project. We had contact in that manner. We also researched -- I think it might have 23 been Carlos Gonzales that researched the records 24 25 department at the City for 6220 to see if anything had 26 been permitted on that property originally. And we may

have researched if there was code compliance. We

typically do that. So we contacted the City several

times on 6220 as part of our due diligence to see what 1 2 state that project was in and how we could best oppose 3 it. Is it your belief that that is the reason your 4 Q name and Mr. Carlos' name shows up on the City's website 5 6 on that particular project? 7 That's the only reason I can think of that our Α names would be there. 8 Okay. And you and TECHNE, nor Carlos, none of 9 0 10 you did any work on behalf of 6220? 11 Myself or my firm or Carlos, I'm not aware that we did any work whatsoever on behalf of that project. 12 MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. I have nothing 13 14 further, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Anything else, Counsel? 16 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. May Mr. Schweitzer be 18 excused? 19 MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Counsel? 2.1 MR. AUSTIN: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Schweitzer. 22 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2.4 THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff, your next 25 witness? MR. TOOTHACRE: Plaintiff would call Firouzeh 26 2.7 Tirandazi. 28 THE COURT: Is he right out --

```
MR. TOOTHACRE:
 1
                              She.
 2
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. Is she right outside?
 3
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              I believe so.
              THE COURT: Madam Deputy, may I ask you to get
 4
     the next witness.
 5
              THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, this witness is being
 6
 7
     accompanied by her attorney.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you very much. Counsel, you
 9
     can make yourself comfortable in the audience section.
10
              Ma'am, if you could follow the directions of my
11
     clerk, please.
12
13
                         Firouzeh Tirandazi,
     being called on behalf of the plaintiff/cross-defendant,
14
15
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
16
17
              THE CLERK: Please state your full name and
18
     spell your first and last name for the record.
19
              THE WITNESS: My name is Firouzeh Tirandazi.
20
     F-i-r-o-u-z-e-h. Last name Tirandazi,
2.1
     T-i-r-a-n-d-a-z-i.
22
              THE COURT: All right. Counsel, whenever
23
     you're ready.
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, your Honor.
2.4
              (Direct examination of Firouzeh Tirandazi)
25
26
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
27
              Good morning, Ms. Tirandazi.
         Q
28
              Good morning.
         A
```

You work for the City. Is that correct? 1 Q 2 Α Correct. 3 Q And when did you begin working for the City? 1993. 4 Α And you worked from 1993 until approximately 5 Q 2006. Is that correct? 6 7 Α That is correct. And what was your position at the City between 8 Q 1993 and 2006? 9 10 Α Associate planner and then development project 11 Manager II. 12 Okay. Are both of those positions within the 0 13 DSD? 14 Α No. 15 Okay. What department are they in? 0 16 Environmental Services Department and then Α 17 Development Services Department. 18 Q Okay. And did you take a leave of absence in 19 2006? 20 Yes. Α 21 For what period of time? Q 22 I returned to the City in 2015. Α 23 Okay. And what was your position when you left 0 the City in 2006? 24 25 Α Development Project Manager II. 26 Okay. And that is in the DSD department. 0 27 Correct? 28 Α Yes.

1 And, currently, what's your position? 2 Development Project Manager III. Α 3 Okay. Is that the highest of the development 0 project managers within the City? 4 5 Α Yes. Okay. And what is your work history with the 6 0 7 City since you returned in 2015? Could you clarify the question. 8 Α 9 Yes. 0 10 What positions have you held since you returned 11 in 2015? 12 Α Development Project Manager II and then Development Project Manager III. 13 Okay. And do you work with regard to marijuana 14 Q 15 CUP applications? 16 Α Currently, yes. 17 Okay. Can you generally give the jury just Q 18 a 10,000-foot view of what a CUP application with regard 19 to medical marijuana requires. 20 Submittal requirements, or processing Α requirements? 21 22 First, submittal. Q I'm not involved with submittal. So I wouldn't 23 Α 2.4 know. 25 Q Is the first step at your level a 26 completeness review? 2.7 Α I don't do completeness check. Is that in submittals? 28 Q

1 That's correct. 2 Okay. And what is -- what is the first area or Q 3 the first phase that you address with regard to CUPs? I receive the application once it's been deemed 4 Α 5 complete. 6 Q Okay. 7 Α And distribute it for review. So I --And who do you distribute it to? 8 Q 9 Α I don't do the distribution. It goes to the 10 typical review disciplines that are involved in reviews 11 of conditional use permits. 12 And what are some of those disciplines? 0 13 Engineering, transportation, planning, and Α environmental are the key ones. 14 15 I will ask you to look at Exhibit 34. 0 It should be in front of you. It's in evidence, your 16 17 Honor. 18 Α The one that's right in front of me? Ιt 19 says --20 Is it open to that? 0 2.1 I don't know. Α Oh. THE COURT: Counsel, why don't you approach. 22 23 There are so many volumes up here. THE WITNESS: I don't know which one I'm 24 25 supposed to look at. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 26 27 Q Okay. I have 65 and --28 A

It should be in this one. 1 Q 2 Α This one? 3 Thirty-four. Yeah. Q 4 Α Okay. And what is Exhibit 34? 5 Q That's an invoice. 6 Α 7 Q Exhibit 34? Oh. Wait. Sorry. The general application. 8 Α 9 And have you seen this particular Q Okay. 10 general application before? 11 Yes. This -- this comes with the project file 12 that I receive. 13 Okay. And it's executed by Rebecca Berry. Q Is that correct? 14 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. Turn back to -- a couple pages to the Q 17 second page, DS-190. 18 Is that part of the package that was submitted 19 to you? 20 Α Yes. Also submitted by Rebecca Berry. Is that 21 Q 22 correct? 23 Α Yes. What is the City's purpose in having the DS-190 24 Q 25 form? 26 Α The purpose of this form is just the -- for the 2.7 applicant to validate that the project they're 28 submitting is in compliance with the separation

1	requireme	ents.
2	Q	Okay. Turning to page 3, Form DS-3242.
3		Is that a deposit account/financial
4	responsi	bility financially responsible party form?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And what is the City's purpose in having this
7	form?	
8	A	This is the signed by the individual that is
9	responsil	ble for all costs associated with the processing
10	of the a	pplication.
11	Q	Okay. And is it the financially responsible
12	party who	o has the authority to withdraw an application
13	if they	so desire?
14	A	It's defined in the Code in terms of who can
15	withdraw	an application.
16	Q	I'm sorry. One more time?
17	A	It's defined in the Municipal Code in terms of
18	who can	withdraw an application.
19	Q	Okay. Does the City recognize that the
20	financia	lly responsible party is the party that can
21	withdraw	an application?
22	A	Typically, it's the the permit holder and
23	the appl:	icant, and that's defined in the Municipal Code.
24	Q	Okay. I would like to refer you to Exhibit 65,
25	which I	believe is in evidence, your Honor.
26		THE COURT: It is.
27		MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. It's in the next
2.8	book.	

BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 1 2 Do you recognize that document, Ms. Tirandazi? Q 3 Α Yes. And what is this document? 4 Q The email? 5 Α 6 0 Yes. 7 Α It's a --Is that an email that you drafted? 8 Q 9 Yes. Α 10 Q And who -- who was it drafted to? 11 Abhay Schweitzer. Α 12 Okay. Q 13 Α And Becky. 14 Focusing on the first paragraph, what was the Q 15 information you were trying to convey? To convey that the project is in the CO-2-1 16 Α 17 zone and the medical marijuana consumer cooperative is 18 not permitted in this zone and that staff would be 19 recommending denial. 20 And was that your interpretation on March 14th, 0 21 2017? 22 That is correct. Α Okay. And subsequently, do you know whether or 23 0 not the Municipal Code was amended to allow medical 24 25 marijuana project at that location? 26 Α Yes, I was made aware after. 27 After that particular day? Q Yes. 28 Α

1 Do you recall who made you aware? 0 2 I -- I don't. Α 3 Okay. Was there a bulletin or an email? 0 I believe it was a -- from the Code team. 4 Α Ι 5 believe I was updated by the Code team. I don't 6 remember specifically. 7 Q Fair enough. 8 Let me have you refer to Exhibit 66, which is 9 in evidence, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Yes. It is. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 11 And I'll ask you if you recognize that 12 13 document, Ms. Tirandazi. 14 Α Yes. 15 And what is this document? Q 16 So this is a standard letter that's prepared by Α 17 our support staff that provides the point of contact for 18 the project with the noticing requirements, the posting 19 of the notice, and letting them know that their 20 application has been deemed complete in the process. The bottom paragraph, if you can call that up, 21 22 you indicate that you recommend that they contact Ken Marlbrough. 23 Who is Ken Marlbrough? 24 25 He, per this letter, is the chair of the Α 26 Encanto neighborhoods community planning group. 27 Q And what does the community planning group have to do with the application of the CUP? 28

The recommendation of the planning group is 1 2 forwarded to the decision maker. 3 0 And the last paragraph on page 2 indicates if 4 they have any questions with the notice -- the posted notice requirements to contact you. Is that correct? 5 That is correct. 6 Α 7 Q Okay. Let me have you refer to Exhibit 68? MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, I'd like to offer 8 9 68. 10 THE COURT: It is in evidence. 11 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 68, Email to Darryl Cotton from Firouzeh Tirandazi re PTS 520606 -12 Federal Blvd MMCC, dated 3/16/17 and prior email 13 thread, was admitted into evidence.) 14 15 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 16 Do you recognize that document, Ms. Tirandazi? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 0 And is this an email string between yourself 19 and Mr. Cotton? 20 Okay. What is the question? I'm sorry? Α Is this an email string between yourself and 21 0 22 Mr. Cotton? 23 Α I'm looking at the first page. And it seems to 24 be, yes. 25 0 Okay. On the first page, the first paragraph, 26 you indicate that, "As requested, please find the 27 attached ownership disclosure statement signed by you." 28 paren, "property owner," end paren, "and Rebecca Berry,"

1

2	Did you have a discussion with Mr. Cotton as to
3	why he was requesting the ownership disclosure
4	statement?
5	A I believe he was asking about the application
6	that is being processed on his property.
7	Q Okay. Did he say why he required a copy of the
8	ownership disclosure statement?
9	A He was not sure why the City is processing an
10	application on his property, that he was not aware of
11	such an application being processed. And I indicated
12	that he has signed an ownership disclosure statement
13	that was submitted with the application.
14	Q Okay.
15	A And requested a copy.
16	Q And in the second paragraph on the first page,
17	you indicate the project was deemed complete. Is that
18	correct?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q And it was currently in the first review cycle.
21	What does that mean?
22	A So projects that are submitted processing of
23	discretionary applications, there could be multiple
24	review cycles where the initial review is distributed to
25	the review team, they complete their review, and an
26	assessment letter is issued. And the applicant will
27	need to resubmit.
28	That will be the second review cycle addressing

paren, "tenant/lessee," end paren, "on October 31."

1 I didn't find the first review cycle until all 2 reviews are resolved. 3 With regard to the last sentence in that 0 4 paragraph, you indicate as a property owner, if you wish to withdraw the application, please notify me in 5 writing. 6 7 Did Mr. Cotton indicate to you that he wished to withdraw the application? 8 9 Α I don't recall. 10 0 Okay. Turn to the next page. The top appears 11 to be an email dated March 16th, 2017, from Mr. Cotton 12 to you. He says "Thank you for speaking with me today." Did Mr. Cotton come to your office? Do you 13 14 recall? 15 I do recall him coming to the office. Α 16 Okay. And he says "This is in fact my Q 17 signature, and I think the applicant is related to a 18 party that I have a preliminary understanding with. 19 will handle matters on my end." 20 Was that your acknowledgment to you that he had in fact signed the ownership disclosure statement? 21 22 I mean, what it's saying here is what it's Α I don't know if it was an acknowledgment that 23 saying. he had signed it or not, but I think he saw his 24 25 signature on the ownership disclosure statement that I 26 sent to him. 27 Q Fair enough. 28 On the last email on that page, it appears to

1 be an email from Mr. Cotton to you, dated March 21. 2 says, "As a follow-up to our recent conversations, the 3 potential buyer, Larry Geraci, CC'd herein, and I have failed to finalize the purchase of my property. As of 4 today, there are no third parties that have any direct, 5 indirect or contingent interests in my property. 6 The application currently pending on my property should be 7 denied because the applicants have no legal access to my 8 9 property." 10 Did you receive that email from Mr. Cotton? 11 It looks like it was sent to me then, yes. Α 12 0 Do you have any independent recollection of 13 receiving that? 14 Α It was a while ago, but it looks like it was 15 sent to my email account. 16 Q Okay. Did you take any action upon receiving that email? 17 18 Α I don't recall. 19 Do you recall Mr. Schweitzer indicating to you Q 20 that he was having difficulty posting notice on the property at 6130 -- at 6176 Federal Boulevard? 21 Possibly. It was so long ago, I don't recall 22 Α 23 the details. Okay. Let me have you refer, if I could, to 24 Q 25 Exhibit 86. 26 MR. TOOTHACRE: This is in evidence, your 2.7 Honor. I believe this email reads from the back to the front. 28

1 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 2 Starting on the last page, Ms. Tirandazi. that appear to be an email to you from Abhay indicating 3 "We are working with the property owner on this project 4 to get the notice posted but we have been unable to do 5 6 it yet"? 7 Α Yes. 8 "Would it be possible to get an extension?" Q 9 Α Yes. 10 Do you recall him asking you for an extension 0 11 on Mr. Geraci's project? 12 Α It's in the email. So yes. Do you recall whether or not you granted an 13 Q 14 extension? So I think I gave him the section of the 15 Α 16 Municipal Code, that it needs to be posted within five 17 days. It's in the Municipal Code. It's not --18 0 That's on the second page of the email. 19 Correct? 20 Mm-hmm. Α 21 THE REPORTER: Answer in words to the question, 22 for the reporter, please. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 23 24 That's on the second page of the email. Q 25 Correct? 26 Α Yes. 27 And the first page of the email, Mr. Schweitzer Q 28 indicates to you "Thank you for the fast response.

1 happens if we can't post on time or if we can't post at 2 all?" Is that correct? 3 Α That is correct. 4 0 And then you indicate at the top it would be in violation of the Code. Correct? 5 6 Α Correct. 7 And let me have you refer to Exhibit 91, which Q is in evidence, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: It is. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 10 11 What is that document, Ms. Tirandazi? Q The verification of posting of public notice. 12 Α So the notice did eventually get posted. 13 Q 14 Correct? 15 Based on the verification, yes. Α 16 Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 96, which is not in Q 17 evidence, your Honor. I'll offer it. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. AUSTIN: What number? 20 THE COURT: Ninety-six. 2.1 MR. AUSTIN: No objection. 22 THE COURT: Exhibit 96 will be admitted. (Premarked Joint Exhibit 96, Email to Firouzeh 23 2.4 Tirandazi from Darryl Cotton re Federal Blvd. Application, dated 5/15/17, was admitted into 25 26 evidence.) 2.7 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 28 Does this appear to be an email string between Q

1 you and Mr. Cotton?

A Yes.

Q And the bottom email, you indicate on May 15th, 2017, "You may have misunderstood me. If the property has changed ownership, feel free to provide an updated general application, ownership disclosure statement, and the new grant deed so that we may have the most updated information for the project file. If as a result of this there is a new financial responsible party, please provide a chain of responsible party form." I think that's supposed to be change.

A Change.

Q Were you under the impression the property had changed hands at that point in time?

A You know, based on this email, it appears that I may have been -- I may have been informed that the property owner has changed.

- Q Okay. And did you ever receive the information you were requested if the property owner had changed?
- 20 A No.
 - Q Was there ever a second competing CUP application started with regard to the same property as Mr. Geraci was working on the project?

A I believe so, but I don't recall being involved in that application.

Q Okay. Let me refer you to Exhibit 98, which is in evidence, your Honor. And specifically just talking about the letter on the first page, May 19th, 2017.

1 Do you recognize that document? 2 Α Yes. 3 It's a letter to you by Schweitzer? Q 4 Α Yes. Is that from you? 5 Q Page 6, is that your signature? 6 Yes. 7 Yes. Α 8 And you CC'd Ken Marlbrough on this as well. Q 9 Correct? 10 Α Yes. And you indicate to Mr. Schweitzer the 11 0 12 Development Services Department has completed the initial review of the project referenced above and is 13 14 describing -- you give a description of that, the 15 project in question. Correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 Q The next full paragraph, you start out "City 18 staff has been informed the project site has been sold." 19 Does that refresh your recollection that 20 somebody had informed the City staff that the site had been sold? 21 22 Probably based on the communication from 23 Mr. Cotton that he had sold the property. So I just 24 wanted to clarify that. 25 0 Okay. I would next refer you to Exhibit 106, 26 Ms. Tirandazi, which is not in evidence yet, your Honor. 27 May I offer it? THE COURT: Any objection? 28

MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Exhibit 106 will be admitted. 3 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 106, Email to Rodney Bruce from Firouzeh Tirandazi Re PTC 520606, 4 dated 8/09/17, was admitted into evidence.) 5 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 6 7 And this is another one of those emails that Q reads back to front. 8 9 Do you recognize this document, Ms. Tirandazi? 10 Α Okay. 11 Do you recognize that document? Q 12 Α It has my signature on it. So I assume. Starting on page 2. This appears to be an 13 Q 14 email from somebody named Daniel Tames, president and 15 lead designer. He says, "Hello, Firouzeh. My name is 16 Daniel Tames from Fine-TE studio. I'm trying to see if 17 it's possible to schedule a meeting with you and see 18 some issues and that we have with regards to project 19 520606. Please let me know what day of the week is 20 better for you." Did you ever have a meeting with Mr. Tames? 21 22 Α No, I don't recall having a meeting with 23 Mr. Tames. And the Project No. 520606, that was 24 25 Mr. Geraci's and Mr. Cotton's project with regard to 6176 Federal Boulevard? 26 2.7 Α The assessment letter would have called. I don't remember the exact project number. Just to be 28

1 clear, I would refer to the assessment letter for that 2 project. 3 0 Let me refer to you the bottom email on the 4 first page. It appears to be from Rodney Bruce sent Monday, August 7, 2017, to Daniel Tames but was CC'd to 5 6 you. Correct? 7 Α Mm-hmm. It says "Firouzeh, we are in the process of 8 Q 9 starting a different application outside of the one you 10 currently have in progress for the Federal Boulevard 11 property." 12 Do you recall whether or not you ever received 13 that application? I don't recall. 14 Α 15 Okay. Do you recall who Rodney Bruce was? Q No. I don't believe I met him. 16 Α 17 Q Okay. And in the top email -- or, yes, email 18 on that string, you indicate in reply that "Development 19 Services Department is currently processing the CUP for 20 an MMCC on the site located at 6176 Federal Boulevard. Another application for the same use on the same 21 22 property cannot be processed." Was that your belief at the time? 23 That is correct. 2.4 Α 25 Q Okay. A new -- you state "A new application 26 can be accepted if the current application is withdrawn. 2.7 A request to withdraw the current application must come 28 from both the property owner and the financial

```
responsible party associated with the current CUP
 1
 2
     application."
 3
              Did you ever receive such a request by the
     property owner and the financial responsible party on
 4
     Mr. Geraci's project?
 5
 6
         Α
              No.
 7
              And then next sentence, you indicate, "Daniel
         Q
     advised that the ownership of the property has changed."
 8
 9
              Do you recall Daniel Tames telling you that?
10
         Α
              Possibly.
                         I don't recall.
11
              Okay. And you indicate "Prior to moving
         0
     forward on the current application, I will need an
12
13
     updated general application, a new ownership disclosure
     statement, and a new grant deed so that we may have the
14
15
     most updated information in the project file."
              Is that correct?
16
17
         Α
              Correct.
18
         0
              And did you receive those documents to the best
19
     of your recollection?
20
         Α
              No.
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Your Honor, I would like
21
22
     to refer to 107, which is not in evidence yet. I would
     offer it.
23
              THE COURT: Any objection?
24
25
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
26
              THE COURT: Exhibit 107 will be admitted.
2.7
     | | |
     | | |
28
```

(Premarked Joint Exhibit 107, Email to Firouzeh 1 2 Tirandazi from Abhay Schweitzer Re PTC 520606, 3 dated 8/10/17, was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 4 I'm just going to focus on the top email, which 5 Q is an email to you from Abhay Schweitzer and ask if you 6 7 recognize that. 8 Α Yes. 9 And this is Abhay Schweitzer responding to that 0 10 previous email. Correct? 11 To which previous email? Α To the email in which --12 0 13 Α Yes. 14 -- Mr. Tames indicates that the property has Q 15 changed hands. 16 Α Yes. And Mr. Schweitzer indicates "The ownership of 17 Q 18 this property has not changed hands since submitted. 19 The information we originally submitted in the general 20 application accompanying grant deed and financially responsible party is accurate. Therefore, no revision 21 to those documents are needed. The only change in 22 ownership will be done after the CUP is approved and 23 from the current owner to our client. We intend to 24 25 continue processing this project without any delays." 26 Did you read that at the time? 2.7 Α I believe so. 28 And did Mr. Schweitzer continue processing his Q

1 application for the CUP? 2 Α Yes. 3 And do you recall an issue with Mr. Schweitzer 0 4 not being able to get a soils engineering company access to the property? 5 6 Α I don't specifically recall that. 7 Q Let me have you refer to Exhibit 108, which is in evidence, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: It is. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 10 11 This is a fairly lengthy email string that is 0 12 dated between August 14th and August 15th. Is that correct, the email string? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. On the very back page of that email, 16 page 12 -- bring that up. Does that refresh your recollection at all that 17 18 Mr. Schweitzer was attempting -- was having problems 19 with the geotechnical engineers getting access to the 20 property and he was trying to submit reports from nearby properties to satisfy the City? 21 22 It appears so by this email. Α Okay. And then on page 5 of that exhibit, it 23 0 appears that Mr. Schweitzer is replying in the email to 24 25 Mr. Kreg. Do you know who Mr. Kreg is, K-r-e-g? Is 26 that Kreq Mills? 2.7 Α That is correct. 28 Okay. And what department is Kreg Mills in? Q

He's our geology -- geology section. 1 2 Okay. And he indicates that he will coordinate 3 trying to submit these other two properties through you. Is that correct? 4 Α Yes. Abhay is coordinating through me. 5 Right. And if you go to the top of that, your 6 0 7 response is essentially that that's not good enough because the assessment letter specifically asked for a 8 9 geotechnical report as to that property. Correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 0 Pardon me? 12 I -- I'm trying to -- I'm basically letting him know that it's a required document that needs to be 13 submitted. 14 15 0 Right. Thank you. 16 And I want to have you refer to Exhibit 114, which I will offer in evidence, your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Exhibit 114 will be admitted. (Premarked Joint Exhibit 114, top email string 21 22 to Holly Glavinic from Firouzeh Tirandazi, dated September 20, 2017, was admitted into evidence.) 23 2.4 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 25 Q Do you recognize that document, Ms. Tirandazi? 26 Α Yes. 27 And in that document, the last paragraph of Q your email, you indicate, "Please be advised that the 28

1	City is only able to make a decision on one of these
2	applications. The first project deemed ready for a
3	decision by the hearing officer will be scheduled for a
4	public hearing. Following any final decision on one of
5	the CUP applications submitted for the above-referenced
6	address, the CUP application still in process would be
7	obsolete and would need to be withdrawn."
8	Why would that be the case?
9	A Because of the separation requirements
10	established for between marijuana outlets or medical
11	marijuana consumer cooperatives by the Municipal Code.
12	Q So once one goes through, the other one is dead
13	in the water, so to speak?
14	A They have to be within a thousand feet of each
15	other.
16	Q Okay. Do you recall how many project managers
17	were on this particular project, City project managers?
18	A I don't recall how many.
19	Q Well, do you recall you were a project
20	manager on this. Correct?
21	A Yes, I was.
22	Q And Cherlyn Cac was a project manager on this
23	project. Correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And why did you cease being the project
26	manager?
27	A Because I was transitioned to a different unit
28	within our department the expedited affordable housing

```
1
     section.
 2
              And Cherlyn Cac was assigned to this project in
         Q
 3
     your stead?
              That is correct.
 4
         Α
 5
              Okay. And did you become her supervisor?
         Q
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              You became a Project Manager III. Correct?
         Q
 8
         Α
              Yes.
 9
              And she's a Project Manager II?
         Q
10
         Α
              Yes.
11
                     Is there any significant delay in a
         Q
              Okay.
12
     project when project managers are changed within the
13
     City on a project?
14
         Α
              No.
15
              Does it take a while for the project managers
         Q
16
     to get up to speed at all?
17
         Α
              No.
18
         0
              Is it unusual to have several project managers
19
     from the City on a particular project?
20
         Α
              No.
21
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I have nothing further, your
22
     Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Cross-examination.
              MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, looking at the time,
24
25
     does it make sense for me to start now?
              THE COURT: Let's -- let's get going, Counsel.
26
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: Okay.
     \ \ \
28
```

```
(Cross-examination of Firouzeh Tirandazi)
 1
 2
    BY MR. AUSTIN:
 3
         0
            Good afternoon.
         A Good afternoon.
 4
              So, earlier, you were shown some exhibits, some
 5
         Q
     various forms by the DSD. And there are areas where the
 6
 7
     language requires all people associated with the project
     be listed, anyone with an interest in the property be
 8
 9
     listed. Correct?
10
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, vague and
11
     ambiguous. Can we know which exhibit we're referring
12
     to?
13
              THE COURT: Overruled.
14
              THE WITNESS: Is there a specific exhibit?
    BY MR. AUSTIN:
15
16
              Yes. Actually, Exhibit 30. And also 34, there
         Q
17
     are several other general applications?
18
              THE COURT: Which exhibit, Counsel, are you
19
     referring to?
20
              MR. AUSTIN: Thirty.
21
              THE COURT: All right.
22
              THE WITNESS: This is the ownership disclosure
23
     statement.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
24
              Yes. Look at Section 1. It does say anyone --
25
         0
26
     it's about three lines down. It says anyone with any
27
     interest should be listed on this form.
28
              Is that -- should we take that literally, or is
```

```
1
     the City not very -- not very specific with that
 2
     language?
 3
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Vaque, ambiquous, your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Do you understand the question,
 5
     ma'am?
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                            I do.
 7
              THE COURT: Please answer.
              The objection is overruled.
 8
 9
              Please answer it.
10
              THE WITNESS: So this form is submitted with
11
     the application. It needs to identify the property
12
     owner, the individual that's on the grant deed
     associated with the property. If it's an LLC, then
13
     typically we do request an attachment that includes all
14
15
     the names and officers of the LLC or the corporation
16
     that have an interest in the property.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
17
18
         0
              Okay. So the City of San Diego wants to know
19
     everyone who is actually involved with the CUPs.
20
     Correct?
2.1
         Α
              That's not the purpose of this form.
              Not that form, but overall for the CUPs, anyone
22
         0
23
     who has an interest in the property should be disclosed.
24
     Correct?
25
         Α
              Yes.
26
              Okay. All right. I guess what I was trying to
         Q
27
     ask is, is the request literal, or just a suggestion
28
     when it says to list everyone involved? Because it
```

sounds -- it sounds like everyone needs to be listed, 1 2 when you say even an LLC will include attachments with 3 all names of all people. I guess I don't understand what you mean by 4 Α "everyone." This is information that is provided to the 5 City by the applicant. So by submitting this and 6 7 signing it, they're letting the City know that these are 8 the people of -- the property owner and the permittee. 9 Thank you. 0 10 So I assume you're very familiar with San Diego 11 Municipal Code and ordinances. Correct? 12 To some extent, I'm familiar. Α 13 Q To some extent. 14 Well, as they relate to marijuana law and 15 processing of CUPs specifically. 16 Α I do. But I still do refer to the Municipal 17 Code. 18 Q Yes. I mean, they are very lengthy. So that 19 only makes sense. 20 Are you familiar with a change to the City -the San Diego City Ordinance 20990 -- or 200797? It was 21 22 passed in -- it was amended and passed in February 22nd, 23 2017. Is that the -- what -- do you have a title for 24 that ordinance? Is the one that established the 25 26 marijuana outlet use? 27 That's precisely what it is. Q 28 Α Okay.

1 That's where the ordinance changed 2 from -- changed CUP applications for marijuana consumer 3 cooperatives to the broader term of marijuana outlets. Are you familiar with that? 4 5 Α Yes. So within that ordinance, it does specifically 6 0 7 say that any dispensary or retail licensing requirements are going to be pursuant to the California Business and 8 Professions Code. Correct? 9 10 Α The state requirements. 11 So, basically, all the ordinances will 0 be -- they'll refer to the California Business and 12 13 Professions Code when it comes to licensing. Correct? 14 Α I don't handle the state licensing 15 requirements. So --16 0 But it does refer you to the Business and Professions Code of California. Correct? 17 18 Α If that's what it says in the ordinance, then 19 yes. 20 Is it your understanding that Mr. Geraci, who Q is sitting before you, was in fact attempting to acquire 21 this CUP on 6176 for himself? 22 23 MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation, your 2.4 Honor. 25 THE COURT: Overruled. 26 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't have an answer 2.7 for that question. 28

1 BY MR. AUSTIN: 2 Is that because his name does not appear 3 anywhere in any of the applications for the 6176 4 property? That -- that is correct. 5 Α Did you ever have any email communications 6 Q directly with Mr. Geraci? 7 I don't recall. 8 Α 9 Do you recall any phone conversations with Q 10 Mr. Geraci or sit-down meetings? 11 I don't -- I don't recall phone conversations or sit-down meetings. 12 Looking at Mr. Geraci now, do you -- do you 13 Q 14 believe you've ever met this man? 15 I don't believe so. Α 16 If he were attempting to acquire a CUP using 17 his secretary as a proxy without ever disclosing his 18 name, does that seem like it would be a violation of 19 San Diego law and California state law? 20 MR. TOOTHACRE: Argumentative, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 BY MR. AUSTIN: Essentially, anyone with an ownership or 23 Q financial interest in a marijuana outlet is supposed to 24 be disclosed to the City. Correct? 25 26 Α You know, looking at the ownership disclosure 2.7 statement, it's the property owner and then also a tenant/lessee would have to be identified. 28

1	Q Right. And that is like an introductory
2	application form.
3	But are you familiar with the California
4	Business and Professions Code?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. Do you know of any situation where
7	someone with previous sanctions against them for illegal
8	cannabis principals would be barred from acquiring a
9	marijuana outlet CUP?
10	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous and assumes
11	facts, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	BY MR. AUSTIN:
14	Q That means you can you can answer.
15	A Could you I'm sorry. Could you repeat the
16	question?
17	Q Yeah. Absolutely.
18	Is it your understanding that if someone had
19	been sanctioned for illegal cannabis dispensary
20	activity, is it your understanding that they would be
21	barred from acquiring a CUP in San Diego?
22	A I'd have to refer to the Municipal Code. I
23	believe there may be a section in there once you have a
24	conditional use permit, you'd have to go through a
25	background check process.
26	Q Okay. Do you know what that background check
27	process entails?
28	A It's a LiveScan and also specific forms that

need to be completed, specific City of San Diego police 1 2 forms that need to be completed. And it's processed by 3 the San Diego Police Department. How many CUPs are allowed in the City of 4 Q 5 San Diego? CUPs for --6 Α 7 Q Marijuana outlets. Four per council district. 8 Α 9 And how many council districts are there? 0 10 Α There's nine. So 36 total. 11 So 36 total. Q Would it be fair to say that these are 12 13 competitively sought after? 14 Α Due to the limit, yes. Yes. Do you know how many CUPs have been 15 0 16 granted for marijuana outlets in San Diego? 17 Total count, not off the top of my head. I Α 18 couldn't say. 19 Q Approximately would you say 20, 25, maybe 30? 20 Maybe 20. Α So perhaps 16 are still available? 21 Maybe 20. Q 22 Yeah. Again, I -- I have that data. Just that Α data isn't with me. 23 24 Q No problem. 25 Are you aware of how many CUPs are being 26 processed right now for marijuana outlets in the DS --27 in your -- your department? Maybe about two or three. 28 Α

1 Two or three. 2 So the reason that there's 10 available slots 3 that are not being processed currently, is that because the restrictions are so difficult to overcome? 4 I can't answer that. I don't know. 5 Α 6 0 In regards to the 1,000-foot radius, the 7 proximity to schools, churches, daycare centers, et 8 cetera, does that exclude most properties from being eligible for a CUP? 9 10 Again, I can't answer that, but those 11 restrictions do exist. 12 Well, in your -- in your experience, has that created a lot of difficulty in people acquiring the 13 14 CUPs? 15 Most of the applications that are submitted, 16 they are in compliance with the separation requirements. 17 There may have been a few, less than a handful, that 18 have been denied because the separation requirements 19 have not been met. 20 Is it your understanding that marijuana outlets 0 21 are very profitable? 22 I -- I can't answer that. I don't --Α 23 0 That's fine. So in some of the emails that we saw, Darryl --24 25 or, I mean -- I apologize -- Mr. Cotton, he was 26 inquiring about having a CUP in his name on the 6176 27 property. Correct? I believe he was requesting to be able to 28 Α

1	submit another application on his property.
2	Q So even though it was the same property, the
3	reason he was not able to do so was the 1,000-foot
4	separation requirement?
5	A He was able to process submit an
6	application. It's just that once one got approved, the
7	other one would have to be withdrawn because it would be
8	done in violation of the Code.
9	Q So, essentially, it would be a race, a race to
10	the finish?
11	A Yeah. I mean, whoever that has an approved
12	CUP, the other one would have to be withdrawn.
13	Q And because it's the same property, I think it
14	would be fair to assume a lot of the information that
15	would need to be submitted would be exactly the same for
16	the second CUP, correct, as far as
17	A The submittal requirements are the same for a
18	conditional use permit.
19	Q Okay. But would someone submitting a second
20	CUP on the same property, would they be able to
21	piggyback off the first CUP and basically just take
22	their information and just resubmit it under their name?
23	A However they want to do it, they still have to
24	provide the same submittal requirements.
25	Q Is any preferential treatment given to whoever
26	applies first?
27	A No.

Q Okay. If someone did try to do -- try to

get out.

1 submit a second CUP on the same property, what types of 2 information do you think would slow down the second 3 party the most? 4 I can't answer that question. Nothing jumps out at you as, like, it would be 5 0 6 a hurdle that would be exceptionally difficult to 7 overcome for -- for a second person on the same 8 property? 9 The project would be a separate application and Α 10 reviewed, as would any other application be reviewed. 11 How long does the review process ordinarily 0 12 take? 13 I believe for CUP, it's 10 working days. Α 14 Oh. For the review. In order to be approved, Q how long does that typically take, in your experience? 15 It -- it varies. 16 Α 17 Q What's the fastest you've seen? 18 I really can't give you a date, but typically 19 if you look at our information that's available on the 20 website, discretionary applications can take a minimum 21 of six months to over a year. 22 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, we're going to 23 stop for our noon recess. We're going to be in recess now until 1:30. Do not form or express an opinion or 24 discuss the case until deliberations. We'll be in 25 26 recess until 1:30 now. 2.7 Ma'am, can you wait just one moment. Let them

```
1
              All right. The jury has left the room.
 2
    didn't mean to interrupt you, but I wanted to let them
 3
    go. Let them step down.
              All right. Counsel, I'll see you back at 1:20.
 4
              (Lunch recess from 11:59 a.m. to 1:21 p.m.)
 5
              THE COURT: So let's take stock of where we
 6
7
    are, Counsel. This case is a little bit unusual for a
    number of reasons. As I had mentioned at the beginning
8
 9
    of the morning -- or the beginning of the day, nobody
10
    designated expert witnesses. Each of you have taken
11
     turns eliciting opinions that strike the Court as expert
12
     in nature, but there have been no objections on that
13
     issue.
14
              But near the end of your examination, Counsel,
15
     of -- let's see. Was it Ms. --
16
              MR. AUSTIN: Tirandazi.
17
              THE COURT: -- Tirandazi, you began to ask her
18
    a series of opinions which seem to me as if you were
19
    asking her to address legal opinions. I'm not so sure I
20
    heard that objection, but I began sustaining them on
21
    other grounds because I found the -- that portion of
22
    your examination to be objectionable. But I wasn't sure
    where you were headed with the balance of what you have
23
     left with Ms. Tirandazi -- Tirandazi. I'm sorry.
24
25
              So how much time do you have left on your
26
    cross?
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: Five minutes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. And an equal if not
28
```

1 lesser amount of time on redirect? 2 MR. TOOTHACRE: Not at the moment, your Honor. 3 But I would like to raise with the Court Mr. Hurtado is next, and I want to make sure counsel has advised 4 Mr. Hurtado of the in limine rulings. 5 THE COURT: Which one do you have in mind? 6 7 Because I -- I can't -- which one do you think applied? 8 MR. TOOTHACRE: The conspiracy one. He's a big 9 conspiracy person. He testified as to Mr. Cotton's 10 heart attack, which has been excluded. 11 THE COURT: Now, this was information you 12 elicited at a deposition? 13 MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes. I just want to make sure he's been advised. 14 15 THE COURT: Depositions, sometimes you get information that might not otherwise be elicited on 16 17 direct. 18 So, Counsel, you're mindful of the Court's in 19 limine rulings. This is your witness. Bear that in 20 mind. And just raise the objections. 2.1 MR. TOOTHACRE: I will. 22 THE COURT: I'll deal with that. It's a little unusual that one side who may call a witness will 23 estimate up to a couple hours and the other side will 24 25 say it's going to be significantly, if not dramatically, 26 shorter because of things that you all know that I don't 2.7 know. 28 So is Mr. Hurtado going to be your last witness

```
1
     of the day?
 2
              MR. AUSTIN: That's the plan for the day,
 3
     because then -- then the plan is Bartell in the morning
     and then Mr. Cotton.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. So what we'll do is after
     we're done with the last of our witnesses, which sounds
 6
 7
     like it will be Hurtado, we'll let the jury go. And we
 8
     may have some things that we'll bring up.
 9
              The deeper I dig into your proposed
10
     obstructions, Counsel, given the evidence that I'm
11
     hearing, I -- I'm developing a lot of questions about
12
     some of these instructions. For the time being, I'm
13
     erring generally on the side of including them. But
     we'll have that discussion Wednesday afternoon, and if
14
15
     necessary, Thursday morning.
16
              All right.
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor --
18
              THE COURT: Do we have our jury?
19
              THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor.
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: May I raise one issue?
2.1
              THE COURT: Sure.
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: This relates to the expert
23
     opinion issue. We've never gotten to discussion of the
     lodgement that was made, which I've been questioned that
24
25
     it's formulated or based on the improper application of
     the law. I've reviewed those materials. I don't think
26
2.7
     they stand for them.
28
              So I have questions being asked under the
```

1 supposition that these code sections mean something, and 2 I think they don't mean what is being implied in the 3 questions. And I know Ms. Austin responded to one of them. And I don't know that it's going to come up with 4 Ms. Tirandazi. But I've reviewed those Code sections 5 and the Business and Professions Code sections that have 6 been referred to. I'd like to at least go on the record 7 8 as to why I don't think what counsel is arguing is a 9 correct statement of the law. 10 THE COURT: Do you need to do that now, or can 11 we wait until the end of the day? 12 MR. WEINSTEIN: We can wait if it's not going 13 to come up with Ms. Tirandazi. THE COURT: You're talking about the two civil 14 15 judgments against Mr. --16 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. But it's beyond that. 17 One argument -- it started out as an argument about the 18 civil judgments, which on their face, don't bar 19 Mr. Geraci from operating a legally permitted --20 THE COURT: I don't -- I tend to agree with 21 I did not see any specific prohibition against 22 Mr. Geraci in the future involving other properties assuming he plays by the rules from barring him from 23 being able to obtain a permit. 2.4 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. So then the follow-on 26 argument that I think is being made is that he's not 2.7 eligible for a CUP because of the Code sections that were cited, in particular Business and Professions Code 28

2.7

Section 26057, which deals with -- it's permissive. And it deals with a state license.

And the argument is bootstrapping it to say that it could somehow be a basis for not making him eligible for a CUP. And I think that's just an incorrect statement of the law.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. AUSTIN: He would be correct pre-2017, but in 2017, the San Diego Municipal Code adopted a Business and Professions Code, which I feel is --

THE COURT: Here's where, again, why this case is unusual in the Court's experience. Did you file a trial brief, Counsel?

MR. AUSTIN: I did not, your Honor.

THE COURT: So these authorities that you all are -- if you will, and I'm trying not to be flip or pejorative -- or that you're presenting with me, that you're throwing at me for the first time, have never been reflected in a brief that I can review, and if necessary, do some of my own research. You're bringing them up in part during an examination of the witnesses and in part in argument when we have a few moments outside the presence of the jury. I have no idea whether these authorities support the position either one of you are advocating.

So the usual process is I get a brief, I have a chance to review it, and then I entertain argument at appropriate times. That's not happening at all in this

```
1
     instance.
 2
              So for the time being, I'm tending to agree
 3
     with the plaintiff's side without the defense having
     given me something I can look at and absorb.
 4
              Madam Deputy -- Ms. Tirandazi, can I ask you to
 5
     retake the witness stand.
 6
 7
              Counsel, good to see you, by the way.
              And Madam Deputy, bring in the jury.
 8
 9
              All right. I just got back from a presentation
10
     by a bunch of judges with a room full of judges. And
11
     one or more of them kept not turning their cell phone
     off. Can you believe it? I thought of that as I saw
12
     one of you reaching for your cell phone to make sure you
13
     turned it off. I'm not suggesting that somebody has
14
15
     failed to do that in the slightest. You're better
     behaved than that room full of judges I just left.
16
17
              Thank you very much. So we've got all of our
18
     jurors present and accounted for.
19
              Counsel, continue your examination of
20
     Ms. Tirandazi.
21
              Welcome back, ma'am. You understand you're
22
     still under oath?
23
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
2.4
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
25
              Whenever you're ready, Counsel.
26
              MR. AUSTIN: All right. Thank you.
2.7
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
28
              Good afternoon again.
         Q
```

Good afternoon. 1 Α 2 Are you familiar with Cherlyn Cac? Q 3 Α Yes. She works under you. Correct? 4 Q No, no longer. She did at one point but not 5 Α 6 anymore. 7 Q Okay. So she was No. 2, and you're No. 3. Has she changed jobs within the department? 8 9 She did. She transferred to a different Α 10 department, yes. 11 Okay. To your knowledge, was she supervising 0 12 the CUP of 6176? 13 Α Yes. 14 Do you know if she was also supervising the Q 15 project, the CUP on 6220 Federal Boulevard? 16 Was that the second application? Α 17 Q Yes. The second application with the --18 A I believe so, yes. 19 THE REPORTER: Can the reporter hear the end of 20 the question, please, due to the overlap. 21 MR. AUSTIN: Within a thousand feet. 22 THE REPORTER: Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: 23 So your understanding of the San Diego 24 Q Municipal Code is that no one is allowed to have a CUP 25 26 within 1,000 feet of a -- of any public park, church, 27 childcare center, playground, library, or school. 28 Correct?

1 Correct. 2 Okay. Are you aware of any of those, 3 specifically, a childcare center, within 1,000 feet of the, 6220 CUP application? 4 5 Α Those are specific project questions, and I don't recall. 6 Okay. So if there was a licensed facility 7 Q within 1,000 feet, a CUP on that property would 8 9 absolutely be barred. Correct? 10 It would -- it would not comply with the 11 separation requirement, and, therefore, the findings to 12 support the CUP could not be made. Is there a specific definition for a licensed 13 childcare facility? 14 I believe it's defined in the Code. 15 Α 16 Do you -- do you know the difference between a Q childcare facility that would qualify and one that would 17 18 not? 19 I'd have to refer to the Code. Α 20 Okay. So you don't know anything off the top 0 of your head on --21 22 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Counsel, was there --23 anything off the top of your head on that -- can I hear again, please. 24 25 THE WITNESS: No. 26 THE REPORTER: Can I hear the end of the 2.7 question? 28 MR. AUSTIN: I said anything off the top of

your head that would disqualify you. 1 2 Maybe we just need to allow some THE REPORTER: 3 space between the question and your answer. THE COURT: Counsel, let's start again. Ask 4 5 the first question. 6 MR. AUSTIN: Re-ask the question, your Honor? THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just start again. Ask 7 8 a question. BY MR. AUSTIN: 9 10 Off the top of your head, is there a difference 11 between a licensed childcare facility that the DSD would 12 allow -- or would consider a proper childcare facility 13 versus one that would not qualify under DSD regulations? So we look at those uses, based on how they're 14 Α defined in the Municipal Code. I'd have to look at the 15 16 Municipal Code on this specific question. 17 Q Understood. 18 If a project -- if a CUP application were 19 approved and it can be shown that they were in 20 1,000 feet radius of the childcare facility, what would the process be? Would they be disqualified immediately, 21 22 or something else? 23 MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. 2.4 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. AUSTIN: 25 26 Is there any exception that DSD would make for Q 27 someone who does not comply within the 1,000-foot radius

of the childcare facility?

28

1 The project would have to comply with the 2 Municipal Code, including the separation requirements. 3 0 Okay. Thank you. So earlier, you stated that Mr. Cotton wanted 4 to have his name either added on to the CUP application 5 on his property or have Mr. -- not Mr. Geraci -- but, 6 7 rather, Rebecca Berry's name removed. Is that correct? 8 Α I believe he wanted to process his own 9 conditional use permit application. 10 Okay. So as the land owner of the 6176 11 property, did he have the right to have the CUP 12 application removed? Yes. But both the property owner and the 13 Α 14 applicant would have to --15 So --0 16 -- request the withdrawal. So it would take both? It would take 17 Q Okay. 18 two parties, unless they're one and the same? 19 Α That is correct. 20 Okay. So the property owner by himself would Q not have the right to cancel the CUP on his own 21 22 property? 23 MR. TOOTHACRE: Misstates the testimony, your 2.4 Honor. 25 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: If the CUP includes a -- an 26 2.7 applicant that is different than the property owner, then both would have to agree to withdraw the CUP 28

application. 1 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: 3 0 Thank you. Okay. One final line of questioning. As far as the 4 geotechnical investigations or soils reports, as they 5 have been called, how -- how is that -- no. Let me 6 7 rephrase that. 8 Can one neighboring property use another 9 neighboring property's prior soils reports to compare 10 their property if they're within a close proximity? 11 I'm not the subject matter expert on that. 12 That's a geology question. All right. Okay. Well, would you happen to 13 14 know, like, if you're using a comparable soils report, do you know the age that would be allowed for a 15 16 comparable soils report? 17 MR. TOOTHACRE: Foundation, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 BY MR. AUSTIN: 20 Do you know anything about soils reports, or is 0 that just completely outside of your field? 21 22 It's basically LDR Geology's responsibility to review those documents and determine as to whether they 23 can accept it or not for purposes of the environmental 24 review of the project. 25 So, to your knowledge, at the initiation 26 0 of the CUP application for 6176, while you were still 27 28 the project manager, no acceptable soils report was

submit within the first six months. Correct? 1 2 I don't recall. Α 3 MR. AUSTIN: Okay. No further questions. THE COURT: All right. Redirect? 4 MR. TOOTHACRE: No, your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. May Ms. Tirandazi be 7 excused? 8 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Counsel? 10 MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE REPORTER: And may the reporter request, if 12 we don't already have one, a business card from Ms. 13 Tirandazi's counsel? 14 THE COURT: Counsel, can you leave one extra 15 one behind, please. You can leave it with my deputy. 16 All right. Counsel, am I correct that that's 17 your last witness for the day? 18 MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes, your Honor, subject to 19 Mr. Bartell. 20 THE COURT: So, folks, the plaintiffs have one more witness to call during their case in chief, but 21 22 he's not available until the morning. His name is Jim Bartell. He'll be arriving first thing tomorrow morning 23 at 9 o'clock. And that, as I understand it, will be the 24 25 Plaintiff, Mr. Geraci's last witness. 26 So what we're going to do is interrupt the 2.7 Plaintiff's case in chief, and the defense will now call 28 one of its witnesses, the name of whom is, Counsel?

```
MR. AUSTIN: Joe Hurtado.
1
 2
             THE COURT: All right. Is he out in the
 3
    hallway?
              MR. AUSTIN: He should be.
 4
                          Madam Deputy, if you could retrieve
              THE COURT:
 5
6
    Mr. Hurtado.
 7
              THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: I think I -- I know I indicated
8
9
     this in the pre-instructions. Regardless of which party
10
     calls the witness, whether it happens to be the first
11
    witness or the last witness, folks, I'm going to urge
12
    you to keep an open mind, listen to this carefully as
13
    you did to the latter witnesses as you did to the first
    witnesses. You never know when you're going to hear
14
15
     something that might start to change the impressions
16
     that you've developed through this part of the case.
17
              All right. Good afternoon, sir. If you could
18
     follow directions of my deputy and my clerk, please.
19
20
                           Joseph Hurtado,
21
    being called on behalf of the defendant/cross-complainant,
22
        having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
23
              THE CLERK: Please state your full name and
24
25
     spell your first and last name for the record.
26
              THE WITNESS: Joe Hurtado, J-o-e H-u-r-t-a-d-o.
2.7
              THE COURT: All right. Counsel, whenever
28
    you're ready.
```

(Direct examination of Joseph Hurtado) 1 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: 3 Good afternoon, Mr. Hurtado. Q Good afternoon. 4 Α Mr. Hurtado, what do you do for a living? 5 Q 6 Α Currently, I'm a transaction advisor. 7 How long have you been working in this field? Q On my own, since 2015, but I was originally a 8 Α 9 mergers and acquisition attorney. And then I left that 10 to become a investment banker. So I'm been doing some 11 kind of transactional legal advising since I graduated 12 law school in 2009. 13 Where were you practicing law? Q I practiced law in New York. I was admitted to 14 Α 15 practice -- to the bar there. I would like to ask you some more questions 16 Q 17 about your qualifications and educational background. 18 Did you attend college? 19 Α Yes, I did. 20 Where did you go? 0 21 Α Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University. 22 And you acquired a degree there? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Q What degree was that? 25 Α Policy and management. 26 Where did you go after your undergraduate Q 27 studies? I -- the university was in Arizona. And then I 28 Α

1 came back to San Diego for about three or four years. 2 Is that where you attended law school? 3 Α No. After coming back to San Diego for three or four years, I then went back to graduate school. 4 I went to New school of law in New York City. 5 After -- after attending NYU, what did you do? 6 Q 7 Α I clerked at a federal clerk -- at a federal courthouse, the Northern District of California. 8 Is that in San Francisco? 9 Q 10 Α Oakland. 11 Oakland? Q 12 Α Yes. 13 What district is that? Q The Northern District, California. 14 Α 15 Can you describe to the jury your duties and 0 responsibilities as a law clerk. 16 17 Α I would help the judge with every dispute that 18 came in. You'd look at contract disputes like this, and 19 you'd look at the arguments and write a memo. You'd say 20 here's their argument, here's these arguments. Here's 21 what the facts are, not what they say they are. And I'd 22 make a recommendation. I'd break it down for her, and she would then --23 24 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, can the reporter hear 25 again, a little slower, please. 26 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. My judge would review 2.7 the memo, and if she had questions or comments, I would 28 then address them. If the facts and analysis were

- straightforward, she would then follow through on that 1 2 memo and turn it -- I draft it in a way where it would 3 become basically easy for the judge to issue the order. Here's the facts, here's the law, here's the analysis, 4 5 here's the conclusion. BY MR. AUSTIN: 6 7 Q And how long did you do that for, Mr. Hurtado? 8 Α I did that clerkship for one year. 9 Did you ever handle any breach of contract 0 10 cases as a law clerk? 11 Yes, I did. Many cases. Α 12 MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 13 BY MR. AUSTIN: 14 15 Okay. Would it be fair to say that as a law Q 16 clerk, you were exposed to a multitude of different areas of law? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 At some point, your clerkship ended. What did Q 20 you do after that? I went to go work for Latham and Watkins in 21 22 New York. It's usually ranged in the top 10 of all law
 - Q So it's a pretty large law firm?
- 25 A Yes.

firms in the country.

23

24

- 26 Q Yes.
- A At one point, it was the largest law firm in the world. It was the first law firm on record to make

```
1
     $2 billion in legal fees in one year.
 2
              Can you describe to the jury what your
 3
     responsibilities while working at Latham and Watkins
 4
    was?
 5
         Α
              I was --
 6
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
 8
 9
              In order to -- hmm. Okay.
         0
10
              While at Latham and Watkins, what -- did you
11
    have, like, a specialty?
12
              I was a mergers and acquisitions attorney.
         Α
13
              Can you describe to the jury what that is.
         Q
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection, your Honor.
14
15
              THE COURT: Sustained.
16
              MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor --
17
              THE COURT: Counsel, this is a fact witness.
18
     Let's move it ahead.
19
              MR. AUSTIN: Okay.
20
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
              As an attorney, did you deal with drafting
21
         Q
22
     agreements?
23
         Α
              Yes.
                              Same objection, your Honor.
24
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
25
              THE COURT: Sustained.
26
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Motion to strike.
2.7
              THE COURT: Motion to strike is granted.
28
```

BY MR. AUSTIN:

2.1

2.4

Q After leaving Latham and Watkins, did you go back to school?

A Yes. The -- first I left Latham and Watkins to go be an in-house investment banker for United Health Group. I spent three or four years doing investment banking work at United Health Group, doing financial analysis before I decided to leave basically the rat race. I had gone to New York. I had gone to San Francisco. I went to Minneapolis to the corporate headquarters of United Health Group. I got tired. So I came back to San Diego. And I enrolled in a master's program at the University of San Diego in real estate. I wanted -- maybe I should have brought it. Basically, I wanted to set up a small private, like, equity firm and just do basic real estate --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, can the reporter hear again, please, and slower. Just do basic real estate --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I wanted to do some basic real estate investment, and I also had some ideas for home insurance. I thought getting a master's degree in real estate development from the University of San Diego would be a good segue into the real estate market.

BY MR. AUSTIN:

Q Okay. And while you were getting involved in real estate, did you also become acquainted with people in the cannabis industry?

1 That was in 2015, 2016, when Proposition 2 64 was passed, which legalized marijuana outlets for 3 profit, retail stores. There was a huge flurry of everybody then trying to find properties that qualified 4 for a for profit marijuana business. And we discussed 5 it a lot at the university in our real estate 6 7 development classes. That's where -- that was the seque 8 actually where I eventually met Darryl. He had a --9 MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, may we proceed 10 question and answer, please. 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. One moment. What's the 12 objection? 13 MR. TOOTHACRE: May we proceed question and 14 answer? 15 THE COURT: That objection is overruled. speaking objections, Counsel. 16 17 Next question. BY MR. AUSTIN: 18 19 So through your experience with people in 20 real estate and the change of laws in cannabis, you've developed an interest? 21 22 Yes, I did. It was a new market that had traditionally been a black market. And it was -- sorry 23 about that. I'm forgetting the terminology. And I 24 don't want to use vague terms. It was a brand-new legal 25 26 market that didn't have players in it. So a lot of 2.7 real estate, a lot of business, a lot of people trying to get involved. And because it was so new, it was 28

possible -- if you had nothing to do with the industry 1 2 to find a property --3 MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 4 BY MR. AUSTIN: 5 So, ultimately, in your experience and 6 Q 7 exposure, you became acquainted with my client, Mr. Cotton? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Q Is that correct? 11 How did -- how did you come to know Mr. Cotton? 12 He has a what he calls 151 Farms at his property. He gives public tours there on growing 13 medical cannabis. I went there. I took a tour. I met 14 15 a lot of his patients, people who legitimately needed cannabis. So I took the tour. He was very informative. 16 17 Taught me a lot about hydroponics, lighting. 18 MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: At this point, sustained. 20 Next question. 21 BY MR. AUSTIN: 22 Approximately when did you meet Mr. Cotton? Q Mid 2016. Middle of 2016. 23 Α Sorry. 24 Okay. I know that you developed a relationship Q with Mr. Cotton and things professionally changed over 25 26 time. Could you describe for the jury generally each 27 phase of your relationship. I know -- it's relatively 28 short.

```
1
              I met him --
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
 4
              In what capacity did you initially know
 5
         0
     Mr. Cotton?
 6
 7
         Α
              We interacted first when I wanted to buy the
     property so that I could set up a marijuana outlet at
 8
 9
     the property.
10
              As time went on, he had multiple offers.
                                                         Is
11
     that your understanding?
12
              So after I initially wanted to buy the property
     and I did some pre- -- some preliminary due diligence,
13
     there was a zoning issue. So I would not -- I was not
14
15
     going to offer him any nonrefundable money or make a
     hard offer while I figured out and discovered whether I
16
17
     could actually resolve the zoning issue.
18
              During that time, he had multiple other people
19
     making him offers, and he asked me to help him analyze
20
     those offers and whether he should take that -- those
21
     other offers or not.
22
              So at that point, I was trying to buy the
23
    property --
2.4
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: At this point, sustained.
26
              Next question.
2.7
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
              Did Mr. Cotton inform you that he had entered
28
         Q
```

1 into any type of agreements with anyone? 2 He called me on November 2nd and told me Yes. 3 that he had reached an oral agreement with Mr. Geraci and that he was going to get a 10 percent equity 4 5 position, \$10,000 a month, and \$800,000. So at that point, he -- he informed you of this 6 Q 7 to let you know that --Our negotiations were off because he had sold 8 it. I was upset. 9 10 0 Okay. Understood. 11 At -- at any point, did Mr. Cotton say anything 12 to the effect that he had changed his mind or that he 13 wanted to --14 Α No. 15 -- renegotiate with you? Q 16 MR. TOOTHACRE: Hearsay, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MR. TOOTHACRE: Move to strike the answer. 19 THE COURT: Motion to strike is granted. 20 BY MR. AUSTIN: At some point, did either you or Mr. Cotton 21 22 renegotiate -- no, no, no -- reinitiate conversation 23 between the two of you? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Can you explain. 26 Α He was worried that Mr. Geraci was not going --2.7 MR. TOOTHACRE: It's hearsay, your Honor. 28 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

```
1
              Next question.
 2
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
 3
              Did Mr. Cotton contact you about being
         0
     concerned about the deal that he thought he entered into
 4
     on November 2nd?
 5
 6
         Α
              Yes.
              Did -- did he tell you --
 7
         Q
 8
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Hearsay, your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: One moment.
10
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Sorry.
11
              THE COURT: He needs to finish asking the
12
     question.
13
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Sorry, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Premature.
15
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Understood.
              THE COURT: Counsel, please ask your question.
16
17
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
18
         Q
              Did Mr. Cotton express an interest to you and
19
     reinitiating negotiations with you?
20
              Yes. And we did.
         Α
              Can you describe to us what was trying to be
21
         0
22
     renegotiated.
23
         Α
              Yes.
              MR. TOOTHACRE: This calls for hearsay, your
24
25
     Honor.
26
              THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
2.7
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
28
              Was Mr. Cotton trying to sell you the property?
         Q
```

```
1
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              Same objection, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 3
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
              Did Mr. Cotton try to engage you as either an
 4
         Q
     agent or a potential buyer for his property?
 5
                    And we did enter into an agreement.
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
              Okay. And what agreement did you have with
         0
     Mr. Cotton?
8
 9
              We entered into a conditional agreement --
         Α
10
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Overruled at this point, subject to
12
     a motion to strike.
13
              THE WITNESS: We entered into an agreement
14
     where I would help him find a buyer, and then I entered
15
     into another agreement with a buyer. I helped tran --
16
     helped him sell his property to another person. And
17
     that agreement was conditional on whether or not
18
     Mr. Geraci would provide the oral agreement that they
19
     reached in writing.
20
              I did not believe --
21
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: At this point, sustained.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
23
                     So I would assume, going to your
24
         Q
              Okay.
25
     prestigious law school and having law firm experience,
26
     you would have enough understanding of contract, you
27
     would not have even engaged with Mr. Cotton if you felt
28
     you were unable to do so. Correct?
```

Q

1 Absolutely. 2 Q So when he's engaging you, you saw a business 3 opportunity. Correct? 4 Α Correct. And what you saw was a good business 5 Q 6 opportunity. Correct? 7 Α Yes. So if Mr. Cotton was expecting to buy -- or, I 8 Q 9 mean, rather, sell his property to someone else through 10 you, could you explain the capaCity that or the nature 11 of that contract. 12 Α Yes. On March 15th --MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object on grounds 13 14 of relevance, your Honor. And also leading. 15 THE COURT: The latter objection is overruled. It does call for a "yes" or "no" answer only. 16 17 But the objection on relevance is sustained. BY MR. AUSTIN: 18 Ultimately, though, you did assist Mr. Cotton 19 Q 20 with transactional advice. Correct? 2.1 Yes. He sold the property to somebody else. Α 22 Approximately when did you sell that property? Q 23 Α We entered into an agreement on March 15th for 24 the conditional purchase of the property, and the 25 property was actually sold on March 21st. We executed 26 the actual agreement for the purchase of the property on 2.7 the same day.

Are you aware of a lawsuit filed against

Mr. Cotton? 1 2 The very next day. We purchased the property Α 3 and entered into an agreement on March 21st. 4 MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor. THE WITNESS: And the next day, he filed a 5 lawsuit. 6 7 THE COURT: The objection is sustained as 8 nonresponsive. 9 Next question. 10 BY MR. AUSTIN: 11 When did you find out Mr. Cotton was being sued 0 12 over the property? 13 Α The day after we executed the purchase 14 agreement. 15 And what day was that again? Q 16 We executed the purchase agreement for the 17 property on March 21st, and Darryl called me the next 18 day and said he had just been served -- or he sent the 19 email --20 MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. Hearsay, your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. The point where the 23 witness starts to talk about conversations with 24 Mr. Cotton, that objection is sustained. That's 25 hearsay. BY MR. AUSTIN: 26 27 Q After the lawsuit was filed against Mr. Cotton,

were you made aware of the facts underlying that case?

```
1
              I reviewed the actual documents --
 2
              MR. TOOTHACRE: It's --
 3
              THE WITNESS: -- the lawsuit. I reviewed --
     that's why I -- I'm a litigation investor for
 4
     Mr. Cotton. I had financed this case because I had
 5
     reviewed the documents.
 6
 7
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor. And
     relevance. And move to strike the answer.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The
10
     motion to strike is granted.
11
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
12
              So upon reading the lawsuit that was filed in
         0
13
     this very Court, did you feel that opposing this lawsuit
14
     would be advantageous to you?
15
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
17
18
         0
              Have you read or reviewed any of the underlying
19
     documents upon which this lawsuit is based on?
20
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
2.1
              THE WITNESS: I've read them all.
22
              THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
23
              Have you ever read or reviewed any of the
24
         Q
25
     conversations between Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton?
26
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection, your Honor.
2.7
              THE COURT: Sustained.
28
```

```
BY MR. AUSTIN:
 1
 2
         Q
              Are you familiar with an attorney named Gina
 3
     Austin?
 4
        Α
              Yes.
              Have you ever met her?
 5
         Q
              Yes. On March 6th, 2017.
 6
        Α
 7
         Q
              You remember that very clearly?
 8
         Α
              Yes.
 9
              Do you remember having a conversation with her?
         Q
10
        A
              Yes.
11
              And what was that regarding?
         Q
12
              MR. TOOTHACRE: That's going to be hearsay,
    your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Sustained.
14
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
15
              Did you have a conversation related to any
16
         Q
     contracts that Ms. Gina Austin was drafting for
17
18
     Mr. Cotton?
19
         Α
             Yes.
20
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Leading and hearsay.
21
              Move to strike the answer, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: The objections are overruled. It
     does call for a "yes" or "no" answer only. He said yes.
23
24
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.
25
              THE COURT: Next question.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
26
27
         Q
              What was the nature of that conversation?
28
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Hearsay, your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Folks, I need to talk to the
 2
     lawyers for just a moment. We'll be right back as
 3
     quickly as we can.
              If you want to stretch your legs, feel free to
 4
     do so.
 5
 6
              Counsel, may I see you at sidebar.
 7
              (Sidebar held and not reported.)
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your
 8
 9
     patience, ladies and gentlemen.
10
              All right. The objection on hearsay to this
11
     witness's conversation with Ms. Austin on March 6th,
12
     2017 is overruled.
13
              Counsel, can I ask that you restate your
14
     question.
15
              MR. AUSTIN: Could I ask the court reporter to
     read that back.
16
17
              THE COURT: Do you have that last question,
18
     Madam Reporter, if so could you please reread it.
19
              (The following was read by the reporter:
20
                  What was the nature of that conversation?)
21
              THE WITNESS: That -- I spoke with Gina on that
     day to determine, because she was Mr. Geraci's attorney,
22
23
     if Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton had entered into an
     agreement. She explicitly directly told me that they
24
25
     had not, that she was working on it. Because of what
26
     she told me, I then lined up somebody and gave some huge
2.7
     process to sell that property because --
28
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Overruled.
 2
              THE WITNESS: -- because --
 3
              THE COURT: The question was what. Continue
 4
     on.
 5
              THE WITNESS: Because an attorney who is very
     well known and is reputable and is in the news told me
 6
     that Mr. Geraci and her had not entered into an
 7
 8
     agreement.
 9
              THE COURT: Well, let me stop. Now you're
10
     talking about what you thought she meant.
11
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
12
              THE COURT: I apologize.
13
              THE WITNESS: I -- fair enough.
14
              THE COURT: The question was what did you two
15
     discuss. If there was anything else --
              THE WITNESS: I asked her.
16
17
              THE COURT: If not, that's the end of the
18
     answer to the question.
19
              THE WITNESS: I asked her directly if
20
     Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton had entered into a final
21
     agreement, and she said, no, that she was working on it.
22
              The next day, she sent -- or Mr. Geraci sent an
23
     agreement to Mr. Cotton.
              THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, can I hear again?
2.4
25
              THE WITNESS: There's one more critical fact,
26
     which is when I spoke to Gina on that day, I told her
2.7
     Mr. Cotton can't come to this event, but he's concerned
28
     that you've sent drafts, that Mr. Geraci has sent drafts
```

- that don't include his 10 percent equity position. And 1 2 she said, no, no, no. I'm aware of that. I'm going it 3 take care of it. And the next day, she sent a draft. But that 4 draft provided for 10 percent profits, not 10 percent 5 6 equity. BY MR. AUSTIN: 7 8 Mr. Hurtado, can you explain to the jury why --Q 9 why that matters. 10 Α That is -- that is --11 MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection, your Honor. 12 Relevance. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 13 BY MR. AUSTIN: 14 15 All right. Is there a difference between 10 Q 16 percent profits and 10 percent equity? 17 Α Absolutely. 10 percent equity means you own 18 something. 19 MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection, your Honor.
- 20 Nonresponsive.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 BY MR. AUSTIN:
- Q If a contract mentions an equity position, in your experience, does that typically indicate something along the lines of a joint venture?
- MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection, your Honor.
- 27 | Relevance.
- 28 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. TOOTHACRE: Foundation. 1 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 BY MR. AUSTIN: On March 7th, when Mr. Cotton received the 4 0 draft from the Austin Law Group, did you and Mr. Cotton 5 go over that? 6 7 Α Yes. Okay. And that was March 7th. And you said on 8 Q 9 March 15th, you had preliminarily lined up a buyer for 10 Mr. Cotton --11 Me and the buyer --Α 12 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, with the overlap, can the reporter hear again? 13 BY MR. AUSTIN: 14 15 So on March 15th, you had lined up a buyer to Q 16 purchase Mr. Cotton's property. Correct? 17 Α Yes. On March 6th, I spoke to Gina. On 18 March 7th, she sent a draft that did not reflect what we 19 spoke. So on March 15th, I entered into an agreement 20 with the individual who would eventually buy the 21 property. 22 MR. TOOTHACRE: Nonresponsive, your Honor. 23 THE WITNESS: It's directly responsive. 24 MR. TOOTHACRE: Move to strike. 25 THE COURT: One moment. The objection is 26 sustained. 2.7 The motion to strike everything after "Yes" is 28 granted.

BY MR. AUSTIN: 1 2 So you had lined up a buyer on March 15th to 3 purchase Mr. Cotton's property? 4 Α Correct. All right. And it wasn't until a week later, 5 Q roughly, that a lot was filed. Correct? 6 7 Α Correct. What were the terms of the deal that your 8 Q 9 purchaser and Mr. Cotton came to? MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 BY MR. AUSTIN: 13 So your current relationship with Mr. Cotton, Q is that of a litigation investor (sic), you said? 14 15 Α Yes. Q What does that mean? 16 17 It means I pay for you and for other services Α 18 so that he can defend himself in this court. 19 It also means that I previously hired other 20 counsel that --21 MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. Nonresponsive, your 22 Honor. THE WITNESS: Move to strike. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. Motion to strike is 2.4 25 granted. BY MR. AUSTIN: 26 27 Have you previously financed any other Q attorneys for Mr. Cotton? 28

1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. And this litigation has been going on a 3 little over two years now. Correct? 4 Α Correct. So, obviously, litigation financing can be a 5 6 very risky proposition. Correct? 7 MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 8 BY MR. AUSTIN: 9 10 Can you describe to the jury some of the --11 what due diligence did you conduct before deciding to 12 finance Mr. Cotton's litigation? 13 MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 14 BY MR. AUSTIN: 15 Q 16 In your time -- in your time assisting 17 Mr. Cotton, were you able to help him find any documents 18 that were helpful to his case? 19 MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 2.1 BY MR. AUSTIN: 22 So in your experience in law and real estate, are you familiar with any cannabis regulations such as 23 Business and Professional Code 26057? 24 25 Α Yes. 26 MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor. This is 2.7 going to call for expert testimony. 28 THE COURT: Sustained.

```
BY MR. AUSTIN:
 1
 2
              Is it your belief that assisting Mr. Cotton was
 3
     to your financial benefit?
 4
         Α
              Yes.
 5
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 6
 7
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
              Would you have a continued relationship with
 8
         Q
 9
     Mr. Cotton if you weren't confident that you were doing
10
     the intelligent thing?
11
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Relevance, your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Sustained.
13
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
14
              Would it be fair to say that you're intimately
         0
15
     familiar with the aspects of Mr. Cotton and his
16
     relationship with Mr. Geraci. Correct?
17
         Α
              I've reviewed everything that they ever wrote
18
     and texted each other and every document filed in this
19
     case with every fact and every declaration made by
20
     Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton.
21
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Belated relevance objection,
22
     your Honor.
              THE COURT: The objection is overruled,
23
24
     belatedly.
25
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okav.
              THE COURT: Motion to strike is denied.
26
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: I have nothing further, your
28
     Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
                                                          I'm
 2
     sorry?
 3
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Just briefly, your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: All right.
              (Cross-examination of Joseph Hurtado)
 5
     BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
 6
 7
              Mr. Hurtado, how long was your conversation
         Q
     with Ms. Austin?
 8
 9
         Α
             A minute.
10
         0
              In your deposition, did you testify it was 30
11
     seconds or less?
12
             Maybe. It may have been 30 seconds instead of
         Α
13
     a minute.
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Your Honor, I'd like to
14
15
     read deposition testimony.
              THE COURT: All right. Just give me one
16
17
     moment, please.
18
              The deposition date, Counsel?
19
              MR. TOOTHACRE: The deposition date is
20
     April 17, 2019 of Joe Hurtado.
21
              THE COURT: Page and line?
              MR. TOOTHACRE: The page will be 52, 11 through
22
23
     22.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection, Counsel?
25
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
26
              THE COURT: All right.
2.7
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. And what did you
28
     specifically ask her when you approached her?
```

```
1
              Answer: Like, hey, I'm working with Darryl.
 2
    don't remember the exact wording. But it was Darryl is
 3
    concerned that he hasn't gotten the written agreement.
    And her response was, like, I know. I know.
 4
    working on it. I'll have something to him. I don't
 5
    want to say she said tomorrow, but she said soon or
 6
 7
     something. But the next day, he received the revised
    agreement that included the first -- for the first time
 8
9
     a percentage of the profits.
10
             Nothing further, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Redirect?
12
             MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: All right. May Mr. Hurtado be
14
     excused?
15
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
16
             MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Hurtado.
18
              All right. Does that complete the witnesses
19
     that you have lined up for this afternoon?
20
              MR. AUSTIN: For this afternoon, yes.
21
              THE COURT: So, folks, as I indicated earlier,
22
    we're going to let you go a little bit earlier today. I
    can assure you we're not running behind. We might be
23
    plowing forward into Plaintiff's -- but, unfortunately,
24
25
    he's not available until tomorrow morning.
26
              So we'll have Mr. Hurtado (sic), Mr. Cotton.
2.7
    And I fully expect we'll be done well before close of
28
    business tomorrow. And that will complete the
```

2.4

2.7

presentation of the evidence.

So we'll be in recess now until tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.

Do not form or express an opinion or discuss the case until deliberations.

We'll see you tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock.
All right. The jury has left the courtroom.
Counsel, have a seat.

Now, we did go sidebar several minutes into the direct examination of Mr. Hurtado. And I want to make sure everybody has been given a chance to make a record of our discussion.

From the Court's perspective, I asked defense counsel almost for an offer of proof. It sounded to the Court like you were eliciting information which the Court did not think was relevant or may be calling for hearsay or may be calling for an expert opinion, which the other side was beginning to object to. It seemed prudent from the Court's perspective that we see where the defense was going with Mr. Hurtado. After hearing from both counsel, I did ultimately indicate I would overrule any objection to -- and allow Mr. Hurtado to testify to his conversation with Ms. Austin, which is what we did.

Beyond that, though, I pretty much gave you a heads-up that to the extent Mr. Hurtado wanted to talk about his relationship with Mr. Cotton or express legal opinions, I expected that objection to be well-taken.

2.1

2.7

On the other hand, I did indicate that if you asked him about any conversations he had with Mr. Geraci or members of Mr. Geraci's team, including but not limited to Ms. Austin, the Court would be inclined to overrule on the objections and allow him to testify.

So let's start with defense counsel. Make a record of anything you'd like to at our sidebar or otherwise.

MR. AUSTIN: I just wanted to point out that his conversation with Attorney Gina Austin was a very short, to the point conversation, wherein it was acknowledged that what Mr. Geraci alleges to have been the contract on November 2nd was never in fact contemplated by either of the parties to be the full -- a fully integrated contract. And I think that itself was extremely dispositive towards this case, which, you know, there's no other writing that Mr. Geraci has to show that Mr. Cotton is in the wrong.

Every draft contract that the Austin Legal Group sent Mr. Cotton was more likely than not to include a majority of the terms that were actually contemplated from the beginning, just like the working documents which are Exhibits 10 and 11 where Mr. Cotton's first attempts at documenting what the contract was intended to be based off what could more likely than not be considered a meeting of the minds between Geraci and Cotton, whereas the November 2nd document, which is really nothing more than a receipt,

2.7

is completely devoid of the majority of terms that would be utilized for a multi-million-dollar contract, for the sale of a property that could be used for a CUP for a marijuana outlet.

THE COURT: Now -- thank you. But, again, the Court overruled the hearsay objection and allowed Mr. Hurtado to testify to his conversation with Ms. Austin. Now, though, legal relevancy to that will remain to be seen. But the Court didn't prevent you from asking about that.

So is there any other record that you'd like to make?

MR. AUSTIN: Well, what was disallowed was -- and perhaps Mr. Hurtado should have been designated as an expert, because he is very knowledgeable in law and marijuana cannabis industry. But -- but he could have easily pointed to was with -- he could have explained it better than myself, actually, when it comes to the Business and Professions Code about what would in fact bar Mr. Geraci from owning a CUP application for a marijuana outlet, which essentially would make his whole claim for breach of contract void because if what he's trying to achieve is illegal, he shouldn't have a contract at all.

THE COURT: Well, I don't mean to sound like
I'm arguing on behalf of the other side. But objections
were being raised. Counsel, while some of these other
folks who Plaintiff has called like Ms. Austin and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

Mr. Schweitzer were testifying, had objections been interposed, you should fully expect that I would have treated your objections the same way as I'm treating Plaintiff's objections to Mr. Hurtado. 4

So there is a lot of knowledge by both people here, but if the rules aren't followed by designating expert witnesses and they're not being asked legal opinions, they probably get to testify. But there are certain things that did not appear to have been followed, which means that the objections can be -- are well-taken.

Before I hear from Plaintiff's side, is there anything else, Counsel, that you'd like to put on the record at least on this issue.

MR. AUSTIN: Not that I think of, your Honor.

MR. TOOTHACRE: I believe the Court accurately described the bench conference in the hallway, and I have nothing further to add.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Counsel, it's taken me a little longer than I expected, but I have drafted a set of proposed jury instructions. What I can assure you is I've looked at every one that you've proposed. you do not see it included in the draft that you'll be looking at later today, it means that, from the Court's perspective, there are one or more, if not multiple reasons why what you've given to me is objectionable.

Now, there may be some that could survive an objection, but at a minimum, they're incomplete.

1 don't know how to complete them. But there are some that were not completed, but they were completed enough 2 3 that I've got to -- and based upon what I'm hearing from the evidence, I think that they could be given. 4 So with respect to those, I'm erring on the 5 side of including them for the time being. But if they 6 7 continue to be incomplete, they will not be given. So what I'm imagining now is that you'll have a 8 9 chance to look at the entire set tonight. Assuming we 10 finish earlier than 4:30 tomorrow, we'll take up the 11 instructions as soon as we excuse the jury. 12 And then -- and, hopefully, avoid you having to 13 come in on Thursday morning. 14 Now, I also sent you the verdict forms 15 yesterday, which, again, could be affected by motions that one or both of you decide to bring. 16 17 Let me go to Plaintiff's side. Did you have a 18 chance to look at those? 19 MR. WEINSTEIN: I did. I have a couple of 20 comments. Do you want them now, or tomorrow? 21 THE COURT: Why don't you make them, give them 22 to me so I can begin thinking about them. MR. WEINSTEIN: I've just marked it up. So --23 24 and they're pretty simple. So let me grab them. I will 25 need to make copies. Can I --26 THE COURT: Oh, I don't mean to put you to that 2.7 task. I mean, are they substantive, or more grammatical in nature, or both? I don't know. 28

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: There's one that I think is a
 2
     potential error, and then there are a couple I think it
 3
     would just make sense if they're phrased a different
     way. But they're minor in my judgment.
 4
 5
              CENTER: You know, let's tweak that tomorrow.
 6
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
 7
              THE COURT: What you should anticipate I will
     do is I'll put everything on the overhead, and as I'm
 8
 9
     hearing argument if I think modification should be
10
     made -- and I don't doubt that I made some mistakes --
     we can look at those things. And after a while, they
11
12
     all look alike.
13
              So we'll make modifications at that time.
     We'll do it in real time.
14
15
              So why don't you save that until tomorrow.
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Fair enough.
17
              THE COURT: Anything else from your side?
18
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Your Honor, I don't know
19
     if it's appropriate now, but we -- it's been pointed out
20
     that we marked Exhibit 11, which is the ordinance but
2.1
     never offered it into evidence. And I don't know
22
     whether we do that outside the presence of the jury.
                                                           Ιt
23
     was marked and shown to Ms. Austin.
              THE COURT: All right. Are you offering it
24
25
     now?
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              Yes.
2.7
              THE COURT: So that's Exhibit 11?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Correct.
28
```

```
THE COURT: Just give me one moment.
1
 2
              Any objection to the admission of Exhibit 11?
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 11 will be admitted.
 4
              (Premarked Joint Exhibit 11, Draft Memorandum of
 5
 6
              Understanding between Cotton and GERL, dated
 7
              9/24/16, was admitted into evidence.)
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And then there's one other
 8
9
     issue I just wanted the Court aware of. You'll recall
10
     we had one earlier sidebar about the damages summary,
     Exhibit 137. And I need to make sure that Mr. Austin
11
12
     and I talk about that because I reserved the ability to
13
    put the supporting documentation in, but I don't know if
14
     that's necessary if they're going to stipulate to the
15
     damages that are -- at least, the calculation of the
16
     damages.
17
              THE COURT: I see 137 was admitted.
18
     else --
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So 138 I think may have been
20
     admitted as well, because it was Gina Austin.
21
              THE COURT: It is -- or it has been, I mean.
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: 139 through 140 -- I think it's
23
     147 or 8, I have to look at my exhibit index.
     the supporting index and documentation that support the
24
25
     numbers for each of the different essentially vendors
26
     that were paid that are listed on Exhibit 137. So it's
2.7
     the backup.
28
              THE COURT: 139 through what?
```

```
MR. AUSTIN: 148.
 1
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Let me just confirm. 139 to
 3
    148. So it's through 148. Apparently, 147 has already
    been admitted as well. So --
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Was one -- do you show 147 or 149
    admitted, Madam Clerk?
 6
 7
              THE CLERK: I show 147 and 149 have already
    been admitted.
8
9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And 139 was the one that we
10
    talked about. I'm sorry. 138.
11
              THE COURT: Right. That's been admitted.
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. So what's left is
13
     essentially 139 through 146 and 148 that haven't been
14
     admitted that are backup documents.
15
              MR. AUSTIN: I'm not going to argue over the
    total.
16
17
              THE COURT: All right. Objections, if any?
18
             MR. AUSTIN: It seems unnecessarily cumulative.
19
     I mean, I don't really -- I don't see the point in
20
    having, like, 30 pages of --
21
              THE COURT: Cumulative does strike the Court.
22
     It is well-taken.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: If there's going to be no
23
    attack, if you will, on how the numbers were arrived at
24
25
    and 137 is you know -- that's sufficient, as far as I'm
26
    concerned. I thought the purpose of the backup
2.7
    documentation was merely to support the summary. The
    Court has Evidence Code I think 1521 that's in the ATRO
28
```

```
1
     where we're supposed to present a summary in lieu of
 2
     supporting documentation. That was the intent. I would
 3
     hope that could go in on its own, but I just want to --
              THE COURT: Well, it did go in on its own.
 4
 5
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. I'm not -- I'm not
     planning -- I would not plan on showing or arguing those
 6
 7
     to the jury if there's no issue made of it in the
     defense case.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
              So are there any other objections?
              MR. AUSTIN: Well, I honestly didn't take a
11
12
     calculator and double-check everything, but I do trust
13
     opposing counsel to do his summary correctly. So I
     submit to your discretion.
14
15
              THE COURT: Are you going to argue the lack of
     corroboration of the numbers reflected in 137 and 138?
16
17
              MR. AUSTIN: No.
18
              THE COURT: All right. The Court accepts that
19
     representation.
20
              The objection that 139 through 146 and 148 are
2.1
     cumulative is sustained.
22
              (Premarked Joint Exhibits 139 through 146 and
23
              148 were not admitted over objection.)
2.4
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              Thank you.
25
              THE COURT: Anything else from Plaintiff's
26
     side?
2.7
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Not from me, your Honor.
28
              THE COURT: How about the defense side?
```

```
1
              MR. AUSTIN: I don't have any -- anything.
 2
    Just a question about maybe timing.
 3
              THE COURT: About the timing?
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, like when do you think
 4
 5
    closing statements are likely to occur?
              THE COURT: Well, I sure wish we had more on
 6
 7
    Thursday or else we would be plowing forward on
    Thursday.
 8
 9
              But it doesn't sound to me -- we've told the
10
     jury that you all won't be arguing until Monday. That's
11
    the 15th.
12
              So what we'll do is -- Bartell will take how
13
     long in the morning?
14
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Not very long, your Honor.
15
    Thirty minutes, maybe. Thirty, 40.
16
              THE COURT: And then plaintiff will rest?
17
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes, sir.
18
              THE COURT: And the foundation of your contract
19
    theory is the November 2 agreement?
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. And then your one and
21
22
    only witness -- well, I should say your last witness
23
    will be Mr. Cotton. And assuming that you start with
    him at or before the morning break, how much time do you
2.4
25
    think you'll need?
26
              MR. AUSTIN: Well --
2.7
              THE COURT: I'm not putting pressure on. I'm
28
     just trying to get an estimate.
```

1 MR. AUSTIN: Right. I don't want to rehash too 2 much of what's already been gone over. I would estimate 3 about an hour. THE COURT: All right. And then you've already 4 had one examination of him. How about -- I guess it 5 would be cross-examination? 6 7 MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. I would not expect it to take as long as the direct, obviously. So it depends 8 9 on what's been brought up, if anything new is brought 10 up. I won't retread old ground. 11 But I did like kind of the schedule because we 12 were concerned about whether we'd have enough time for jury instructions to discuss them. That gave us 13 14 Thursday, if necessary. If not, we'll just come back 15 Monday and arque. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: Which is what we told the jury, 18 which is what I think makes sense. 19 THE COURT: But based upon the timeline you all 20 are estimating, it's possible that we could finish with 21 the jury and let them go at or about noon. 22 MR. WEINSTEIN: It's possible. THE COURT: Now, again what I want to 23 emphasize, I am not -- Mr. Cotton is an obviously 24 25 important witness. I'm not trying to put any pressure 26 on the defense side to accelerate your examination 2.7 because we want to get the jury out of here in a half a

day and avoid them from coming back. If we can do so,

Transcript of Proceedings

```
1
     great. All right.
 2
              And then we'll spend as much time as we need to
 3
     on Wednesday afternoon to finalize the instructions and
 4
     verdict form.
              Okay. Anything else from Plaintiff's side?
 5
 6
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Defense
     side.
 8
 9
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right. So we do not have any
11
     8:30s tomorrow. So you'll have lots of latitude if you
12
     want to leave your materials where they are. No one
13
     else will be coming in the department between now and
14
     then.
15
              So we'll be in recess now until tomorrow
16
     morning. Why don't you be here by 8:45, though.
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
18
              (The proceedings concluded at 2:40 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
2.7
28
```

1	I, Margaret A. Smith, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter, No. 9733, State of California, RPR, CRR, do
3	hereby certify:
4	That I reported stenographically the proceedings
5	held in the above-entitled cause; that my notes were
6	thereafter transcribed with Computer-Aided
7	Transcription; and the foregoing transcript, consisting
8	of pages number from 1 to 166, inclusive, is a full,
9	true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes
10	taken during the proceeding had on July 9, 2019.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	this 24th day of July 2019.
13	Mayeret A. Smith
14	
15	Margaret A. Smith, CSR No. 9733, RPR, CRR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	124:26 125:3,8	125 47:28 48:4,5,9,12	17 62:18 153:20
\$	126:20	126 48:16,17	18 50:20 67:14
\$10,000 139:5	1,000-foot 63:20 70:16 115:6 116:3	13 20:10 25:28 26:4	190 17:21
\$2 134:1	126:27	136 49:3,7,10,12,16,	1993 85:4,5,9
\$800,000 139:5	10 19:27 32:15 47:18	18,19	19th 35:6 98:28
\$86,631.75 59:5	79:4,8 115:2 117:13 133:22 139:4 148:1,	137 161:11,17,26 162:25 163:16	1:20 118:4
	5,15,16,17 156:23	138 161:19 162:10	1:21 118:5
0	10,000-foot 86:18	163:16	1:30 9:11 117:24,26
01 24:13	10/31/16 64:4	139 161:22,28 162:2,	
02 24:12	100 18:4 26:6 50:25	9,13 163:20,22	2
02/22/2017 18:20	79:4,7,8	14 20:13	2 19:8 24:22 27:20
02/24/2017 10:20 02/24/2017 21:8	102 36:10,13,14	140 161:22	37:18,19 41:7,9,11
03/14/2017 23:10	104 37:4,7,8	146 49:5 162:13	50:18,19 54:28 55:24 92:3 100:13
03/15/2017 24:7	105 38:22,25 39:1	163:20,22	124:7 164:19
04/03/17 26:21	106 99:25 100:2,3	147 58:15,19,20,24, 26,27 161:23 162:3,	20 9:1 57:16 65:2
05/15/2017 33:8	107 102:22,26 103:1	5,7	67:19 73:8,12
	108 42:2,3,7,8 104:7	148 162:1,3,13	105:23 114:19,20,2
05/19/2017 35:2	10:28 73:6	163:20,23	2006 85:6,9,19,24
06/08/2018 46:9	10:50 73:6	149 53:25,28 54:1	200797 110:21
06/11/2018 48:7	10th 31:8	162:5,7 14th 90:20 104:12	2009 131:12
06/12/2018 48:19	11 9:13,14 20:3 47:24		2015 85:22 86:7,11 131:8 136:1
06/18/2018 49:13	50:16 153:22 156:23	15 20:15 25:12 72:26, 28 73:11	2016 17:18,25 26:3
07/10/2017 36:15	160:20,27 161:2,4,5	15-minute 73:8	50:11 60:6 62:11,18
08/15/2017 42:10	110 43:5,9,10,14	151 137:12	64:8 65:24 136:1 137:23
08/17/17 42:10	111 43:24,28 44:1,5	1521 162:28	
09/07/2017 43:11 44:3	112 40:13,15,19,21, 25,26 41:2	15th 11:18 15:2,6	2017 25:12,24 26:4 31:8 39:8 45:2 47:18
09/11/2017 40:22	113 44:17,21,22,28	98:3 104:12 142:12,	50:13,16 60:6 62:11
09/11/2017 40.22		23 149:9,15,19	90:21 94:11 98:4,28
1	114 105:16,20,21	150:2 164:11	101:5 105:23 110:23 122:9 145:6 146:12
·	11:59 118:5	16 19:4 20:20 45:2 114:21	2018 47:12 50:20
1 18:20 19:6 31:9,10	12 20:9 68:2 104:16		51:23 67:14 76:26
42:21 50:13 108:25	12/02/16 17:13	16-page 18:27	77:1,3 78:5,22
1,000 58:5 63:14	124 46:1,2,4,7,8	16th 94:11	2019 7:1 153:20

Transcript of Procee				
20990 110:21				
21 95:1				
21st 142:25 143:3,17				
22 50:13 153:23				
223 7:15				
22nd 25:24 110:22				
24 39:8				
25 114:19				
26057 122:1 151:24				
28 50:11				
281 12:23,24				
2:40 166:18				
2nd 17:18,25 139:2 140:5 156:13,27				
3				
3 19:11 27:7 41:4 49:13 50:3,16,20 56:6 89:2 124:7				
3/14/17 22:4				
3/16/17 92:13				
3/22/17 25:21				
30 10:23 57:16 65:2 67:20 108:16 114:19 153:10,12 162:20				
31 81:9 93:1				
31st 26:3				
32-page 54:24				
34 87:15 88:5,7 108:16				
36 114:10,11				
381 12:22				

ngs			
4			
4 19:13 50:2			
4/04/17 30:3			
40 8:14 164:15			
45 10:23			
47 74:20			
49 16:5			
4:00 14:19			
4:30 14:17,19 159:10			
5			
5 19:15 55:23 104:23			
5/10/17 31:3			
5/15/17 97:25			
50 17:5,8,11,16 79:4			
52 153:22			
520606 22:3 31:3 92:12 100:4,19,24 103:2			
57 18:14,17,19,26			
58 20:28 21:4,6,10, 12,13			
598124 54:2			
6			
6 19:18 99:6			
6/18/18 50:2			
6130 95:21			
6176 29:4 37:10,25 44:2 48:6 53:22 60:28 63:7,11,15,24 66:19 68:18,21 70:3			

Geraci vs. Collon, et a				
95:21 100:26 101:20 111:22 112:3 115:26 124:12 127:10 128:27 6220 51:5,13 52:6 53:7,19 54:22 57:15	95:25 88 28:27 29:2,3,9,11 8:30s 166:11 8:45 166:16 8:50 7:1			
63:8,10,16,18 67:15 68:16,19,21 70:4,15, 27 71:5,23 76:21,25 82:18,19,25 83:1,10 124:15 125:4	8:58 29:6 8th 47:11			
6222 59:8				
64 136:2 65 21:22,26 22:1,9	9 7:1 12:11 19:25 129:24 155:3,6			
87:28 89:24	9/24/16 161:7			
66 23:4,8,9 91:8	9/6/17 44:25			
67 24:2,5,6 77:6	91 29:22,25 30:1,6,9, 10 97:7			
68 92:7,9,11	94/94/2017 29:6			
680 45:26	95 30:21,25 31:1			
6th 145:6 146:11 149:17	96 97:16,22,23			
	97 33:3,6,7			
7	98 34:24,28 35:1,5 98:26			
7 19:20 56:17 101:5	9:00 14:8			
7/17/17 37:11	9:45 8:22			
7/24/17 39:2				
75 79:7	A			
7th 149:4,8,18	a.m. 7:1 73:6 118:5			
8	Aaron 51:10,12 77:1			
8 19:23 161:23 8/09/17 100:5 8/10/17 103:3 80 25:15,18,19 86 26:15,19,20 27:25	Abhay 15:23 16:1 17:12 22:1 25:20 31:2,11 33:8 35:2 36:15 37:9 39:2 42:8 44:24 60:1 81:1 90:11 96:3 103:2,6,9 105:5			
	l .			

74:4 76:6 77:18 78:8

Transcript of Procee
ability 161:12
able 13:14 16:20 21:20 26:14 27:11 28:23 32:20,21,27, 28 41:21 44:15 53:3 58:9 66:18 79:3 82:10 104:4 106:1 115:28 116:3,5,20 121:24 151:17
above-referenced 106:5
absence 85:18
absolutely 67:17 69:23 113:17 125:9 142:1 148:17
absorb 123:4
accelerate 165:26
accept 18:6 33:19 128:24
acceptable 128:28
accepted 64:18 101:26
accepts 163:18
access 53:5 72:15,17 78:7 95:8 104:4,19
accompanied 84:7
accompanying 103:20
accomplish 13:14
account 95:15
account/financial 89:3
accounted 123:18
accurate 67:11,17 74:11,12 103:21
accurately 28:18 29:14 158:16

ngs		
accustomed 57:1		
achieve 157:23		
acknowledged 156:12		
acknowledgment 94:20,23		
acquainted 135:27 137:7		
acquire 111:21 112:16		
acquired 131:22		
acquiring 113:8,21 115:13		
acquisition 131:9		
acquisitions 134:12		
action 35:28 81:27 95:16		
activity 113:20		
actual 56:14 142:26 144:1		
add 158:18		
added 127:5		
addition 15:1		
address 21:15 51:7 64:14 81:26 82:10, 14 87:3 106:6 118:19 132:28		
addressed 41:1 69:11		
addresses 42:23		
addressing 31:23 40:28 93:28		
adequate 40:11		
adjust 15:18		
admission 44:17 161:2		

Geraci vs. Cotton, et a			
admitted 8:6 17:8,14 18:17,21 21:4,8,26 22:4 23:8,11 24:5,8 25:18,21 26:19,22 29:2,6,25 30:3,25 31:5 33:6,9 34:28 35:3 36:13,17 37:7, 12 38:25 39:3 40:19, 22 42:7,10 43:9,11, 28 44:3,21,26 46:7, 10 48:4,7 49:10,14 53:28 54:2 58:19,22 74:21 92:14 97:22, 25 100:2,5 102:26 103:3 105:20,23	agency 80:4 agent 60:23 72:19 141:5 ago 78:2 95:14,22 agree 121:20 123:2 127:28 agreed 41:17 agreement 139:3 141:6,7,9,13,15,17, 18 142:23,26 143:3, 14,16 146:24 147:8, 21,23 149:19 154:3, 8 164:19		
131:14 161:4,7,17, 20 162:4,6,8,11,14 163:23	agreements 134:22 139:1		
adopted 122:9	agrees 70:12		
adults 73:16	ahead 15:7 58:26 134:18		
advantage 9:17	alike 160:12		
advantageous 144:14	allegation 70:20 71:3		
advice 142:20 advised 102:8 105:28 119:4,14 advising 131:11 advisor 131:6	alleges 156:12		
	allotted 68:4 allow 27:17 39:15		
	46:24 56:27 58:9 90:24 126:2,12 155:22 156:5		
advocating 122:25	allowed 28:11 47:13, 22 114:4 124:25		
Aeronautical 131:21 affect 71:14 80:14	128:15 157:6		
affordable 106:28	allowing 81:16		
afternoon 11:13,19, 20 12:2,3 14:16,24 108:3,4 120:14 123:28 124:1 130:17 131:3,4 154:19,20 166:3 age 128:15	alternate 64:18		
	alternative 81:23 ambiguous 68:26 77:23 108:11 109:3 113:10 amended 90:24 110:22		
	1		

Index: ability-amended

amendment 25:7 amount 14:25 119:1 analysis 78:3 81:4,15 132:28 133:4 135:8 **analyze** 138:19 answer 42:25 96:21 109:7,9 111:26 113:14 115:5,10,22 117:4 126:3 136:10, 14 139:18 142:16 144:8 145:21.23 147:18 154:1 answering 21:20 answers 43:23

anticipate 68:18,24 160:7 anticipating 14:15

anybody 72:19 81:19 anymore 124:6

apologize 41:9 49:20 73:14 115:25 147:12

apparently 18:8 26:3 46:18 162:3

appeal 53:19,23 54:1, 7,15 57:21,25 67:14

appeals 58:2

appear 96:3 97:28 112:2 158:9

appeared 34:1 61:11

appears 17:16 24:16, 20 25:13,23 32:6 33:14,16 35:5 37:14, 20 38:3 39:7 48:28 94:10,28 98:15 100:13 101:4 104:22,24

applicant 30:11 31:18 34:12 44:13.14 51:8.

10 53:22 80:6 88:27 89:23 93:26 94:17 110:6 127:14,27

applicants 95:8

application 22:21,24 23:25 25:27 27:3,22 29:4 33:21 34:3,18 52:22 54:22 60:28 67:21,22 74:5 76:11, 21 77:18 78:25 81:10 82:20 86:18 87:4 88:8,10 89:10, 12,15,18,21 91:20, 28 93:5,10,11,13 94:5,8 95:7 97:25 98:6,22,25 101:9,13, 21,25,26,27 102:2, 12,13 103:20 104:1 106:6 109:11 113:2 116:1,6 117:9,10 120:25 124:16,17 125:4 126:18 127:5. 9,12 128:1,27 157:20

applications 86:15 93:23 106:2,5 108:17 111:2 112:3 115:15 117:20

applied 27:2 57:2 119:7

applies 116:26

appreciate 33:18

approach 87:22

approached 153:28

appropriate 122:28 160:19

approval 41:25 53:19 57:27 70:13 78:24 79:1

approve 54:19 57:13, 26 78:28

approved 19:10 32:25,27 44:12 52:2 53:20 58:1,3,6 59:15 63:12,18,19 65:5 66:5,18 68:19,24 69:4 77:18 82:17 103:23 116:6,11 117:14 126:19

approximate 68:1

approximately 45:26 47:24 64:24,27 78:22 85:5 114:19 137:22 142:22

approximation 65:6 79:7

April 153:20

architect 20:21,22

area 79:12.15 80:16 87:2

areas 80:26 108:6 133:17

argue 11:18 15:4 57:18 69:21 162:15 163:15 165:15

arguing 121:8 157:26 163:6 164:10

argument 68:2 71:11, 13 121:17,26 122:3, 22,27 132:20 160:9

Argumentative 112:20

arguments 132:19,20

Arizona 131:28

arrived 162:24

arriving 129:23

asked 8:21 28:1 37:24 39:20 105:8 120:28 138:19 147:16,19 155:13

156:2 158:7

asking 24:25 32:7,9, 11,18 39:19,27,28 40:1,2 42:24 63:17 64:2 68:28 71:1 76:12 93:5 96:10 118:19 140:11 157:10

asks 22:20

aspects 152:15

assemble 31:24

assembled 12:10

assessment 93:26 100:27 101:1 105:8

assigned 76:6 107:2

assist 21:19 43:20 80:20 142:19

assisting 79:10 151:16 152:2

Associate 85:10

associated 89:9 102:1 108:7 109:13

assume 100:12 110:10 116:14 141:24

assumed 47:16

assumes 71:24 78:16,18 80:7 113:10

assuming 68:19,21 121:23 159:9 164:23

assure 154:23 158:21

ATRO 162:28

attached 31:4 92:27

attachment 17:13 109:14

attachments 110:2

Transcript of Proceedings

attack 119:10 162:24 **attempt** 64:21

attempted 53:7

attempting 38:10 41:20 53:17 104:18 111:21 112:16

attempts 156:24

attend 67:18 131:18

attendance 68:6

attended 67:13 132:2

attending 132:6

attention 16:5,11 17:3 74:20

attorney 8:22 63:6 79:14 80:12,19 84:7 131:9 134:12,21 145:2 146:22 147:5 156:10

attorneys 150:28

audience 12:11 73:21 84:9

August 101:5 104:12

Austin 7:11 8:14,28 9:10,14,20 10:4,7, 19,26 11:1,3,9,28 12:21 13:11,20 17:7 18:16 21:3,25 23:7 24:4,7 25:17 26:18 27:16 29:1,24 30:24 33:5 34:27 36:12 37:6,9 38:24 40:18 42:6 43:8,27 44:20, 23 45:5 46:6 48:3 49:9 53:27 58:18 59:19 60:2 61:4,20 63:6 69:5 71:26 73:13 74:2 77:7,10, 13,27 78:1,21 80:18, 24 82:2,6 83:16,21 97:19,21 100:1

102:25 105:19 107:24,27 108:2,15, 20,24 109:17 112:1, 22 113:13 118:16,27 120:2 121:3 122:8, 14 123:26,27 124:21,23 125:28 126:6,9,25 128:2,19 129:3,10 130:1,4 131:2 133:6,14 134:8,16,19,20 135:1,25 136:18 137:5,21 138:4,27 139:20 140:2,17,27 141:3,23 142:18 143:10,26 144:11, 17,23 145:1,3,15,17, 26 146:11,15 148:7, 14,22 149:3,5,14 150:1,12,26 151:9, 15,21 152:1,7,13,27 153:8,25 154:12,15, 20 155:23 156:4,9, 10,19 157:8,13,28 158:15 160:23

Austin's 9:24

166:9

author 16:9

authorities 122:15,24

161:3,11,20 162:1,

15,18 163:11,17

164:1,4,26 165:1

authority 89:12

automatically 58:7

available 14:21 31:28 114:21 115:2 117:19 129:22 154:25

avoid 159:12 165:28

aware 15:11 46:28 47:5 52:28 62:4,20 65:22 70:15 71:20 74:3 76:20,24 77:3 79:17,25,28 80:1,5 81:4,13,15 83:11 90:26 91:1 93:10 114:25 125:2 142:28 143:28 148:2 161:9

В

back 7:24 8:5 10:21 12:14 15:13 20:17 27:24 32:4 37:18 47:18 52:20,24,25 57:22 65:1 78:2,4 88:16 95:27 100:8 104:15 118:4 123:9, 21 132:1,3,4 135:3, 12 146:2,16 165:14, 28

background 113:25, 26 131:17

backup 161:27 162:14,26

bailiff 12:9 59:21 73:25 84:6 120:19 130:7

balance 118:23

banker 131:10 135:5

banking 135:7

bar 69:28 121:18 131:15 157:20

Barbara 23:10 79:20

barred 113:8,21 125:9

barring 80:5 121:23

Bartell 9:5 10:6,16,22 11:8,10 17:18 24:7, 17,23 25:3,5 31:2 37:9 39:2,8,17,19 40:1,2 44:24 45:6 120:3 129:19,23 164:12

based 16:21 18:11 24:15 55:3,13 79:10 97:15 98:15 99:22 120:25 126:14 144:19 156:25 159:3 165:19

basic 55:6 72:7 135:16,18,20

basically 38:6 105:12 111:11 116:21 128:22 133:3 135:8, 14

basis 122:4

batch 7:20

Bear 119:19

beat 52:21 53:7 59:8

Becky 17:17 24:21 45:9 90:13

began 118:17,20

beginning 15:12 118:8,9 155:18 156:22

behalf 15:24 52:14,26 53:22 70:8 83:10,12 84:14 130:21 157:26

behaved 123:16

behaving 73:18

Belated 152:21

belatedly 152:24

belief 83:4 101:23 152:2

believe 22:25 23:1 24:17 25:7 26:6 27:16 29:12 36:8 37:18 40:3 41:11 47:3,9 48:13 49:22 50:3 51:7 53:5,13,17

Index: attack-believe

57:2 58:27 59:6,7 61:23,27 62:1,13 63:11 64:1 71:14 74:10,17 76:27,28 77:6 78:13 81:25 84:3 89:25 91:4,5 93:5 95:27 98:24 101:16 103:27 112:14,15 113:23 115:28 117:13 123:12 124:18 125:15 127:8 141:20 158:16

believed 78:11

Ben 36:14.23

bench 158:17

benefit 152:3

Berry 17:12,18 44:23 45:9 60:11,12,20 61:1,5 88:13,21 92:28

Berry's 60:15 127:7

best 51:20 57:15.23 64:6 68:3 83:2 102:18

better 59:14 100:20 123:15 157:18

beyond 121:16 155:25

big 61:15,18 119:8

bigger 32:7,11

billion 134:1

binder 74:21

bit 10:14 77:17 118:7 154:22

black 136:23

Blvd 29:5 37:10 44:2. 25 48:6 92:13 97:24 **board** 74:13

body 39:6 54:15

book 48:27 49:3 89:28

books 12:17 14:8 21:23 22:5

bootstrapping 122:3

border 41:15

bottom 20:16 31:7 33:11 56:19,21 77:13 91:21 98:3 101:3

Boulevard 22:3 31:12 37:25 51:6 63:8,10 95:21 100:26 101:10,20 124:15

brand-new 136:25

breach 133:9 157:22

break 8:16 72:25 132:22 164:24

brief 122:13,19,26

briefly 43:16 153:3

bring 7:5 12:15,20 14:1 32:19 39:6 73:24 104:16 120:8 123:8 159:16

bringing 122:20

broad 72:2,9,13 76:12

broader 111:3

brought 43:23 67:19 69:10 70:18,19 135:14 165:9

Bruce 37:21,22,23 38:3,6,10,16 100:4 101:4,15

building 19:24,25,26

20:1,14 32:19 55:27 56:5,11

bulletin 16:16,22 17:21 91:3

bunch 8:2 123:10

business 7:21 15:6 27:22 111:8,12,16 113:4 121:6,28 122:9 129:12 136:5, 27 142:2,5 151:24 154:28 157:19

buy 138:7,12,22 142:8 149:20

buyer 95:3 141:5,14, 15 149:9,11,15 150:2

C

C-A-C 75:27

Cac 75:27 106:22 107:2 124:2

calculation 161:15

calculator 163:12

California 7:1 20:1 46:17 111:8,12,17 112:19 113:3 132:8, 14

call 10:5,10,11,13 11:7 25:25 31:7 37:19 49:26 77:15 83:26 91:21 119:23 129:21,27 142:16 145:23 151:27

called 15:24 25:4 66:24 70:24 84:14 100:27 128:6 130:21 139:2 143:17 157:28

calling 9:8 155:16,17

calls 61:2 111:23 130:10 137:12 140:24

cancel 127:21

cannabis 51:19 56:25 75:12 76:4 79:27 113:8,19 135:28 136:20 137:14,16 151:23 157:16

cannabis-related 66:26 79:11

capacity 138:5 142:10

captive 12:11

card 129:12

care 57:1 148:3

carefully 130:12

Carlos 71:15,19,21 82:24 83:9,11

Carlos' 83:5

case 9:3 10:10.16 11:19 15:5 38:8 42:25 44:13 57:23 58:2 67:28 72:28 79:2 106:8 117:25 118:7 122:11 129:21,27 130:16 143:28 144:5 151:18 152:19 155:5 156:16 163:8

cases 133:10,11

catch 52:3

caused 53:18

CC'D 95:3 99:8 101:5

cease 106:25

cell 29:5 123:11,13

cement 11:22

Index: believed-cement

center 124:27 125:3 160:5

centers 115:7

certain 26:7 27:1 53:15 70:11 72:8 74:9 158:9

certainly 56:17 72:2 74:8

certainty 51:25 79:4 80:15

cetera 66:21 115:8

chain 37:15 77:7 98:10

chair 91:25

chairs 73:27

chance 12:4 122:27 155:11 159:9,18

chances 53:10 59:13

change 34:12,22 35:16 50:27 76:7,9 98:11,12 103:22 110:20 130:15 136:20

changed 22:26 23:2 34:17 35:18 36:6 98:5,14,17,19 102:8 103:15,18 107:12 111:1,2 124:8 137:25 139:12

characterize 7:13 60:26 67:10 79:18

check 66:20 67:4 70:27 86:27 113:25, 26

checked 67:7,10

Cherlyn 75:26 106:22 107:2 124:2

chief 129:21,27

childcare 66:5,12,16, 20 69:27 70:16 71:5 124:27 125:3,14,17 126:11,12,20,28

choose 72:18

chooses 72:6

chronological 35:23

church 124:26

churches 115:7

cite 55:28 56:1

cited 63:24 121:28

City 17:20 18:2,8
20:6,24 21:15 22:14
23:9,17 25:28 26:7,
28 28:22 30:1,11,12,
18 31:4,15,20 32:4
35:1,11,19,25 36:28
39:24 41:14 42:18,
25 43:20 44:12 45:7,
11,14,17 47:26 52:4
53:17 54:8 55:6,15,
19 64:15 66:14 67:1
70:8,12,23 72:5
75:3,10,15,24 76:7,

107:13,19 109:1,18 110:6,7,20,21 112:25 114:1,4 132:5

22 78:24 79:21 81:5.

14 82:19,22,25,28

85:1,3,8,22,24 86:4,

7 89:19 93:9 99:17,

20 104:21 106:1,17

City's 41:25 66:16 79:3 83:5 88:24 89:6

civil 121:14,18

claim 56:9 157:22

claims 54:16

clarified 25:9

clarify 69:1 86:8 99:24

classes 136:7

clear 70:9 78:28 101:1

cleared 33:1 37:27

clearly 7:11 55:14,26 145:7

clerk 12:21,23,25 47:7 84:11,17 130:18,24 132:7,16 133:10,16 162:6,7

clerked 132:7

clerkship 133:8,19

client 51:18 53:22 66:23 103:24 137:7

clients 17:26

close 7:21 15:5 42:24 128:10 154:27

closer 32:19

closing 164:5

CO-2-1 22:17 90:16

code 16:17,21 17:21
20:2 22:18 25:8
27:21 28:4,6,8
55:10,25,26 58:9
80:11,16 82:27
89:14,17,23 90:24
91:4,5 96:16,17 97:5
106:11 110:11,17
111:9,13,17 113:4,
22 116:8 121:1,5,6,
27,28 122:9,10
124:25 125:15,19
126:15,16 127:2
151:24 157:19
162:28

codes 79:16,23

college 131:18

come 7:6 11:16,17 13:16,27 15:2 24:13 27:18 38:9 51:17 78:4 81:23 94:13 101:27 121:4,13 137:11 147:27 159:13 165:14

comes 79:16 88:11 11:13 157:18

comfortable 84:9

coming 94:15 132:3 165:28 166:13

comment 16:13,14 18:5 32:10 81:27

comments 16:18,27 21:16 31:14,23,24 37:26 45:11,14 64:1 75:4 81:7,26 82:8,10 132:27 159:20

commission 52:5,8 54:15 57:19,24 67:16,24 68:7,9 71:11

common 44:12 75:14

communicate 75:14

communicating 64:25,28

communication 99:22

communications 53:16 112:6

community 91:26,27

company 46:18 67:6 75:9 104:4

comparable 128:14,

compare 128:9

comparison 82:3 competing 50:28 51:1,2,5,22 52:15, 17,21 54:9 63:8 82:19 98:21 competitively 114:13 complete 25:28 26:8, 9 69:22,28 87:5 91:20 93:17,25 154:18,28 159:1 completed 64:13 65:2 99:12 114:1,2 159:2 completely 128:21 157:1 completeness 16:28 19:2 21:7,18 26:4 50:6,7,11 64:2,3 75:4 81:5,7 86:26,27 completion 65:28 compliance 82:27 88:28 115:16 comply 32:20,21 125:10 126:27 127:1 comprehensive 54:9, 13 concern 33:28 34:1 37:28 51:26,28 concerned 33:26 140:4 147:27 154:3 162:26 165:12 concluded 166:18 conclusion 133:5 condition 40:4 42:18 conditional 25:10 87:11 113:24 116:18

127:9 141:9,17

142:24

conditions 19:9 20:7 66:7 conduct 151:11 **conducted** 39:10.13 conference 13:13 158:17 conferring 14:12 confident 82:12 152:9 confirm 162:2 conflict 16:16 17:20 conflicting 57:4,7 consider 40:9 42:17 43:1 126:12 considerable 45:21 considered 58:3 69:18 156:26 consistent 55:3 conspiracy 119:8,9 constantly 14:12 construction 19:19 consultant 20:21 66:24 67:3 71:7 consultants 26:12 consumer 90:17 106:11 111:2 contact 38:8 61:6,7 72:10,19 82:22 91:17,22 92:5 140:3 contacted 82:28 contacts 82:18 contain 71:28 72:1 contains 23:20 contemplated

156:14,22

content 38:12 contingent 95:6 continue 15:19 22:21,23 35:14 41:27 73:28 103:25, 28 123:19 147:3 159:7 continued 152:8 contract 60:10,16,17 132:18 133:9 141:26 142:11 148:23 156:13,15,19,25 157:2,22,24 164:18 contracted 66:23 contractor 70:26 contracts 60:13 145:17 control 60:21 conversation 33:18 139:22 145:9,16,27 146:11,20 153:7 155:23 156:10,11 157:7 conversations 62:14, 21 95:2 112:9,11 143:23 144:25 156:2 convey 90:15,16 cooperating 15:9 cooperative 90:17 cooperatives 106:11 111:3 coordinate 38:7 43:1 105:2 coordinating 105:5 copied 38:4 **copies** 159:25 copy 12:28 19:1

24:21 30:13 52:5 93:7,15 corner 49:25 74:25 corporate 135:10 corporation 109:15 correct 10:18 16:26 20:25,27 24:28 25:2, 25 26:1,2,5,6 28:4 33:15 35:7 39:18 41:23 46:20 47:18 50:11,14,21,22 59:3, 6 63:8,21,25 65:3,15 66:2,6,15 67:9,15 69:7 70:1 75:10 76:26 77:2 78:5,10 79:13 81:6,10 85:1, 2,6,7,27 87:1 88:14, 15,22 90:22 92:5,6 93:18,19 96:19,25 97:2,3,5,6,14 99:9, 15,16 101:6,24 102:16,17 103:10 104:13,27 105:4,9, 10 106:20,23 107:4, 7 108:9 109:20,24 110:11 111:9,13,17 112:5,25 115:27 116:16 121:9 122:8 124:4,28 125:1,9 127:7,19 129:1,16 137:10 141:28 142:3,4,6,20 149:16 150:4,6,7 151:3,4,6 152:16 160:28 corrected 25:8 corrections 21:21 23:22 correctly 163:13 correspondence 61:14 75:3 correspondences

61:12 77:14

corroboration 163:16 costs 89:9

Cotton 10:3,4,17,27 11:7 33:14 34:1,14, 15 35:27 38:19 46:24 47:4 53:12 59:10,12 61:22 62:12,15,21,23,26, 27 63:1,3 81:15 92:12,19,22 93:2 94:7,11,13 95:1,10 97:24 98:1 99:23 115:25 120:4 127:4 137:8,11,22,25 138:6,28 139:11,21 140:3,18,28 141:4,8, 27 142:8,19 143:1, 11,24,27 144:5,25 145:18 146:23 147:20,23,27 149:4, 5,10 150:9,13,28 151:17 152:2,9,15, 20 154:26 155:27 156:18,20,27 161:6 164:23 165:24

Cotton's 28:11 41:21 46:19 47:7 53:6 62:6 78:7 100:25 119:9 149:16 150:3 151:12 156:24

council 114:8,9

counsel 7:4,24 8:12 10:2,15 11:6,21 12:12,18,28 13:1,5, 10,14,23 14:12 15:19 72:24 73:5,20, 28 83:15,20 84:8,22 87:22 107:26 108:18 117:22 118:4,7,14 119:4,18 120:10 121:8 122:13 123:7, 19,25 125:22 126:4 129:9,13,14,16,28 130:27 134:17 136:16 140:16 146:6,13 150:20 153:18,24 155:8,14, 21 156:6 157:27 158:13,19 163:13

count 48:27 114:17

country 133:23

County 79:21

couple 14:8 54:23 74:26 80:26 81:12 88:16 119:24 159:19 160:2

course 15:1 28:17 77:20

court 7:4,19,27 8:4, 10,15,24,27 9:2,5,8, 12,15,21 10:1,5,8,9, 20,25,27 11:2,4,11, 27 12:10,18,24,27 13:5,10,12,22,25,27 14:3 15:16 16:7 17:6.8 18:15.17 21:2,4,24,26 23:6,8 24:3,5 25:16,18 26:17,19 28:28 29:2, 23,25 30:23,25 33:4, 6 34:26,28 36:11,13 37:5,7 38:23,25 40:15,17,19 42:5,7 43:7,9,26,28 44:19, 21 46:5,7,23,27 47:6,7 48:2,4 49:5,7, 10 53:26,28 58:17, 19 59:18,24 61:3 68:27 71:25 72:24 73:5,7,15,17,26 77:25 78:4,9,17,19 80:9,23,25 83:15,17, 20,22,24,28 84:2,4, 8.22 87:22 89:26 91:10 92:10 97:9,18, 20,22 99:28 100:2

102:24,26 104:9 105:18,20 107:23,26 108:13,18,21 109:4, 7 111:25 112:21 113:12 117:22 118:6,11,17,28 119:3,6,11,15,22 120:5,18,21 121:10, 14,20 122:7,11,15 123:24 126:4,7,24 127:25 128:18 129:4,6,9,14,20 130:2,5,8,27 133:13 134:7,15,17,25,27 136:11,15 137:4,19 138:3,25 139:17,19, 28 140:9,11,14,16, 26 141:2,11,22 142:15 143:7,22 144:9,13,16,22,27 145:14,22,25 146:1, 8,15,17 147:1,3,9, 12,14,17 148:13,21, 28 149:2,25 150:11, 18,24 151:8,14,20, 28 152:6,12,23,26 153:1,4,16,21,24,26 154:11,13,17,21 155:15,16 156:4 157:5,6,9,25 158:16, 19 159:21,26 160:7, 17,24,27 161:1,4,9, 17,21,28 162:5,11, 17,21,28 163:4,9,15, 18,25,28 164:3,6,16, 18,21,27 165:4,16,

Court's 10:9 119:18 122:12 155:13,19 158:24

19,23 166:7,10

courthouse 132:8

courtroom 61:24 73:2 155:7

covered 16:15 40:27 created 115:13 credibility 68:12 criteria 70:25 critical 147:25

cross 8:13,27,28 9:22,27 10:25 118:26

cross-examination 59:18,21,26 60:1

74:1 107:23 108:1 153:1,5 165:6

crucial 57:9

cumulative 162:18,21 163:21

CUP 18:19,20 26:10 33:21 34:12 49:12, 13 50:2,13,18,20 51:1,2,5,9,13,22,26 52:15,17,21 53:7,14 60:28 62:6 63:7,8,11 65:8 66:4,7,19 67:15 68:24 70:15 74:4 76:6,10,21 77:2,18 78:25 80:6,21 86:15, 18 91:28 98:21 101:19 102:1 103:23 104:1 106:5,6 111:2, 22 112:16 113:9,21 115:9,26 116:12,16, 20,21 117:1,13 121:27 122:5 124:12,15,25 125:4, 8,12 126:18 127:5, 11,21,26,28 128:27 157:3,20

CUPS 65:3,5,11 70:3 72:1 76:12 87:3 109:19,22 110:15 114:4,6,15,25 115:14

Transcript of Proceedings

current 101:26,27 102:1,12 103:24 150:13

currently 86:1,16 93:20 95:7 101:10, 19 115:3 131:6

cut 8:2 20:18

cycle 21:6,13 31:3,4, 11,13,14,20,28 32:23 40:21,26 43:10,14 45:17 48:17,21,25 78:27 79:3 82:1 93:20,28 94:1

cycles 93:24

D

damages 161:10,15,

Daniel 100:14,16 101:5 102:7,9

dark 14:14

Darryl 34:11 61:22 92:11 97:24 115:24 136:8 143:17 154:1, 2

data 114:22,23

date 23:2 40:5 47:14, 16 49:24 64:27 65:26 67:17 81:17 82:4 117:18 153:18, 19

dated 17:13 18:20 21:7 22:3 23:10 24:7 25:12,21,24 26:21 29:6 30:3 31:3 33:8 35:2,6 36:15 37:11 39:2,8 40:22 42:9 43:11 44:2,25 45:2 46:9 47:11 48:6,18 49:13 50:2 92:13 94:11 95:1 97:25 100:5 103:3 104:12 105:22 161:6

dates 50:4

day 11:13,15 13:3 14:16,18 22:26 90:27 100:19 118:9 120:1,2 121:11 129:17 142:27 143:2,5,13,15,18 146:22 147:22,26 148:4 154:7 165:28

daycare 70:5,21,24, 27 115:7

days 27:22 96:17 117:13

dead 106:12

deal 74:4 75:9 76:21 80:12 119:22 134:21 140:4 150:8

dealing 75:7

deals 19:18 21:17 28:8 30:20 122:1,2

December 17:18,25

decide 159:16

decided 135:8

deciding 151:11

decision 53:23 55:10 92:2 106:1,3,4

decision-maker 53:21

decision-making 61:9 62:3,9

decisions 61:1

declaration 152:19

declarations 78:13

dedication 18:3,10 19:20 32:7,11

deed 34:19 35:15 98:7 102:14 103:20 109:12

deeds 61:28

deemed 25:28 26:8,9 64:16 87:4 91:20 93:17 106:2

deeper 120:9

defend 150:18

defendant/crosscomplainant 130:21

defense 8:12 10:10, 17 11:27 12:19 123:3 129:27 155:13,20 156:6 163:8,28 165:26 166:7

defined 89:14,17,23 125:15 126:15

definitely 71:14

definition 66:14,16 125:13

degree 131:22,24 135:21

delay 53:18 76:10 107:11

delayed 53:13 63:24

delays 103:25

deliberations 15:5 72:28 117:25 155:5

Delores 74:15,17,26

denial 22:20 24:28 42:16 90:19

denied 95:8 115:18 152:26

deny 54:20 57:25 82:16

department 56:25 69:18 71:21 72:4 74:18 82:25 85:15, 16,17,26 99:12 101:19 104:28 106:28 114:3,27 124:8,10 166:13

depending 79:23

depends 9:28 76:16 165:8

depict 20:5

depicted 28:18 57:9

depicting 66:28

deposit 89:3

deposition 119:12 153:10,15,18,19

Depositions 119:15

deputy 12:7 14:1 73:24 84:4 123:5,8 129:15 130:5,18

describe 19:5 43:15 72:11 132:15 134:2, 13 137:26 140:21 151:10

described 158:17

describing 99:14

description 72:17 99:14

designated 7:10 118:10 157:14

designating 158:6

designer 100:15

desire 89:13

detail 19:22 28:18 32:24 57:6

Index: current-detail

detailed 55:8 **details** 56:2 95:23 **determine** 37:26

determined 55:14 70:23 71:8

128:23 146:22

developed 19:16 130:16 136:21 137:24

developing 120:11

development 20:22 22:13,15 23:18 31:17 35:12 56:24 69:19 71:21,27 72:4 74:18,19 75:25 76:3 85:10,17,25 86:2,3, 12,13 99:12 101:18 135:22 136:7

deviating 11:22

devised 28:15

devoid 157:1

diagram 20:3

Diego 7:1 22:14,18 23:10 27:21 28:8 30:1 31:4 39:25 45:7 71:20,27 79:20,21 109:18 110:10,21 112:19 113:21 114:1,3,5,16 122:9 124:24 132:1,3 135:12,13,23

difference 125:16 126:10 148:15

different 49:3 57:10 79:22 101:9 106:27 124:9 127:27 133:16 160:3 161:25

differentiate 75:18 differently 73:18,19

difficult 57:11 115:4 117:6

difficulty 95:20 115:13

dig 120:9

diligence 83:1 138:13 151:11

diligently 60:5

direct 9:28 10:22 15:19 16:1,5,11 17:2 74:20 84:25 95:5 119:17 131:1 155:10 165:8

directions 84:10 130:18

directly 62:26 75:8 112:7 146:24 147:19 149:23

disagreed 69:23

disallowed 157:13

disappointed 60:7

disappointing 60:9

discipline 41:1 72:23

disciplines 87:10,12

disclosed 109:23 112:25

disclosing 112:17

disclosure 33:20 34:19 35:16 92:27 93:3,8,12 94:21,25 98:6 102:13 108:22 112:26

discovered 138:16

discretion 69:21 163:14

discretionary 69:15, 18 93:23 117:20 **discuss** 72:27 117:25 147:15 155:4 165:13

discussed 19:12,14, 22 36:27 43:18 136:5

discussion 14:2 93:2 120:14,23 155:12

discussions 62:12,20

dispensaries 79:27 80:4

dispensary 111:7 113:19

dispositive 156:16

dispute 132:17

disputes 132:18

disqualified 126:21

disqualify 66:4 126:1

distance 37:11 66:17 70:22

distances 66:27

distribute 87:7,8

distributed 93:24

distribution 87:9

district 114:8 132:8, 13,14

districts 114:9

document 18:27
23:13,16,17 33:12
36:19,22 37:16
46:12,14 47:1,7
54:4,6,19,24 55:19
67:19 90:2,4 91:13,
15 92:16 97:11 99:1
100:9,11 105:13,25,
27 152:18 156:28

documentation 58:21 161:13,24 162:27

163:2

documenting 156:24

documents 8:5 19:4 43:22 102:18 103:22 128:23 144:1,6,19 151:17 156:23 162:14

doing 78:3 131:10 135:6,7 152:9

double-check 71:4,6 163:12

doubt 160:10

draft 31:3,4,11,13,14, 15,20,28 32:23 44:1, 5,9,14 48:5,14 60:18,19 133:2 148:4,5 149:5,18 156:19 158:23 161:5

drafted 90:8,10 158:20

drafting 134:21 145:17

drafts 147:28

dramatically 119:25

drawing 19:27 20:12, 20 56:3,14

drawings 18:2 19:1 43:22 49:23 50:3 54:10 56:26 69:3,12, 22 80:11 82:22

DS 114:26

DS-190 88:17,24

DS-3242 89:2

DSD 56:24 60:28 70:4 72:4 74:7 78:23 85:13,26 108:6 126:11,13,26

due 83:1 114:14

124:20 138:13 151:11

duly 15:25 84:15 130:22

duties 132:15

Ε

earlier 10:14 16:15 40:27 43:18 48:14 55:5 63:22 64:13 65:27 66:9 72:8 108:5 127:4 154:21, 22 159:10 161:10

early 11:19 81:18,21

easily 157:17

easy 133:3

educational 131:17

effect 63:26 139:12

effort 52:25 53:13

efforts 59:5

egress 20:1

eight 48:28

either 12:2 70:3 122:24 127:5 139:21 141:4 156:14

elements 28:19

elevation 56:8 68:17, 22

elevations 20:13 56:5,12

elicited 119:12,16

eliciting 118:11 155:15

eligible 115:9 121:27 122:5

email 16:9 17:11,17,

25 18:7 22:1,12 24:6,10,14,15,16,17, 20,26 25:3,12,19,23 26:20 27:18 31:1,7 32:5 33:7,11,14 34:5,6,10,11 35:22, 26 36:14,23 37:8,15, 19,20 38:12,17 39:1, 7,16 42:8,13 44:22 45:4 62:14,25 77:6, 12 90:5,8 91:3 92:11,13,18,21 94:11,28 95:1,10,15, 17,27 96:3,12,18,24, 27 97:23,28 98:3,15 100:3,14 101:3,17 103:1,5,6,10,11,12 104:11,13,15,22,24 105:21,28 112:6 143:19

emailing 77:11

emails 61:8 100:7 115:24

Embry-riddle 131:21

emphasize 14:11 165:24

employ 71:15

employee 36:24 39:24 71:19 74:17

Encanto 91:26

enclosed 23:25

ended 133:19

engage 141:4

engaged 141:27

engaging 142:2

engineering 32:6,9 87:13 104:4

engineers 39:15 46:24 47:1,12,17 64:22 81:24 104:19 enrolled 135:12

entails 113:27

enter 29:21 141:6

entered 60:11 138:28 140:4 141:9,13,14 142:23 143:3 146:23 147:7,20 149:19

entertain 122:27

entire 20:16 159:9

entitled 43:14 44:5

environmental 85:16 87:14 128:24

equal 118:28

equity 135:15 139:4 148:1,6,16,17,23

erring 120:13 159:5

error 28:8 160:2

errors 54:17 69:2

essence 21:14 42:28

essentially 38:14 105:7 112:23 116:9 157:21 161:25 162:13

established 106:10 110:25

estate 135:13,16,18, 20,22,23,27 136:6, 20,27 151:22

estimate 8:24 9:24 10:20 14:13 57:15 79:6 119:24 164:28 165:2

estimating 11:14 165:20

estimation 61:10 68:16

et 66:21 115:7

evaluate 40:10

evaluation 36:28 53:10

event 147:27

eventually 32:21,28 46:19 97:13 136:8 149:20

everybody 14:4,6 73:11,16,19,27 76:19 136:4 155:11

evidence 8:6 14:17, 20,23 15:10 16:6 17:5,9,14 18:18,21 20:6 21:1,8,23 22:4 23:5,11 24:8 25:15, 21 26:16,22 28:27 29:7 30:4,22 31:5 33:3,9 34:24 35:3 36:9,17 37:3,12 38:22 39:4 40:14,20, 23 42:3,11 43:6,12, 25 44:3,26 46:4,10 48:1,7,16 49:3,11,14 53:25 54:2 58:16,22 74:21 87:16 89:25 91:9 92:10,14 95:26 97:8,17,26 98:27 99:26 100:5 102:22 103:3 104:8 105:17, 23 120:10 155:1 159:4 160:21 161:7 162:28

exact 23:2 81:17 100:28 154:2

exactly 52:13 116:15

examination 15:20 16:1 81:1 84:25 118:14,22 122:21 123:19 131:1 155:10 165:5,26

examining 73:22

example 56:7 82:16 examples 7:22 exception 126:26 exceptionally 117:6 exclude 115:8 excluded 119:10 **excuse** 159:11 excused 83:18 129:7 154:14 executed 88:13 142:25 143:13,16 **exhibit** 12:16,22 16:5, 24 17:5,8,11,16 18:17,19,26,28 19:8, 11 21:4,6,10,12,13, 26 22:1,9,11 23:8,9 24:2,5,6 25:15,18,19 26:19,20 27:25 28:27 29:2,3,11,22, 25 30:1,6,9,10,25 31:1 33:3,6,7 34:28 35:1,5,6 36:13,14 37:7,8 38:25 39:1 40:19,21,25,26 41:4 42:2,3,7,8 43:9,10, 14,28 44:1,5,21,22, 28 46:1,7,8 48:4,5,9, 12,17 49:10,12,16, 20 53:25,28 54:1,28 55:24 58:19,20 65:1. 25 72:8 74:14,20,24 77:6 87:15 88:5,7

exhibits 19:16 108:5

11,23,26

89:24 91:8 92:7,11

95:25 97:7,16,22,23

98:26 99:25 100:2,3

102:26 103:1 104:7,

160:20,27 161:2,4,5,

23 105:16,20,21

108:11,14,16,18

156:23 163:22

exist 115:11

existed 68:19,21

existing 19:13,23

56:9,10

expect 14:18,23 15:4 45:10 154:27 158:2

165:7

expected 155:28

158:20

expecting 142:8

expedite 32:1

expedited 106:28

Expense 58:20

experience 70:7,10 72:4,22 79:10 115:12 117:15

122:12 136:19 137:6

141:25 148:24 151:22

expert 7:8,10,13 118:10,11 120:22

128:11 151:27

155:17 157:15 158:7

explain 21:15 24:25 28:14 139:25 142:10

148:8

explained 157:17

explaining 44:15

explicitly 146:24

exposed 133:16

exposure 62:23

137:7

express 72:27 117:24 140:18 155:4,27

extension 27:12 96:8,

10,14

extent 54:11 62:23 79:22 110:12,13 155:26

extra 10:12 12:28 14:9 129:14

extremely 156:16

F

F-I-R-O-U-Z-E-H 84:20

face 121:18

facilitated 66:24

facilities 66:6,13,21 70:17,21,22,27

facility 20:8 65:19 66:16 69:28 70:6 71:5 125:7,14,17

126:11,12,20,28

fact 22:26 94:16,21 111:21 134:17 147:25 152:19

156:13 157:19

facts 9:26 71:24 78:16,18 80:7 113:11 132:21,28 133:4 143:28

failed 63:7 95:4 123:15

failure 63:15

fair 91:7 94:27 114:12 116:14 133:15 147:13 152:14 160:16

fairly 104:11

familiar 74:16 79:22 110:10,12,20 111:4 113:3 124:2 145:2 151:23 152:15

far 74:19 116:16 128:4 162:25

Farms 137:12

fashion 55:8 82:11

fast 27:28 81:11 96:28

faster 76:17

fastest 117:17

February 50:13 110:22

federal 22:3 29:5 31:12 37:10,25 44:2, 25 48:6 51:6 63:8,10 92:13 95:21 97:24 100:26 101:10,20

feel 15:18 34:17 58:11 71:3 73:3 79:11 82:9 98:5 122:10 144:13 146:4

124:15 132:7

feeling 52:2

fees 134:1

feet 18:4 32:15 58:5,6 63:14 66:6 69:27 70:5 71:5 106:14 124:21,26 125:3,8 126:20

felt 58:12 69:22 82:7 141:27

fence 28:20 29:4

fences 28:19

field 128:21 131:7

Fifty 79:7

fight 58:13

figured 138:16

file 34:21 88:11 98:8 102:15 122:12

Index: example-file

filed 51:21,27 58:3 142:28 143:5,27 144:12 150:6 152:18

filing 54:7 77:2

final 49:17 58:2 78:24 106:4 128:4 147:20

finalize 14:26 47:23 95:4 166:3

finalized 31:16

finally 47:12 52:10,11

finance 151:12

financed 144:5 150:27

financial 34:21 35:16, 17 98:9 101:28 102:4 112:24 135:7 152:3

financially 89:4,11,20 103:20

financing 151:5

find 21:20 28:10 38:13 42:23 43:21, 22 54:11,22 92:26 94:1 136:4 137:2 141:14 143:11 151:17

finding 55:16

findings 44:2,6,9,11, 14,16 48:6,14 57:13 66:8 125:11

fine 11:11 115:23

Fine-te 100:16

finish 31:25 52:22 53:8,14 56:12 59:8 116:10 140:11 159:10 165:20

firm 31:21 36:24 44:7, 13 45:25 54:8 69:12

71:19 83:11 133:24, 27,28 135:15 141:25

firms 133:23

Firouzeh 8:19 22:2, 12 24:20,25 25:4 27:9,19 30:3 31:8 33:15 34:10,13 35:22,26 37:20 38:2 42:9,14 43:2 83:26 84:13,19,25 92:12 97:23 100:4,15 101:8 103:1 105:22 108:1

Firouzeh's 24:17

first 11:17 19:2 20:23 25:25 27:7,25 33:11 34:8 35:9 50:5 51:20,23 53:7,20,21 59:15 63:27 73:9 76:26,28 84:15,18 86:22,25 87:2,3 90:14 92:23,25 93:16,20 94:1 96:27 98:28 101:4 106:2 116:21,26 122:18 126:5 129:1,23 130:10,13,22,25 133:28 135:4 138:7 154:8 156:24

five 27:22 96:16 118:27

flip 122:16

floor 19:23,25,27 20:10 56:12

flurry 136:3

focus 17:19 24:12 27:7 33:11 80:10 103:5

focused 73:23

focusing 17:20 82:18 90:14

folks 73:15,26 129:20 130:11 146:1 154:21 157:28

follow 84:10 130:18 133:1

follow-on 121:25

follow-up 95:2

followed 39:26 64:16 158:6,10

following 23:21 33:17 41:12 50:8 106:4 146:19

follows 15:25 84:15 130:22

forget 49:25

forgetting 136:24

form 25:20 30:10,11 34:23 35:17 54:8 72:27 88:25,26 89:2, 4,7 98:10 108:27 109:10,21,22 113:2 117:24 155:4 166:4

formal 42:26

formally 31:17

forms 14:27 23:26 62:24 108:6 113:28 114:2 159:14

formulated 120:25

forth 57:22

Forty-five 11:1

forward 24:11,13 27:18 38:2 58:8 102:12 154:24 164:7

forwarded 24:17 34:7 35:26 36:24 62:25 92:2

found 41:16 77:1 118:21 foundation 128:17 149:1 164:18

four 45:20 47:15 74:10 114:8 132:1,4 135:6

frame 77:26

Francisco 132:9 135:10

free 15:18 34:17 73:3 98:5 146:4

Friday 15:1 33:18

friend 51:19

front 18:23 28:20 29:9 37:19 57:23 58:24 74:24 87:16, 18 95:28 100:8

full 16:12,25,27 19:2, 21 20:23 23:18 34:9 40:28 45:18 49:17, 22 50:8 75:19 84:17 99:17 123:10,16 130:24 156:14

fully 154:27 156:15 158:2

further 50:24 56:1 59:17 80:24 83:14 107:21 129:3 152:27 154:10 158:18

furthermore 22:20 56:12

future 62:22 121:22

FW 22:3

Fwd 31:3 37:10

G

gears 77:17

general 17:26 19:7 34:18 88:8,10 98:6

Index: filed-general

102:13 103:19 108:17

generally 18:26,27 19:5 32:13 54:21 86:17 120:13 137:26

gentlemen 146:9

geology 39:26 48:18, 22 105:1 128:12

Geology's 128:22

geotechnical 36:16, 25,27 39:3,10,13,27 41:3,19,24,28 42:17 46:8,15 63:25,28 64:12 65:9,11,21 78:8 81:3,14 104:19 105:9 128:5

Geraci 17:12,17
25:20,24 31:2 33:8
37:9 44:23 45:5
46:22,28 47:6 59:4
60:11 62:12,15,21,
27 63:1,2 65:8,13
79:26 80:5,21 95:3
98:23 111:20 112:7,
10,13 121:19,22
127:6 139:3,26
141:18 144:25
146:23 147:7,20,22,
28 152:16,20 156:2,
12,17,27 157:20

Geraci's 20:26 51:3 52:21,26 57:28 59:2, 3,7 60:14,23 96:11 100:25 102:5 129:25 146:22 156:3

GERL 161:6

getting 8:1 39:9,12 104:19 135:21,26

Gina 24:7 27:16 37:9 44:23 45:5 63:6 77:7,10,13 145:2,17

146:21 147:26 149:17 156:10 161:20

give 15:3 17:26 24:18 42:25 49:20 54:26 57:5 65:25 72:21 74:23 86:17 99:14 117:18 153:16 159:21 161:1

given 116:25 120:10 123:4 155:11 158:26 159:4.7

gives 137:13

Glavinic 105:22

go 7:24 8:12 10:21 11:10,23 12:14,19 13:6 21:19 25:6 28:24 35:10 41:7 42:26 48:24,26 49:27 54:28 55:23 56:2,6,17 58:26 59:24 60:7 68:10,13 78:2 81:24 97:16 105:6 113:24 118:3 120:7 121:7 131:20, 26 133:21 135:2,5 149:6 154:22 155:9 159:17 163:3,4 165:21

goes 24:11 79:1 87:9 106:12

going 7:16 8:4,5,7
9:16,18,26 11:12,13
14:22,28 16:12 18:1,
8 20:16 31:10 48:15
49:1,2 50:27 59:11
64:4 69:13 72:24,25
73:8 81:14 82:9
103:5 107:26 111:8
117:22,23 119:25,28
121:4,12 129:26
130:11,14 138:15

139:4,26 141:24 142:13 145:12 148:2 151:2,27 154:22 155:20 161:14 162:15,23 163:15

Gonzales 71:16,22 74:16,17,22,27 75:7 82:24

good 12:12 13:5,20, 21 14:3,4 16:3,4 18:1,6 25:6 27:9 60:3,4 79:5 84:27,28 105:7 108:3,4 123:7, 28 124:1 130:17 131:3,4 135:23 142:5

gotcha 10:1

gotten 82:16 120:23 154:3

governmental 80:4

grab 12:16 159:24

grade 56:4,10

grades 56:9

grading 68:17,22

graduate 132:4

graduated 131:11

grammatical 159:27

grant 34:19 35:15 61:28 98:7 102:14 103:20 109:12

granted 96:13 114:16 134:27 139:19 144:10 149:28 150:25

graphically 20:5 66:28

great 79:17,18 166:1

Grossly 57:4

ground 165:10

grounds 118:21 142:13

group 52:5 91:26,27 92:1 135:6,7,11 149:5 156:20

Grove 41:14

growing 137:13

guess 109:26 110:4 165:5

guessing 7:26

Н

H-U-R-T-A-D-O 130:26

half 8:24 10:26 51:24 165:27

hall 59:22

hallway 130:3 158:17

hand 156:1

handful 115:17

handle 94:19 111:14 133:9

hands 98:14 103:15, 18

happen 128:13

happened 23:20 40:8 76:15

happening 122:28

happens 28:1 97:1 130:10

hard 58:13 138:16

Harris 23:10

he'll 8:16 129:23

head 31:22 32:13

Index: generally-head

ı

114:17 125:21,23 hire 67:2 126:1,10 headed 118:23 headquarters 135:11 heads-up 155:26 Health 135:5,7,11 hear 11:9 54:15 124:19 125:23,26 130:14 132:24 135:17 147:24 149:13 158:12 heard 80:14 118:20 hearing 11:6 13:17 53:21 67:14,17 68:7 69:10,13,22 70:20 71:11 79:2 82:11,14 106:3,4 120:11 155:20 159:3 160:9 hearsay 139:16,27 140:8,24 143:20,25 145:12,20,28 146:10 155:17 157:6 **heart** 119:10 height 56:5 held 86:10 146:7 Hello 100:15 help 132:17 138:19 141:14 151:17 helped 141:15,16 **helpful** 151:18 hey 154:1 Hi 31:11 high 53:11 highest 86:3 highlight 54:23,25 55:1.24

hired 38:16,18 67:8 150:19 **history** 52:1 86:6 hit 55:21 **hmm** 134:9 holder 89:22 **Holly** 105:22 home 135:21 Hon 7:2 honest 58:12 honestly 163:11 **Honor** 8:20 9:7,23 10:19 11:25,28 12:9, 26 13:2,20,21,26 15:21 16:6 17:4,7,10 18:13 20:28 21:3,5, 22,25 23:4 24:2,4 25:14,17 26:16,18 28:26 29:1,22,24 30:21,24 33:3,5 34:25,27 36:10,12 37:4,6 38:21,24 40:12,13,18 42:4 43:5,6,8,25,27 44:18,20 46:4,6 47:28 48:3 49:2,6,9 53:24,27 58:16 59:17,19,20 68:26 71:24 73:14,25 77:24 78:15,16 80:27 83:14,16,19 84:6,24 87:17 89:25 91:9 92:8 95:27 97:8.17 98:27 99:26 100:1 102:21 104:8 105:17,19 107:22,24 108:10 109:3 111:24 112:20 113:11 118:27 119:2 120:17,19 122:14

126:6,23 127:24 128:17 129:5,8,10, 18 130:7 133:12 134:6,14,16,24 idea 122:23 136:9 137:3,18 138:2,24 139:16,27 140:8,13,25 141:1, 10,21 142:14 143:4, 21 144:7,15,20,26 145:13,21,28 146:28 148:11,19,26 149:22 150:10,22 151:7,13, 19,26 152:5,11,22, 28 153:3,14 154:10, 12,16 158:15 160:18 161:3 163:27 164:14,20 166:6,9, 17 hope 163:3 hopefully 159:12 horrible 58:12 host 55:15 66:11 hour 7:26,27 8:10,24 9:16,17 11:1 165:3 hours 9:20,21 45:27 119:24 **housing** 106:28 huge 136:3 146:26 huh 60:8 **hurdle** 117:6 **Hurtado** 9:10,19,24 119:3,5,28 120:7 130:1,6,20,26 131:1, 3,5 133:7 148:8 153:5.7.20 154:13. 17,26 155:10,20,22, 26 157:7,14 158:4 hydroponics 137:17 hypothetical 68:25 8:08

ideas 135:20 identified 48:19 54:12,18 55:3 69:3 70:11 112:28 identify 72:18 109:11 **II** 85:11,25 86:12 107:9 **III** 86:2,13 107:7 illegal 79:27 80:4,20 113:7,19 157:23 imagine 53:1 72:13 imagining 159:8 immediately 126:21 implication 26:13 implied 121:2 important 55:16 71:3 75:18 165:25 impression 98:13 impressions 130:15 improper 120:25 in-depth 80:13 **in-house** 135:5 inadequate 64:16 68:15 inclined 156:4 include 41:2 110:2 148:1 156:21 included 154:8 158:23 includes 109:14 127:26

including 7:19 13:18 28:19 63:1 81:27 120:13 127:2 156:3 159:6

incomplete 68:25 77:21 80:8 158:28 159:7

inconsistency 25:8 56:27

incorrect 72:21 122:6

independent 70:26 95:12

index 161:23,24

indicate 16:24 17:28 25:27 27:9 32:6,17, 22 37:24 45:10 91:22 92:26 93:17 94:4,7 97:4 98:3 99:11 101:18 102:7, 11 105:28 148:24 155:21 156:1

indicated 16:19 38:6 73:7 93:11 130:8 154:21

indicates 23:25 25:3 28:3,5 92:3 96:28 103:14,17 105:2

indicating 45:4 75:4 95:19 96:3

indirect 95:6

individual 23:22 89:8 109:12 149:20

industry 51:19 60:25 135:28 137:1 157:16

inform 138:28

information 16:16,21 18:11 34:20 54:10, 14 55:6,11,12 56:7, 15 57:5 67:1 68:10 72:1,5,7,9,11,16 90:15 98:8,18 102:15 103:19 110:5 116:14,22 117:2,19 119:11,16 155:15

informative 137:16

informed 27:15 35:13,20 39:14 40:8 47:9 51:10 62:8,10 78:11 81:18 98:16 99:18,20 139:6

initial 38:12 52:2 68:2 93:24 99:13

initially 51:28 138:5, 12

initiation 128:26

inquired 72:20

inquiries 38:7

inquiring 115:26

instance 58:2 123:1

instruct 11:18 15:3

instruction 7:15 13:13

instructions 7:6,7,20 12:4 13:7 14:26 63:3,4 120:12 158:21 159:11 165:13 166:3

insurance 135:21

integrated 156:15

intelligent 152:10

intend 103:24

intended 156:25

intent 163:2

intention 10:5,9

interacted 138:7

interacting 62:26

interest 108:8,27 109:16,23 112:24 136:21 140:18

interesting 7:7

interests 95:6

interfere 53:17

interfered 29:18

interference 53:12 59:8

interim 51:17

interposed 158:2

interpretation 70:12 90:20

interrupt 118:2 129:26

interrupted 40:1

intimately 152:14

introduce 24:1

introduced 51:18

introduces 22:15

introductory 113:1

investigation 36:16, 25 39:3 46:9 63:25, 28 65:11 78:8

investigations 64:13

investment 131:10 135:5,6,20

investor 144:4 150:14

inviting 73:17

invoice 88:6

invoices 58:28 72:15

involved 61:11 86:23 87:10 98:24 109:19, 28 135:26 136:28 involving 7:8 121:22

issue 16:24 21:13 28:11 40:26 41:21 43:15 48:25 70:4 75:11 104:3 118:13 120:20,23 133:3 138:14,17 158:14 161:9 163:7

issued 31:18 58:28 93:26

issues 7:7 21:6 23:20 31:3,5,12,13,14,20, 28 32:8,23,24,28 37:27 40:22 43:11, 23 48:18 54:11,21 55:2 57:14,16 61:15, 19 67:20 68:17,18, 22 69:9,14,17 75:13 77:19,21 78:23,27 79:3 80:13 82:1 94:1 100:18

Item 50:2

items 55:9,15,18

iteration 48:13,21

iterations 48:25

J

J-O-E 130:26

January/march 78:5

Jeff 25:5

Jim 17:18 24:6,17,23 25:3 31:2 37:9 39:1, 7,16,17,20 40:2 44:24 45:5 129:22

jobs 124:8

Joe 130:1,26 153:20

Joel 7:2

joint 17:11 18:19 21:6

Index: including-joint

22:1 23:9 24:6 25:19 26:20 29:3 30:1 31:1 33:7 35:1 36:14 37:8 39:1 40:21 42:8 43:10 44:1,22 46:8 48:5,17 49:12 54:1 58:20 92:11 97:23 100:3 103:1 105:21 148:25 161:5 163:22 Joseph 130:20 131:1

Joseph 130:20 131:1 153:5

judge 132:17,26 133:3

judges 123:10,16

judgment 160:4

judgments 121:15,18

July 7:1 39:8 47:18 62:11

jumps 117:5

June 47:11 50:20

jurisdiction 79:24

jurisdictions 79:19

iurors 12:8 123:18

jury 7:5,6 11:12 12:15,20 13:4,12 14:1,26 28:15 54:24 73:2,10,21,24 86:17 118:1 120:7,18 122:23 123:8 132:15 134:2,13 137:26 148:8 151:10 155:7 158:21 159:11 160:22 163:7 164:10 165:13,17,21,27

Κ

K-R-E-G 104:25

keep 63:3 130:12

keeping 63:1

Ken 91:22,24 99:8

kept 14:14 123:11

key 87:14

kidding 8:8

kind 131:11 165:11

knew 59:11 64:8 65:14 69:26

know 7:12 12:24 14:7 25:6 26:12 35:24 37:22,23 38:16 39:23 45:24 47:12 51:8,15,16,17 52:1 54:24 61:25 63:27 68:13 69:16,20 71:12 74:19 78:22 80:15,16 81:17 86:24 87:21,24 90:23 91:19 94:23 98:15 100:19 104:25 105:13 108:11 109:18 110:7 112:26 113:6,26 114:15 115:5 119:26,27 121:3,4 124:14 125:16,20 128:14, 15,20 130:8,14 137:11,24,27 138:5 139:7 154:4 156:17 159:1,28 160:5,18,

knowledge 9:26 62:28 80:13 124:11 128:26 158:5

21 161:13 162:25

knowledgeable

79:12,15 157:15

known 147:6

Kreg 39:21,22,23 42:28 64:17 104:25, 26,28

L

lack 163:15

ladies 146:9

laid 11:21

land 56:10 127:10

landscape 20:21,22

language 108:7 109:2

large 82:2 133:24

larger 32:16

largest 133:27

Larry 17:11,17 24:23 25:19 31:1 33:7 34:7,8 37:8 44:22 95:3

Larry's 24:24

late 73:10,20

Latham 133:21 134:3, 10 135:2,4

latitude 166:11

law 15:3 79:12,15 110:14 112:19 120:26 121:9 122:6 131:12,13,14 132:2, 5,16 133:4,10,15,17, 22,24,27,28 141:25 149:5 151:22 157:15

laws 136:20

lawsuit 142:28 143:6, 27 144:3,12,13,19

lawsuits 79:25

lawyers 12:12 14:26 15:4,9 146:2

lay 7:15

LDR 48:18 128:22

lead 75:11,13 100:15

leading 142:14 145:20

leaning 15:17

learn 35:19 51:20

leave 85:18 129:14, 15 135:8 166:12

leaving 135:2

ledger 49:25

left 73:2 74:22,25 85:23 118:1,24,25 123:16 131:9 135:4 155:7 162:12

legal 26:13 78:12 80:13 95:8 118:19 131:11 134:1 136:25 155:27 156:19 157:8 158:7

legalized 136:2

legally 26:7 121:19

legitimately 137:15

legs 146:4

Lemon 41:14

lengthy 8:26 54:19 104:11 110:18

lesser 119:1

let's 7:4 13:17 78:2 97:16 107:26 118:6, 15 126:4 134:18 156:6 160:5

letter 21:14 23:9,19, 23 31:26,27 35:1 36:1 91:16,25 93:26 98:28 99:3 100:27 101:1 105:8

letters 43:17

letting 91:19 105:12

Index: Joseph-letting

110:7 level 57:1 76:18 86:25 leveled 79:26 library 124:27 license 122:2 licensed 125:7,13 126:11 licensing 111:7,13,14 lieu 163:1 **lighting** 20:11 137:17 limine 119:5,19 limit 114:14 limited 156:4 line 20:19 27:4 28:21, 24 52:22 53:8,14 59:9 128:4 153:21 lined 8:17 146:26 149:9,15 150:2 154:19 lines 74:26 108:26 148:25 list 68:23 109:28 **listed** 60:21 71:22 108:8,9,27 110:1 161:26 listen 130:12 literal 109:27 literally 108:28 litigation 144:4 150:14 151:2,5,12 little 7:16 9:25 10:14

Livescan 113:28

76:17 77:15.17 118:7 119:22 132:25 151:3 154:22 158:20

living 131:5 **LLC** 109:13,15 110:2 **locate** 32:14 located 22:17 25:10 51:5 58:4 101:20 location 28:22 29:16 90:25 lodge 12:27 lodgement 120:24 long 52:1 67:23 68:13 76:25 95:22 117:11. 15 131:7 133:7 153:7 164:13,14 165:8 longer 7:25 124:5 158:20 look 12:4 32:5,10 48:16 49:25 56:11 87:15,25 108:25 117:19 123:4 126:14,15 132:18,19 159:9,18 160:11,12 161:23 looked 19:16 24:16 47:18 71:7 158:22 looking 38:14 78:26 79:3 92:23 107:24 112:13,26 158:24 looks 95:11.14 lose 14:6 58:13 lot 8:5 55:5 57:22 75:9 115:13 116:14 120:11 136:6,26,27 137:15,17 150:6 158:5 lots 166:11 lunch 9:17 118:5

lying 68:8

ma'am 84:10 109:5 117:27 123:21 Madam 12:7,21 13:22 14:1 73:24 84:4 123:5,8 130:5 146:18 162:6 Magagna 51:11,12, 15,21 52:15,18 Magagna's 77:2 main 63:23 75:16,22 major 23:20 37:26 56:16 majority 156:21 157:1 maker 92:2 making 7:5 54:16 57:13,22 122:4 138:19 man 71:15 112:14 manage 72:3 management 131:25 manager 22:13,16 23:19 31:17 35:12 43:2 74:19,22,26 75:25 76:3,6,16 85:11,25 86:2,12,13 106:20,22,26 107:7, 9 128:28 managers 74:4,6 75:16,22 76:20,22 86:4 106:16,17 107:12,15,18

М

Geraci vs. Cotton, et al. March 25:12,24,28 26:4 62:11,18 78:22 90:20 94:11 95:1 142:12,23,25 143:3, 17 145:6 146:11 149:4,8,9,15,17,18, 19 150:2 marijuana 60:25 65:3,19,20 79:11 86:14,19 90:17,25 106:10.11 110:14.26 111:2,3 112:24 113:9 114:7,16,26 115:20 136:2,5 138:8 157:4,16,21 marijuana-related 56:25 65:4 marked 12:25 159:23 160:20,23 market 135:24 136:22,23,26 Marlbrough 91:23,24 99:8 **Martin** 33:20 master's 135:12.21 materials 120:26 166:12

matter 82:11 128:11 matters 94:19 148:9 mean 10:7 18:26 57:28 58:7 59:10,12 61:18 65:16 69:16 71:12 93:21 94:22 110:4,18 115:25 116:11 118:2 121:1. 2 142:9 150:16 157:25 159:26,27 161:21 162:19 meaning 14:19 15:3 26:10 33:22,24

Index: level-meaning

manner 82:23

manual 55:4,25

maps 66:28

means 26:7 73:11 113:14 148:17 150:17,19 158:10,24 meant 16:13,14

meant 16:13,14 147:10

measures 19:19

medical 86:19 90:17, 24 106:10 137:14

meet 19:10 20:7 40:3 41:25 44:15 58:10 70:11,24 137:22

meeting 19:28 20:6 39:20 40:7,8 41:13, 17 42:17 64:17 100:17,21,22 156:26

meetings 112:10,12

meets 56:11 66:16

member 63:6

members 156:3

memo 132:19,27 133:2

Memorandum 161:5

memory 64:4 79:5

mentioned 79:19 118:8

mentions 148:23

merely 162:27

mergers 131:9 134:12

merits 16:19

messages 62:15

met 30:16 51:12 61:22,23 71:8 101:16 112:14 115:19 136:8 137:14 138:1 145:5

metadata 29:16

Michael 44:23

microphone 15:17

mid 11:19 137:23

middle 34:14 42:20 77:8 137:23

milestone 26:11

Mills 39:21,22,23 42:23 64:17,19,25, 28 104:26,28

mind 7:23 10:14 119:6,20 130:12 139:12

mindful 119:18

minds 156:26

minimum 66:17 70:22 117:20 158:28

Minneapolis 135:10

minor 56:27 160:4

minute 153:9,13

minutes 8:14 9:1 10:23 11:1 14:8 67:25 68:2,8,11,14 72:26 73:1,9,11,12 118:27 155:9 164:15

misrepresent 69:1

Misrepresented 57:4

missing 56:8,9,15 57:6 68:16,18

Misstates 127:23

mistakes 56:28 160:10

misunderstood

34:16 98:4

Mm-hmm 96:20 101:7

MMCC 22:3 58:4 65:18 92:13 101:20

MMCCS 22:18 58:4 65:21

modification 160:9

modifications 160:13

moment 24:18 49:21 54:26 74:23 78:17 117:27 119:2 136:11 140:9 146:2 149:25 153:17 161:1

moments 7:4 14:9 122:22

Monday 11:17,19 15:2,6 101:5 164:10 165:15

money 138:15

month 139:5

months 47:21,24 60:6 64:13 76:26 77:1 117:21 129:1

morning 8:2,16 9:6 11:18 13:6 14:3 16:3,4 27:9 60:3,4 72:25 84:27,28 118:9 120:3,15 129:22,23 154:25 155:3,6 159:13 164:13,24 166:16

motion 134:26,27 139:19 141:12 144:10 149:27 150:24 152:26

motions 159:15

move 17:4 20:28 21:22 23:4 25:15 26:15 28:26 30:21 31:26 33:3 34:24 36:9 37:3 38:22 40:13 42:3,27 43:5, 24 44:17 46:4 47:28 49:3 53:25 58:15 61:21 134:18 139:18 144:8 145:21 149:24 150:23

moving 102:11

multi-million-dollar 157:2

multiple 52:9 93:23 138:10,18 158:25

multitude 133:16

municipal 16:17,21 17:21 22:18 25:8 27:21 28:8 55:10,25, 26 58:9 79:16,23 80:10 89:17,23 90:24 96:16,17 106:11 110:11,16 113:22 122:9 124:25 126:15,16 127:2

Murphy 25:5

Ν

name 60:14,15 74:15 78:14 83:5 84:17,18, 19,20 100:15 112:2, 18 115:26 116:22 127:5,7 129:22,28 130:24.25

named 71:15 75:26 100:14 145:2

names 83:8 109:15 110:3

narrow 77:25

nature 62:5 72:10 118:12 142:10 145:27 146:20 159:28

near 41:15 118:14

nearby 40:9 41:20,25 42:17 66:27 104:20

Index: means-nearby

nearly 47:21

necessarily 38:1

necessary 13:18 63:28 120:15 122:20 161:14 165:14

neck 15:16

need 7:27 12:16,26 13:8,23 15:10,17 35:15 66:8 93:27 102:12 106:7 114:1, 2 116:15 121:10 126:2 146:1 159:25 161:11 164:25 166:2

needed 25:20 78:23 103:22 137:15

needs 59:23 96:16 105:13 109:11 110:1 140:11

negotiations 139:8 140:19

neighborhoods 91:26

neighboring 128:8,9

Neither 63:3

never 62:11 68:19,21 120:23 122:18 130:14 156:13 160:21

new 34:19,21 35:15 55:27 98:7,9 101:25 102:13,14 131:14 132:5 133:22 135:9 136:22,28 165:9

news 12:12 18:1,7 147:6

nine 47:21 114:10

Ninety-six 97:20

nonrefundable 138:15

nonresponsive

137:3,18 138:24 141:21 143:4,8 144:7 146:28 148:20 149:22 150:21

noon 117:23 165:21

normally 7:13

Northern 132:8,14

note 7:23

notes 19:8

notice 23:25,26 26:25 27:3,11,21 29:4 30:2,12 81:16 91:19 92:4,5 95:20 96:5 97:12,13

noticed 28:20

notices 30:18

noticing 91:18

notification 30:15

notified 27:1,3

notify 94:5

November 139:2 140:5 156:13,27 164:19

number 49:5 57:10 65:4,6 82:1,2,8 97:19 100:28 118:8

numbers 41:9 161:25 162:24 163:16

numerous 56:23

NYU 132:6

0

o'clock 9:13,14 12:11 129:24 155:3,6

o0o 7:3

Oakland 79:20 132:10,11

oath 15:14 123:22

object 142:13 155:18

objection 17:6 18:15, 16 21:2,3,24 23:6,7 24:3 25:16 26:17 28:28 29:23 30:23 33:4,5 34:26 36:11 37:5 38:23 40:17 42:5,6 43:7,26 44:19 46:5 48:2 49:8 53:26 58:17.18 78:15.19 80:23 97:18,21 99:28 102:24,25 105:18 109:8 118:20 134:14,24 136:12,15 137:4 139:28 140:26 141:1 142:15,17 143:7,20,24 144:9, 26 146:10 148:11, 13,19,26 149:25 150:21 152:21,23 153:24,25 155:22,28 157:6 158:28 161:2

objectionable 118:22 158:26

163:20,23

objections 80:22 118:12 119:20 136:16 145:22 156:5 157:26 158:1,3,4,10 162:17 163:10

obsolete 106:7

obstructions 120:10

obtain 46:23 52:5,10 72:5 121:24

obvious 53:15

obviously 9:24 56:4 71:7 151:5 165:8,24

occur 164:5

October 26:3 50:11 62:18 64:8 65:24 67:14 81:9 93:1

odd 38:13

offer 18:13 48:15 92:8 97:17 99:27 102:23 105:17 138:15,16 155:14

offered 160:21

offering 160:24

offers 138:10,19,20, 21

offhand 45:15 77:4

office 94:13,15

officer 53:21 79:2 106:3

officers 109:15

official 16:17

oftentimes 56:28 72:17

Oh 10:7 13:11 87:21 88:8 117:14 159:26

Okay 7:18 8:10 9:8,21 11:27 12:27 16:11 17:2,28 18:13 20:23 21:22 22:7 23:3,24 24:1 25:3,12 26:15 27:5 28:10,26 29:18, 21 30:15 31:19 32:5, 17,22 33:2 34:4,13 35:24,28 38:5,9,13, 21 39:16,28 40:6 43:4,24 44:17 45:10, 28 46:18 47:5 48:15 49:24 50:4,10,27 51:8,12,26 52:12,14, 24 53:24 54:21 57:14,18,27 58:11, 15 60:20 64:5 68:16

Index: nearly-Okay

69:26 71:2,10,15 75:1,26 77:17,27 78:13 79:9 81:13 82:1,9 83:9 85:12, 15,18,23,26 86:3,6, 14,17,25 87:2,6,15, 27 88:4,9,13,16 89:2,11,19,24 90:12, 23 91:3 92:7,20,25 93:7,14 94:10,16 95:16,24 97:16 98:18,26 99:25 100:10 101:15,17,25 102:11,21 104:15, 23,28 105:2 106:16 107:5,11,27 109:18, 26 110:28 113:6,26 116:19,28 120:5 124:7,11 125:2,7,20 127:3,10,17,20 128:3,13,26 129:3 132:2 133:15 134:9, 19 135:26 137:24 139:10 141:7,24 145:24 147:11 149:8 151:2 152:25 153:14,27 158:19 160:6 161:4 163:5 166:5 old 165:10

omissions 54:18

once 11:21 23:17 43:1 58:1 59:11 75:19 87:4 106:12 113:23 116:6

ones 43:18 55:22 66:11 87:14

open 78:27 87:20 130:12

operating 121:19

opinion 7:13,15 63:26 72:27 117:24

120:23 155:4,17

opinions 118:11,18, 19 155:28 158:8

opportunity 142:3,6

oppose 83:2

opposing 12:28 82:15 144:13 163:13

oral 55:12 139:3 141:18

orally 57:23 67:23

order 19:10 35:14,23 44:11 46:23,27 54:11,16 77:18 78:7, 8,24 81:26 117:14 133:3 134:9

orders 78:4,9

ordinance 110:21,25 111:1,6,18 160:20

ordinances 110:11 111:11

ordinarily 117:11

original 24:26 60:10

originally 15:7 82:26 103:19 131:8

outlet 65:19 110:26 112:24 113:9 138:8 157:4,21

outlets 106:10 111:3 114:7,16,26 115:20 136:2

outside 66:24 84:2 101:9 122:23 128:21 160:22

outstanding 77:19,21 78:23 82:1

overall 109:22

overcome 115:4

117:7

overhead 160:8

overlap 124:20 149:12

overrule 155:22 156:5

overruled 68:27 80:9 108:13 109:8 111:25 113:12 127:25 136:15 141:11 142:15 145:22 146:12 147:1 152:23 157:6

overview 57:5

owner 27:10,16 34:2 37:25 38:17 39:15 60:21 61:25 62:7 72:9,19 92:28 94:4 96:4 98:17,19 101:28 102:4 103:24 109:12 110:8 112:27 127:10,13,20,27

ownership 33:19 34:17,18 35:15 92:27 93:3,8,12 94:21,25 98:5,6 102:8,13 103:17,23 108:22 112:23,26

owning 157:20

Ρ

p.m. 29:6 118:5 166:18

package 54:14 88:18

page 19:6,8,11,13,15, 18,20,23,25,27 20:3, 9,10,13,15,20 24:12, 22 27:7,19,20,25 31:9,10 37:18,19 41:4 42:20,21 54:28 55:23 56:17,19,21 77:8,14 88:17 89:2 92:3,23,25 93:16 94:10,28 96:2,18,24, 27 98:28 99:6 100:13 101:4 104:15,16,23 153:21,22

pages 88:16 162:20

paid 59:4 161:26

paragraph 16:12,23 17:19,28 23:24 25:25 27:8 35:10 90:14 91:21 92:3,25 93:16 94:4 99:17 105:27

Pardon 105:11

paren 92:28 93:1

park 124:26

parking 57:8,10 68:23

parks 66:5

part 19:1 26:28 34:5 55:25 61:9 62:2 63:23 77:10 82:21 83:1 88:18 122:21, 22 130:16

participate 78:12

particular 16:23 19:9, 26 20:4,11 21:17 22:14 24:10 27:14 28:7 30:12,17,19 36:25 41:1 42:13 44:10 47:11 53:13 57:3 65:7,13,16 66:14,22 70:23 72:23 74:14 76:13, 16 83:6 88:9 90:27 106:17 107:19 121:28

Index: old-particular

Transcript of Froceed
parties 12:13 15:9 95:5 127:18 156:14
party 34:22,23 35:17 89:4,12,20 94:18 98:9,10 102:1,4 103:21 117:3 130:9
pass 26:4 52:3
passed 110:22 136:2
patience 146:9
patient 73:27
patients 137:15
pay 150:17
payments 58:28
pejorative 122:17
pending 95:7
people 14:7 67:26 75:9,14 108:7 110:3, 8 115:13 135:27 136:19,27 137:15 138:18 158:5
percent 26:7 50:26 139:4 148:1,5,16,17
percentage 154:9
Perfect 49:27
period 47:16 62:16, 17,19 85:21
permission 46:23
permissive 122:1
permit 25:10 44:10 89:22 113:24 116:18 121:24 127:9
permits 27:1 87:11
permitted 22:18 82:26 90:18 121:19
permittee 110:8
permitting 12:2 47:1

ngs	
person 39:25 68:8 75:13 117:7 119:9 141:16	
person's 68:12	
personal 9:26	
personally 28:23 67:2	
perspective 155:13, 19 158:25	
Peterson 36:15,23	
phase 87:3 137:27	
phone 29:5,15 112:9, 11 123:11,13	
phrased 160:3	
picture 29:3,12,15 30:20 81:21	
pieces 72:9	
piggyback 116:21	
place 72:6	
plaintiff 8:17 10:12, 17 83:24,26 129:25 157:28 164:16	
plaintiff's 7:24 9:2 11:23 12:14 123:3 129:27 154:24 158:4,12 159:17 163:25 166:5	
plaintiff/cross- defendant 15:24 84:14	
plaintiffs 9:9 129:20	
plan 19:13,15,23,25, 28 20:9,10,22 37:26 120:2,3 163:6	
planner 85:10	
planning 32:18 52:4, 5,7 54:14 67:16	

	oradi ve. detteri, et al
87:13 91:26,27 92:1	poor 69:13
163:6 plans 18:9,20 21:19 49:13 52:6,8,10 55:3,7,12	portion 28:20 51:4 72:14 75:4 118:21
	position 69:25 76:1 85:8,23 86:1 122:24
players 136:26	139:5 148:1,23
playground 124:27	positions 85:12
plays 121:23	86:10
pleasant 58:14 please 15:19 34:22	possible 27:12 71:13 76:7 96:8 100:17 137:1 165:20,22
40:12,14 41:7,10 42:2 43:1 46:1 48:16 50:1 53:25 55:1,23 56:6,17,22 69:1 84:11,17 92:26 94:5 96:22 98:9 100:19 105:28 109:7,9 124:20 125:24 129:15 130:18,24	possibly 9:20 74:15 95:22 102:10
	post 27:13,15,17 28:1,2,23 81:16 97:1
	posted 23:25 27:11, 22 29:3,14 30:13 92:4 96:5,16 97:13
132:25 135:18 136:10 140:16 146:18 153:17	posting 23:26 26:25 27:3 28:9,11,15 29:13,17,19 30:2,17,
plenty 8:23	20 81:20 91:18 95:20 97:12
plowing 154:24 164:7 plus 73:9	potential 95:3 141:5 160:2
•	
point 17:22 30:16 38:8 55:18 56:14	power 62:9
57:8 60:28 61:6,7 64:20 72:10,18	practice 31:19 60:24 131:15
77:22 82:6 91:17	practiced 131:14
98:14 124:5 133:19,	practicing 131:13
27 137:19 138:22,25 139:6,11,21 141:11,	pre- 138:13
22 143:22 156:9,11	pre- 2017 122:8
162:19	pre-instructions
pointed 157:17	130:9
160:19	precise 65:26
police 114:1,3	precisely 110:27
Policy 131:25	prefer 10:11

preference 11:7 preferential 116:25 preferred 10:8 preliminarily 149:9 preliminary 94:18 138:13 premarked 17:11 18:19 21:6 22:1 23:9 24:6 25:19 26:20 29:3 30:1 31:1 33:7 35:1 36:14 37:8 39:1 40:21 42:8 43:10 44:1,22 46:8 48:5,17 49:12 54:1 58:20 92:11 97:23 100:3 103:1 105:21 161:5 163:22 Premature 140:14 prepare 12:22 21:18

31:25 44:14 66:27

prepared 20:12,20 30:10 43:18 44:7 46:16 54:13 91:16

prepares 23:19 31:15

presence 122:23 160:22

present 123:18 163:1

presentation 68:13 123:9 155:1

presenting 122:17

president 100:14

pressure 164:27 165:25

prestigious 141:25

pretty 54:18 60:7 79:12 82:12 133:24 155:25 159:24

prevent 157:9

prevention 19:18

previous 19:16 24:15 103:10,11 113:7

previously 8:6 15:25 19:21 150:19,27

primary 73:21

principals 113:8

prior 16:27 22:27 35:22 51:12 92:13 102:11 128:9

private 28:24 135:15

Pro 66:25

probably 7:11 12:3 14:22 59:22 69:28 99:22 158:8

problem 39:12,14 114:24

problematic 67:20

problems 54:22 68:22 104:18

procedurally 33:19

proceed 58:8 59:20 136:9,13

proceeded 64:21

proceedings 78:12, 14 166:18

process 26:10 42:26 51:3 53:9 61:1,10 62:3,6 76:10,14,25 91:20 101:8 106:6 113:25,27 116:5 117:11 122:26 126:21 127:8 146:27

processed 56:24 61:6 72:1 93:6,11 101:22 114:2,26 115:3

processing 35:14

66:19 86:20 89:9 93:9,22 101:19 103:25,28 110:15

procured 64:19

produce 40:10

Professional 151:24

professionally 137:25

Professions 111:9. 13,17 113:4 121:6, 28 122:10 157:19

profit 136:3,5

profitable 115:21

profits 148:5.16 154:9

program 135:13

progress 51:2 101:10

prohibition 121:21

project 16:19 19:7,9 20:26 22:13,14,16, 17 23:18,19 25:9 26:5,25 27:4,6,10 31:17,24 34:20 35:12 38:7,10,14 40:4,28 43:2,21 44:15 45:7,17,22,25 49:23 50:24 51:3 52:9 53:20 54:2,9,20 55:4,11,27 57:3,13, 15,26,28 58:1,5,7,13 59:2,3,5 60:7,21 63:18 66:18 68:19, 22 69:2,12,20 70:13, 19 71:14,22 72:17, 20,22 74:4,6,19,22, 26 75:1,5,16,19,22, 23,25 76:3,5,9,16, 20,22 77:3,20 82:7, 14,15,22 83:2,6,12 85:10,25 86:2,4,12,

13 88:11,27 90:16, 25 91:18 93:17 96:4, 11 98:8,23 99:13,15, 18 100:18,24,25,28 101:2 102:5,15 103:25 106:2,16,17, 19,22,23,25 107:2,7, 9,12,13,15,18,19 108:7 117:9 124:15 125:5 126:18 127:1 128:25,28

project's 69:3

projects 20:4,12 56:23,26 57:2,9 66:26 72:5,15 75:12 76:4,15 78:28 79:11, 23 80:12 82:3 93:22

proof 29:13 155:14

proper 126:12

properties 41:20,25 104:21 105:3 115:8 121:22 136:4

property 20:17,18,19 27:4,10,13,16 28:12, 16,21,22,24,25 29:4 33:21 34:17 35:13, 20,25 36:7,26 37:1, 24 38:17,18 39:15 40:9 41:15,21 46:19, 25 47:2,13,23 53:6 61:26 62:7,22 64:16, 23 67:20 69:26 70:2. 4,28 72:19 78:7 81:16,19,24 82:26 92:28 93:6,10 94:4 95:4,6,7,9,21 96:4 98:4,13,17,19,22 99:23 101:11,22,28 102:4,8 103:14,18 104:5,20 105:9 108:8 109:11,13,16, 23 110:8 112:4,27 115:27 116:1,2,13,

Index: preference-property

20 117:1,8 125:8 127:6,11,13,20,22, 27 128:8,10 137:2, 13 138:8,9,12,23 140:28 141:5,16 142:9,21,22,24,25, 26 143:2,12,17 146:27 149:16,21 150:3 157:3

property's 128:9

proposal 36:16,24 47:17,22

propose 18:9 57:12

proposed 18:3 19:15, 25 20:9,10,13 27:6 32:16 55:27 56:9 120:9 158:21,22

proposition 136:1 151:6

provide 14:13 34:18, 22 40:5 63:4 67:25 98:5,10 116:24 141:18

provided 7:14 23:21 55:11 56:3,4,13 68:11 110:5 148:5

provides 27:23 28:7 91:17

proximity 63:16 115:7 128:10

proxy 112:17

prudent 155:19

PTC 100:4 103:2

PTS 22:3 92:12

public 23:26 27:1,2 30:2,18 67:13 72:12 97:12 106:4 124:26 137:13

publish 72:3

pull 58:26 74:13 purchase 95:4

142:24,26 143:13,16 149:16 150:3

purchased 143:2

purchaser 150:9

purpose 17:24,26 19:28 30:11 43:19, 20 44:9 88:24,26 89:6 109:21 162:26

purposes 128:24

pursuant 111:8

pursuing 65:8,14 80:21

put 12:5 14:9 60:15 158:13 159:26 160:8 161:13 165:25

putting 164:27

Q

qualifications 131:17

qualified 136:4

qualify 25:10 125:17 126:13

quality 69:13,17

question 13:3,5 69:8
72:2 75:20,21 76:12
77:9 78:20 86:8
92:20 96:21 99:15
109:4 111:27 113:16
117:4 124:20 125:27
126:3,5,6,8,16
128:12 136:10,13,17
137:20 138:26
140:1,12,16 143:9
145:25 146:14,17
147:3,14,18 164:2

questioned 120:24

questioning 128:4

questions 68:3 80:24 92:4 120:11,28 121:3 125:5 129:3 131:16 132:27

quickly 31:26 42:27 54:23 80:27 146:3

quite 55:8,14 69:23 72:13 81:21

R

race 116:9 135:9

radius 63:20 70:16,27 115:6 126:20,27

raise 119:3,20 120:20

raised 157:27

ranged 133:22

rat 135:8

Re-ask 126:6

reach 26:11

reached 139:3 141:19

reaching 123:13

read 103:26 144:18, 21,24 146:16,19 153:15

reading 144:12

reads 37:18 95:27 100:8

ready 16:25 22:10 84:23 106:2 123:25 130:28

real 18:27 135:13,16, 18,20,22,23,27 136:6,20,27 151:22 160:14

really 76:16 117:18

156:28 162:19

rear 24:11

reason 21:18 31:21 63:17 82:15 83:4,7 115:2 116:3

reasonable 10:1 65:6

reasons 63:23 118:8 158:26

Rebecca 17:12 44:23 61:1,5 88:13,21 92:28 127:7

recall 23:1,27 32:15
41:16 45:13,15,16
50:23 52:12,13 55:4
60:19 62:10,19,25
68:4 69:11 70:20,23
71:1 75:7 77:4 78:26
79:2 91:1 94:9,14,15
95:18,19,22 96:10,
13 98:24 100:22
101:12,14,15 102:9,
10 104:3,6 106:16,
18,19 112:8,9,11
125:6 129:2 161:9

receipt 27:23 46:27 156:28

receive 31:20 45:10 87:4 88:12 95:10 98:18 102:3,18

received 16:28 21:16 22:27 24:22 35:22 45:13 59:1 61:28 64:1 81:7,27 82:8 101:12 149:4 154:7

receiving 23:27 36:1 53:16 95:13,16

recess 72:25,28 73:5, 6,8 117:23,26 118:5 155:2 166:15

recognize 21:10

42:13 44:28 46:12 54:4 89:19 90:2 91:12 92:16 99:1 100:9,11 103:7 105:25

recollection 32:14 51:21 64:6,21 66:15 69:24 95:12 99:19 102:19 104:17

recommend 79:1 91:22

recommendation 92:1 132:22

recommended 24:28

recommending 22:19 90:19

record 14:2 35:5 67:11 78:27 84:18 121:7 130:25 133:28 155:11 156:7 157:11 158:14

records 41:14 82:24

rectify 18:9

redesign 32:18

redirect 10:28 80:25 81:1 119:1 129:4 154:11

refer 34:5 38:21 42:2, 20 45:28 49:1 65:1, 25 89:24 91:8 92:7 95:24 97:7 98:26 99:25 101:1,3 102:22 104:7 105:16 110:16 111:12,16 113:22 125:19

reference 41:2,5

referenced 41:18 99:13

referred 51:1 82:2 121:7 referring 67:16 82:4 108:11,19

reflect 19:8 61:28 149:18

reflected 122:19 163:16

reflecting 33:20

reflects 66:1

refresh 99:19 104:17

refusal 42:16

refused 46:28 47:4

regard 54:22 81:3 82:19 86:14,18 87:3 94:3 98:22 100:25

regarding 13:3 25:6,7 34:11 62:22 67:14 145:11

Regardless 130:9

regards 100:18 115:6

regulations 126:13 151:23

rehash 165:1

reinitiate 139:22

reinitiating 140:19

rejected 64:20

relate 20:7 30:17 110:14

related 61:15 94:17 145:16

relates 80:11 120:22

relation 79:26

relationship 137:24, 27 150:13 152:8,16 155:27

relatively 137:27

relevance 126:23

133:12 134:6 138:2 141:10 142:14,17 144:8,15,20 148:12, 27 150:10 151:7,13, 19,26 152:5,11,21

relevancy 157:8

relevant 57:13 155:16

relieve 13:15

remain 157:9

remember 47:14 51:24 74:13 75:26 81:17 91:6 100:28 145:7,9 154:2

removed 127:7,12

renegotiate 139:15,

renegotiated 140:22

repeat 68:20 113:15

rephrase 128:7

replied 36:4 68:3

reply 32:6 34:13,15 36:5,6 61:13 101:18

replying 104:24

report 39:27 40:5,9 41:3,12,28 46:15 47:11,23,25 64:15, 18,22 65:21,28 81:4, 5 105:9 128:14,16, 28

reported 13:4 46:9 146:7

reporter 13:2,6,8,18, 22 96:21,22 124:19, 22 125:22,26 126:2 129:11 132:24 135:17 146:15,18,19 147:24 149:12,13

reporting 13:12

reports 41:16,19,24 42:17,23 47:15 64:19 104:20 128:5, 9,20

representation 163:19

representing 35:24 44:13

represents 24:14 50:4

reputable 147:6

request 31:22,28 41:12,27 52:4,7,8 60:14 82:21 101:27 102:3 109:14,27 127:16 129:11

requested 92:26 93:15 98:19

requesting 93:3 115:28

require 81:14

required 36:28 44:16 55:28 56:1,2,13 65:10,12,15,22 93:7 105:13

requirement 20:11 26:24,27,28 37:11 47:25 64:14 71:9 116:4 125:11

requirements 20:1,5 30:15 58:10 86:20, 21 89:1 91:18 92:5 106:9 111:7,10,15 115:16,18 116:17,24 127:2

requires 44:10 55:15 86:19 108:7

requiring 42:18 81:5

reread 146:18

research 66:26 122:20 researched 41:13 82:23,24,27 reserved 161:12 residential 18:4 resolve 138:17 resolved 78:23 94:2 resort 46:22 47:6 respect 159:5 respond 22:23 27:19, 24 76:17 responded 38:3 121:3 responding 34:11 41:11 103:9 response 24:23,24 27:27,28 32:2 34:4 35:28 38:5.6 40:6 42:22 43:17 77:13 96:28 105:7 154:4 responses 21:7,14 40:22,27 41:6 43:11, 15,19 48:18,21,25 responsibilities 132:16 134:3 responsibility 89:4 128:22 responsible 30:19 34:22,23 35:17 39:25 89:4,9,11,20 98:9,10 102:1,4 103:21 responsive 149:23 rest 10:18 48:27 164:16 **restate** 146:13

restrictions 115:4,11 rests 10:17 resubmit 93:27 116:22 resubmittal 49:22,23 resubmitted 44:25 45:7 result 34:21 54:12 57:21 98:8 resumed 16:1 retail 65:19 111:7 136:3 retake 123:6 retread 165:10 retrieve 130:5 returned 85:22 86:7, 10 review 17:1 19:3 21:7,18 23:18 26:4 37:25,26 39:26 40:28 41:1 50:6,7,11 54:9 64:2,3 67:1 72:23 75:4 81:6,8 86:26 87:7,10 93:20, 24,25,28 94:1 99:13 117:11,14 122:19,27 128:23,25 132:26 reviewed 31:17 32:23 117:10 120:26 121:5 144:1,3,6,18,24 152:17 reviewer 23:22 31:25 41:6 48:23 reviewing 16:18 **reviews** 45:17 69:20 87:10 94:2 revised 43:21 154:7

revision 103:21

Richard 33:20 Richmond 79:20 right 8:4,15 9:2 11:2, 11,22 12:1 13:22 14:3 15:16 33:4 49:27 50:19 56:6 64:7,14 65:7 66:12, 21 72:16 73:2,15,22, 26 77:17 80:25 83:17,24,28 84:2,22 87:18 105:6,15 108:21 109:26 113:1 114:26 117:22 118:1,4,28 120:16 121:25 122:7 123:9, 26 127:11,21 128:13 129:4,6,16 130:2,17, 27 143:22 146:2,8, 10 148:15 150:5 153:1,4,16,24,26 154:13,18 155:7 160:24 162:11,12,17 163:9,18 164:21 165:1,4,7 166:1,10 right-hand 49:25 right-of-way 19:21 risky 151:6 **Rodney** 37:20,22,23 100:3 101:4,15 role 62:6 roof 20:9 room 118:1 123:10, 16 roughly 78:2,5 150:6 routinely 61:7 rules 121:23 158:6 **rulings** 119:5,19 running 154:23

S sabotage 52:26 53:2, 3 **safe** 9:11,12 sailing 14:10 **sale** 157:3 sales 65:19 Sam 20:21 **San** 7:1 22:14,18 23:10 27:21 28:8 30:1 31:4 39:25 45:7 71:20,27 79:20,21 109:18 110:10,21 112:19 113:21 114:1,3,5,16 122:9 124:24 132:1,3,9 135:10,12,13,23 sanctioned 80:3 113:19 sanctions 79:25 113:7 Santa 79:20 sat 62:11 **satisfy** 47:25 104:21 save 160:15 saw 30:20 34:10 94:24 115:24 123:12 142:2,5 saying 18:5 26:7 35:12 94:22,23 **says** 16:17 27:20 31:11 33:17 38:17 74:22,26 77:15 87:19 94:12,16 95:2 100:15 101:8 108:26 109:28 111:18

Index: research-says

scenario 53:1

schedule 39:20 100:17 165:11

scheduled 106:3

scheduling 14:12

school 69:27 70:5 124:27 131:12 132:2,4,5 135:3 141:25

schools 66:5,10,12, 20 115:7

Schweitzer 7:11,25, 28 8:18 13:25 15:13, 23 16:1,3,9 17:12,16 18:24 21:10 22:2,6,9 23:14 24:10 25:20, 23 26:21,24 29:9 30:7 31:2 33:8,12 35:2,6 36:15,20 37:10,14 39:2,7 40:25 42:9 43:14 44:5,24,28 48:9 49:4.16 50:28 54:4 59:16 60:1,3 73:3 74:1,3 81:1,3 83:17, 22 90:11 95:19 96:27 99:3,11 103:2, 6,9,17,28 104:3,18, 24 158:1

scope 66:22

screen 29:5,16

scrutinize 56:26

SCST 46:8

SD 35:2

SDMC 27:21

search 72:14,16

seat 155:8

second 9:9 16:12 17:19 23:24 24:12

27:18 40:12 50:28 52:24 88:17 93:16, 28 96:18,24 98:21 116:16,19 117:1,2,7 124:16,17

seconds 153:11,12

secretary 112:17

section 20:18 27:23 28:7 34:14 55:1,24, 28 56:1,6,8,18,19,20 57:4 84:9 96:15 105:1 107:1 108:25 113:23 122:1

sections 20:15 121:1, 5,6,27

security 20:3,4,8

see 14:5 20:17 24:22 32:7 40:3,10 50:2 57:3 72:8 74:15,25 77:10,11,13 78:13 82:25 83:1 100:16, 17 118:4,15 121:21 123:7 146:6 155:6, 19 158:23 161:17 162:19

seed 67:27

seeing 61:23

seen 23:13 30:6 33:12 36:19 37:16 48:9 88:9 117:17 157:9

segue 135:23 136:7

sell 140:28 141:16 142:9,22 146:27

sending 17:24 45:8 61:12

sense 107:25 110:19 160:3 165:18

sent 18:7 24:20 39:16 45:9 61:7 94:26

95:11,15 101:4 143:18 147:22,28 148:4 149:18 156:20 159:14

sentence 32:18,22 34:9 35:9 94:3 102:7

separate 57:9 117:9

separation 18:4 58:10 66:10 70:11 88:28 106:9 115:16, 18 116:4 125:11 127:2

September 45:2 50:16 105:23

series 40:26 118:18

served 143:18

service 76:18

services 56:24 69:19 71:21,28 72:4 74:18 85:16,17 99:12 101:19 150:17

set 43:17 55:2 66:7 73:13 135:15 138:8 158:20 159:9

Seventy-five 79:7

sheet 19:6

sheets 57:10

Shifting 77:17

short 8:28 9:25,27,28 11:13 137:28 156:11

shortcomings 69:2

shorter 9:27 11:15 14:16,18,23 119:26

shot 29:5,16

shoulder 38:14

show 16:6 19:28 56:16 72:12 156:18

162:5,7

showing 20:10 163:6

shown 62:14,19 108:5 126:19 160:23

shows 19:20 20:13, 15 29:16 83:5

sic 46:28 59:7 150:14 154:26

side 11:23,27 12:14 20:18 119:23,24 120:13 123:3 155:18 157:26 158:12 159:6,17 160:17 163:26,28 165:26 166:5.8

sidebar 146:6,7 155:9 156:7 161:10

sign 47:1,4,7 62:24

signature 94:17,25 99:6 100:12

signed 30:2,13 60:16, 17 89:8 92:27 93:12 94:21.24

significance 55:9

significant 14:25 55:22 63:26 107:11

significantly 32:16 59:14 119:25

signing 110:7

similar 40:27 43:18 76:1

Similarly 14:18

simple 159:24

simply 55:17

single 14:5 31:25,27 58:13 75:11 81:27

sir 13:24 130:17

Index: scenario-sir

164:17 **sit** 73:3 **sit-down** 112:10,12 **site** 19:13,15 20:16 27:6 28:19 39:15 41:27 46:16 55:28 99:18,20 101:20 **sitting** 111:21 situation 113:6 six 68:1 117:21 129:1 skipped 34:13 slightest 123:15 **slots** 115:2 **slow** 77:11,15 117:2 **slowed** 76:14 slower 76:18 132:25 135:18 **small** 135:15 snapshot 56:13 soil 36:28 53:3 56:4 **soils** 41:22 46:17,18, 24 47:1,12,17 52:25, 26 53:2,6 64:8 65:14 66:3 78:3 81:4,14,23 104:4 128:5,9,14,16, 20.28 **sold** 35:14,20,25 36:7 99:18,21,23 139:8 142:21,25 somebody 10:3 57:11 67:8 70:24 71:2 99:20 100:14 123:14 142:21 146:26

soon 14:13 154:6

159:11

sooner 64:10

sorry 13:11 59:11 64:26 67:5 78:6 84:2 88:8 89:16 92:20 113:15 118:24 125:22 126:7 132:24,26 135:17,19 136:11,23 137:23 140:10,13 147:24 149:12 153:2 162:10 166:7 sort 55:26 sorting 7:16 **sought** 114:13 sound 74:11,12,16 157:25 164:9 **sounded** 155:14 **sounds** 8:15 11:24 12:11 13:20.21 64:7 110:1 120:6 Southern 46:16 **space** 126:3 **spaces** 57:10,12 speak 26:14 67:23 68:12 70:7,8 106:13 speaker 67:26 speaking 67:28 94:12 136:16 special 26:9 specialize 80:17 specialty 134:11 **specific** 20:4 28:6,22 41:28 44:11 46:16 47:14 55:15 57:16 61:16 64:22 66:10 69:24 108:14 109:1 113:28 114:1 121:21 125:5,13 126:16

55:8,28 56:14,25 57:6 77:4 78:26 91:6 98:27 104:6 105:8 110:15 111:6 125:3 153:28 specifics 64:17 speculation 61:2 111:23 speed 107:16 **spell** 84:18 130:25 spelling 56:28 spend 7:4,16 9:18 12:3 14:25 45:21 166:2 spent 45:25 135:6 **spite** 22:20 **spoke** 25:5 48:14 68:7 146:21 147:26 149:17,19 squeeze 10:10 staff 16:18,28 20:6 22:19 31:15 35:19 42:25 43:20 44:12 69:20 70:12,23 75:14 76:7,9 78:28 90:18 91:17 99:18, 20 **stage** 18:6 stand 13:28 120:27 123:6 standard 54:8 60:24, 26 91:16 **start** 8:7 31:22 56:18 81:22 99:17 107:25 126:4,7 130:15 156:6 164:23 **started** 8:8 16:18 76:26 77:4 98:22 121:17

Geraci vs. Cotton, et al. starting 35:10 96:2 100:13 101:9 starts 24:11 143:23 **state** 83:2 84:17 101:25 111:10.14 112:19 122:2 130:24 **stated** 24:26 77:5 127:4 **statement** 33:20,26 34:19 35:16 92:27 93:4,8,12 94:21,25 98:6 102:14 108:23 112:27 121:9 122:6 statements 164:5 states 22:16 55:10,26 56:1 status 17:27 72:21 stead 47:8 107:3 step 86:25 118:3 steps 23:21 stipulate 161:14 stipulated 66:17 stipulates 55:6 stock 118:6 stood 19:24 **stop** 117:23 147:9 **stores** 136:3 **storm** 19:18 straightforward 133:1 strain 15:16 street 20:16 32:19 stretch 146:4 strike 17:3 23:3 43:4 52:20 118:11 134:26,27 139:18,19

specifically 48:22

141:12 144:8,10 145:21 149:24,27 150:23,24 152:26 162:21

string 17:17 24:10, 14,15 25:4 42:13 92:18,21 97:28 101:18 104:11,13 105:21

studies 131:27

studio 100:16

subject 9:4,5 128:11 129:18 141:11

submit 43:1,21 67:1 104:20 105:3 116:1, 5 117:1 129:1 163:14

submittal 16:25,27 18:19,20 19:2 20:23 42:26 48:26 49:12, 13,17 50:3,5,8,13, 16,18,20 55:4,25 81:12 82:13 86:20, 22,23 116:17,24

submittals 45:16,18 50:9,24 86:28

submitted 16:28 18:2 19:1 21:18 41:17 42:22 43:3,22 54:14 55:13 64:3,15,19 65:27 71:8 81:10 88:18,21 93:13,22 103:18,19 105:14 106:5 109:10 115:15 116:15

submitting 41:19 51:13 88:28 110:6 116:19

Subsequent 24:21 54:7

subsequently 66:28 90:23

substantiate 54:16 substantive 159:27

successfully 45:6

sued 143:11

sufficient 162:25

suggesting 123:14

suggestion 109:27

summarizing 23:19

summary 19:7 22:15 58:21 161:10 162:27 163:1,13

supervising 124:11,

supervisor 40:3 107:5

supplemental 54:13

support 16:20 70:13 91:17 122:24 125:12 161:24 162:27

supporting 58:21 161:13,24 163:2

supposed 87:25 98:11 112:24 163:1

supposition 121:1

sure 8:3 15:11 24:18, 19 50:26 54:27 65:16 67:6 73:17 93:9 118:19,22 119:4,13 120:21 123:13 155:11 161:11 164:6

surprised 35:19

survey 19:12 28:18 39:10,13 65:9

survive 158:27

suspect 9:16 13:13

sustained 61:3 71:25 77:25 78:19 80:23 112:21 126:24 128:18 133:13 134:7,15,25 137:4, 19 138:3,25 139:17, 28 140:26 141:2,22 142:17 143:7,24 144:9,16,22,27 145:14 148:13,21,28 149:2,26 150:11,24 151:8,14,20,28 152:6,12 163:21

sustaining 118:20

switch 21:23 22:5 34:2

sworn 15:25 84:15 130:22

Т

T-I-R-A-N-D-A-Z-I 84:21

tabulation 58:27

tail 82:7

take 9:17 12:6 13:27 35:28 47:15 57:14 60:14 66:28 72:24 73:8 75:10 76:25 81:26 85:18 95:16 107:15 108:28 116:21 117:12,15,20 118:6 127:17 138:20 148:3 159:10 163:11 164:12 165:8

taken 118:10 158:19

takes 31:23 52:1 68:13 75:13

talk 50:28 59:24 143:23 146:1 155:26

161:12

talked 162:10

talking 77:10 98:27 121:14 147:10

Tames 100:14,16,21, 23 101:5 102:9 103:14

task 159:27

Taught 137:17

team 52:26 62:28 63:2,4,6 67:27 91:4, 5 93:25 156:3

TECHNE 40:21 43:10 44:1 48:5,17 50:24 52:17 54:1 58:20 59:4 60:10 67:4,6 71:15 83:9

tell 9:11,12 11:12,21 14:4 36:7 61:5 79:4 80:15 140:7

telling 35:11 102:9

tenant/lessee 93:1 112:28

tend 56:26 121:20

tending 123:2

tentatively 54:12

term 111:3

terminology 136:24

terms 89:14,17 136:25 150:8 156:21 157:1

test 53:3

testified 15:25 63:22 67:13 68:7 84:15 119:9 130:22

testify 7:10 153:10 155:23 156:5 157:7

Index: string-testify

Transcript of Proceedings

158:8

testifying 158:1

testimony 7:8,13 63:5,9 127:23 151:27 153:15

testing 41:22 46:17, 18,24 52:25,27 53:2, 6 64:8 65:14 66:3

text 62:15

texted 152:18

thank 14:7 15:21 17:10 21:5 59:16,25 67:12 70:14 73:4 79:9 83:13,22,23 84:8,24 89:27 94:12 96:28 105:15 110:9 123:17,24,26 124:22 127:3 128:3 146:8 154:17 157:5 163:24 166:17

thanked 27:28

thanks 73:26

theoretically 80:3

theory 164:19

thing 11:17 129:23 152:10

things 66:4,20 68:17 72:10 119:26 120:8 137:25 158:9 160:11

think 9:12,24,25,26 32:24 35:21 47:24 50:25 51:23 53:10 59:10,13,14 64:4 65:6 66:15 68:5,28 69:9 71:6 73:22 74:8 75:18 76:13 81:12 82:6,23 83:7 94:17, 24 96:15 98:10 116:13 117:2 119:7 120:26 121:2,8,26 122:5 130:8 155:16 156:15 158:15 159:4 160:1,2,9 161:19,22 162:28 164:4,25 165:18

thinking 10:23 159:22

third 17:28 35:9 49:28 82:12 95:5

Thirty 8:14 108:20 164:15

Thirty-four 88:3

Thirty-six 49:6

thought 18:6 123:12 135:21 140:4 147:10 162:26

thousand 58:6 66:6 69:27 70:5 71:5 106:14 124:21

thread 92:14

three 45:11,14,20 50:8 55:21 67:25 77:14 108:26 114:28 115:1 132:1,3 135:6

throwing 122:18

Thursday 11:16 13:3, 6,13,16,19 14:28 120:15 159:13 164:7,8 165:14

time 7:16 8:13,23 9:18 10:13,20,22 12:1 14:26 17:22 18:12 19:24 22:13, 28 27:14 28:1 29:5, 17 35:21 39:9,24 41:13 45:21,25 51:3, 4 62:16,17,25,27 64:24 65:9,18 67:27 68:4 71:7 72:25 73:9,10 77:22,26 78:6 81:13,20,22 85:21 89:16 97:1 98:14 101:23 103:26 107:24 118:25 119:1 120:12 122:18 123:2 137:26 138:10,18 151:16 154:8 159:6 160:13,14 164:24 165:12 166:2

timeline 50:10 165:19

timely 82:10

timer 73:13

times 52:9 73:20 83:1 122:28

timing 164:2,3

Tirandazi 8:19 22:2, 12 26:21 30:3 37:20 42:9,14 74:8 75:17, 21 76:1 77:11 83:27 84:13,19,20,25,27 90:2 91:13 92:12,16 96:2 97:11,24 99:26 100:4,9 103:2 105:22,25 108:1 118:16,17,24 121:5, 13 123:5,20 129:6

Tirandazi's 42:16 129:13

tired 135:11

title 19:6 57:3 66:25 75:24 110:24

today 7:21 10:2,15 11:13 12:2 14:16,23 15:12 77:16 94:12 95:5 154:22 158:24

today's 14:17

told 10:15 15:7 25:4,5 47:3 61:27 80:19 139:2 146:24,26 147:6,26 164:9

165:17

tomorrow 9:5 11:16 12:2,3,6 14:19,22,24 129:23 154:6,25,28 155:2,6 159:10,20 160:5,15 166:11,15

tonight 159:9

TOOTHACRE 7:26 8:1,7,19,26 9:4,7 10:23 11:26 12:16 13:24 15:21 16:2,8 17:4,10,15 18:13,22 20:28 21:5,9,22 22:5,8 23:4,12 24:1, 9 25:14,22 26:15,23 28:26 29:8,21 30:5, 21 31:6 33:2,10 34:24 35:4 36:9,18 37:3,13 39:5 40:12, 16,24 42:3,12 43:5, 13,24 44:4,17,27 45:28 46:3,11 47:28 48:8,20 49:2,6,15 53:24 54:3 58:15,23 59:16 61:2,17 68:25 71:24 77:23 78:16, 18 80:7,22,26 81:2 83:13,19,26 84:1,3, 24,26 87:26 89:27 90:1 91:11 92:8,15 95:26 96:1,23 97:10, 27 100:6 102:21 103:4 104:10 105:24 107:21 108:10 109:3 111:23 112:20 113:10 119:2,8,13, 21 126:23 127:23 128:17 129:5,18 133:12 134:6,14,24, 26 136:9,13 137:3, 18 138:2,24 139:16, 18,27 140:8,10,13, 15,24 141:1,10,21

Index: testifying-TOOTHACRE

142:13 143:4,20

144:2,7,15,20,26 145:12,20,24,28 146:28 148:11,19,26 149:1,22,24 150:10, 21 151:7,13,19,26 152:5,11,21,25 153:3,6,14,19,22,27 154:16 158:16 163:27 164:14,17 top 7:23 20:18 24:22 32:5.13 34:5 49:28 74:22,25 94:10 97:4 101:17 103:5 105:6, 21 114:17 125:20, 23,28 126:10 133:22 topics 50:27 topographic 19:11 28:17 topography 56:10 total 57:14 59:4 114:10,11,17 162:16 touched 66:8 tour 137:14,16 tours 137:13 traditionally 136:23 tran 141:15 transaction 131:6 transactional 131:11 142:20 transferred 124:9 transitioned 106:27 transportation 87:13 treat 73:16,18 treated 158:3 treating 158:3 treatment 116:25 trial 122:13

tribute 15:8 tried 64:14 trouble 39:9 trust 67:9 163:12 **try** 42:27 64:9,11,12, 21 73:16 80:20 116:28 141:4 trying 15:10 34:2,11 57:12 81:22 90:15 100:16 104:20 105:3,12 109:26 122:16 136:4,27 138:22 140:21,28 157:23 164:28 165:25 turn 10:28 88:16 94:10 133:2 turned 123:14 turning 89:2 123:11 turns 118:11 tweak 160:5 **Twenty** 57:16 **two** 9:20,21 10:15 11:18 14:6 20:15 41:16 45:11,14 47:15 55:21 57:9 60:13 68:8,11,14 70:16 78:9 105:3 114:28 115:1 121:14 127:18 139:23 147:14 151:3 type 31:27 44:10 50:7 65:8,13,17 79:6 139:1 **types** 7:19 27:1 117:1 typical 87:10 typically 65:21 67:26 68:12 82:28 89:22 109:14 117:15,18

148:24 unusual 31:19,21 38:15 60:27 65:22 68:10 82:8 107:18 U 118:7 119:23 122:12 **update** 17:13,27 45:8 ultimately 46:16 52:23 57:24,26 **updated** 14:13 33:19 63:17 64:20 69:4 34:18,20 35:15 137:6 142:19 155:21 59:23 63:1,3 91:5 98:5,7 102:13,15 unable 27:13,15 96:5 141:28 **uphold** 57:25 undergraduate **upset** 139:9 131:26 urge 130:11 underlying 143:28 use 25:10,11 66:25 144:18 70:10 87:11 101:21 **understand** 7:9 15:14 110:26 113:24 30:18 31:16 46:22 116:18 127:9 128:8 57:11 73:11 76:2 136:25 109:4 110:4 123:21 uses 66:10 126:14 129:24 **usual** 122:26 understanding 38:9 60:20.22 62:5 70:18 usually 47:15 67:25 94:18 111:20 69:11 72:7 75:12 113:18,20 115:20 133:22 124:24 138:11 utilized 157:2 141:26 161:6 understood 18:12 ٧ 126:17 139:10 140:15 vague 68:26 77:23 unfortunately 41:26 108:10 109:3 113:10 79:15 154:24 136:25 unit 106:27 validate 88:27 **United** 135:5,7,11 varies 117:16 university 131:21,28 various 108:6 135:13,22 136:6 vendors 161:25 unnecessarily venture 148:25 162:18 verdict 14:27 159:14 unpredictable 53:9 166:4 unreasonable 11:4. verification 23:26 24 30:2,19 97:12,15

verify 30:12

versus 126:13

video 68:9

view 86:18

violation 28:3,6 97:5 112:18 116:8

void 157:22

volumes 87:23

votes 57:25

W

Wade 20:21

wait 31:25 73:10 88:8 117:27 121:11,12

waive 13:12

want 9:17 10:12 13:6

14:11,13 15:11 22:21,23 29:13

42:27 67:10 70:8

73:17,23 78:27

105:16 116:23

119:4,13 136:25

146:4 154:6 155:10 159:20 163:3 165:1,

23,27 166:12

wanted 7:22 17:19

61:5 99:24 118:2

127:4,8 135:14,15, 19 138:7,12 139:13

155:26 156:9 161:9

wants 14:14 109:18

wasn't 40:7 57:24

58:14 60:13 63:9,18 82:8 118:22 150:5

water 19:18 106:13

Watkins 133:21 134:3,10 135:2,4 way 7:5 14:6 15:7 28:10,15 40:4 52:2 56:21 58:8 123:7 133:2 158:3 160:4

ways 27:2

we'll 12:1,6 14:15,18

42:28 72:28 73:5 117:25 120:5,7,8,14

146:2 154:26,27

155:2,6 159:10

160:13,14 164:12

165:14 166:2,15

we're 7:16 8:1,8 9:16 11:12 14:9,22 19:28

20:6 21:20 72:24,25

73:8,15 108:11

117:22,23 120:6

129:26 154:22,23

163:1

we've 19:13 56:23

79:19,24 120:23

123:17 164:9

website 71:21,28 72:3,6,12,13 83:5

117:20

Wednesday 13:15 45:6 120:14 166:3

week 45:6,26 100:19

150:5

weeks 45:11.14 47:15 81:12

Weinstein 7:18 8:21 9:23 11:25 13:8,21

44:24 45:5 59:20

78:15 120:17,20,22

121:12,16,25 129:8

159:19,23 160:1,6,

16,18,26,28 161:8,

19,22 162:2,9,12,23

163:5,24 164:20

165:7,17,22 166:6,

17

welcome 15:13 81:19 123:21

well-taken 155:28

158:11 162:22

went 55:5,8 68:23

69:10 82:14 132:4,5

133:21 135:10

137:14 138:10

weren't 57:24 63:10 152:9

whatsoever 83:12

width 19:21

wish 94:4 164:6

wished 94:7

withdraw 22:22

89:12,15,18,21 94:5,

8 101:27 127:28

withdrawal 127:16

withdrawn 101:26

106:7 116:7,12

witness 7:8 9:3,9,25 10:2,12 13:26,28

14:21 15:15 22:7

46:2 48:22 59:22

61:18 68:28 73:4,22

80:10 83:23,25 84:5,

6,19 87:24 108:14,

22 109:6,10 111:26

119:19,23,28 123:6,

23 125:25 127:26

129:17,21,25

130:10,11,26 132:26

134:17 135:19

141:13 143:5,23

144:3.21 146:21

147:2,5,11,13,16,19,

25 149:23 150:23

164:22 165:25

witness's 146:11

witnesses 7:14

10:11,16 118:10 120:6 122:21 129:28 130:13,14 154:18 158:7

Wohlfeil 7:2

woman 75:26

word 22:27

wording 154:2

words 28:6 96:21

work 10:8 26:12

52:14,17 66:22 67:9

76:3 83:10,12 85:1

86:6,14 133:21

135:7

worked 60:5 65:4

79:19 85:5

working 27:10 38:11

58:5 71:22 85:3 96:4

98:23 117:13 131:7

134:3 146:25 147:21

154:1,5 156:22

works 71:18 124:4

world 133:28 worried 139:26

worthy 71:10,12

wouldn't 26:13 58:9

60:26 71:10 79:16,

18 86:23

Wow 12:10

write 21:14 132:19

writing 94:6 141:19

156:17

written 154:3

wrong 16:20 49:20

156:18

wrote 152:17

Index: verify-wrote

Υ

yeah 9:15 10:4 28:5 41:5 58:14 60:10 61:17 64:7 80:26 81:11 88:3 113:17 114:22 116:11 164:4 165:16

year 47:21 51:24 78:2 117:21 133:8 134:1

years 132:1,4 135:6 151:3

yesterday 8:8 12:21, 25 36:27 47:19 63:7 159:15

York 131:14 132:5 133:22 135:9

Ζ

zone 16:21 18:4 22:17,19 25:1,9 90:17,18

zoning 22:26 23:2 37:10 138:14,17

zoom 49:28 56:18

Index: yeah-zoom