Geraci vs. Cotton, et al.

## Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings July 10, 2019



```
1
                    SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION
 3
    Department 73
                                       Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil
 4
 5
    LARRY GERACI, an individual,
 6
              Plaintiff,
                                    ) 37-2017-00010073-CU-BC-CTL
 7
      vs.
    DARRYL COTTON, an individual;
 8
 9
    and DOES 1 through 10,
10
     inclusive,
11
              Defendants.
12
13
    AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION.
14
15
16
                Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings
17
                           JULY 10, 2019
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
    Reported By:
25
    Margaret A. Smith,
26
    CSR 9733, RPR, CRR
27
    Certified Shorthand Reporter
28
    Job No. 10057776
```

1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANT LARRY GERACI AND 3 CROSS-DEFENDANT REBECCA BERRY: 4 FERRIS & BRITTON 5 BY: MICHAEL R. WEINSTEIN, ESQUIRE 6 BY: SCOTT H. TOOTHACRE, ESQUIRE 7 BY: ELYSSA K. KULAS, ESQUIRE 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 8 9 San Diego, California 92101 mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com 10 stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com 11 12 ekulas@ferrisbritton.com 13 14 FOR DEFENDANT AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT DARRYL COTTON: 15 ATTORNEY AT LAW 16 BY: JACOB P. AUSTIN, ESQUIRE 17 1455 Frazee Road, Suite 500 18 San Diego, California 92108 619.357.6850 19 20 jpa@jacobaustinesq.com 21 22 23 2.4 25 26 2.7 28

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	WITNESSES:	
4	JAMES BARTELL SCHWEITZER Direct by Mr. Toothacre	11
5	Cross by Mr. Austin	25
6	DARRYL COTTON Direct by Mr. Austin	33
7	Cross by Mr. Weinstein Redirect by Mr. Austin	54 60
8	Recross by Mr. Weinstein	62
9	LARRY GERACI (On rebuttal) Direct by Mr. Weinstein	65
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

		<u> </u>		
1		I N D E X		
2				
3	EXHI	BITS	IDENTIFIED /	ADMITTED
4	85	Email to Michael Weinstein from Darryl Cotton re Geraci	52	59
5		v. Cotton - Posting of Notice of Application, dated 3/28/17		
6		or Applicación, dated 3/20/1/		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

```
1
           July 10, 2019; San Diego, California; 8:44 a.m.
 2
                    Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil
 3
                              -- 000 --
              THE COURT: All right. Good morning,
 4
     everybody.
 5
 6
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Good morning, your Honor.
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Good morning, your Honor.
              MR. AUSTIN: Good morning, your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay.
 9
                                Let's talk about scheduling
10
     for just a moment. Mr. Bartell is lined up and ready to
11
     ao?
12
              MR. TOOTHACRE: He is, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: And your best estimate is 45
     minutes or less?
14
15
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I think 30 or less. I
16
     eliminated some things that have now been covered by
17
     other witnesses.
18
              THE COURT: Counsel, thank you.
19
              MR. TOOTHACRE: You're welcome.
20
              THE COURT: All right. And cross-examination,
2.1
     Counsel?
22
              MR. AUSTIN: Well, if it's going to be that
23
     short, I can't imagine it taking more than 15.
              THE COURT: All right. So, Counsel, I see that
2.4
25
     you've taken your jacket off.
26
              MR. AUSTIN: Oh. I can --
2.7
              THE COURT: Usually, if you're going to do that
28
     in the presence of the Court, you'll ask for permission.
```

1 I usually give counsel some latitude, but once the jury 2 comes, you've got to put that coat back on. 3 MR. AUSTIN: Sorry. I was just overheated. THE COURT: No, I understand. 4 All right. So that sounds like we'll be done 5 with Mr. Bartell at or before 10 o'clock. And then at 6 7 that time, Plaintiff will rest? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Subject to the admission of any 10 additional exhibits. I always give every -- each side a 11 chance to do that. So we'll take that up if necessary. 12 You've been very good, both of you, about offering exhibits as you go along. That's certainly the 13 better, and from my perspective, the preferred practice. 14 15 So we've got that taken care of. Would you 16 anticipate bringing a motion? 17 MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I'd like to 19 suggest. You defer without prejudice from making your 20 motion until after we complete all of the evidence, and 21 then we'll circle back. You make whatever motions you 22 want to make towards Plaintiff's case in chief. And 23 then plan on promptly putting Mr. Cotton on the stand. 2.4 And your best estimate right now is? 25 MR. AUSTIN: I said an hour before, but I don't 26 think it will take an hour. 2.7 THE COURT: There's nothing unreasonable about an hour or so. All right. But with the morning break, 28

```
1
     that puts us at or before 11:30 or so. Again, no
 2
    pressure. He's an important witness.
 3
             How about cross-examination?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: If it's an hour, probably 30
 4
 5
    minutes, max. Maybe less.
              THE COURT: All right. And we bear in mind how
 6
7
    much you've covered --
 8
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Absolutely.
 9
              THE COURT: -- in your initial examination.
10
              And I'd like to think neither one of you feel
11
     it's necessary to go over the same points a second time.
12
              All right. So after Mr. Cotton is done,
13
     subject to the admission of any additional exhibits,
14
    Defense will rest.
15
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: All right. And I imagine you may
17
    have a motion or two towards the defendant's case in
18
    chief. Again, let's defer that without prejudice until
19
    after all the evidence has been completed.
20
              At this point, do you foresee any rebuttal?
21
              MR. WEINSTEIN: It's conceivable I might put
22
    Mr. Geraci up briefly.
23
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: But that's about it. It
2.4
25
    wouldn't be long.
26
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, folks, if we get to a
2.7
    point where we can wrap at or about -- certainly at or
28
    before would be preferable. But if we could wrap all
```

2.7

28

1 the evidence up and let the jury go at noon and then not 2 have to stick around for an hour and a half lunch hour 3 only to hear a few minutes of evidence, that would be ideal. I don't think they'll object to that. 4 5 And that will give us as much of the afternoon as necessary to hear all the motions and go over the 6 7 instructions and finalize the verdict forms and 8 hopefully get you out of here so you don't have to come 9 back tomorrow morning. 10 Now, I just want to confirm, yesterday, you 11 confirmed you had gotten the verdict forms and there's 12 some adjustments I know we're going to need to make. 13 Did each of you get the sets of jury 14 instructions? 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: I did. And I reviewed them. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. AUSTIN: I got them, and I made my way through most of them but not all of them. 18 19 THE COURT: And that's understandable. You're 20 all plenty busy. What we'll do, after we've heard all 2.1 the motions, we'll take up both the instructions and the 22 verdict forms. And we'll finalize those. But we'll display them on the overhead so we can all go through 23 them together. And at that time whatever objections, if 24 25 any, you all have to the verdict forms, the 26 instructions, we'll take up at that time.

couple of contract claims. The defense, cross

Now, as things currently stand, Plaintiff has a

1 complainant, has a contract claim and three fraud 2 theories. Plus, I thought you had indicated at the 3 beginning of trial that you were pursuing a claim for punitive damages. 4 5 As I was going through the set of instructions that I had been provided, I saw no instructions which 6 addressed the issue of punitive damages. It's a little 7 hard to have those claims go to the jury without you 8 9 having proposed instructions which may support the --10 now, that's irregardless of whether there's evidence to 11 support them. But, Counsel, I did not on my own propose 12 instructions to support that part of your cross claims. 13 But, again, the absence of those instructions 14 was not lost on the Court. 15 All right. Let me go to Plaintiff's side. Are 16 there any issues that you want to bring to the Court's 17 attention before we bring the jury in at 9 o'clock? 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Let me go to the 20 defense side. Any issues? 21 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. So stand down. And as 23 soon as the jury comes in -- or as soon as we get the jury assembled, we'll bring them in and then plow 24 forward with the evidence. 25 26 MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, your Honor. 2.7 (Discussion off the record.) THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. 28 Thanks

1 for assembling like you did. We're getting started just 2 a couple minutes late, but we are going to move forward 3 as quickly as we can. The plaintiff has one last witness in their case in chief. The defense will then 4 5 be recalling Mr. Cotton. The plaintiff does have the right to call any 6 7 rebuttal witnesses. So I can't say that this next witness will be Plaintiff's last witness, but we're on 8 9 the home stretch, folks. And we're moving forward as 10 sufficiently as we possibly can. 11 With that in mind, Counsel, your next witness, 12 please. 13 MR. TOOTHACRE: Mr. Jim Bartell, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Is he outside in the hallway? 15 MR. TOOTHACRE: He should be, yes. 16 THE COURT: Madam Deputy, if you can please 17 retrieve. Good morning, Mr. Bartell. If you could please 18 19 follow directions of my deputy and my clerk, please. 20 21 James Bartell, 22 being called on behalf of the plaintiff/cross-Defendant, 23 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 2.4 25 THE CLERK: Please state your full name and 26 spell your first and last name for the record. 2.7 THE WITNESS: James Patrick Bartell. J-a-m-e-s 28 B-a-r-t-e-l-l.

1 (Direct examination of James Bartell) 2 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 3 Good morning, Mr. Bartell. 0 Good morning. 4 Α Going into your education, could you briefly 5 Q explain your education to the jury. 6 7 Α I have a bachelor's degree from San Diego State University and a master's degree in public 8 9 administration from National University. 10 Okay. And what is your work history, starting 11 with the Navy? 12 Α I was in the Navy for four years. I served 13 three years in Vietnam. 14 Q Okay. 15 Got out, worked in politics. I was a chief of 16 staff to a county supervisor for seven years. 17 Q Which county supervisor was that? 18 Α Jim Bates. 19 Okay. And then were you chief of staff? Q 20 I was chief of staff in Washington, D.C. for a Α 21 Congressman. 22 And which Congressman was that? 0 23 Α Jim Bates. Okay. And then did you join a public affairs 24 Q 25 agency? 26 Α Yes. I joined originally Nelson communications 2.7 group. It's a California-based agency. I was with them 28 for eight years as a vice president. I was -- we then

sold the company to the fourth largest PR firm in the 1 2 world, Porter Novelli. And I was -- I ran their 3 San Diego office for five years. And then I left there and formed my own company in 2006. 4 Okay. Did you do a stint with the Metropolitan 5 Q Transit System as well? 6 7 Α I was an elected official for six terms, Yes. and during that time, my City appointed me as their 8 9 representative to the Metropolitan transit board for 10 the --11 THE COURT: Mr. Bartell, may I ask you slow 12 down just a little bit. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 14 15 Were you the mayor of a local city for 0 16 some --17 Α I was mayor and city councilman in Santee for 22 years --18 19 Q From 1980 to --20 To 2002. Α And, eventually, you formed 21 Q 22 Bartell & Associates. Is that correct? January 2006, I formed Bartell & Associates. 23 Α Okay. And what does Bartell & Associates do? 24 Q 25 We do public relations, government relations, 26 media relations, community outreach, coalition 2.7 development, labor relations. Is there -- is it a consulting firm? 28 Q

1	A Consulting firm, yes.	
2	Q Okay. And just for me who are some of your	
3	clients?	
4	A I have about 40 clients. So we represent al	.1
5	the transportation modes at the airport, all the taxi	s,
6	shuttles, charters, limousines, about 1400 vehicles.	
7	I represent the largest Hispanic grocery sto	re
8	firm in California, Northgate Gonzalez Markets.	
9	Q And	
10	A And I do a lot of processing for land use	
11	entitlements.	
12	Q And encompassed in the land use entitlements	; <b>,</b>
13	does that cover the medical marijuana?	
14	A Yes. About 20 percent of my business is in	
15	that industry.	
16	Q 20 percent of your business is in the medica	1
17	marijuana cooperative	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q business?	
20	And what do you do in that regard?	
21	A I assist the applicants in their processing	
22	through the City, whatever city they're they're in	1,
23	various jurisdictions. I kind of manage the project	
24	team through the process.	
25	Q Are you more or less the liaison between the	<b>)</b>
26	team and the City	
27	A Yes.	
28	Q the government?	

1 Yes. Α 2 And do you know Mr. Geraci? Q 3 Α Yes, I do. And who is Mr. Geraci? 4 Q 5 He's my client. A 6 0 Okay. Do you have an agreement with 7 Mr. Geraci? Yes, I do. 8 Α 9 MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, is Exhibit 1 in 10 evidence? 11 I would like to refer to Exhibit 1. 12 THE COURT: Exhibit 1 is in evidence. 13 MR. TOOTHACRE: Can you bring up Exhibit 1, 14 please. 15 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 16 Do you recognize this document? Q 17 Α Yes, I do. 18 Q And what is this document? 19 Α It's a letter agreement between myself and 20 Mr. Geraci. 21 And what were you assigned to do pursuant to 0 22 this agreement? To represent him with the City of San Diego and 23 Α the processing of his application for a dispensary. 24 25 0 Okay. And this agreement was entered on 26 October 29th, 2015. Is that correct? 2.7 Α That's correct. And what specifically were you hired to do with 28 Q

1	regard to the CUP application? Were you to attend City		
2	meetings?		
3	A I attended almost all meetings with the City		
4	and with the project team. I worked to resolve major		
5	issues that came up during the processing of the		
6	application.		
7	Q Okay. And was there a specific property		
8	identified by Mr. Geraci which was going to be the focus		
9	of your efforts?		
10	A 6176 Federal Boulevard.		
11	Q Okay. And do you know who the owner of 6176		
12	Federal Boulevard?		
13	A Mr. Cotton.		
14	Q Okay. Do you know Mr. Cotton?		
15	A I've never met him.		
16	Q Did that particular property have any zoning		
17	issues?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q What were they?		
20	A When we applied, we our zoning was		
21	consistent with what was prescribed in the City's		
22	bulletin. I think it was Bulletin 170, which lays out		
23	the criteria for making an application for dispensary.		
24	Q Okay. Was that bulletin in conflict with		
25	something?		
26	A The bulletin was correct. It was in conflict		
27	with a Municipal Code, zoning ordinance.		
28	Q Okay. And did you take efforts on behalf of		

## 1 Mr. Geraci to amend the Municipal Code? 2 When it became -- when it came to our Yes. 3 attention that City staff thought our zoning was inconsistent with the Municipal Code, I met with City 4 staff and determined that the bulletin zoning was 5 correct, based on our application. The Municipal Code 6 7 had not been updated to reflect the bulletin. 8 Q Okay. 9 So I had the City Council update the Municipal Α 10 Code --11 Q Okay. 12 -- to make it consistent. Α 13 Was there a hearing already set to update the Q 14 Municipal Code at the time you became aware of this? 15 I arranged to have the -- well, the hearing was Α 16 already set, yes, on a general update of the zoning 17 ordinance. 18 0 Okay. Did you arrange to have this issue added 19 onto that --20 Yes, I did. Α 21 -- agenda? Q 22 I had an errata sheet prepared, and it was Α 23 added to the agenda. And so this particular zoning issue made it 24 Q 25 onto that agenda? 26 Α Yes, it did. I believe it was in February. 27 What were the results of that? Q 28 The City Council approved it unanimously. Α

1 Do you recall approximately how long that 2 process took? 3 Α A few months. By "a few months," do you mean three or --4 Q 5 Three or four. Α Okay. And do you recall whether or not you 6 0 7 knew by the end of January of 2017 that this item was going to be on the -- the agenda? 8 9 Yes, I did. Α 10 0 Okay. So at that point in time, January 31st, 11 2017, you were pretty confident that this zoning issue was going to get resolved. Is that correct? 12 13 Α Yes. Q 14 And --15 That was important. They recognized the two Α 16 documents were inconsistent and they needed to bring 17 them into compliance. 18 0 Okay. And on February 22, do you recall 19 whether that was the date, 2017, that that change was 20 approved by the City Council? 21 Α Yes. 22 And it takes more or less 30 days approval by 0 the City Council to become effective? 23 Generally, yes. 24 Α 25 0 So if I represent to you this was effective on 26 March 12th, does that sound --2.7 Α That's about right. Yeah. 28 Okay. Have you worked on medical marijuana Q

1 CUPs other than Mr. Geraci's? 2 Yes. I've done about 20. Α 3 Okay. Have you ever seen a medical CUP 0 application which was applied for by an agent of a 4 5 person? 6 Α Could you repeat that again. 7 Q Yes. Have you ever seen an application applied 8 9 for -- let me strike that. 10 In this instance, do you understand that 11 Ms. Berry was the name of the applicant and she was an 12 agent of Mr. Geraci? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. Have you seen that in other cases? Q 15 It's common. Α Yes. 16 Generally, can you describe for the jury what Q cycle reviews are. 17 18 Α The City has about 13 disciplines, different 19 departments, anywhere from planning, zoning, 20 transportation. It goes on. Thirteen different 21 disciplines that review an application several times. They're called cycle reviews. And then you have to 22 23 respond to the issues raised in those cycle reviews. Generally, there's over 100 issues that have been 24 reviewed and identified. 25 26 If there's something that's -- that needs 2.7 correcting, then you need to resubmit and correct those 28 or respond to the comments made in the cycle review.

1 Let me ask you do -- when you have comments 2 back in cycle review from the disciplines, before you 3 resubmit for the next round, are you required to make all the changes in that particular round --4 5 Α Yes. -- before resubmitting? 6 0 7 They want a full and complete resubmittal, Α 8 based on the issues you had to respond to. 9 Are you aware of whether or not there were some 0 10 geotechnical issues in this case? 11 Α Yes. 12 0 And was the City requiring a technical 13 analysis? 14 Α Yes. 15 Analysis. I'm sorry. Q 16 Yes, they did. Α And what was the issue with regard to the 17 Q 18 geotechnical analysis? 19 Α The property was in an area that required a 20 geotechnical analysis because of potential soil issues. Is 105 in evidence? 21 0 Okav. 22 THE COURT: Which one? MR. TOOTHACRE: 105, your Honor. I think it's 23 in evidence. 2.4 25 THE COURT: 105 is in evidence. BY MR. TOOTHACRE: 26 27 I would like you to refer to Exhibit 105. Q 28 you have a book in front of you? But you can also refer

1 up on the screen. Whichever is more comfortable for 2 you. 3 I'd like to call out the first paragraph. 4 Does this appear to be a letter from Abhay 5 Schweitzer to yourself? 6 Α Yes. 7 And is that -- is that letter dated July 24th, Q 2017? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Actually, it's an email, but it appears to be 11 in letter format. 12 Α It's an email, yes. Okay. What was -- what was Abhay Schweitzer 13 Q 14 telling you in this email? The reviewer in the geology department with the 15 Α 16 City was asking for a Geo tech investigation to be 17 prepared. And Abhay was asking me to set that up, to 18 set that meeting up. 19 Okay. Do you know whether or not in the last Q 20 paragraph or the second paragraph there Abhay Schweitzer was trying to find a way around the problem with not 21 22 having access to the property by submitting geotechnical 23 report from a nearby property? Yes. To do the geotech investigation, we 24 Α 25 required access to the property. 26 0 Okav. And was Abhay asking you to set up a 27 meeting with Kreg Mills to determine whether or not he would be permitted to submit nearby geotech --28

28

Α

1 There was a property next door that we 2 thought we could get access to just a few feet away from 3 the -- our application property. And we felt that if we did the geotech study there, it would be relatively 4 close to what the soil conditions would be on the 5 6 application process -- property. 7 Q Ultimately, were you allowed to submit those nearby property geotech reports? 8 9 Α No. 10 0 And so were you required to ultimately submit a 11 report based on the actual property at 61 --12 Yes. The City required -- after we met with Mr. Mills, the City required us to do an investigation 13 14 of the subject property. Okay. And are -- are you aware of whether or 15 0 16 not Mr. Geraci had to resort to the courts to get an order permitting --17 18 Α That's correct. We did not have access to the 19 property. 20 Ultimately, Mr. Geraci was successful, and you 0 21 did have access to the property? 22 Through the court process, yes. Α Did that -- the lack of access to the property 23 0 24 for geotechnical analysis delay your CUP application 25 process? 26 Α Yes. 27 Do you recall for how long it was delayed? Q

Probably six months.

1 How many CUP applications have you been 2 successful on obtaining for clients? 3 Α This is the only one I haven't been successful 4 on. 5 Have you been successful on 19 out of 20? Q 6 Α Yes. 7 I'm going to change the topic and focus now to Q 8 look at 6220. Are you aware of whether or not there 9 eventually was a competing application competing with 10 Mr. Geraci's application for medical marijuana --11 Yes, I'm aware of that. Α 12 0 Okay. And do you know where that property was 13 located? 6220 Federal. 14 Α 15 Okay. And do you know who the owner of that Q 16 property was? 17 Α Mr. Magagna. 18 Q Did you know Mr. Magagna at the time? 19 Α No. 20 Do you know Mr. Magagna now? Q 21 I have met him once. Α 22 What was the occasion where you met him? Q 23 Α I met him at the planning commission hearing when his project was up for consideration. 24 25 Did you or Bartell & Associates ever do any 0 work on behalf of Mr. Magagna with regard to his CUP 26 27 application on 6220? 28 Α No.

Ultimately, did Mr. Magagna's CUP application 1 2 beat Mr. Geraci's to the finish line? 3 Α Yes, it did. Do you believe, in your mind, that had you had 4 Q access or had the team had access to Mr. Cotton's 5 property in order to do the geotechnical studies, that 6 7 Mr. Geraci's would have been the first CUP to meet the finish line? 8 9 We were -- throughout the process, we Α Yes. 10 were tracking ahead of them, the competing project. 11 Were you actually tracking 6220 somehow? Q Yes. Through the City's web page. 12 Α And so were you ahead of them for most of the 13 Q 14 race? 15 Α Yes. 16 Okay. Do you recall at what point they passed Q up 6176? 17 18 Α During the geotech study process. 19 Q Okay. Was there any other interference on 20 behalf of Mr. Cotton with the CUP process regarding 6176 which you contend delayed the application process? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 0 What was that? He was misrepresenting the project with the 2.4 Α City staff and with the community planning group chair. 25 26 0 Okav. Any other items that you thought -- felt 27 were disruptive to the process? 28 Α General noncooperation in terms of access to

the property. 1 2 Okay. Was there some issue with the -- with Q Mr. Marlbrough? 3 Mr. Marlbrough was chairman of the committee 4 Α 5 planning group. And was there an issue between Mr. Marlbrough 6 0 7 and Mr. Cotton? 8 Mr. Marlbrough was under the impression that 9 Mr. Cotton was the applicant. 10 Q And did that cause some issues? 11 Α Yes. 12 What were those issues? 0 13 We were unable to get on the community planning Α 14 group agenda, because under Mr. Marlbrough's 15 understanding, we weren't the applicant. Okay. And did Mr. Marlbrough explain to you 16 Q that it was his belief that Mr. Cotton was the 17 18 applicant? 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. Do you know whether or not 0 21 Mr. Marlbrough ceased communications with Mr. Cotton? Yes, he did. After I discussed it with him. 22 Α And were you aware or did you participate in 23 0 the appeal of the 6220 approval? 24 25 Α I attended the hearing with one of our team 26 members. 27 Q And who was that team member? Abhay Schweitzer. 28 A

Were you -- did you -- were you involved in 1 2 creating an appeal report of some 30 discrepancies you 3 had with --Yes. Abhay and I went through the cycle 4 Α 5 reviews for the other application and identified roughly 6 30 issues that we felt had been improperly approved. 7 Q Okay. And were you successful on the appeal? 8 Α No. 9 MR. TOOTHACRE: Nothing further, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 11 (Cross-examination of James Bartell) 12 BY MR. AUSTIN: 13 Good morning, Mr. Bartell. Q 14 Α Good morning. 15 So the zoning issue was resolved in late Q 16 January, 2017? 17 MR. TOOTHACRE: That misstates testimony, your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 MR. AUSTIN: Well, I'm asking. 2.1 BY MR. AUSTIN: 22 Or was it --0 It was -- it was resolved once the City Council 23 Α 24 approved it. 25 Okay. And that was March 12 --0 26 Α The City Council approved it on February 22nd. 2.7 It took effect in March. Okay. It took effect in 2017. 28 Q

1 You said there was -- throughout the cycle 2 review, there are 13 different disciplines. You only 3 mentioned the geotechnical investigation as a reason why the 6176 process was slowed down. 4 5 Were all other cycle issues resolved? Well, we had issues with the -- the zoning, 6 A 7 getting that resolved, inconsistencies between Bulletin 170 and the Municipal Code. That also caused delay. 8 9 There were issues related to public 10 right-of-way, which we resolved. 11 So you had that resolved within a few months. 0 12 Correct? 13 Α Well, the -- the right-of-way issue took 14 several months. 15 Okay. Overall, how long did this process take 0 from --16 17 A Over two years. 18 Q Over two years? 19 MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to "the process," your 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. 22 BY MR. AUSTIN: So in Exhibit 105, the email that we saw 23 0 earlier, it was dated July 2017. So you knew the soils 24 25 had to be conducted -- the soils testing had to be 26 conducted in July 2017, but no court order came down 27 until approximately January, the following year. 28 Do you know why there was such a long delay?

1 I wasn't involved in the court process. 2 Q It would have been reasonable to try and 3 force that issue sooner, wouldn't it have been? In terms of access to the property? 4 Α 5 0 Yes. Yes. And we tried. 6 Α 7 So in July 2017, did Mr. Cotton actually refuse Q to let the testing be conducted? 8 9 It's my understanding he refused to have --Α 10 provide us with access to the property. 11 Being as you're one of the head figures of the team, were you made aware that at the time Mr. Cotton, 12 13 approximately at -- in this time period, July 2017, are you aware that his law firm attempted to offer to split 14 15 the costs of the CUP and they wanted to participate with 16 you? 17 Α No. 18 MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts. And relevance, 19 your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Overruled. 2.1 BY MR. AUSTIN: 22 You were never made aware of that? 0 23 Α No. Okay. Going back to the cycle reviews, how 24 Q many outstanding issues remained in March 2018? 25 26 Α I'm not sure there were any at that point. 27 So everything was resolved, other than the soil Q samples? 28

1 Pretty much, yeah. Nothing significant. 2 Well, nothing significant. But there -- there 3 were things that could have been done with the City. Correct? 4 5 I think everything --A 6 MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. 7 THE WITNESS: Everything was resolved that needed to be resolved. 8 BY MR. AUSTIN: 9 10 So there's -- there was nothing problematic, 11 but there were still things that could be done. 12 Correct? 13 MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: 14 15 In other words --0 16 THE COURT: Do you understand the question, Mr. Bartell? 17 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 19 THE COURT: All right. The objection is 20 sustained. Please rephrase. 21 22 BY MR. AUSTIN: Okay. A member of your team, Abhay Schweitzer 23 0 yesterday said there was between 1 and 100 issues 24 remaining around the time that the soils testing was 25 26 being conducted. Does that sound accurate to you? 2.7 Δ I wouldn't -- I wouldn't disagree with Mr. Schweitzer. Anything that remained at that point, 28

though, was clean-up stuff that were minor notes on 1 2 maps, things like that. Nothing of any significance 3 that I was directly involved with. I only became involved when there was a significant issue. 4 Okay. Did you ever receive notification from 5 Q 6 the City that the project was going to be canceled due 7 to inactivity for a period of 90 days? Α 8 Yes. 9 So when you got that, presumably some of the 0 10 minor issues, the insignificant issues, had they been 11 getting worked on, that notification would not have been 12 received. Correct? Essentially, the City would like to 13 see some progress throughout -- throughout the entire 14 process. Correct? 15 That was during the time, I believe, that Α 16 we were trying to negotiate access to the property for 17 the geotech study. I believe the notification came after the 18 0 19 testing was ordered. Does that sound accurate? 20 Α No. Okay. So ordinarily, you attend pretty much 21 0 22 all of the hearings. Correct? Anything to do with our project, yes. 23 Α 24 Q Okay. Did you attend the public hearing regarding 6220 Federal Boulevard's CUP? 25 26 Α Which hearing? 27 The appeal? Q 28 The appeal hearing, yes, I did. Α

1 Did you make any arguments at that hearing? 2 Mr. Abhay Schweitzer did. Α 3 Okay. Are you aware of the 1,000-foot radius 0 requirement where a CUP is not to be within 1,000 feet 4 5 of any public park, church, childcare center, playground, or library? 6 7 Α Yes. Was 6220 within 1,000 feet of any of those 8 Q 9 places? 10 Α No. 11 Did you conduct a search on this yourself? Q 12 I didn't. But members of the team did. Α 13 Okay. So you're not aware of whether there was Q a childcare center or two within a thousand feet? 14 15 We had no separation issues from any of those Α 16 categories. 17 Q At the hearing, did anyone mention two 18 childcare centers? 19 Α No. 20 So you were there. You don't recall anyone 0 21 mentioning it? 22 I don't recall that, no. Α Did you ever tell anyone that the 6176 CUP was 23 0 going to be denied? 24 25 Α No. 26 Did you ever have any belief that it would be Q 27 denied? 28 Α No.

At any point, did Mr. Geraci indicate to you 1 2 that he did not want the CUP to go through? 3 Α No. Was the rezoning an expensive task for you? 4 Q 5 What do you mean by "expensive"? Α The process of having the Municipal Code 6 Q 7 updated, about how much effort did you have to put into that? 8 9 A lot. Α 10 Q It took a few months. Right? 11 Α Yes. 12 I know you -- I know you already spoke of some 0 of what you had to do, but could you explain it to me a 13 little bit further in order to request the update of the 14 15 Municipal Code. Once we identified the conflict between the 16 Α 17 bulletin and the Municipal Code, I met with City staff. 18 They acknowledged that the bulletin was correct, which 19 we had relied on but that the Municipal Code had not 20 been updated to reflect that bulletin. 21 And so they added it to an agenda item related 22 to zoning updates to an errata sheet that correctly updated the Municipal Code. 23 24 Q Do you know Jim Strome? 25 Α No. 26 Have you ever heard of Jim Strome at permitting 0 27 services? 28 Α No.

1 Are you familiar with the 6220 CUP? 0 2 Α Familiar with it in what sense? 3 Are you familiar with all the plans and other 0 paperwork that was submitted to the City? 4 5 Just in reviewing their cycle reviews. Α 6 0 So between --7 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Counsel. May the 8 reporter hear the question again? BY MR. AUSTIN: 9 10 Between you and Abhay, your appeal noticed 20 11 or 30 discrepancies. Correct? 12 Α About 30, yes. 13 Yes. Would you anticipate the City approving Q that CUP? 14 15 That's why we appealed. No. Α So it looked like it was insufficient. 16 Q 17 Correct? 18 Α It was our contention that 30 issues had not 19 been properly resolved. 20 If you submitted -- if the City were reviewing 6176 and they had 30 issues, would you anticipate that 21 22 CUP being approved? 23 Α No. MR. AUSTIN: No further questions. 2.4 25 THE COURT: Redirect? 26 MR. TOOTHACRE: I don't think so, your Honor. 2.7 THE COURT: All right. May Mr. Bartell be excused? 28

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

```
MR. TOOTHACRE: He may.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Subject to the
 3
    admission of any additional exhibits, does Plaintiff
 4
    rest?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 7
              Counsel, your next witness is?
              MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Cotton.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Good morning again,
 9
10
    Mr. Cotton. If you could follow -- let's give
11
    Mr. Bartell an extra moment, Madam Deputy.
12
              THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Cotton, we'll ask you to retake
13
14
    the witness stand.
15
16
                            Darryl Cotton,
17
     being called on behalf of the defendant/cross-Complainant,
18
      having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:
19
20
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cotton, you've been
21
    previously sworn. You understand you're still under
22
    oath?
23
              THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
2.4
              THE COURT: Thank you very much. Counsel,
25
    whenever you're ready, please begin your examination.
26
              (Direct examination of Darryl Cotton)
    BY MR. AUSTIN:
2.7
28
              I'm not going to go into great detail about all
         Q
```

the testimony that we heard last week. So I'm going to 1 2 try to make it a little more brief. 3 When did you acquire the property on 6176 Federal Boulevard? 4 I don't remember the exact year. I believe it 5 Α was in mid-1980. 6 7 Have you ever purchased any other real estate? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 Did you ever purchase or sell any real estate Q 10 based on a handshake? 11 Α Never. 12 0 Would you ever sell your property without an 13 explicit contract? 14 Α No. 15 In 2016, do you know the approximate value of 0 16 your property? MR. AUSTIN: Objection. Foundation. 17 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 THE WITNESS: I had had accounts done, and at 20 the time in 2016 when I met Mr. Geraci, who was also a 21 Realtor, we established its fair market value at 22 400,000. BY MR. AUSTIN: 23 Can you estimate what you feel the value would 24 Q 25 be of that property if a marijuana outlet were approved? 26 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Lack of foundation. 2.7 Improper opinion. 28 THE COURT: Overruled.

28

Q

1 THE WITNESS: It would be worth quite a bit 2 In the tens of millions. Based on the way the 3 licensing works, you have that quaranteed for, I believe, it's up to 10 years. And if you just had a net 4 5 profit of 1.2 per year, that would be 12 million just in the value of that license, not necessarily having to do 6 7 with the value of the real estate itself. BY MR. AUSTIN: 8 9 You reached an oral agreement with Mr. Geraci. Q 10 Correct? 11 T did. Α 12 At the time you signed that November 2nd 0 13 document, did you intend for that to be the final 14 contract? 15 Α No, I did not. 16 Q How would you define that document? 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Vaque. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. AUSTIN: 19 20 When you signed that document, what did you 0 understand it to be? 21 22 Mr. Geraci had me signing documents that would 23 help expedite the submittal of the CUP process with the 24 Development Services Department, or DSD for short. And 25 I was expected to come in and pick up a 10,000-dollar 26 deposit and pretty much give him some sureties that, you 2.7 know, we had a preliminary agreement in place.

Did you expect a contract to be forthcoming?

1 Α I did. 2 And why did you think that? Q 3 Α 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

- Well, I needed to reduce to writing all the terms and conditions that had been established in my working documents. And there were others too, but, you know, that was all contingent upon seeing the final contract documents.
- And those working documents, I believe, are Q Exhibits 10 and 11, the services agreement and your memorandum of understanding. Correct?
- Correct. That was broken into two parts. One would have more or less aligned itself with the fair market value of the property, and the other one was to pick up my agreed location costs and some joint venture language that we had built into that.
- So you had never -- or were you ever given a Q final contract by Mr. Geraci?
  - Α Not a -- not one that I felt adequately reflected everything we had discussed and were in my working documents, no.
    - Okay. But you did expect it? Q
    - I did. A
      - 0 And why is that?
  - Α Why did I expect it? Because I don't do real estate or joint venture deals on a -- on a handshake and oral promises.
  - You just said joint venture. Did you believe Q that you were going to be partners?

2.7

28

- 1 He represented on many occasions that this was 2 in our best interest to do these things and, you know, 3 we'd all make money and so on. We had texts to that effect. 4 I believe that's Exhibit 5. 5 0 Yes. 6 Α Correct. The -- the text messages, I did see some 7 Q 8 language along the lines of we're going to make some 9 money. Is that correct? 10 Α Let me just double-check that. 11 Exhibit 5 goes through a series of texts 12 between Mr. Geraci and I that started on July 21st. I 13 was showing him what we're doing in terms of 151 Farms. And he was providing me lists of properties that were 14 for sale that would allow for the relocation to -- for 15 him to assist in that. There was some discussion about 16 17 having to relocate my company, Inda-Gro. With him being 18 in real estate, I thought that would be a nice service 19 to be able to avail myself to. 20 Somewhere in here -- I don't see it jumping out 21 at me, though -- he does indicate that we're going to 22 make some money together. Were there any terms in -- so the oral 23 0 agreement that you had, were there any terms in there 24 25 that you expected to be in a final contract, like 10 26 percent equity, \$10,000 a month minimum?
  - A Yes. There were terms that were outlined in my draft -- my working draft documents that we shared a

1 folder on, both the memorandum of understanding, or MOU, 2 for short, and the services agreement. Mr. Geraci had 3 assured me he had seen them, and he had passed that off to his attorney, Gina Austin, to incorporate those items 4 within our final contract. And that kind of led me to 5 6 feel we could have had that, you know, we were both 7 pulling in the same direction. 8 And how much did you anticipate --Q 9 THE REPORTER: Can the reporter hear again, 10 please. BY MR. AUSTIN: 11 How much money did you anticipate receiving for 12 0 13 a down deposit on the property? 14 Α In terms of earnest money? 15 0 Yes. 16 50,000. Α 17 Q Mr. Geraci stated that you were never given a 18 separate receipt for that \$10,000 cash. Does that sound 19 accurate? 20 No, I was not given a separate document, other Α 2.1 than the 11/2 document, which I considered a receipt. 22 So it was \$10,000 cash. Has anyone ever given you \$10,000 cash without a receipt or some sort of an 23 24 acknowledgment? 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Relevance. 26 THE COURT: Sustained. 2.7 BY MR. AUSTIN: 28 Would you anticipate someone giving you a Q

2.7

substantial amount of cash without protecting themselves in some manner by acknowledging your receipt?

A That's normal business practices. I found nothing unusual about having a receipt notarized for cash. I was a little surprised it was cash, but nonetheless, I accepted it.

- Q You testified that you were told Attorney
  Austin would be providing you a contract. Did you ever
  speak with Mrs. Austin?
  - A I have never spoken with Ms. Austin, ever.
  - Q So you never met her?
- A No, I have never met her.
  - Q Did Mr. Geraci attempt to give you an opportunity to meet her?
    - A He did.
    - Q And how did he go about doing that?
  - A Well, I was frustrated that since 11/2 I hadn't seen any draft documents from Ms. Austin. He had coordinated a meeting where she was speaking and I was to attend that meeting, introduce myself, and get an update on the final contract documents she was putting together. They were to mirror my memorandum of understanding and service agreement in two parts. I wasn't able to go, but my litigation investor, Joseph Hurtado, did go. And he did meet with her for a brief time just to feel confident that those documents were forthcoming.
    - Q Okay. And this meeting was suggested by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

Mr.	Geraci	himself.	Right?
-----	--------	----------	--------

It did indeed get suggested, and in the text, I was told what color shirt to look for. And that's who we would find.

- Did he also tell you that she was going to be a Q headnote speaker?
- I recall her being a speaker. Whether or not Α it was headnote, I couldn't say. But she was one of the featured speakers.
- But he did tell you what she would be wearing 11 and told you to go?
  - Α Absolutely.
- 13 Had that conversation. Okay. Q 14 And approximately when was that?
- 15 I don't remember the exact date, but there is a Α 16 record of that, and Ms. Austin acknowledged it. And 17 Mr. Hurtado testified to it.
  - 0 Yeah. I believe if you went through the text messages, it's March 6th, 2017.
  - I would concur that is about the time it would Α have been.
    - So you considered yourself to be a partner with Mr. Geraci, even though you would be sell -- selling him the property. Why is that?
  - Α Well, I'm reviewing the Exhibit 5. And I can see, you know, all of the things we were discussing involved, you know, the work I had been doing as an activist and a medical cannabis proponent.

1 Q Right.

A And he seemed very interested in that. So there were some branding opportunities we had discussed over time. And the way that we grow with aquaponics was of interest to him at the time. So I saw that as a joint venture opportunity with him from day one.

Q And also because of the 10 percent equity position. Correct?

A Certainly, that would have been outlined right out the gate. There was no mistaking it.

Q Do you know the difference between a 10 percent profit share and 10 percent equity stake?

A I do.

Q And what is that?

A The 10 percent profit share is at the end of all accounting and expenses having been taken off, which as a minority partner, I don't have much say in that.

So I was interested at some point later to find out the third-party accounting would at least give me assurances there weren't unusual expenses. But 10,000 minimum was a guarantee regardless.

And then the other aspect would be should they decide to reorganize or sell to another party, that whole agreement is out the window. So I would get 10 percent of whatever the sale price is at the time it was sold.

Q Did Mr. Geraci or anyone on his team keep you abreast of updates to the CUP application?

2.7

A The only person that ever updated me with anything -- and that's only up until the lawsuit -- was Mr. Geraci. I've never been part of an email or text stream, chain, with any of the so-called team.

- Q But you were communicating directly with Mr. Geraci in getting updates pretty much as they happened?
- A Correct. I was insistent on knowing what the status of the zoning was because my understanding was with Mr. Geraci that the 10 -- the 50,000-dollar earnest money would become due and payable once the City accepted the CUP, based on zoning having been resolved.
- Q So Mr. Geraci and his team knew about the soils testing that needed to be done in October of 2016, and then they were having conversations with Mr. Mills in July 2017. In July 2017, were you blocking access to the soils testing?
- A Up until the lawsuit, I didn't block access at all.
- Q Well, initially, did the law firm that you have try to facilitate working together with Mr. Geraci?

A Finch, Thornton & Baird was counsel at the time, and I had some issues with them as well. But access to the property wasn't a problem as long as there was a third-party court-appointed administrator to review any of the findings and results that would result from those types of invasive tests. I've been a developer.

1	Q And at the time you wanted a third-party
2	receiver, is that or appointed person to supervise
3	that, is that because why was that?
4	A Simply put, it was because I had no control
5	over the CUP processing whatsoever. So as previously
6	stated by Ms. Firouzeh, I was not able to pull the
7	application and get any authority for the processing of
8	that application. The only evidence I had as to its
9	status was on DSD's website, which was done and updated
10	often enough to at least make me think it was being
11	processed in a timely fashion.
12	Q But you did want to see a CUP on 6176 approved.
13	Correct?
14	A Absolutely.
15	Q So the reason you wanted the third party was to
16	make sure that things were being done correctly and
17	would be successful. Correct?
18	A I just wanted to make sure that, being as
19	Mr. Geraci's needs have changed and it might be in his
20	better interest to have the CUP sabotaged financially
21	speaking, that there would be somebody that the Court
22	would put in place to make sure that didn't occur.
23	Q Is that because of your confidence that you
24	would win this litigation?
25	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Leading.
26	THE COURT: Sustained.
27	BY MR. AUSTIN:
28	Q And why do you believe that Mr. Geraci would

## 1 have it in his best interests to see the CUP sabotaged? 2 Well, if we take the low-end estimates of, 3 like, 1.2 million per year, you know, this is a profitable business. And it's just in its infancy. And 4 5 that's a low-end estimation. Over 10 years, that's \$12 million. 6 7 Should he lose the lawsuit, you know, whether that was a receipt or a contract -- I may be confused on 8 9 that issue -- but at no point in time did I believe that 10 we didn't have a joint venture understanding. And that 11 was never reduced to writing. So I was concerned that the CUP would be 12 sabotaged in order to reduce any economic expense that 13 would come from losing that lawsuit. 14 15 I sense sometimes you -- you confuse some legal Q 16 terminology. And I know the other day when 17 Mr. Weinstein was asking you several rapid fire 18 questions, you get a little hazy on, you know, the issue 19 of the receipt. 20 On that day, you did have an understanding. 21 Correct? 22 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Your Honor, 23 argumentative as phrased. THE COURT: As framed, sustained. 24 25 Why don't you rephrase, Counsel. BY MR. AUSTIN: 26 27 You are clear on what your understanding was on Q November 2nd. Correct? 28

1 In terms of what I signed? 2 In terms of what you anticipated, like all Q No. 3 the things that you're asking for: 10,000-dollar monthly minimum, 10 percent equity stake, 50,000-dollar 4 down deposit, 800,000-dollar purchase price, and, you 5 know, your belief that in some capacity, you would be 6 7 working with Mr. Geraci throughout the course of the business. Correct? 8 9 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Leading. 10 THE COURT: Your objection on leading is 11 sustained, Counsel. 12 BY MR. AUSTIN: 13 Were you clear on the terms that you were Q 14 expecting to be reduced to writing? 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Vague as to time. 16 THE COURT: Overruled. 17 BY MR. AUSTIN: 18 Q On --19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 You can answer the question. 21 THE WITNESS: On November 2nd, I was clear that 22 there was an oral agreement that would be reduced to writing, if that's what you're asking. 23 BY MR. AUSTIN: 2.4 25 Q Exactly. 26 Α Okay. 27 All right. Do you know why or do you have Q 28 suspicions as to why your property's CUP took almost two

```
1
     years with one of the best teams in San Diego?
 2
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Relevance.
 3
              THE COURT: That objection is overruled.
              THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question.
 4
              (The following was read by the reporter:
 5
 6
              Q. All right. Do you know why or do you have
 7
              suspicions as to why your property's CUP took
 8
              almost two years with one of the best teams in
 9
              San Diego?)
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm going to also object on
11
     foundation, your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: As to suspicion, your answer is --
13
     or the objection is sustained.
14
              Counsel, why don't you rephrase.
15
              MR. AUSTIN:
                           Okay.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
16
              We've all heard the credentials of Mr. Geraci's
17
         Q
            Between them, each one has -- each one of his
18
19
     team members has done 20 or 30 marijuana-related CUPs.
20
     Wouldn't you anticipate they could be successful in
21
     getting your -- the CUP on your property completed much
22
     faster?
23
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Argumentative as
     phrased and leading.
24
25
              THE COURT: Overruled.
26
              THE WITNESS: I knew Mr. Geraci as my primary
2.7
     point of contact. And I knew him to be a tax and
28
     financial advisor. So I thought the people that he
```

1 would surround himself with would be equally competent 2 in their disciplines. I was not involved in any of the 3 email exchanges or texts. So I had no idea, having never done a marijuana -- medical marijuana MMCC before, 4 what the time frame would be to see one of these get 5 6 approved. 7 I was concerned that there were conflicts within San Diego Municipal Code and the information 8 9 bulletins that I believe still exist to this day where 10 it says you can put one of these MMCCs in place. 11 they call them marijuana outlets, MOs. And that would 12 require a team like Mr. Geraci assembled to try to work 13 through that. In terms of how long that would take, I had 14 15 other than maybe a year, round numbers, based on 16 communications we had had. My expectation is that 17 process would have taken a year. BY MR. AUSTIN: 18 19 Q Do you feel you're to blame for the delays? 20 What delays? Α On the CUP application. 21 Q 22 I don't believe there were any delays. Α 23 Q Do you know why your -- or the CUP on your property was denied? 24 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Foundation. 26 THE COURT: Overruled. 2.7 THE WITNESS: Do I know why it was denied?

Because 6220 was approved, and that was 300 feet east of

- me on a property that simply does not qualify. So I
  wasn't too concerned that it would be approved. But,
  yet, it had been approved.
  BY MR. AUSTIN:
  - Q What makes you think it did not qualify?
  - A Because there's two --
  - MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Foundation.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
  - THE WITNESS: There are two licensed childcare facilities within a thousand feet of the radius of that property. And I wasn't able to attend any of the hearings. So it required Mr. Geraci's team to do so. And they never raised that issue.
- 14 BY MR. AUSTIN:

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

- Q Why was that issue raised?
- A It was never raised. It's easy to see. It's on their website. It's on the social services website. Those two licensed childcare facilities have been there forever. That doesn't exist on a 6176 property. That DS-190 form was signed and approved as not having any subject setback interference issues. 6220 had them.
- Q So the only way you were able to monitor the status of the CUPs on 6220 and 6176 was through the DSD website. Correct?
- A Correct. Well, not entirely. I did reach out to some of the project managers and request information. I did reach out to Ken Marlbrough, who was the community planning group's president, just to see -- I was very

surprised that 6220 got a community planning group 1 2 review in under six months. And according to 3 Mr. Bartell, their hands were tied. They were never 4 able to get a meeting set up with Ken Marlbrough. Did you find anything odd on the DSD website 5 about 6220? 6 7 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Vague, ambiguous. 8 Relevance. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. 11 BY MR. AUSTIN: 12 On the DSD website, when you were looking up the property on 6220, did you see anything that 13 14 concerned you? 15 THE COURT: You need to rephrase. 16 objection is sustained as framed. BY MR. AUSTIN: 17 18 0 On the -- on the DSD website for 6220, did you 19 see the names of the project managers or anyone 20 associated with that property? 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: Object. It's hearsay also, 22 your Honor. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 23 BY MR. AUSTIN: 2.4 25 0 Did you see Abhay Schweitzer or Carlos Gonzales 26 listed as being a part of the 6220 CUP application on 27 the DSD website? 28 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Hearsay.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: 3 Lastly, do you recall an email from Mr. Geraci 0 where he says the \$10,000 a month would be a little hard 4 to reach so maybe for the first six months could we do 5 \$5,000? 6 7 Α I do recall that email. 8 Do you remember approximately when that was? Q 9 I don't remember the exact date, but it was Α 10 after the lawsuit. No. It was before the lawsuit was 11 filed. 12 0 Okay. 13 Near -- near the time where the lawsuit was Α filed. 14 15 So essentially, you anticipated that your Q partnership was going to move forward and all the terms 16 17 you were asking for were either going to be met or 18 possibly renegotiate before final contract? 19 Α I had no reason --20 MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form. Object. It's 21 leading. And actually argumentative, as phrased as 22 well. 23 THE COURT: Sustained on leading. BY MR. AUSTIN: 2.4 25 0 Why do you expect -- why -- why would you have 26 gotten that email from Mr. Geraci if November 2nd you 27 had everything written -- if all terms were already specified? 28

```
MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Calls for
 1
 2
     speculation. Relevance.
 3
              THE COURT: As framed, Counsel, the "would"
    part is calling for speculation. That will be
 4
 5
     sustained.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
 6
 7
         Q
              When did it occur to you that the contract you
 8
    were expecting would probably not happen -- or not be
     delivered?
 9
10
              I reached out to Firouzeh to -- Firouzeh
11
     Tirandazi, the DSD project manager, who at the time had
12
     this project, and I wanted a status update outside of
     what I was being told from Mr. Geraci. That would have
13
    been, like, February, early March. And I -- it came to
14
    be understood or I found out that he had submitted that
15
     application on October 31st of 2016. I was furious.
16
     didn't trust him the moment I heard that.
17
18
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. your Honor.
19
    Nonresponsive after he identifies the date.
20
              THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
21
     Everything after "February or early March," the motion
     to strike is granted. Everything after "February or
22
     March" is sustained as nonresponsive.
23
              Next question, Counsel.
2.4
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
25
26
              When did you anticipate the CUP on 6176 would
         0
27
     be submitted?
28
              Well, as many of the text messages between
        Α
```

- 1 Mr. Geraci and I would bear out, he was -- through
- 2 Mr. Bartell and others, working on getting the zoning
- 3 | approved. I believe that was finally accomplished in
- 4 | March. And with that being said, I would have expected
- 5 | the CUP to be submitted at that time.
- 6 It came to my understanding after talking to
- 7 | Firouzeh Tirandazi that it had been submitted in
- 8 October. So I had a real problem with that.
- 9 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection, your Honor. Again,
- 10 | it's nonresponsive after he identifies the date.
- 11 THE COURT: The objection is sustained, and the
- 12 | motion to strike everything after "October" is stricken
- 13 | as nonresponsive, Counsel.
- 14 BY MR. AUSTIN:
- 15 Q Upon submission of the application, is that
- 16 | when you anticipated getting the full 50,000-dollar
- 17 deposit?
- 18 A It is.
- 19 Q Okay. And it upset you that you didn't know
- 20 the application had been submitted until a few months
- 21 | later?
- 22 A Until I reached out to DSD project management,
- 23 | who at the time -- there's been a total of four project
- 24 managers on that site. I had no idea, other than what
- 25 | was posted on the DSD website. That's the only access I
- 26 | had to that information.
- 27 Q But the reason you were upset was because of
- 28 the deposit. Correct?

1 Correct. 2 Okay. And at that time, did you have any 3 suspicion that perhaps Mr. Geraci wasn't being completely honest with you? 4 I finally decided to call DSD myself because 5 Α the only source of information I was getting was from 6 7 Mr. Geraci. And it just -- I mean, I had my other 8 things going on. So I was taking his word for it until 9 I reached out and spoke with Ms. Tirandazi directly. 10 Okay. And when you started becoming 11 suspicious, is that when you were making requests for 12 assurances? 13 MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Vague as phrased. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: In terms --15 16 THE COURT: Do you understand the question? 17 THE WITNESS: I do, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. The objection is 19 overruled. 20 THE WITNESS: I believe what you're referring 21 to is third-party accounting. And, yes, I did insist on 22 that going forward. If we were going to have everything reduced to writing, that would have to be part of the 23 24 contract. BY MR. AUSTIN: 25 26 0 Okay. And I believe on the first day, we went 27 through a significant amount of emails where it shows

you're asking that everything be reduced to writing?

```
Α
 1
              Yes.
 2
              MR. AUSTIN: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Cross-examination?
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
 4
 5
              May I have a moment with my co-counsel.
              THE COURT: You bet.
 6
 7
              Folks, if you want to stretch your legs for
     just a minute while counsel talks among themselves.
 8
 9
              We're going to be taking our morning break in
10
     just a little bit.
11
              All right. Are you ready to go, Mr. Weinstein?
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I am.
                                     Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: All right.
              (Cross-examination of Darryl Cotton)
14
     BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
15
16
         Q
              Mr. Cotton, have you -- have you reviewed
17
     financials of the operations of any medical marijuana
18
     principals in San Diego County?
19
         Α
              I have not.
20
              So you have no idea based on any kind of
         0
21
     written financial documents as to what the net profits
22
     are of different medical marijuana dispensaries in
23
     San Diego, do you?
              I pay attention to some of the industry
2.4
         Α
25
     recognized media that's available and that has discussed
26
     what the low, medium, and high values of those marijuana
2.7
     outlets tend to be.
28
              Do you --
         Q
```

1 I have not seen the financials, though. 2 In fact, you understand that the operation of Q 3 medical marijuana dispensaries don't release their financials to the public? 4 5 No, I wouldn't know if they -- actually, there Α are investment cannabis companies that do in fact now 6 7 have audited financials. And they do release them. 8 But you've seen none for any dispensaries in Q 9 San Diego County? 10 Α Not for San Diego County. 11 And the comparable sales that you looked at, 0 12 when did you do that? 13 I never said I looked at comparable sales. Α 14 Okay. So when you told us what your evaluation Q 15 of what -- of the property at \$400,000 in 2016, I 16 thought you said you had seen comps? Comps for real estate value. 17 Α 18 0 Okay. So these are all properties that didn't 19 have a CUP associated with them. Correct? 20 Α Correct. And you have never looked at or seen comps for 21 Q 22 any medical marijuana dispensary properties, have you? 23 Α I have not. Okay. In fact, you don't even know if any 24 Q 25 exist. Is that true? 26 Α I wouldn't know either way. 27 Okay. Have you ever been a licensed Q real estate broker? 28

1 Α I have not. 2 Have you ever been a licensed appraiser? Q 3 Α No. Have you ever been asked to value a real 4 Q property other than evaluating your own? 5 6 Α I'm not qualified to do that. 7 MR. WEINSTEIN: One moment, your Honor. THE COURT: You bet. 8 9 MR. WEINSTEIN: I need a moment, your Honor, to 10 have my associate identify a document for me. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. WEINSTEIN: While she is doing that, I'm 13 going to move on to another question. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q 16 All right. Mr. Cotton, to be clear, you -- you didn't delay -- your testimony is you didn't delay 17 18 access to the property up until the time the lawsuit was 19 filed. Correct? That's what you testified to? 20 That would be true. Α Okay. And that lawsuit was filed March 21st of 21 0 22 2017. Is that your recollection? 23 Α Correct. Now, in this joint venture -- first of all, did 24 Q 25 you ever use the words "joint venture" in your discussions with Mr. Geraci? 26 2.7 Α Yes. 28 Okay. And what -- what was going to be your Q

to develop my returns.

1 participation in this joint venture that you -- thought 2 you were going to go forward with? 3 Α There were some emails, texts, and discussions about co-branding 151 Farms and my efforts literally 4 5 across the country to see --THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, may the reporter hear 6 7 again, please, after co-branding 151 Farms and my 8 efforts literally across the country to see. 9 THE WITNESS: -- co-branding opportunities as 10 they became available for cannabis-based products using 11 151 Farms to do that. 12 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: So when you talk about this joint venture, 13 Q 14 you're not talking about any involvement in the 15 operation of the dispensary itself, are you? I wanted nothing to do with retail cannabis. 16 Α 17 Q Right. 18 You wanted nothing to do with the operation of 19 the business? 20 Α Correct. And you had no discussions with Mr. Geraci 21 Q 22 about your involvement in any operation of the medical 23 marijuana dispensary. Is that true? Here's what I excluded. Retail -- daily retail 2.4 involvement. In my working drafts, the first thing I do 25 26 is acknowledge his expertise in that industry and would, 2.7 you know, rely on his operating acumen and his resources

1	Q Okay. So he was going to operate the business.
2	Correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And you were going to get a revenue stream
5	based on what your understanding was. Correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And you had no interest in operating the
8	business. Correct?
9	A None whatsoever.
10	Q You were only interested in getting a revenue
11	stream?
12	A Well, I mean, I wanted to make sure that my
13	products weren't misrepresented and that we enhanced
14	co-branding opportunities using that facility as a a
15	model, if you will.
16	Q For example, you hoped potentially to be able
17	to sell product to the dispensary. Correct?
18	A I had my brand I was considering under 151
19	being joint ventured with Mr. Geraci, yes.
20	Q That was something that you guys had discussed.
21	Correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Okay. And that would be no different than if
24	he didn't get product from you, the dispensary would
25	have to get product from another vendor. Correct?
26	A Presumably, yes.
27	Q And so you were hopeful that possibly you could
28	be the person that supplied the product to the

## 1 dispensary. Correct? 2 No. I am not interested in being a wholesaler. 3 I was interested in being a manufacturer and allowing more people to understand what 151 Farms represented. 4 Okay. And so that had nothing to do with the 5 Q operation of the dispensary itself. Right? 6 7 Α Other than I wanted it to be held to a certain standard so it wouldn't possibly distract from our 8 9 message. 10 0 Okay. Your Honor, I'd like to offer 11 Exhibit 40. If it's already been offered --12 THE COURT: Which --13 MR. WEINSTEIN: Exhibit 40, please. And I think it's already admitted. 14 15 THE COURT: Exhibit 40 is admitted. 16 MR. WEINSTEIN: We've already covered that. 17 I'm not going to take the Court's time. 18 Instead, I'd like to offer Exhibit 85, please. 19 THE COURT: Eighty-five has not been admitted? 20 MR. WEINSTEIN: Correct. 21 THE COURT: Any objections, Counsel, to the 22 admission of Exhibit 85? MR. AUSTIN: No objection. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 85 will be admitted. 2.4 25 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 85, Email to Michael 26 Weinstein from Darryl Cotton re Geraci v. 2.7 Cotton - Posting of Notice of Application, dated 3/28/17, was admitted into evidence.) 28

```
MR. WEINSTEIN: Would you blow up the email at
 1
 2
     the top, please.
     BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
 3
 4
         Q
              Okay. Do you recognize this email?
              I do.
 5
         Α
 6
         0
              This is an email you wrote to me on March 28,
 7
     2017?
 8
         Α
              Yes.
              It says, "Michael, as I have previously
 9
         Q
10
     informed you, your client has no right whatsoever to my
11
     property in any manner. This is my notice to you,
12
     Larry, if you or any one of your agents come onto my
     property, I will immediately call the police and have
13
14
     you and your agents arrested for trespassing. Any
15
     notices will be immediately removed, and I will call the
16
     police."
17
              Do you see that?
18
         Α
              Yes.
19
              You wrote that at that time?
         Q
20
              I did.
         Α
              And you meant it when you wrote it, didn't you?
21
         Q
22
              I did.
         Α
23
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe that's all I have,
24
     your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Redirect, Counsel?
26
              (Redirect examination of Darryl Cotton)
2.7
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
28
              And referring back to that email that you were
         Q
```

1	just shown, why were you saying that they had no right		
2	to the property?		
3	A Because I had filed a counterclaim to their		
4	lawsuit indicating that we did not have a contract, and		
5	this was no longer a joint venture opportunity. The		
6	terms had never been reduced to writing. And, if		
7	necessary, we would litigate it. But they did not have		
8	my approval to access the property from that point		
9	forward.		
10	Q So, essentially, the agreement that you guys		
11	had was terminated?		
12	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Calls for a legal		
13	conclusion. It's argumentative as phrased.		
14	THE COURT: Those objections are overruled.		
15	MR. WEINSTEIN: I'll also object as leading.		
16	THE COURT: That objection is sustained.		
17	THE WITNESS: Should I answer this or		
18	MR. AUSTIN: No.		
19	THE COURT: Not yet.		
20	MR. AUSTIN: I have to rephrase.		
21	THE COURT: Wait for the next question.		
22	THE WITNESS: Got it.		
23	BY MR. AUSTIN:		
24	Q Did you sell did you enter into a different		
25	contract other than what you were hoping to achieve with		
26	Mr. Geraci?		
27	MR. WEINSTEIN: Objection. Vague.		
28	THE COURT: Do you understand the question?		

```
1
              THE WITNESS:
                            I did.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. The objection is
 3
     overruled.
              THE WITNESS: I did ultimately sell the
 4
     property to Richard John Martin. He met the terms and
 5
 6
     even then some beyond what Mr. Geraci and I would have
 7
     agreed to had it been reduced to writing.
     BY MR. AUSTIN:
 8
 9
              And you don't feel that Mr. Geraci ever lived
         0
10
     up to what he had been promising you for months.
11
     Correct?
12
              No. He was wasting my time.
         Α
13
              MR. AUSTIN: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Anything else, Counsel?
14
15
              (Recross-examination of Darryl Cotton)
     BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
16
              Mr. Cotton, is it correct that --
17
         Q
18
              THE REPORTER:
                             I'm sorry, ma the reporter hear
19
     the question again, please.
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Sure.
     BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
2.1
22
              Is it correct that Mr. Martin has assigned his
         0
23
     right to the property through this contract you claim to
     individuals including your attorney, Mr. Austin?
24
25
              That is incorrect.
         Α
26
              Has he assigned his rights to others?
         0
2.7
         Δ
             He did.
28
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. Nothing further, your
```

```
1
    Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Anything else, Counsel?
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Subject to the admission of
 4
     additional exhibits, does the defense rest?
 5
              MR. AUSTIN: The defense rests.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Will there be any
    rebuttal?
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Very briefly. A few questions.
10
              THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Folks,
11
    we're going to take our morning break. We're nearly
12
    done with all of the evidence. We're going to get you
13
    out of here by noon for sure.
14
              But we're going to take our morning break at
15
    this time. We're going to be in recess for
16
    approximately 15 minutes. Do not form or express an
17
    opinion or discuss the case until deliberations. We'll
    be in recess for 15 minutes.
18
19
              All right. The jury has left.
20
              Feel free to step down, Mr. Cotton.
21
              There were a number of objections interposed
22
    while Defense counsel was examining Mr. Cotton:
23
    Foundation, opinion. There were some other objections.
              What the Court was taking into consideration is
2.4
25
    the vast volume of evidence you have already elicited
26
    from Mr. Cotton including the exhibits, which based upon
2.7
    what I had heard and what I reviewed, establish the
28
     foundation for him to say just about everything that he
```

1 was asked to say. So that's what I was taking into 2 consideration when I overruled your objections. 3 So do I take it that your rebuttal witness will be Mr. Geraci? 4 MR. WEINSTEIN: It is. And it will be I 5 literally think two minutes. 6 7 THE COURT: And I wasn't sure. I didn't want 8 to put you under any pressure. I expected that it would 9 be really short. Not maybe as short as two minutes, but 10 I expected it to be shorter. 11 All right. And then that will conclude your 12 part of the evidence? 13 All right. Now, I would expect that when you're done with Mr. Geraci, we'll let the jury go and 14 15 tell them not to come back until Monday day for 16 instructions and closing arguments. Is that what you 17 all are asking the Court to do? 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. 19 MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll be in recess now 2.1 for 15 minutes. 22 (Recess from 10:27 a.m. to 10:41 a.m.) THE COURT: All right. We've got all of our 23 jurors. We're nearly done with the evidence. Then I've 2.4 25 got a few admonitions, and we're going to be letting you 26 go a little early today. 2.7 Counsel, it's now time for your rebuttal case. Your witness will be? 28

```
MR. WEINSTEIN: Mr. Geraci. It will be very
 1
 2
    brief.
 3
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Geraci. If you
     could please follow directions from my deputy and take
 4
     the stand.
 5
 6
              All right. And you recall you've been
 7
     previously sworn and you're still under oath?
 8
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
 9
              THE COURT: Thank you very much. Counsel,
10
     whenever you're ready.
11
12
                            Larry Geraci,
13
     being called on behalf of the plaintiff/cross-defendant,
     having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15
16
              (Direct examination of Larry Geraci on rebuttal)
17
     BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
18
         0
              Mr. Geraci, you just heard Mr. Cotton testify
19
     about using the words "joint venture" in communications
20
     with you. Do you recall that testimony?
21
         Α
              Yes, I do.
              Have you ever used the word "joint venture" in
22
23
     any text to Mr. Cotton?
24
         Α
              No, I haven't.
25
         0
              Have you used the term "joint venture" in any
26
     emails to Mr. Cotton?
2.7
         Α
              No, I haven't.
28
              Did you use the term "joint venture" in any
         Q
```

1 telephone communication with Mr. Cotton? 2 No, I haven't. Α 3 0 Has Mr. Cotton used the word "joint venture" in 4 any text to you? 5 No, he hasn't. Α Has he used the word "joint venture" in any 6 0 7 email to you? No, he hasn't. 8 Α 9 Has he used the word "joint venture" in any Q 10 telephone communication with you? 11 No, he hasn't. Α Now, putting aside any traffic tickets that you 12 0 13 may have gotten over the years, have you been convicted of a crime? 14 15 Α No, I haven't. 16 Have you ever even been arrested of a crime? Q 17 Α No, I haven't. 18 MR. WEINSTEIN: That's all I have, your Honor. 19 Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 21 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Weinstein, does 22 Plaintiff rest? 23 MR. WEINSTEIN: We do. Thank you. 2.4 25 THE COURT: So, folks, that takes --26 Mr. Geraci, you can have a seat back at your counsel's 2.7 table. That completes the presentation of the evidence. 28 Now you're probably wondering why in the world

2.4

2.7

on Wednesday at 10:45 can we not plow forward with closing -- with the instructions and closing arguments.

Things have accelerated tremendously compared to what I thought it might take this long. And that's a tribute, not a criticism of the lawyers. Certain witnesses that they had planned on calling that would have consumed more time, people have elected not to call.

So we are now where we are. I still need time to talk to the lawyers to finalize the verdict forms and the instructions.

Second of all, for reasons that were set long ago which have nothing to do with this case, I have something starting tomorrow morning at 1:30. So we would need -- I'm sorry -- tomorrow afternoon at 1:30.

So I need the afternoon with the lawyers, and we don't have enough time tomorrow morning to, if you will, squeeze in the instructions and the arguments.

And then I've got this conflict at 1:30. It doesn't always happen that I have juries with this much downtime. If you're upset or frustrated, you get upset or frustrated with me, not the lawyers or the parties. This is an important case to them. So if you vent, you vent on Judge Wohlfeil.

And one of these days, you'll have a chance to vote for or against me when my name appears on a ballot. So that is important.

So I do appreciate -- you're a terrific jury.

1 I appreciate your patience and understanding. So we're 2 now going to let you go. 3 When you come in Monday, the 15th at 9 o'clock, it will probably take me in the neighborhood of 30 to 45 4 minutes to give you the instructions. And once we do 5 that, then the lawyers will begin arguing. I don't know 6 7 if you'll get the case as early as noon, but you'll get 8 it shortly after your noon recess. 9 So you'll have all of Monday and however much 10 longer that you want in order to complete your 11 deliberations. 12 So we are on track compared to what we had 13 originally told you. I wish we could be doing this tomorrow afternoon, but it's just not something we can 14 15 do. So with all that in mind, we're going to be in 16 17 recess until Monday, the 15th. Do not form express or 18 an opinion or discuss the case until deliberations. 19 I look forward to seeing you Monday morning. 20 All right. So, Counsel, all of our jurors 21 are -- have left the department. 22 That's what's called falling on the Court's sword to keep your playing field as level as possible. 23 MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, your Honor. 24 MR. WEINSTEIN: I appreciate it. 25 THE COURT: It's not the first time I've done 26 2.7 that. But in any event. So let's start with exhibits. And we'll go to 28

Plaintiff's side first. I -- I indicated you have one 1 2 last opportunity to go over the admission of any 3 additional exhibits. 4 So let me ask you -- and then I'm going to go to the defense in just a moment. Let's just take a 5 moment and review the list. If there are any additional 6 7 exhibits that you have not yet moved that you want to, let's do so at this time. 8 9 So let's start with plaintiff. 10 MR. WEINSTEIN: We have no additional exhibits 11 to move into evidence. 12 THE COURT: All right. So Plaintiff rests in 13 its entirety. 14 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Great. Now, let's go to the 16 defense side. Are there any additional exhibits that 17 you would like to have admitted at this time? 18 And if you need an extra moment, we'll take the 19 time. 20 MR. AUSTIN: I think just Exhibit 281. 2.1 THE COURT: All right. That's Business and 22 Professions Code 26051. Are there any others other than 281? 23 MR. AUSTIN: I don't know if it was done 2.4 25 properly but also with the Business and Professions Code are two of the three lawsuits where Mr. Geraci is a 26 2.7 named party. 28 THE COURT: All right. We had previously

1 discussed those. So you're asking the Court to take 2 judicial notice of those two? 3 MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else other than 281 and Mr. Geraci's 5 two lawsuits? 6 7 MR. AUSTIN: I can't think of anything, your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. So let's talk about 10 Exhibit 281. 11 Objections, if any? 12 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. I don't see 13 why the jury should see a Code section. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 14 15 (Premarked Joint Exhibit 281 was not admitted 16 into evidence over objection.) 17 THE COURT: Now, let's go to the request for 18 judicial notice of the two judgments involving 19 Mr. Geraci, one of which is case -- it was. It's 2014, 20 case ending 20897. And the second one, the year is 21 2015, case number ending 4430. 22 Objections, if any, from Plaintiff's side? 23 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. We object to them for the following reason. It's cumulative. 2.4 25 There's been testimony that both parties have been 26 subject to these kinds of orders, number one. 2.7 Number two, I think there's a danger that the 28 jury is going to end up trying to interpret what those

```
1
     orders mean. And I think if there's any appropriate
 2
     legal conclusions to draw from those, then they ought to
 3
    be in the form of instructions from the Court rather
     than having them just look at documents and -- you know,
 4
 5
     and attempt to determine and conclude what they mean.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. So I think I'm hearing
 7
    a 352 objection?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. And it's cumulative
 8
 9
    because I think both -- there's been testimony that they
10
    both received -- they both were in lawsuits involving
11
     legal dispensaries.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Counsel, putting aside
13
    the 352 factors and just focusing on relevancy, I've
     looked at these two prior judgments. Do you have them
14
15
     in front of you?
              MR. AUSTIN: I do not, your Honor.
16
17
              THE COURT: You don't have them anywhere in
18
    your file?
19
              MR. AUSTIN: Oh, I have them. It's just --
20
              THE COURT: Are they somewhere that you can get
21
     ahold of them so you can --
22
              MR. AUSTIN:
                           If it's your inclination not to
     include them?
23
              THE COURT: Well, everything starts with
24
    relevancy. Putting aside whether the probative value is
25
26
     substantially outweighed by undue prejudice or any other
2.7
    of the 352 factors including but not limited to
     cumulativeness, as I read these judgments, Mr. Geraci is
28
```

2.7

- not barred from trying to obtain whatever permission he would need or anybody would need from operating a marijuana dispensary. And I thought that was your theory at one point.
  - And if that were your theory, I'm not seeing anything, well, inside the four corners of these judgments that prohibit Mr. Geraci from, for example, doing the deal that he had proposed to do with Mr. Cotton.
  - MR. AUSTIN: I think there was a change in the law, which would -- would change that. But I'm willing to not argue the matter if your Honor is inclined not to include it. We can just -- we can forget about it.
  - THE COURT: All right. Well, then, I'll sustain the objections and not take judicial notice of Mr. Geraci's two prior judgments.
  - I also am mindful of the evidence that the jury has heard evidence to the effect that Mr. Geraci was a defendant in two civil judgments where some injunctions were issued and Mr. Cotton, I think I heard, was a defendant in a civil case in which a Court issued an injunction.
  - So the jury has heard evidence of that part of each of your respective clients' history.
- 25 All right. So that completes all of the evidence.
  - Now, let's talk about motions. Let's start with Defendant's motion to Plaintiff's case in chief.

Do you have a motion directed towards Plaintiff's case 1 2 in chief? 3 MR. AUSTIN: I was starting to prepare a motion for nonsuit, but I do not have one completed. 4 THE COURT: Well, let's not worry about whether 5 it's in writing or not. But do you have a motion for 6 7 nonsuit you'd like to bring against Plaintiff's case? 8 MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor. 9 Plaintiff has not proven that there even is a 10 contract, and they certainly can't meet the barrier of 11 showing a meeting of the minds. Their entire case is 12 based off that November 2nd document, and I feel the 13 facts have established that that is not a fully integrated contract. That is not a contract at all. 14 15 It's -- it's an agreement. It's an acknowledgment of a 16 receipt of a part of a down deposit. 17 THE COURT: All right. Just give me one 18 moment. 19 All right. The response from Plaintiff's side? 20 MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe evidence has been 21 submitted that would be sufficient to sustain that a 22 contract was formed, a written contract, and that a meeting of the minds was obtained. And that's what the 23 jury is here to decide. You know, they can argue the 24 25 evidence the way they want to argue it at the time of 26 closing, but we presented evidence of an agreement that 2.7 was signed, that has all the essential terms of a 28 real estate deal, according to the law, and we have

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28

1 Mr. Geraci's testimony about their meeting when it was 2 signed. That's sufficient to go to the jury on whether 3 there's been a contract. 4 THE COURT: The motion is denied. The Court is

THE COURT: The motion is denied. The Court is satisfied that Plaintiff has introduced or made a prima facie showing on each of the elements on which Plaintiff bears the burden of proof for its two contract claims, mainly breach of written contract 303, CACI 303, and breach of the implied covenants for good faith and fair dealing, which is CACI 325.

Now, let me emphasize. All I'm saying is that there is enough evidence before the jury that could, not will, but could enable the jury to return a verdict on those claims. Whether the jury does so or not is why we have a jury.

So now, let me go to Plaintiff's side. Do you have any motion directed towards Cross-complainant's claim?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We have motions for directed verdict. And the first one, I think we have it prepared in writing.

THE COURT: If you can file that with my deputy and provide a copy to opposing counsel.

This is technically Cross-Defendant's motion?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Cross-Defendant Geraci's motion for a directed verdict.

So the written motion really is, to cite the law, as it relates to the contract claim. I'll speak to

2.1

2.7

that first. And then I'm going to bring a motion with respect to the court claims, which is based on different grounds. But it would be a motion for a directed verdict.

May I go ahead?

THE COURT: You bet.

MR. WEINSTEIN: All right. So there are competing contracts, if you will, in this case. My client contends that the written agreement was entered into between the parties on November 2nd, 2016.

Mr. Cotton has contended and it's become clear through his testimony that the parties he says agreed on terms but that it was the understanding of the parties that there would not be a binding agreement unless and until it was reduced to a writing and signed by both parties.

That raises two issues. One is under the Beck case, which is cited in our brief, if the intention of the parties was to not have a binding agreement until it was reduced to writing and signed, that never happened and there's no -- there's no binding contract that was ever formed. That's number one.

The flip side of that is if under some theory -- and I don't see any other one that's consistent with the evidence, because the evidence presented was pretty clear by Mr. Cotton as to what he believes happened -- it would be an oral agreement for the purchase of property that would be barred by the

statute of frauds. 1 2 But the primary argument is that under his 3 testimony, he's essentially alleged and provided evidence of the fact that they never entered into a 4 binding agreement. That's been his contention, and 5 that's what he testified to on multiple occasions under 6 7 examination. So that's the primary basis for the contract motion. 8 9 There's a secondary argument based on the 10 damages, which I think I'll address in connection with 11 the tort motion. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. WEINSTEIN: All right. 14 THE COURT: That's fine. 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Shall I move to -- do you want to hear the tort motion first? 16 17 THE COURT: Let's resolve the contract claim 18 So your theory in contract is what, Counsel? 19 Your turn. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Weinstein -- oh. It's mv 20 21 turn? 22 THE COURT: I'm sorry. No. You. 23 MR. AUSTIN: Okay. THE COURT: But Mr. Cotton's cross claim for a 2.4 25 breach of contract against Mr. Geraci is what? 26 MR. AUSTIN: That they entered into an oral 2.7 agreement. It was intended to be binding. All the terms were included. And the realty is Mr. Geraci just 28

1 strung Mr. Cotton along for several months indicating 2 that, yeah, we're going to go forward with this, we're 3 going to do it. And it was Mr. Cotton's understanding the whole time that the terms that they agreed on were 4 going to be reduced to writing and -- and --5 THE REPORTER: Couldn't hear. Again, for the 6 7 reporter, please. MR. AUSTIN: So all the terms were -- were 8 9 oral. But everything was included. It was -- it was an 10 integrated oral agreement. And I know it's for the sale 11 of property. So there is a statute and fraud issue. 12 But Mr. Geraci was fraudulently leading him along, 13 making promises, and just not delivering. 14 THE COURT: All right. The Court heard 15 references both on direct and cross-examination of 16 Mr. Cotton to a joint venture. MR. AUSTIN: Yes. It was his understanding 17 18 that he was going to be essentially a partner. Albeit a 19 very small sliver. But that's where the equity stake 20 comes in. 21 And even a 10 percent share makes him 22 essentially a general partner or a joint venture. And I 23 think joint venture is the most appropriate terminology. 2.4 THE COURT: All right. 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, may I address those 26 points. 2.7 THE COURT: Absolutely. 28 MR. WEINSTEIN: All right. First, Mr. --

signed by the parties.

2.7

Mr. Austin has argued that the testimony was that they
entered into an oral agreement -- or an agreement that
was intended to be binding. That wasn't the testimony.
The testimony of Mr. Cotton on the four or five
occasions was it wasn't intended to be binding unless
and until it was written to -- put into writing and

So that means it's not -- under that theory, he can't have a binding agreement, under the Beck case.

And the distinction is whether there's a factual dispute as to what the intention of the parties were in terms of reducing it to writing. My client says that wasn't the agreement at all. But Mr. Cotton has been clear on what the theory is. That's number one.

As for the joint venture, I don't think that is implicated by the first argument. But I think what the Court is driving on on the joint venture is if there's a theory -- evidence to support some type of binding agreement, is there a statute of frauds issue because there was this alleged joint venture.

There has been -- other than using the words

"joint venture," there has been nothing alleged -- or

I'm sorry -- no testimony about any obligations that

Mr. Cotton was going to be bound to in connection with

the operation of the business. In fact, he said clearly
he had no interest in the operation. He was just
looking for a revenue stream.

So they can call it the joint venture. The

2.7

joint venture is two people coming together like a partnership to work and share a profit.

MR. TOOTHACRE: Or loss. Or loss. His claim is simply I agree -- as part of the purchase, I agree to get 10 percent ownership interest, which would be passive. Okay. He's not obligated to do anything like you would in a classic joint venture.

So there is -- the words Mr. Cotton certainly testified that -- he used the words "joint venture" in communication with Mr. Geraci, even though there's not a single written document that has those words in it.

But what he's described isn't a joint venture. So if the Court is looking at whether the -- you know, assuming there was an agreement in the first place, whether the joint venture would be something that naturally would be the subject of a separate agreement, therefore not subject to the statute of frauds, I think that failed because there's no evidence to actually support an actual joint venture.

And, in fact, the testimony has been clear it was all part of what he was going to get for giving up his property. He wasn't going to be involved in the business.

So I don't think the evidence would support that kind of a finding or argument. Thank you.

THE COURT: Final comments.

MR. AUSTIN: On the joint venture, whether he was going to be a part of operations isn't -- isn't the

2.7

determining factor. There were many discussions about, you know, branding and working together and essentially doing some marketing of his 151 Farms.

And if you look at even the first page on Exhibit 5, nine text messages down, the third from Mr. Geraci is if we can get this through, that should work as a great asset to the business. There's other language about, like, let's do this. We are going to make a lot of money together, throughout their text messages. It's clear that the course of their conversation indicated there was going to be some degree of working together. So --

MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, one quick point on that, if I may.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WEINSTEIN: The text messages -- the text messages that have been referred to was a discussion about the possibility of Mr. Cotton supplying product to the business. That's it. Which he testified would be -- wasn't part of the deal because he wasn't going to have an interest in operating the dispensary or running the dispensary. It's no different than any other potential, if you will, business opportunity. And the comment about making some money if we do this is -- is a reference to the deal. It's sort of a circular thing. There's nothing explicit about any -- any evidence to support that they had some deal to work together to split the profit and losses of the business.

2.7

THE COURT: All right. If the evidence had ended as of yesterday, we would be having a very different discussion than I expect we'll have this morning.

As of this time yesterday, I understood Plaintiff's theory in the case. But I was not clear on what Plaintiff's (sic) theory was.

From Mr. Geraci's perspective, this was a straight-on purchase of real estate, which requires a writing. Now, I agree with the defense -- well, let me back up.

I disagree with the defendant's position that -- well, let me rephrase that.

I agree with the proposition that the three-sentence paragraph -- three-sentence contract on November 2 was not an integrated contract. I do think, though, that there's enough there that a jury could return a verdict in favor of Mr. Geraci on his breach of contract claim, given his theory.

Now, today, we heard evidence of a joint venture, the terms of which are not entirely clear to the Court. But, folks, if the Court of Appeal were looking at this record, I'm of the view that they would see enough that would allow Mr. Cotton's theory, based upon an oral joint venture agreement to go to the jury, which does not require a writing for him to contribute his property to what he's characterizing as a venture.

There's more the Court could say, but that may

1 sound like I'm commenting on the weight of the evidence, 2 and it's not my prerogative to comment on the weight of 3 the evidence. MR. WEINSTEIN: May I ask --4 THE COURT: I do think -- no, Counsel. I've 5 heard. 6 7 I think there's enough, given what I now 8 understand cross-complainant's theory to be, for him to 9 survive a motion for -- I thought it was actually 10 nonsuit. You called it a directed verdict -- and allow 11 the contract claim to go to the jury. 12 Like I commented when I was denying Defendant's 13 motion, the plaintiff's claims, I have no idea whether the jury might find that there's enough to return a 14 verdict in favor of Mr. Cotton on his contract claim. 15 16 However, there appears to be enough of a foundation in 17 the evidence that would support one, in contract. 18 So that part of Cross-Defendant's motion will 19 be denied. 20 Now, let's go to the tort claims. 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor. 22 the -- I'm still not sure I've heard what the alleged 23 false representations are. THE COURT: You know what, let me just -- I 24 25 agree. Now, let me go over here. Well, I better let 26 you make your record, because I have some reservations 2.7 too. But --28 MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't see any sufficient

2.7

evidence of -- that's been put forth for a prima facie case of intentional or negligent misrepresentations or even false promise in the testimony we heard. And that -- and then evidence that it was somehow relied upon and caused damage, because the second part of the motion is I haven't heard any evidence of legally sufficient damages. There's been no evidence of any direct out-of-pocket loss.

There's been some testimony that Mr. Cotton believed that there were -- you know, there would be lost profits, if you will. But under Sargon, I don't think there's any foundation or support for that opinion.

On cross, we elicit the fact that there's really no basis for saying that he was going to make billions of dollars or he would have lost money on a dispensary that had never even opened and without any information about any similar dispensaries and their operation and what they have done that might support what an expert might testify to support some kind of lost profit analysis.

And, certainly, no evidence of -- you know, I don't know how you get past the fact that he would have to prove under his theory that I think that a CUP would have been gotten. And I don't think he's presented any evidence of that. And the evidence that's been submitted as to fair market value or value of the property, which were -- which was elicited, which both

involved time periods before the deal was made don't 1 2 have anything to do with the value of the property with 3 a potential conditional use permit which had not been achieved but was only a possibility in the future. 4 5 So I just don't think there's any connection between any testimony about misrepresentations and any 6 7 legally sufficient damages that were caused by it. And 8 that's -- that's the essence of my argument as to the 9 tort claims. 10 I would also say that I'm -- and this is not to 11 go back to the contract claim. But we said I would 12 address damages at one time. I don't understand the 13 damage theory on the breach of contract claim even because the instructions -- and we'll obviously be going 14 15 through those -- talk about -- you know, there are 16 specific instructions on the sale of property and the 17 seller's remedy and the buyer's remedy. There's been no 18 evidence to support the types of things you would have 19 to establish for those damages. 20 And we've not sought those damages. So we 21 haven't put on any expert testimony about that. We just 22 have asked for reliance damages. 23 THE COURT: I got you. 2.4 MR. WEINSTEIN: So those are my arguments, your 25 Honor. 26 THE COURT: All right. So let me go to --2.7 MR. WEINSTEIN: One more point. And certainly 28 no evidence sufficient to support any kind of -- well,

1 punitive damage claim I guess would rest on the finding. 2 So I'll withdraw that. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. So what is the specific 4 misrepresentation or misrepresentations, plural, that 5 you're relying upon? MR. AUSTIN: They're contained within the 6 7 emails the text messages that have been submitted. Like, there's one from Darryl -- like -- this is in 8 9 Exhibit 5, February 27th. 10 THE COURT: Of 2017? 11 MR. AUSTIN: Of 2017, yes. 12 So there's a continued course of conduct of 13 misrepresentation where Mr. Geraci is saying that, yes, like, I'm having her rewrite it now, in reference to 14 15 Gina drafting the contract. So he believes 16 everything -- he's led to believe everything is moving 17 along. And it's just a continuous set of 18 misrepresentations. 19 He even tells Darryl Gina Austin is there, in 20 reference to -- to this speaking engagement. She has on 21 a red jacket. If you want to have a conversation with 22 her. 23 From Mr. Cotton's perspective, it only makes sense that, you know, he feels their oral agreement from 24 25 November 2nd is being pursued. 26 THE COURT: All right. Let me -- I understand 2.7 Mr. Cotton's view on him being strung along by 28 Mr. Geraci. But your theory is that there was an oral

agreement, which was entered into when? On or before 1 2 the 11/2/2016 written agreement? 3 MR. AUSTIN: Yes. THE COURT: Or does it coincide with that? 4 MR. AUSTIN: I think November 2nd is when they 5 6 officially had, you know, essentially their meeting of the minds. 7 8 THE COURT: All right. So misrepresentation or 9 false promise contemplates that whatever statement 10 Mr. Geraci allegedly made that's fraudulent in nature 11 induced Mr. Cotton to enter into something or to do 12 something. And I think what you're saying, your theory 13 is that he was induced to enter into this oral agreement 14 to become joint ventures. And that occurred on or about November 2 of 2016. 15 16 So what misrepresentation or false promise, if 17 any, did Mr. Geraci make that induced Mr. Cotton to 18 enter into this oral joint venture agreement? 19 MR. AUSTIN: It was all the terms that he had 20 promised him. You know, Mr. Geraci is a very 21 sophisticated -- and he was making a lot of promises to 22 Darryl about how successful it's going to be, how much influence he had, how we had this team assembled. 23 And he was going it get the CUP in there and how he was 24 25 going to give Darryl the 10 percent equity stake, the 26 minimum payments per month. Everything that he promised 2.7 him was to induce. 28 THE COURT: Let me just stop you there for one

1 moment here. 2 All right. So you identified a number of 3 statements. The only one of which, if there's evidence to support it, that might form the basis of a fraud 4 5 theory, the representation that Mr. Geraci was 6 sophisticated. He is. The representation that he has 7 influence. He does. The representation that he would assemble a team. He did. The representation that he 8 9 would go in there to obtain the CUP. He made, from the 10 Court's perspective, substantial, if not overwhelming 11 efforts to try to secure the CUP. 12 Now, he may have all kinds of motivations to do 13 this, but he did -- or let me put it this way -- there's no evidence to con -- from my perspective, no evidence 14 15 to contradict the reasonableness of those 16 representations. 17 Now, let's get to your representation that he 18 said Mr. Cotton would get a 10 percent equity stake with 19 minimum payments per month. 20 Who testified to that? 21 MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Cotton. 22 THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you there. 23 Let me go back to the plaintiff/Cross-Defendant side. 2.4 MR. WEINSTEIN: So --25 THE COURT: The only thing you need to focus 26 on --2.7 MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. THE COURT: -- is evidence of whether 28

be an agreement.

1 Mr. Geraci made the statement that Mr. Cotton would get 2 a 10 percent stake in what they're characterizing as an 3 oral joint venture. MR. WEINSTEIN: All right. So that's a --4 5 there was -- there was testimony by Mr. Cotton that that's what they discussed. Mr. Geraci has denied that. 6 7 But for purposes of this motion, we rely on Mr. Cotton's testimony. 8 9 It's -- ultimately, you can't have a fraud 10 claim that's based on mere nonperformance of the representation. Otherwise, every contract claim, 11 12 dispute over a contract, would be a tort claim. And 13 there -- there has to be -- the -- I suppose that the -there's nothing in -- there's no written representation, 14 15 obviously, because they came in documents that 16 Mr. Cotton prepared that Mr. Geraci undispute --17 indisputably didn't sign. So those representations in 18 the written documents can't be attributed to him. 19 So what he's really saying is he promised to 20 sign an agreement containing these terms and he never 2.1 That -- that -- I don't believe can convert a contract claim to a tort claim. I don't believe it's 22 23 sufficient. 2.4 I know there's -- the Tenzer versus Superscope 25 case is the one that comes to mind. 26 THE COURT: Well, I'm not so concerned about 2.7 this because I do not consider the 11/2/16 agreement to

```
1
              All right. Well, I appreciate your candor,
 2
    Counsel. All right. Based upon that evidence, the
 3
    Court denies the motions to dismiss Plaintiff's claims
     in fraud. However, that still gets us down to the issue
 4
    of damages.
 5
              And let me go back to Cross-complainant's
 6
 7
     counsel. What's your theory in damages?
              MR. AUSTIN: Well, his -- his expectation was
 8
9
    to be getting a minimum of $10,000 a month, and the CUP
10
     lasts 10 years. So that would be a minimum. And with
    the 10 percent equity stake, like, if the business was
11
12
    doing exceptionally well, it would be more than that.
13
    And but -- but for the process taking so long, it's
     almost certain that that CUP would have been acquired.
14
15
    And Mr. Cotton has asserted many concerns throughout
    this litigation that Mr. Geraci had an incentive to
16
17
    prevent the CUP from going through. I think it's
18
    certainly clear with his team that they could have
19
    gotten this done much faster.
20
              There's only 36 of these CUPs in San Diego.
21
              THE COURT: Well, counsel -- but, Counsel, let
22
    me stop you, because I'm trying to understand your
23
    theory in damages.
24
              MR. AUSTIN: Okay.
25
              THE COURT: So beyond the 10 percent -- well,
26
    anything else, Counsel?
              MR. AUSTIN: Mr. -- Mr. Cotton has had to sell
2.7
28
    off his interest in the property, and I don't know if
```

```
1
     it's too late to enter any of that into evidence.
 2
              THE COURT: The evidence is closed.
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah.
              THE COURT: So even though it might have been
 4
 5
     introduced, your side chose not to do so. So --
    all right.
 6
 7
              MR. AUSTIN: But --
              THE COURT:
 8
                          I'm sorry?
 9
              MR. AUSTIN: But assuming $10,000 a month,
10
    that's $120,000 --
11
              THE COURT: What's the evidence to support
12
    that?
13
              MR. AUSTIN: The writings, the service
     agreement, the memorandum of understanding. And -- oh.
14
15
    Also, there was an email from Mr. Geraci to Darryl
16
    Cotton saying, oh, my guy, Matt, says $10,000 a month
17
    might be difficult to reach for the first six months.
18
    Can we do 5,000 instead.
19
              THE COURT: All right. So what's the evidence?
20
    And that's what I keep coming back to. What's the
21
     evidence to support when that was supposed to begin?
22
              MR. AUSTIN: I think as soon as -- as soon as
23
    the dispensary opened and -- and once all the building
24
    was complete.
25
              THE COURT: But who testified to when the
26
    dispensary would reasonably be opened?
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: I don't think that was testified
     to.
28
```

```
1
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 2
             MR. AUSTIN: I don't believe that was testified
 3
    to.
             THE COURT: Well, so then we don't have
 4
 5
    evidence of it, at least not a foundation of a start
 6
    date. So how long was this revenue stream supposed to
 7
    qo on?
             MR. AUSTIN: Well, presumably, the life span of
 8
 9
    a CUP is 10 years. And they could be renewed.
10
             THE COURT: Did somebody testify to the life
11
    span of a CUP?
12
             MR. AUSTIN: I believe Mr. Cotton did.
13
             THE COURT: All right. Let me go
14
    back to you, Counsel.
15
             MR. WEINSTEIN: First of all, why -- I'm not
16
     saying Mr. Cotton didn't testify to that. I don't
17
    remember him testifying to that. But nevertheless, they
18
    still have -- there's no evidence that the CUP would
19
    ever have been obtained.
20
             THE COURT: Well, on that subject, there is
21
    evidence from Mr. Bartell --
22
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Right.
23
             THE COURT: They can rely upon your witnesses'
2.4
    testimony as well.
25
             MR. WEINSTEIN: So --
26
             THE COURT: Mr. Bartell made an awful good
    witness and all but said that instead of being 19 for
2.7
     20, he would have been 20 for 20 but for Mr. Cotton's
28
```

```
1
     interference.
 2
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So --
 3
              THE COURT: In fact, I think you may have
     elicited it.
 4
 5
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              T did.
 6
              THE COURT: Counsel, you may have. I'm not
 7
    picking on you, but that's what I seem to recall to be
     the up -- so there's evidence, I think, that it's more
 8
 9
     probable than not that a CUP had been issued and the
10
     dispensary opened.
11
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Had Mr. Cotton not interfered.
12
              THE COURT: Right.
13
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So what Mr. Cotton is saying
     I've put on evidence that the CUP would have been
14
15
     granted had I not interfered. But there's no evidence
     from his side that he wouldn't have interfered the way
16
17
    he did.
              I don't think he can -- we have an argument
18
     that there's been an excuse of performance, but he
19
     doesn't have an argument that getting the CUP was
20
     excused.
2.1
              It's -- so --
22
              THE COURT: I think, though, what I'm hearing
     is that he thought he had a deal involving a joint
23
     venture, Mr. Geraci refused to memorialize it in that
24
25
     form. And I understand why Mr. Geraci chose not to do
26
     so. I understand your theory of the case.
2.7
              But what you're calling interference was --
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So how -- how does -- what
28
```

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

1 evidence is there of what the damages would have been? 2 THE COURT: Well, Counsel, all is not lost yet 3 from your side. The most that I'm hearing -- well, first of all, I'm not persuaded that there is a rational 4 5 foundation in the evidence to support a lot of profits claim by Mr. Cotton. There's just too many variables 6 7 that the jury couldn't possibly -- that are not before 8 the jury that would prevent them from returning a 9 verdict on lost profits. 10

So what you may be down to is, number one, a nominal case of damages, and perhaps something measured by this 10 percent equity stake that there is evidence of.

I mean, I know that there are a lot of inferences to be drawn. I have to be very careful that I don't dismiss something where there is some foundation in the evidence that might support an award.

Now, folks, your guess is as good as mine as to what the jury is going to do with this. But all of this, I would expect, will become the subject of post trial motions depending upon what the jury does. And I'm not going to be shy taking another look at this depending upon what the jury does. That's not to suggest that I'm going to second-guess -- second-guess the jury. But it's a lot easier to let the juror speak and then we all revisit this topic a second time.

For example -- for example -- and I'm not trying to pick on the plaintiff -- well, the

1 cross-defendant's side. 2 But you want me to dismiss one, if not all of 3 the cross claims by Mr. Cotton. What if, for example, the jury denied -- the Court denies that motion but the 4 jury ultimately finds in favor of Mr. Geraci on 5 everything. It doesn't sound like there's much room for 6 7 an appeal, does it? 8 MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm not -- I quess I didn't 9 follow. I'll admit it. So if you --10 THE COURT: We need to take a lunch. Is that 11 correct at some point, don't we, Counsel? 12 All right. Now, what I'm saying -- just 13 reflect upon this, because we are going to stop in just a few minutes. If the Court denies the motions by 14 15 Cross-Defendant and let's the jury decide and the jury ultimately decides in favor of Mr. Geraci on 16 17 everything --18 MR. WEINSTEIN: I get that. 19 THE COURT: -- all right. What's left, if 20 anything, for Mr. Cotton to complain about? 21 Now, let me look over towards Mr. Cotton's 22 I'm not predicting that Mr. Geraci is going to win on everything, but it's a lot safer to let the jury 23 decide in the first instance, if there is a risk that I 24 25 would be making a mistake by granting the motion and not 26 letting the jury decide it. And I expect no matter what 2.7 I do, the loser in this -- and there will be a loser --28 is going to file an appeal.

```
1
              So part of what I need to take into
 2
     consideration is how the Court of Appeal who is going to
 3
     have a lot more time to look at the record than I do is
     going to evaluate the decisions we make before the jury
 4
 5
     gets the case.
              So what I --
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: May I have one comment, though,
     because I understand --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Sure.
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I mean, I've heard that
11
     argument before in cases I've tried. And I understand
12
     the practical nature of that. There's a limit to the
13
     Court's willingness and practicality of being a
14
     gatekeeper, so to speak.
15
              But the -- I still have not yet heard what the
     evidence is of causation of those damages, who testified
16
17
     that -- that those damages would have occurred? It
18
     would have occurred --
19
              THE COURT: Well, what damages are you
20
     referring to now?
21
              MR. WEINSTEIN: The -- I'm sorry.
22
     Cross-complainant's damages.
23
              THE COURT:
                          I know. But are we talking about
24
     the measure of the 10 percent equity stake?
25
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, the 10 percent equity
26
     stake, I think there's no basis for that because what
2.7
     does that mean? It means you have a 10 percent
28
     ownership interest, according to the testimony, in this
```

2.7

28

1 enterprise that nobody knows what its value is going to 2 be. 3 THE COURT: Let me step back. You raised a good point. In and of itself, I tend to agree with what 4 5 counsel is saying. But you said something about \$10,000 6 per month? 7 MR. AUSTIN: Yes. So it comes up multiple times. It's a 10 percent equity stake but also a 8 9 minimum 10,000-dollar-a-month payment. Actually, it 10 suggests language whichever is greater. So 11 theoretically, it could be more than \$10,000 a month. 12 And some of these principals make, like, \$80,000 a day. 13 I know I didn't introduce that evidence through an 14 expert. 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Still --16 THE COURT: In some respects --17 MR. WEINSTEIN: I mean, you still have to have 18 a dispensary. It has to start at some time. There has 19 to be money to make the payments. There's no evidence 20 that any of that would have occurred. They have put no 21 evidence in of that. 22 THE COURT: Here's what we're going to do. You may be right, Counsel. I mean, folks, I'm not trying to 23 arbitrarily end your case. But it is your obligation to 24 25 give the jury enough evidence that they can rationally 26 return a verdict in accordance with your theory.

there's a prima facie showing of evidence in the record,

And if I can't make an initial finding that

2.7

then I have to grant the motion. I'm not weighing
anything.

These are good issues that the other side is raising.

So what we're going to do, we're going to end a little earlier. I'm going to reflect upon it over lunch. And it's possible that I'll still remain inclined to deny the motion. But that will not necessarily stop us from having a very candid discussion on the damages.

And I remain of the view that Mr. Cotton's side is going to have an uphill battle to persuade the Court to give a loss of profits instruction.

Now, I don't know how, if at all, that's connected to his theory that he was entitled to a 10 percent equity stake measured at the rate of \$10,000 or so per month. I don't know how those will be reconciled.

But I need to think about this a little further before I make the final decision.

So why don't we do this. We're going to need a fair amount of time this afternoon in any event. Let's stop a little early now. And then I'm going to ask everyone to get back by call it 1:20 or so. I'll take the bench as soon as I can. Hopefully, before 1:30. But we'll try to resume at 1:20 or so, finalize the motion, which we're down to Cross-complainant's motion -- or I'm sorry -- Cross-Defendant's motion

```
1
     against the cross-complainant. And it's really down now
 2
     to fraud.
 3
              And then we'll go into the jury instructions
     and finalize the verdict form. Clearly, the verdict
 4
     form -- well, the verdict form could look very
 5
     differently than it was when I sent it to you a couple
 6
 7
     of days ago.
              So we'll be in recess now until about 1:20.
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor.
10
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you, your Honor.
11
              (Lunch recess from 11:36 a.m. to 1:22 p.m.)
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Afternoon, your Honor.
              THE COURT: I got caught up with one of my
14
15
     colleagues, which is why I'm running a few minutes late.
                     Why don't we just make a quick note of
16
              Okav.
17
     something here.
                     When we left off, the Court had heard
18
              Okay.
19
     arguments on Cross-Defendant's motion for nonsuit or
20
     directed verdict on Cross-complainant's claims for
2.1
     fraud.
22
              Here's what I'm inclined to do. And I'll give
23
     each of you one last opportunity to weigh in if you'd
2.4
     like.
25
              The Court is inclined to grant in part and deny
26
     in part that motion. To deny the motion and dismiss the
2.7
     underlying claims for intentional misrepresentation,
28
     false promise, and negative misrepresentation.
```

2.7

To grant the motion and dismiss the claims for malice, fraud, and oppression -- or oppression, which may form the predicate for punitive damages.

Though I am of the view that there is enough evidence in the record to support a finding on one or more of those underlying claims, I am not persuaded that there's enough evidence that would support a finding by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Geraci involved in malice, fraud, or oppression that would support a claim for punitive damages.

Now, I'm still not clear on how, if at all, the Court should address the arguments made by Cross-Defendant on Cross-complainant's theory of damages. What I'm going to do is to address that when we get into the jury instructions. There may be some limitations based upon the lack of evidence of the nature and scope of damages Cross-complainant may be allowed to ask the jury to award. In fact, I expect there will be.

But I'm not sure how to define them at this point. It seems reasonable that the Court do so, if at all, in context of the jury instructions.

So nobody is getting everything you want. Each of you are getting a little bit. But, again, I am limited by what the Court can or should do based on the evidence in the record.

So let me go to moving party comments.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. And I understand the

2.7

1 | Court's tentative, what it's inclined to do.

I just want to add a couple of arguments for the record, at least.

As to damages, I want to additional say that I think that based on the evidence presented, damages are too speculative to go to the jury. And what's different -- what I didn't mention earlier, which I'm going to bring up now is -- Mr. Cotton -- first of all, the theory of damages has been sort of a tar baby in the case. I'm having a hard time following that theory as it's changed, and theory of liability has sort of changed over time.

But what was clear in the testimony of Mr. Cotton as to what he claims the agreement was is he says the parties agree to put down in -- to reduce to writing the terms and conditions that were in the two working documents that he submitted to Mr. Geraci on September 24th, 2016.

And those were Exhibits 10 and 11. One was called a services agreement, and one was called a memorandum of understanding.

Now, as I understood the Court's discussion this morning, it was sort of based on the sliver of the fact that the alleged agreement or what Mr. Cotton is alleging was agreed to included a 10,000-dollar-per-month guaranteed payment. So it's one thing to calculate net profits, which the Court recognized was really difficult and speculative, but,

1 you know, \$10,000 guaranteed minimum payments is a fixed 2 number irrespective of what the net profits are, in 3 theory, at least. I went back and looked at Exhibit 10 and 4 5 Exhibit 11, which is the claimed agreement -- or what was to be in the claimed agreement. There was no 6 7 mention of a 10,000-dollar guaranteed minimum payment in those documents. There's a mention of a 10 percent 8 9 interest -- a 10 percent of net profit interest. But no 10 mention -- can I bring up Exhibit 11? Is that 11 all right? 12 THE COURT: I don't care. That's fine. Or I 13 can just reach for it. MR. WEINSTEIN: That's fine. 14 15 THE COURT: Let me see here. You said 16 Exhibit 11, Counsel? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. 10 and 11, but 11 is the 17 18 one I want to focus on. 19 THE COURT: But, Counsel, let me -- before we 20 invest this time -- and I'll look at it. But let's 21 assume that there is little, if not anything, involving 22 the testimony that Mr. Cotton has now presided -presented in the documents. Wouldn't there still be 23 24 evidence in the record to support his claim? Maybe not 25 credibility evidence, from your side's perspective. 26 gotcha. But wouldn't there still be evidence in the 2.7 record? And that's where I have limitations. 28

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I understand because there's
 2
    been, I would argue, inconsistent testimony, then, that
 3
     I can argue to a jury. And I do appreciate that.
    wanted -- I had not brought that to the Court's
 4
    attention. And since the sliver was on this -- there's
 5
 6
    at least certainty when there's a quaranteed amount, you
 7
    know. When it's only net profits, it's completely -- 10
 8
    percent net profits is completely speculative. But I
9
     appreciate the Court's comment that, well, he can
10
    present inconsistent testimony. I can argue it and say
11
    consistent. And I can win or lose that argument.
12
              But I wanted to at least put that on the
13
    record.
14
              THE COURT: Do you still want me to look at
15
    Exhibit 11, though?
16
             MR. WEINSTEIN: It would just make me more
17
     assured. It's paragraph 5 on Exhibit 11.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 11 did not find its way
18
19
     into my notebook.
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. Because it was added --
21
              THE COURT: Does that not surprise you,
22
    Counsel.
              Isn't that the way things have worked in this
23
    trial. That may be one that you added.
24
             MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              It was.
              THE COURT: Okay. Can you provide that to my
25
    deputy, please.
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I know it made it into the
2.7
    witness exhibit.
28
```

```
1
              THE CLERK:
                          That's my fault. Sorry.
 2
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I prefer to blame my colleague.
 3
              THE COURT: The paragraph again?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Five. It's on the second page,
 4
 5
     if my memory is correct. Now I don't have one in front
    of me.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: And remind me. This is something
     that -- who sent this to who?
 8
9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: This was one of the two
10
    documents that there's been testimony was sent on
11
     September 26th of the working documents drafted by
12
    Mr. Geraci -- Mr. Cotton on September -- he sent it to
13
    Mr. Geraci on September 26th. He's testified that the
    agreement, the terms they agreed to, as of November --
14
15
    as of November 2nd, 2016, were the terms and conditions
16
    that were encompassed in these working documents that he
17
     then said Gina Austin was supposed to reduce to a
18
    writing to be signed by the parties.
19
              But paragraph 5 of the MOU talks about a
20
    monthly 10 percent of the dispensary's net profits.
2.1
    There's no mention in that MOU of any guaranteed payment
22
    of $10,000 under it. There's no mention of that in
    the -- in the service agreement, which is Exhibit 10.
23
     So he had split the -- split -- his proposal split
24
25
    things in two documents that he sent to Mr. Geraci.
26
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Counsel?
2.7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. The -- yes, for the
28
    record. It's, again, back in his testimony, it's hard
```

2.7

to understand what is alleged to be the JVA. And in these two documents. And the reason that's important is that we -- I believe we still have a statute of frauds issue. And let me explain why.

Because what the Court -- as I understand what the Court is saying is, well, if there's a claim that there was an oral -- breach of an oral joint venture agreement, that wouldn't have to be in writing because it could be the subject of -- the factors is it could be the subject of a separate agreement. But you would still have to have a writing of the essential terms of the purchase of the sale of real property. That would still have to be in writing to satisfy the statute of frauds as to the purchase and sale of the agreement.

Now, those -- those terms that were in writing are in the signed November 2nd, 2016 document that Mr. Geraci says was the agreement between the parties. But Mr. Cotton's theory is that that was not even an agreement. It was merely a -- a receipt.

And so I'm not sure how he can argue that it was a receipt, contend it was a receipt only and not part of the agreement, which was really reflected in these two documents and then satisfy the statute of frauds with respect to the purchase and sale of the property, because he's relying on it for his agreement on the oral agreement to the terms in these two working documents that were to be later reduced to a writing.

So I still think that there's a statute of

2.7

frauds argument based on that.

Finally, the Court has indicated that the contract is not integrated. And I understand the Court's ruling in that regard. But even if the contract is not fully integrated, there still can't be oral or prior contemporaneous evidence of terms that are contradictory to the -- to the agreement. And we still have this issue of oral or prior contemporaneous terms 50 -- 50,000-dollar nonrefundable deposit as opposed to a 10 percent nonrefundable deposit as a perfect example. And so that may have to be addressed in the jury instructions.

But the point is even if it's not fully integrated, there's still an issue, I believe, as to what evidence could be argued as part of the agreement because I don't believe it could be -- you could have different terms, if Mr. Cotton is relying on the November 2nd written agreement at all, which he doesn't appear to be.

So I hope that wasn't too circular. But the statute of frauds argument is that he's -- he's saying that's a receipt. So he has no writing that -- in his view that states the essential terms of the purchase agreement. So that -- those are my additional comments that I wanted to make to the Court.

THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

Let me go back to the Defense/Cross-complainant side. Any additional comments?

2.7

MR. AUSTIN: Well, in regards to the services agreement and it the memorandum of understanding, those were the base proposals by Mr. Cotton. It also doesn't mention an amount for the deposit, which they had later agreed upon, which was supposed -- my client says was 50,000. Mr. Geraci says it was 10,000. So those aren't contained in there.

And in the email that sends the link to the shared folder for those two agreements, that's where Mr. Cotton says let's -- you know, make any suggestions or edits you want. So their discussions stay -- continue. And it's November 2nd that they, you know, came to that final joint venture agreement, as my client describes here.

And the November 2nd document that he brings up, as soon as Mr. Cotton received that email, that's when he's, like, just to be clear, in our final agreement, that 10 percent equity stake will be included. Correct? To which Mr. Geraci alleges he accidentally agreed.

So I think there's enough there to establish the 10 percent equity stake.

THE COURT: Each of you have arguments that you can pitch to the jury. Maybe some of them from the Court's perspective are a little weightier than others. It's not my prerogative to weigh in on that at this time. But I do see the more I reflect upon it -- and I hear your respective arguments that there's a conflict

1 in the evidence. But I -- I remain of the view, subject 2 to how we massage the instructions on damages, that 3 Plaintiff has introduced enough evidence on your fraud claims. Not the malice, fraud, or oppression. 4 Court confirms that. There will not be a second phase 5 in this trial. The jury will decide this case in one 6 7 phase, and that will be it. But the Court denies the motion to dismiss 8 9 Cross-complainant's fraud claims. 10 So, Counsel, let's move to the jury 11 instructions. And then we'll -- the last thing we'll do 12 will be the verdict forms. 13 MR. AUSTIN: On damages, I'm wondering if attorneys' fees will be appropriate. And one of the --14 15 actually, the drafts from Gina Austin, which --16 THE COURT: May I stop you? 17 MR. AUSTIN: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Jury fees, if at all, assuming 19 there's a threshold case to award fees will be handled 20 in a post trial motion. The jury will not be asked to 21 evaluate attorneys' fees or even the threshold issue of 22 entitlement. The Court will do that in post trial 23 motion. 24 MR. AUSTIN: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Madam Deputy, may I ask that you 26 turn our projector on, please. 2.7 THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor. 28 THE COURT: What we're going to go through is

```
1
     the same set that we had emailed, I believe, to you
 2
    yesterday. Some of these won't need much, if any time.
 3
    Some of them might. What I want to emphasize, to the
    extent that they have not been included in what I send
 4
     to you that you proposed, the Court will not give -- for
 5
    whatever reason, the Court finds those instructions to
 6
 7
    be objectionable. So you have that record. If I didn't
 8
     tell you this, I want to make sure, though, that it's
 9
     imperative and your responsibility to file your proposal
10
     instructions with the Court. If you've done so, you've
11
    got a record. But merely emailing -- emailing them to
12
    my clerk is not sufficient. So please bear that in
13
    mind.
14
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So we still need to do that,
15
    then?
16
              THE COURT: Yes.
17
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
18
              THE COURT: And try to do so before Monday at
     9:00.
19
20
              All right. So in the order that the Court will
21
    give them, if at all, 5,000. Unless I give you any
22
     indication otherwise, this is straight from CACI, folks.
     I didn't make any editorial changes whatsoever. If you
23
    want to bring something up to my attention, feel free to
24
25
    do so.
26
              All right. I added this. 5007, Ms. Berry was
2.7
    a party at one point. She's no longer a party.
              Let me hear first from I guess it would be --
28
```

```
I'm just going to continue to call the two sides
 1
 2
     Plaintiff and Defense sides.
 3
              What do you think?
                              That's fine.
 4
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
              THE COURT: Defense side, what do you think?
 5
              MR. AUSTIN: That's fine, your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. The Court will give
     5007.
 8
 9
              Continuing on. 5020, did anybody refer to
10
     something by way of demonstrative purposes only? If so,
11
     I'll give it. If not, I probably won't.
12
              So let me hear from counsel.
13
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I do not -- I do not believe we
14
    had any demonstrative evidence.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Going to the defense side.
16
              MR. AUSTIN: No, I didn't.
17
              THE COURT: So any objection if I do not give
18
     5020?
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
20
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
21
              THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to delete
22
     it. Again, it's a lot easier to delete than to add.
23
     Boom, it's gone.
              Just give me one moment here. We may be having
2.4
     a similar discussion on the next one.
25
26
              The next one that somebody proposed is 5021,
2.7
     which I cleaned up. There were some parentheses and
     stuff. But I cleaned it up.
28
```

```
1
              Let me go to Plaintiff's side. What do you
 2
     think?
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I need to ask the person that
 4
     actually knows --
              THE COURT: That's okay. The person who is the
 5
     brains of the evidence.
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: The person who certainly knows
     electronic evidence and has the brains.
 8
 9
              All right. So I don't believe we have any --
10
              THE COURT: Counsel?
11
              MR. AUSTIN: Did you say you wanted to keep it?
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No. We don't -- I don't -- it
13
     talks about accessing the Internet. I would say we
14
     don't need to give it.
15
              For example, there was no phone.
16
              THE COURT: Right. So let me --
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No video.
18
              THE COURT: Well, I have no evidence
     necessarily in mind. So if there's evidence to support
19
20
     this, I'll give it. But if there's not, I'm inclined
21
     not to. So let me --
22
              I've heard from Plaintiffs. Let me hear from
23
     the defense side. Is there any evidence to support the
     giving of this instruction?
24
25
              MR. AUSTIN: I don't -- I don't think so, your
26
     Honor.
2.7
              THE COURT: All right. Is there any objection
     if the Court does not give 5021?
28
```

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not by Plaintiff.
 2
             MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court will
    delete this. Don't get motion sickness as I'm scrolling
 4
 5
     this up.
              Okay. The next instruction is 200.
 6
 7
             Next instruction is 201. Now, given the
 8
    Court's ruling to dismiss Cross-complainant's claims for
9
    malice, fraud, and oppression, which could become the
10
    basis of a claim for punitive damages, and I don't think
11
    there's any other issue that would lend itself to a
12
    clear and convincing instruction, I'm going to go to
13
    Plaintiff's side. Any objection if the Court does not
14
    give this?
15
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
16
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side?
17
             MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
18
              THE COURT: All right. The Court will not give
     201.
19
20
             All right. Next is 202.
21
              Next is 203.
22
              Now, let me stop at 204. Who proposes that the
23
    Court give this instruction?
2.4
             MR. AUSTIN: I believe I would have proposed
25
    it.
26
              THE COURT: All right. So you need to tell me
2.7
    what's the evidence that --
28
              MR. AUSTIN: Well --
```

```
THE COURT: -- Mr. Geraci or somebody on his
 1
 2
    team willfully suppressed evidence?
 3
             MR. AUSTIN: I think it goes in part to us --
     the unavailability of a witness in the form of Corina
 4
 5
    Young. But since that was never brought up, I don't --
              THE COURT: I'm not so sure this instruction
 6
7
    contemplates the -- one party making a witness
    unavailable. I've not heard that argument.
8
9
              Anything else, Counsel, that I'm missing or
10
    that you'd like to bring to the Court's attention?
11
              Again, let me emphasize, if there's some
12
    evidence to support the giving of a CACI instruction, I
13
    give it. If there's no evidence, I'm not inclined to do
14
     so.
15
              MR. AUSTIN: I don't have any evidence on that.
              THE COURT: All right. Let me go to
16
17
    Plaintiff's side. Your comments?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't believe it's
18
19
     appropriate, and I have no objection to it being --
20
              THE COURT: Okay. So the Court will not give
21
     204.
22
             Next, just to make sure. There we go. Next is
23
     205.
             Next is 206. Now, let's stop. Let me go to
24
25
    Plaintiff's side. Did the Court give a limiting
26
    instruction?
2.7
             MR. WEINSTEIN: I do not believe so.
             MR. TOOTHACRE: I do not believe so.
28
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side. I
 2
     don't recall having given -- or for that matter being
 3
     asked to give a limiting instruction.
 4
              MR. AUSTIN: No, I don't recall that either,
 5
     your Honor.
              THE COURT: So does anybody object if I delete
 6
 7
     206?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not Plaintiff.
 8
 9
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court will not
11
     give 206.
12
              Next, it's 208.
              Next is 209. Now, remind me did anybody read
13
14
     an answer to a interrogatory?
15
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
16
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court will not
18
     give 209.
19
              Scrolling down to 210, request for admissions.
20
     I don't recall that anybody read an answer to a request
21
     for admissions.
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: That's correct.
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court, then,
24
25
     will not give 210.
26
              Just give me a moment to scroll up here a
2.7
     little bit.
28
              All right. Next is 212. I'm going to start
```

slowing things down a bit. You don't have to comment 1 2 unless there's an objection. I presume that this should 3 be given. Next is 215. I did hear one or more witnesses 4 5 exercise their right to assert a privilege in the presence of the jury. So the Court would be inclined to 6 7 give 215. 8 MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, may I comment on 9 that? 10 THE COURT: Absolutely. 11 MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe that the only person 12 who did that was a nonparty, Gina Austin. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. WEINSTEIN: So it may need to be modified. 15 THE COURT: What would you suggest? MR. WEINSTEIN: A witness has an absolute 16 17 right. 18 THE COURT: Let me go to --19 MR. WEINSTEIN: Or should we just make it 20 specific to Gina Austin since she -- she's the only one 2.1 that did it. 22 THE COURT: You know, I like the proposal of a 23 witness has an absolute right not to disclose -- and we can even say she instead of they. 24 25 MR. TOOTHACRE: It's kind of the reverse. An 26 attorney cannot disclose what she was told. 2.7 THE COURT: Now, this was Ms. Austin who 28 asserted a privilege involving another client other than

```
Mr. Geraci?
 1
 2
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes.
 3
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
 4
              MR. AUSTIN: Was that --
 5
              THE COURT: You know, I'm not disagreeing with
    the application of Ms. Austin. But what we're telling
 6
    them, meaning the jury, is regardless of who exercises
 7
 8
     it, you can't hold it against them. So I'm not sure,
 9
    given the purpose of the instruction, how this could
10
    harm anybody, whether it be Mr. Geraci or Mr. Cotton.
11
              So are you --
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I have no problem saying a
13
    witness has an absolute right. The jury -- I'm not sure
14
     it's even going to come up, but the jury will not be
15
    bias.
16
              THE COURT: So you want to change it to a
    witness instead of a party?
17
18
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Right.
19
              THE COURT: Any objection?
20
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
21
              THE COURT: All right. So let me just take a
22
    moment here.
23
              Okay. Counsel, I made a couple changes. Why
    don't you take a look at it on the screen and let me
24
25
    know what your thoughts are.
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
28
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court, then,
```

```
1
     will give 215 as modified.
 2
              And what we're going to do, as soon as we're
 3
     done here, my clerk will email the entire set to you.
     So you'll have them probably before you get home.
 4
 5
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Your Honor, on the first line,
 6
     you indicate their attorneys, and on the bottom line --
 7
     the second line, his or her attorneys. I don't know if
     you want to be consistent.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me see here. Ah.
                                                        Okay.
10
     Gotcha.
11
              Is that it, Counsel?
12
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              That's it.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Any objection from either
     side?
14
15
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Continuing on.
18
              Now, here's where it gets a bit interesting.
19
     Let me just -- does Plaintiff prefer that the Court not
20
     give 219? Well, what are your thoughts?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I prefer it, but, you know, the
21
22
     only persons I think that testified about -- that would
23
     be considered expert opinions are probably Ms. Austin
     and Mr. Schweitzer. Those are the two.
2.4
25
              THE COURT: Maybe Mr. Bartell.
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And maybe Mr. Bartell.
2.7
              THE COURT: Very impressive witness, by the
28
     way. There were a lot of impressive witnesses in this
```

```
1
     case, by the way. Parties and witnesses. We'll let the
 2
     jury decide.
 3
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Even if -- even if they weren't
    designated, I have no problem with the instruction
 4
 5
    because I assume the defense is going to need it anyway.
 6
              CENTER: Okay. So let me go to the defense
 7
     side. What do you think?
              MR. AUSTIN: We can leave it.
 8
9
              THE COURT: Leave it?
10
             MR. AUSTIN: That's fine.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. So the Court will give 219.
12
              Did anybody ask anybody to express a
    hypothetical -- well, yeah, an opinion based upon a
13
    hypothetical? Let me go to the plaintiffs.
14
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I suppose we did ask if it
15
    weren't for the interference of Mr. Cotton, would the
16
17
    CUP have gone through.
18
              THE COURT: All right. So one or more people
19
    probably did, based on an assumed set of facts. So the
20
    Court will give 220.
21
              Next is 223. The Court will give.
22
              Okay. Now, 302. Who's proposing 302?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, it's certainly the
23
24
    plaintiff, as I thought both parties were.
25
              THE COURT: Okay.
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And I think --
2.7
              THE COURT: Well, let me go -- comments from
    Plaintiff's side.
28
```

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I think it should be given.
 2
     thought we deleted 300 but kept 302 when we did the
 3
     preliminary instructions.
              THE COURT:
                          I deleted 300. There was so much
 4
     argumentative information in there that it was hard for
 5
     me to make sense of. So I deleted it. I don't usually
 6
 7
     give an introductory instruction, in any event.
              So now, you're in favor of giving it. Are
 8
9
     there any proposed modifications to 302, Counsel?
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side.
12
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
14
             MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: So the Court will give 302 as is.
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: May I raise an issue, your
17
     Honor?
18
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: It's not really to this
20
     instruction specifically, but just to keep in mind as we
21
     go through them, you know, I always read these in a way
22
     to try to understand how a jury might understand or be
     confused by them. And so, for example, the CACI
23
     instruction that -- we're on 303 -- is basically
2.4
25
     reciprocal instructions, but both based on CACI 303.
26
              But there's nothing that -- in these
2.7
     instructions that tells a -- the jury whether they
28
     should be thinking about the contract that was -- that
```

```
1
     Plaintiff contends was entered into versus the contract
 2
     that the defendant says was entered into. So you can
 3
     get to the jury forms -- or the verdict forms, and
     you're just asked if X entered into a contract with Y.
 4
     And when they're deciding our claim, they might be --
 5
     you know -- or their claim, they could be thinking of
 6
 7
     either.
              THE COURT: All right.
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: That's my concern.
10
              THE COURT: So are we on to 303 now?
11
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                             Yes.
12
              THE COURT: So let's go to 303, which the Court
13
     will give. The oath question is -- so let's go -- and,
     again, I just took them in the order in which Plaintiff
14
15
     is presenting the contract claim, Cross-complainant is
16
     also presenting a contract claim.
17
              So looking at your side's contract claim and
18
     the reference to contract in Element No. 1, are you
     suggesting we describe that a little bit more clearly?
19
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Actually, I'm brainstorming, to
2.1
     be honest with you. I -- the alternative would be to
22
     just make sure that the lawyers argue it. The lawyers
     could argue it to the jury and make the distinction.
23
              THE COURT: You're arguing that there was a
2.4
25
     written contract entered into. Correct?
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              Right.
2.7
              THE COURT: And does it start with
28
     November 2016 agreement?
```

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: It is the November 2nd, 2016.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. So there's nothing --
 3
     let me just suggest. We could specify -- and if we do
     so with Plaintiff, we're going to do so with the
 4
    defendant -- or Cross-complainant. Element No. 1 could
 5
    read Geraci and Cotton entered into the November 2, 2016
 6
 7
    written contract. And let me just throw it out there.
 8
     It's easy to do this one. Here. I'll just put it up
9
    there. All right. Okay. So No. 1 will -- could read
10
    Geraci and Cotton entered into the November 2, 2016
11
    written contract.
12
             Now, again, I want to emphasize if we put more
13
     information in for Geraci's contract, we're also going
14
    to put in more -- more description for Cotton's
15
    contract. So --
16
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I think that's a good way to do
17
     it, your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
              MR. TOOTHACRE: That's my two cents.
20
              MR. AUSTIN: I think it actually kind of -- I
21
     almost think it would make things more confusing.
22
              THE COURT: How is it more confusing? I mean,
23
     in just looking at Plaintiff's contract theory, he lives
    and dies on the November 2, 2016 written contract.
24
                                                         The
25
     jury can't possibly be confused that that's the
26
    foundation of his theory.
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: Can we add the language fully --
              THE COURT: No, I'm not going to make it
28
```

```
1
    argumentative. You can argue that.
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: And there's an instruction on
 3
    that as well.
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I guess 302 does explain the
 4
    contract. You know, the more I -- and this is why we're
 5
    having this conversation. I think it's a reasonable
 6
 7
    proposal. I'm going to leave it as is.
              Now, let me go to Plaintiff. As to the balance
 8
9
    of the elements, any other proposed modifications?
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not in -- I mean, that's
11
     straight out of the CACI.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me go to the defense
13
     side. As to Elements 2 through 6, Mr. Geraci's contract
     claim, any other proposed modifications, Counsel?
14
15
             Did you find something?
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah. I want to flip to the
17
     special verdict form.
18
              THE COURT: We'll get to that --
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, I think it may affect
19
20
    the --
21
              THE COURT: Oh.
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Just give me one second.
              THE COURT: Because we would make a similar
23
2.4
    modification --
25
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Right.
26
              THE COURT: -- on the verdict form.
2.7
             MR. AUSTIN: On 2 through 6, I can't think of
28
    any other.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Let me give counsel
 2
     a --
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, I think it's fine. I was
     concerned about the "excused" portion which is in the
 4
     element before. And I think it carries over. So I
 5
     think it's fine.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So as we currently have
     reflected, Element No. 1, you're good with it?
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: All right. So let me go to
11
     Cross-complainant, Cotton's breach of contract claim.
12
     Now, let me go to defense counsel first.
13
              Your contract is an oral contract to enter into
     a joint venture agreement -- or to enter into a joint
14
15
     venture. Is that what your theory is?
16
              MR. AUSTIN: Actually, I think that would be
     even a separate jury instruction. I need to find -- I
17
18
     need to find the joint venture one. I had it written
     down, which one that was, but I don't --
19
20
              THE COURT: Okay. So let me -- subject to
21
     argument. But let me just put this down. Okay. Now,
22
     I'm going to go to Plaintiff's side in just a moment.
     But let me stay with the defense side.
23
              I've modified the first element to now read
24
25
     Cotton and Geraci entered into an oral contract to form
26
     a joint venture.
2.7
              Now, are you satisfied with that?
28
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Let me go to the
 2
    defense side. Your comments?
 3
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, initially the
     introductory language needs to be carried over from the
 4
     top instruction of that instruction to recover from --
 5
     or recover damages from.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Oh. Cross-Defendant. I'm sorry.
8
    The introductory language?
9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So the first sentence should
10
    read to recover damages from Geraci for breach of
11
    contract. Cotton must prove all the following. That's
12
    not included in the --
13
              THE COURT: Oh. I'm sorry. Let me do that
14
    right now.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: The other generic comment I
15
16
    have -- and it may come up later -- is sometimes --
17
              THE COURT: Let me just finalize this.
18
             MR. WEINSTEIN:
                             Sure.
19
              THE COURT: That was a good comment. Let me
20
    see here.
21
              Okay. Next.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah, so the language "to form"
22
23
     sounds like -- more like an agreement to agree, which I
24
    think is a problem.
25
              THE COURT: What would you -- that crossed my
26
    mind too. So what -- I'm not so sure that you're
2.7
    challenged, by the way, Counsel. But be that as it may,
28
    how, if at all, would you suggest the Court change that
```

```
1
     language?
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: It could just be an oral
 3
    contract for a joint venture.
              THE COURT: I'm not so sure I'm hearing a
 4
     significant difference there. I'm not trying to quarrel
 5
 6
    with you. But initially I thought oral contract to
 7
    enter into a joint venture. But I'm not so sure that's
 8
    any different than to form a joint venture. Let me go
9
    to the defense side.
10
              MR. COTTON: How about that included?
11
              THE COURT: You've got to talk to your lawyer.
12
              MR. AUSTIN: I like the way you wrote it, to
13
    form a joint venture.
14
              THE COURT: Let me go back one more time to
15
    Plaintiff's counsel.
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I still prefer the word "for."
17
              THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that
18
    objection and leave it as is. Cotton and Geraci entered
19
     into an oral contract to form a joint venture.
20
              Okay. Anything else on Elements 2 through 6 of
    Cotton's contract claim?
21
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not from Plaintiff.
23
              THE COURT: What about the defense side?
2.4
             MR. AUSTIN: No changes.
25
              THE COURT: All right. So now, let's go on to
     304. Plaintiff's side?
26
2.7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection. That's the --
              THE COURT: Defense side?
28
```

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

```
1
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
 2
              THE COURT: Next is 305.
 3
             MR. WEINSTEIN: May I ask -- we'll go back on
 4
     304. I apologize.
 5
              THE COURT: Let me go back here. Okay. Okay.
 6
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Is there a contention that by
 7
    the -- by the defendant or Cross-complainant that the
 8
    contract that they are seeking to -- that they allege is
9
    partly oral and partly written --
10
              THE COURT: Let me go to Defense counsel.
11
                           In a way, yeah, it is part --
              MR. AUSTIN:
12
    partly written when you get the drafts back from Gina
13
    Austin, like those were some of the terms being reduced
14
    to writing.
              THE COURT: All right. There's enough
15
16
    ambiguity in my mind that we're going to leave it as is.
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Fair enough.
18
              THE COURT: Let's move on to 305. Comments?
19
             Plaintiff's counsel, comments?
20
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Just give me a moment.
2.1
              THE COURT: Defense counsel, any comments?
22
              MR. AUSTIN: No.
                                I like it.
              THE COURT: Now, some of these -- well, all of
23
     them, one or both of you proposed. Some of them -- and
2.4
25
     this may be an example -- I did not go back and
26
    cross-reference with CACI to make sure every single word
2.7
    corresponded with CACI. It looks to me like it does.
              So if one of you decided to modify some
28
```

1 language, I may not have caught it. 2 MR. TOOTHACRE: Is anybody alleging an 3 implied-in-fact contract? I don't remember that being a 4 point. THE COURT: Well --5 6 MR. AUSTIN: I feel it seems appropriate 7 because especially when it talks about looking at the conduct of the parties. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm of the view that it probably is 10 appropriate. The only question is whether some of the 11 language should change. And if it's accurately taken 12 from CACI, I'd be inclined to give it as is. If 13 somebody modified something that I'm not aware of, then now is the time to bring it to my attention. 14 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: So my issue is with the second 16 paragraph in particular. I have not heard any testimony 17 that the parties -- that the agreement was formed by the 18 parties not speaking or writing. 19 THE COURT: All right. So let me go to the 20 defense side. What conduct is there that either party's 21 contract was created by conduct of the parties 22 without -- without spoken or written words? This may be 23 one of those cases where that part of the instruction 24 does not apply. 25 MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. We can -- I'd be okay with 26 striking that line. 2.7 MR. WEINSTEIN: It -- okay. 28 THE COURT: All right. So you two agree that I

```
1
     should strike the second paragraph of this instruction.
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: It -- yes, your Honor, we
 3
    believe that should be stricken.
              THE COURT: All right. Defense counsel?
 4
 5
             MR. AUSTIN: That's fine, your Honor.
                              Then the --
 6
             MR. WEINSTEIN:
             THE COURT: There you go. It's gone.
 7
 8
             MR. WEINSTEIN: And I don't -- I mean, I quess
 9
     I question the third and fourth paragraphs as well. I
10
     just felt those are more ambiguous.
11
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Let's go
    up -- so the Court, then, will give 305 as modified.
12
             Next is 306.
13
14
             MR. AUSTIN: Did you just take out the second
15
     or --
16
             THE COURT: Just the second. Here. Let me go
           Take a look at it. Other than deleting just the
17
    back.
18
     second paragraph of 305, everything else remains as is.
19
             MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.
20
                          306. Who is proposing 306?
             THE COURT:
21
                             The defense did.
             MR. WEINSTEIN:
22
             THE COURT: Well, there is a CACI instruction
23
    to support this.
24
             MR. WEINSTEIN: May I argue. I object, and I
25
    have an argument that the CACI instruction isn't
26
     supported.
2.7
             THE COURT:
                          Okay.
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Here's the reason. First of
28
```

```
1
     all, the way it's been drafted, you need to change in
 2
     the second -- in the -- it says "Defendant Darryl Cotton
 3
     contends that the parties did not enter into a contract
     because they have not signed a final written agreement."
 4
     To prove that a contract was created, it should say
 5
     "Plaintiff must prove." That's the -- that's the way
 6
 7
     the --
              THE COURT: Ah. So --
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And when you put it in those
10
     terms, okay --
11
              THE COURT: I agree. That's what CACI does
12
     say.
13
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And --
              THE COURT: I don't know if I messed that up
14
15
     or --
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And we're not claiming a
     contract was formed by the failure to sign a final
17
18
     written agreement. So I don't see how that contract
19
     applies to our -- to us at all.
20
              The second thing is that the directions for use
21
     says "Give this instruction, if the parties agree to
22
     contract terms with the intention of reducing the
     agreement to a writing -- a written and signed contract
23
     but an alleged breach occurred before the written
24
25
     contract was completed and signed."
26
              That, I understand. But I don't -- we're not
2.7
     making that claim.
28
              So the question is, does --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: But Defendant may be making that
 2
     claim.
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So if you put -- if you do it
     the other way, okay, it would say Plaintiff contends
 4
 5
     that the parties have not entered into a contract
     because they have not signed a final written agreement.
 6
 7
     To prove that a contract was created, Defendant must
     prove. It doesn't work in either direction, in my
 8
9
     opinion.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Just give me one
11
     moment.
12
              So let me go to Defense side. Are you
13
    proposing this instruction?
14
              MR. WEINSTEIN: May I make a further comment,
15
     your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: It may not be necessary.
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I just want to bring it to the
18
     Court's attention because this is a complicated one.
19
     The -- first of all, my argument stands. But the
20
     problem is that it depends which contract you're talking
21
     about.
22
              So we do contend that the parties didn't enter
23
     into a contract, the contract that they alleged, because
     no final written agreement was signed as it relates to
24
25
     their contract. We're claiming there was no agreement
26
     because there was no final agreement signed because the
2.7
     mutual intention of the parties, according to their
28
     claim, was to -- was to -- the contract wouldn't be
```

```
1
    binding until it was signed.
 2
             And so as it relates to our contract, we're not
 3
    making any such contention at all. That's the reason
    why I think it's confusing.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Let me just go back to
    Defense side. Comments?
 6
 7
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. I think even with certain
     edits to specify which contract we don't believe in, I
 8
9
     think it would just kind of make this one probably too
10
    convoluted. So --
11
              THE COURT: Are you proposing that the Court
12
    give 306, or not?
13
             MR. AUSTIN: Only Parts 1 and 2 would make
            The very first section would get too confusing.
14
     sense.
15
     I -- I would say that we don't use it.
16
              THE COURT: You're withdrawing it?
17
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the
19
    plaintiff's side. You object if 306 is withdrawn?
20
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I actually -- now that I've
21
    read it again carefully, I -- I think it should be given
22
    but in the opposite direction, I think it should say
23
    Plaintiff -- or Cross-Defendant contends that the
    parties did not enter into an oral joint venture because
24
25
    they have not signed a final written agreement. And to
26
    prove that a contract -- oral joint venture was created,
2.7
    Cross-complainant must prove both of the following. I
28
     think it actually works in connection with our defense
```

```
1
    of their claim.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Well, there is evidence
 3
    to support that. So I'm inclined to modify this to
    reflect Cross-Defendant Larry Geraci contends and then
 4
 5
    Cross-complainant Darryl Cotton must prove. I'm not
     inclined to change any of the other words.
 6
 7
              Is that what you're proposing, Counsel?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah, I would -- I would
 8
 9
    propose that. Although, I think it makes sense to tie
10
     it into the --
11
              THE COURT: You can do that in argument.
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
13
              THE COURT: I mean, they can be strung together
14
    or reconciled through argument.
15
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
16
              THE COURT: So let me go back to
17
    Cross-complainant's counsel.
18
              MR. AUSTIN: So --
19
              THE COURT: Do you want me to modify it so you
20
    can take a look at it?
2.1
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
22
              THE COURT: All right. Here we go.
23
              MR. AUSTIN: Thanks, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Objections, if any,
2.4
25
     from Cross-complainant's counsel?
26
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
2.7
              THE COURT: All right. The Court will give 306
    as modified. Continuing on.
28
```

```
1
              307. Objections, if any?
 2
              Continuing on.
 3
              310, objections, if any?
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Did we have objections on 307?
 4
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I apologize, your Honor. I'm
 5
 6
    moving slower than you are. I should open my -- I'm
    focused.
 7
              THE COURT: So are we back at 307?
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: We are. Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
              MR. TOOTHACRE: What contract is he talking
12
    about?
13
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm not sure what --
14
              THE COURT: So you object?
15
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I object because -- I
16
    apologize.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me go to Defense side.
    Are you proposing to give this instruction?
18
19
              MR. AUSTIN: If it were to say -- the second
20
    sentence, "Defendant Darryl Cotton contends that a
21
    contract was not created" -- if it said by the
22
    November 2nd document. I --
              THE COURT: Well, much like my earlier comments
23
    to counsel, all of these instructions can be reconciled.
24
    You can do that in argument.
25
26
              Are you proposing 307 either as is or as
2.7
    modified?
28
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I think it's okay as it is.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 2
              MR. AUSTIN: It's okay as it is.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. So let me go back to
     Plaintiff's objection.
 4
 5
              Now, what's the basis for your objection?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: The basis is I don't believe,
 6
 7
     as it relates to our written contract, that Defense has
     contended there was never an offer. I know they contend
 8
 9
     there was never an agreement at all. But I don't -- I
10
     don't think the theory of their case is that it was
11
     never --
12
              THE COURT: All right.
13
              MR. WEINSTEIN: -- an offer.
              THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
14
15
              Let me go back to the defense side.
              Counsel, I have to tell you I'm not accustomed
16
17
     to spending this much time on some of these
18
     instructions.
19
              So I think Plaintiff's objection is well-taken.
20
     There's no question there was an offer and an
21
     acceptance. Whether the entirety of the agreement was
22
     memorialized is an entirely different issue.
23
              So I'm not so sure, as I've heard you argue,
2.4
     307 is applicable.
25
              So one more time, does Defense propose 307 or
26
    not?
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection from
28
```

```
1
    Plaintiff's side if I delete it?
 2
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. So 307 will be deleted.
    All right. Now, let's go to 310. Who proposed this
 4
     instruction?
 5
             MR. WEINSTEIN: The defense.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So let me go to the
    defense side.
 8
9
             MR. AUSTIN: No changes.
10
              THE COURT: Well, so you're -- how is this
11
    applicable?
12
              MR. AUSTIN: Well, I think based off the course
13
    of communications, Mr. Geraci never denied any of the
    things that Darryl was asking for for several months.
14
15
    So if he were to say the oral contract didn't exist, he
    could have -- he could have refuted it or said those
16
17
    were not the terms. And there's no mention of that
18
    until, like, two days before the lawsuit was filed. So
19
    he never disputes anything was asked for.
20
              THE COURT: Well, now, you're referring to your
21
    client's oral contract?
22
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
              THE COURT: All right. That's not what this
23
     says. And, again, I don't think I edited this
24
25
    instruction so much that I flipped the parties. I mean,
26
    this is not Plaintiff's theory. So Plaintiff objects.
2.7
    And, as worded, I would sustain the objection.
28
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I'll withdraw it.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: You're -- well, okay. So 310 will
 2
    not be given. Is that what you're suggesting? Is that
 3
    what you're saying?
 4
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: Plaintiff's side agrees?
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. No objection.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right. 310 will not be given.
                    The next one is 312. Let me go to the
 8
             Okay.
 9
    defense side. This looks to be something that you
10
    proposed. Are you still proposing this?
11
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
12
             THE COURT: All right. Let me go to
13
    Plaintiff's side.
             MR. WEINSTEIN: I didn't have that marked down.
14
15
    So I need to look at it in the full --
16
             THE COURT: You bet.
             MR. WEINSTEIN: -- full version.
17
18
             I mean, the essence of the claim is that --
19
    boy.
20
             MR. AUSTIN: Oh. I -- I think I --
             MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't -- I guess I don't
21
22
    believe it's appropriate because I don't think we're
23
    arguing substantial performance.
             THE COURT: Well, I don't know if you are, but
24
25
    maybe the other side is. So let me go back to Defense
26
    side.
2.7
             Are you proposing this instruction, Counsel?
             MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. But I think Plaintiff and
28
```

1 Defendant might be switched here. And on Numbers 1 and 2 2. 3 Like, on 1 and 2, wouldn't it be Defendant Cotton made a good faith effort to comply with the 4 5 contract? THE COURT: The language comes verbatim from 6 7 312. So I'm not inclined to change the language that 8 appears in Elements 1 and 2. 9 MR. AUSTIN: Actually -- okay. Never mind. 10 That is correct. 11 THE COURT: So you're proposing it? 12 MR. AUSTIN: Yes. 13 THE COURT: All right. It is an accurate statement of the law. 14 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: It is, but it still should be 16 given if substantial performance is an issue. And so 17 Defendant is not contending that we failed to 18 substantially perform. They're contending that we had a 19 completely different agreement and we didn't perform at 20 all. So I don't know why demonstrating -- why there's 21 an instruction demonstrating substantial performance, 22 because we're not going to say we performed what he says we were supposed to perform. So it just seems 23 inapplicable. 24 25 THE COURT: Counsel, it may be, but I'm going 26 to err on the side of giving it. So the Court will 2.7 overrule the objection and give 312. Now, let's go to 313. Who is proposing 313? 28

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: The defense again.
 2
              THE COURT: So, Counsel, let me go to Defense
 3
     side.
              Are you still proposing the Court give 313?
 4
 5
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
                          Okay. What's the nature of the
 6
              THE COURT:
7
    modification?
              MR. AUSTIN: Well, I could be wrong, but my
 8
9
    reading of this is if the jury actually found the
10
    November 2nd document to be a contract, there -- there
11
    was -- there was testimony about -- related to the other
12
    terms that Mr. Cotton has alleged have been part of the
13
    contract the whole time, like including the email from
    Mr. Geraci about paying him $5,000 a month for the first
14
15
     six months while the outlet is running.
              THE COURT: Let me hear from Plaintiff.
16
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't think the testimony
18
     supports it. Number one, the allegation has been that
19
    as of November 2nd, all -- their claim is the agreement
20
    contained all the terms and conditions in the two
21
    working documents. There's been no testimony that
22
    Mr. Cotton agreed that he entered into a contract on
23
    November 2nd and then modified it. And the provisions
    which result in a modification of a written contract,
24
    most -- I think most, if not all of them -- so, for
25
26
    example, one of the things is a contract in writing may
2.7
    be modified by a contract in writing. That did not
28
    happen even under the alternative theory.
```

2.4

2.7

The second thing is a contract in writing may be modified by an oral agreement to the extent the oral agreement is carried out by the parties. That did not happen under the testimony.

A contract in writing may be modified by oral agreement if the parties agree to give each other something of value.

So what testimony has there been of some subsequent oral agreement to modify the written November 2nd agreement in which there's been testimony of additional consideration that's been given.

And there's clearly, the last provision doesn't apply because there was no consent in writing to modify the whole contract.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection will be overruled. The Court will give 313. I don't know how, if at all, it might apply, but there could be a theory that could be advanced. And it's not my purpose to anticipate every argument. So one side has asked for it. There's some evidence that it could be supported. So I'm going to give it.

Let's go to 314.

All right. Now, for starters, this is incomplete. Who proposed this?

MR. WEINSTEIN: We proposed it. We provided what we thought was in dispute. We don't know what they're contending is ambiguous. So I left that blank for them to fill in. It could be deleted if they

1 don't -- I mean, I'm not sure they have a different interpretation at this point. So if they -- if their 2 3 interpretation is the same, then we don't need the instruction at all. But if they have a different 4 5 interpretation of what good faith earnest money means, then it should go in there and then we would need the 6 7 instruction. MR. AUSTIN: Well, we did also interpret 8 9 that 10,000-dollar payment as a nonrefundable deposit. 10 MR. WEINSTEIN: So then we don't need it, your 11 Honor. 12 THE COURT: The Court will not give 314, and 13 you will give counsel's argument. 14 Likewise, good faith earnest money definition. 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Don't need it at this point because we don't have 314. 16 17 THE COURT: Do you agree, Counsel? 18 MR. AUSTIN: I agree. THE COURT: All right. Let me scroll down 19 20 Some of these instructions may also -- some of here. 21 the follow-up instructions may be moot as well. We're 22 getting there. 23 How about 315, does that need to be given? MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe it should be given. 2.4 25 I think it's appropriate in all cases. 26 THE COURT: All right. Let me go to the 2.7 defense side. MR. AUSTIN: I have no objection to that. 28

```
THE COURT: All right. The Court -- one
 1
 2
    moment -- will give 315.
 3
              Next is 317. Plaintiff.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe it should be given.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Defense?
 6
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, no objection.
 7
              THE COURT: The Court will give 317.
              Next is 318. Plaintiff?
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe it should be given.
10
              THE COURT: Defense?
11
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
12
              THE COURT: The Court will give 318.
13
             Next is 319.
14
             MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
15
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
16
              THE COURT: The Court will give 319.
17
              320.
18
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I object to 320 because I don't
19
    believe that there's a -- there's a dispute as to what
20
    the agreement was, but there's not a dispute over what
21
     the words mean in the respectively alleged agreements.
22
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side.
23
    This interpretation seems to be applicable --
24
    applicable, if at all, if one side or the other objects
25
    to the meaning of one or more of the words contained
26
    within an agreement. And I'm not so sure I hear that.
2.7
              So let me go to the defense side.
28
              MR. AUSTIN: I agree with your Honor. I don't
```

```
1
     think --
 2
              THE COURT: So both of you agree the Court
 3
    should delete 320?
 4
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
 5
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: Right? You agree to delete 320?
 7
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court will
 8
 9
    delete 320.
10
              Now, let's go to 322. Plaintiff?
11
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I proposed it, and I think it
12
     should be given.
13
              THE COURT: By the way, was this intended to be
     a medical marijuana dispensary, or a marijuana
14
15
    dispensary?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So it -- if I'm -- it's
16
17
    medical -- it was medical marijuana dispensary. They
18
    changed the description to marijuana outlet. And I'll
19
    be honest with you, I don't know when they gave a
20
    definition of marijuana outlet.
21
              He may know. But whether that expanded --
22
    whether you can sell something besides medical
    marijuana, I believe you can.
23
              THE COURT: All right. So let me go to the
2.4
25
    defense side.
26
              Your comments to 322.
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, now it is just a marijuana
    outlet. It can be for recreational retail. Is the last
28
```

```
1
     line too argumentative?
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Just give me a moment.
 3
              Well, this is Plaintiff's burden to show that
    Defendant interfered with Plaintiff's efforts to satisfy
 4
    this condition. So in and of itself, I don't find it to
 5
    be argumentative. It does seem to reasonably identify
 6
 7
    Plaintiff's burden to show that Mr. Cotton interfered
    with the efforts to get the CUP.
 8
9
              So if the objection is argumentative, the Court
10
    will overrule that.
11
              MR. AUSTIN: I don't have a problem with it.
12
    But on the very last line, on Plaintiff's efforts, you
13
    have an extra space between the apostrophe.
14
              THE COURT: One moment here.
15
              MR. AUSTIN: Oh. And should we change it to
16
     just a marijuana dispensary, or a marijuana outlet?
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me go -- when all of
18
    this litigation started to unfold in this department, it
19
    was medical marijuana dispensary. I'm not so sure that
20
    the medical part is necessary anymore. So I'm not so
21
     sure -- and the jury heard a lot of evidence about
22
     selling marijuana retail.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Right. No, I believe the word
23
2.4
     "medical" should come out on both paragraphs.
25
              THE COURT: All right. You both agree with
26
     that, Counsel?
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to delete
28
```

```
1
     the reference to medical. So now it will just be a
 2
     marijuana dispensary. Otherwise, the Court is inclined
 3
     to leave it as is.
              Any objections?
 4
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Medical in the first paragraph,
 5
     second line.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
 8
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
 9
              THE COURT: I think those are the only two
10
     instances where I had to delete medical. All right.
                                                           So
11
     the Court will give 322 as modified.
12
              Let's go on to 324. Who is proposing this?
13
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Plaintiff proposed it because
     of the -- essentially the termination and refusals to
14
15
     perform that was given, that Mr. Cotton notified us
     about on March 21st.
16
              THE COURT: You see the subcontracted line
17
     where it says he or she. I'm going to modify that to be
18
19
     he only.
20
              So let me go to defense side. Objections, if
21
     any, to 324?
22
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
              THE COURT: All right. The Court will give
23
     324, as modified, to delete the reference to she.
2.4
              Next is 325. I took this verbatim from the
25
26
     pre-instructions. So let me go to Plaintiff's side.
2.7
     Any objections?
28
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              No.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Defense side?
 2
              MR. AUSTIN: No.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. The Court will give 325.
 4
              I'm sorry?
 5
              MS. KULAS: We changed the contract because we
 6
    have a confusion which contract the party is alleging.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
 8
              MS. KULAS: The prior --
 9
              THE COURT: I got you.
10
              Is that what you were referring to, Counsel?
11
              MS. KULAS:
                         Yes.
12
              MR. TOOTHACRE: 2016, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Yes. Yes, yes, yes.
              So please take note of Element 1. What I've
14
15
    done is change it to be consistent with CACI 303 on
    No. 1, which -- so this element in 325 now reads "Geraci
16
17
    and Cotton entered into the November 2, 2016 written
18
    contract."
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection, your Honor.
20
              MR. TOOTHACRE: That's good.
21
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side.
22
    Comments?
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
23
              THE COURT: All right. As modified, the Court
2.4
25
    will give 325.
26
              Now, let's go to 335. I think this also is one
2.7
    of the pre-instructions. Let me go to the defense side
28
     first.
```

```
Comments? Counsel? Mr. Austin, any comments?
 1
 2
             MR. AUSTIN: No. I have no comments on this.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. Defense -- I mean,
    Plaintiff side?
 4
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. I don't believe that the
 5
    defendant has ever taken the position that he consented
 6
 7
    at all, let alone that he consented -- the consent was
    obtained by fraud. So I don't know that it's
8
9
     applicable. He could -- he merely has to show he didn't
10
    consent. So -- but I -- that's my only point on this
11
    one.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, you can certainly
13
    argue that. Given the evidence, it could be that 335
    will be applicable. So I'll give 335 as is.
14
15
             Next is Special Instruction No. 1, statute of
16
     frauds. Is Plaintiff asking for this?
17
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: All right. And we did get this as
19
    part of the pre-instructions.
20
             Let me go to the defense side. Objections, if
21
    any?
22
             MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. The Court will give No. 1.
             No. 2 -- I'm sorry. We're going to modify
2.4
25
    this. This will be become No. 2. Special Instruction
26
    No. 2, integration of a written contract. Let me go to
2.7
    Plaintiff's side.
28
              Is this your instruction, by the way?
```

```
1
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Give me one second.
 2
              THE COURT: I think this was part of the
 3
    pre-instructions.
 4
              MR. WEINSTEIN: It was. And I just want to
 5
    make sure. You know, there's a lot --
 6
              THE COURT: I don't think I changed any of the
 7
    wording, other than I just modified the number to be
    from three to two.
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, I understand. Just give me
10
    one second.
11
              Yeah, we propose this be in.
12
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side.
13
             MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
14
              THE COURT: All right. The Court will give
15
    what we now call No. 2.
16
              Continuing on, now, there was a proposed
17
    special by I think it was the plaintiff's side. And it
    was identified as special. What I did is I converted it
18
19
     into what I understood to be the most applicable CACI
20
     instruction, which is 3703. So this one, folks, I've
2.1
    changed -- or I did change.
22
              So let me go to Plaintiff's side. Comments?
23
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
              THE COURT: Defense side.
2.4
25
             MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
26
             THE COURT: All right. 3703 will be given.
             Next is 350. Comments from Plaintiff? Other
2.7
     than the names of the parties --
28
```

## **Transcript of Proceedings**

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                             No.
 2
              THE COURT: -- it's as-is.
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
              THE COURT: Defense side?
 4
 5
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
              THE COURT: The Court will give 350.
 6
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, I would note one
 7
 8
    thing.
9
              THE COURT: Sure.
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And we'll get to it I think in
11
    a moment. But originally, because CACI tells you to do
12
     it, I put in that we were seeking reliance damages in
13
    this instruction. And we also had a separate
    instruction for reliance damages, which the Court has
14
15
    not included.
16
              THE COURT: Let's get to that.
17
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
18
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. We'll get to that,
19
    because that sounds familiar. If I didn't do it,
20
    there's -- there's something I saw that I replaced it
2.1
    with or -- so we'll get there.
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay.
23
              THE COURT: So after 350, let's go to 351.
              Is this Mr. Cotton's instruction?
2.4
25
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
26
              THE COURT: All right. Now, this is where the
2.7
    rubber is going to start meeting the road. So what
     special damages, if any, in contra, is there evidence to
28
```

```
1
     support, as being sought by Mr. Cotton?
 2
             MR. AUSTIN: The -- the $1.2 million over
 3
    a 10-year CUP --
              THE COURT: The $1.2 million in what?
 4
              MR. AUSTIN: So at the -- at the -- calculated
 5
    at the rate of, like, the $10,000 a month times 12
 6
 7
    months times 10 years. So special damages -- special
 8
    damages being what he would have had was guaranteed to
9
    him.
10
              THE COURT: I'm not so sure this instruction
11
    contemplates that theory of damages. I think I can seek
12
    that without this instruction. Whether you're going to
13
    get it is something else.
             MR. WEINSTEIN: I agree with your Honor.
14
15
    That's not a special damages, which is an out-of-pocket
16
    damage. There's no out-of-pocket damage.
17
              THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not convinced. Counsel,
18
     I think your theory that you just articulated is
19
    contemplated within the contract itself. So there's
20
    nothing about me deleting this that's going to void you
2.1
     from seeking those damages.
22
              So the Court will delete and not give 351.
              Let me go up here. Okay. 352. Is this
23
    Mr. Cotton's instruction?
2.4
25
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
26
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
2.7
              THE COURT: All right. So what's the evidence
    of lost profits?
28
```

28

1 MR. AUSTIN: The -- the presumption that the 2 CUP would be approved with the quaranteed monthly 3 payment. THE COURT: Well, okay. But I think the 4 5 testimony from Mr. Cotton was that he was going to get a 10 percent equity but in the amount of \$10,000 --6 7 MR. AUSTIN: Or up to 10 percent of the profits. 8 9 THE COURT: Whichever was --10 MR. AUSTIN: Whichever was greater. And, 11 theoretically -- that's definitely too speculative to 12 say if sold, but he would have a 10 percent interest in 13 the sale price. 14 THE COURT: From the Court's perspective, 15 there's not evidence to support a loss of profits claim 16 in this case, whether it be Mr. Geraci or Mr. Cotton. 17 Nobody gave the jury enough money -- enough evidence that they could evaluate, at least intelligently, what 18 19 the range of lost profits would include. 20 So I'm not going to give 352. 21 Gentlemen, I want to emphasize that does not 22 stop Plaintiff from articulating the theory you just 23 articulated. Let me go back up here. MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, when you make that 24 comment -- this was going to be one of the issues I was 25 26 going to raise. When you say that's not going to 27 prevent us from articulating that theory, are you

referring to the theory that he was to get 10,000 a

```
month guaranteed, not the theory of I was to
 1
 2
     get 10 percent of net profits, which is --
 3
              THE COURT: Right.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So what I'm concerned about is
 4
     I believe -- and you mentioned this when we got together
 5
     this afternoon -- I believe that he has to limit --
 6
 7
     counsel has to limit his argument on damages to
     the 10,000 a month quarantee.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Now, how he pitches that -- I agree
10
     with you, Counsel. But, for example, if you were to
11
     suggest to the jury that 10 percent of a large number,
12
     whatever that large number is, means that he could have
13
     made more than $10,000 a month, there's no evidence of
     what that large money -- large number is so that in
14
15
     effect, Mr. Cotton at most will be limited to
16
     recovering $10,000 per month for some period of time.
17
     And I'm not even clear what that is. But I agree with
18
     counsel nobody is going to be allowed to speculate in
19
     the absence of evidence -- and there's no evidence -- of
20
     what that large number might be.
21
              So, Counsel, I'm dialed into the issue. So
22
     let's go to 356.
              Who proposed -- well, is this Plaintiff's
23
     instruction?
2.4
25
              MR. WEINSTEIN: It is not.
26
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: We made a conscious decision to
2.7
     seek reliance damages, and so we didn't give 356 and
28
```

```
1
     357.
 2
              THE COURT: So let me -- is this -- is this
 3
     Defendant's instruction?
              MR. AUSTIN: I don't think so.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: So am I correct that nobody is
 6
     proposing 356 at this point?
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
              MR. AUSTIN: Correct, your Honor.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court will
10
     delete 356 and not give it.
11
              Let's go to 357. And I've already heard
12
     Plaintiff say that you're not proposing 357. So let me
13
     go to Defense side.
14
              Are you proposing 357?
15
              MR. AUSTIN: I think that one seems
16
     appropriate.
17
              THE COURT: What is that?
18
              MR. AUSTIN: I feel that seems appropriate.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Seller's damages for breach
20
     of contract to purchase property. All right.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So do you want my comments?
2.1
22
              THE COURT: Well, I don't know if it's
23
     necessary.
              Let me just stay with Defense side. I've
2.4
25
     already heard from Plaintiff that they aren't asking for
26
     it. To recover damages for the breach of a contract to
2.7
     buy real property, Cross-complainant, which happens to
28
     have been the seller, right?
```

```
1
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah.
 2
              THE COURT: So your theory is not in real
 3
    property, as I understand it. Your theory is in joint
 4
    venture.
              MR. AUSTIN: I think we can get rid of this
 5
     one, your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right. So the Court will
    delete and not give 357.
 8
 9
              Any objection?
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not from Plaintiff.
11
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
12
              THE COURT: All right. We're going to have to
13
    take a break in just a few moments here.
14
              Okay. Now, who proposed 359?
15
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe the defense did, but
16
    there's no --
17
              THE COURT: Plaintiff is not asking for future
18
     damages. I get that.
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: We are --
20
              THE COURT: You are?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: We are asking for reliance
21
22
     damages.
23
              THE COURT: Right.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Which are expenditures that
2.4
25
    already occurred.
26
              THE COURT: Right.
2.7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. I -- I did not propose
     it, to be quite honest, but if they're -- they're
28
```

```
1
    alleging a stream of future damages that would have to
 2
    be discounted to present value. There's no witness
 3
    that's testified or could testify to that. But that
    would be a correct statement of the law.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: So this contemplates
 6
    Cross-complainant Darryl Cotton's claim for future
 7
    damages?
 8
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Right.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Which if economic in
10
    nature, which they would be, Plaintiff -- well, I guess
11
    Cross-Defendant is entitled to a present cash value
12
    reduction. Oh, boy. Now, there's a lot of issues that
13
    arise from this instruction, but I think you're entitled
14
    to it.
15
              So let me go to the defense side. Let me ask
    this before we take our afternoon break.
16
17
              For what period of time do you expect to be
18
    asking for an award of future damages?
19
             MR. AUSTIN: Ten years.
20
              THE COURT: Ten years from when?
21
             MR. AUSTIN: Well, theoretically, the CUP
22
    probably --
              THE COURT: Counsel, not theoretically.
23
    evidence is done. We're talking about instructions.
24
25
    We're literally hours away from you giving closing
26
    arguments. And I'm trying to deal with these
2.7
     instructions. And I'm trying to do so neutrally. I
28
    really am.
```

1 I'm going to give the instruction if requested 2 by Cross-Defendant because I think they're entitled to 3 it, depending on just how far into the future you think you're entitled to ask the jury to award future damages. 4 So let's start with from when. At what point 5 do you -- do you think, based upon the evidence, that 6 7 your side should begin getting \$10,000 a month? 8 MR. WEINSTEIN: Present time. 9 THE COURT: From today's date? Okay. Why? 10 Why today as opposed to some other time frame? Based 11 upon the evidence -- we're in trial. I mean, that has 12 no connection to the reasonableness of when that 13 application would have been issued and the dispensary opened and begin operating business and making a profit. 14 15 MR. AUSTIN: Well, Mr. Cotton believes because the competing CUP was just approved, and his -- the CUP 16 17 on 6176 property rightly should have been awarded that. 18 THE COURT: What's the evidence that the 19 competing dispensary's application was just approved? 20 MR. AUSTIN: I believe it passed all the 21 committee hearings and --22 THE COURT: Well, I'm not questioning that it 23 may have happened. But what's the evidence that's been presented to the jury. Did you present evidence -- did 24 25 somebody present evidence of that? 26 MR. AUSTIN: I believe so. Like, when he 2.7 appealed on the 6176, the CUP was denied. 28 THE COURT: This is Mr. Magagna?

```
1
              MR. AUSTIN:
                           Yes.
 2
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So my question is, what -- he's
 3
    not suing on the Magagna CUP. What's the evidence that
     the 6176 CUP would have been approved on a particular
 4
    date?
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Well, partially what Mr. Bartell
 7
     said.
 8
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I get that. But when?
 9
              THE COURT: We're going to take our
10
    afternoon -- folks, at this rate, you're definitely
11
    coming back tomorrow morning. But these are important.
12
    More err is committed on jury instructions than any
13
    other issue. So we're going to take our time and try to
14
    do it right.
15
              But let me just lean toward the
16
    Cross-complainant's side. Please, reflect on what your
17
    damages theory is and be prepared to -- again, based
18
    upon the evidence, to let us know what it is. We've
19
     still got a lot of instructions to get our way through.
20
              So we're going to take our -- we're going to
21
     stop at 3:59, not make any final decisions. And we'll
22
     take our break now for 15 minutes.
23
              (Recess from 2:58 p.m. to 3:12 p.m.)
              THE COURT: All right. Counsel, as I was
24
25
    reflecting over the last several minutes on the evidence
26
    that's been presented or maybe more so the lack of
2.7
    evidence, I'm not of the view that the -- I guess the
     cross-defendants should be subjected to a claim for
28
```

1 future economic damages. I've heard you say that the CUP application's 2 3 duration is 10 years. I don't recall any of that introduced to that effect. But even if there was, that 4 5 is not nearly enough evidence to support a claim for future damages. 6 7 So what I'm inclined to do upon reflection is to limit the amount of damages, given your theory, that 8 9 Mr. Cotton may seek from whatever point in the past you 10 think that that application would have been granted and 11 a dispensary -- well, and then he may have then begun to 12 collect his \$10,000 a month to the present. 13 I don't see enough evidence to support a claim into the future that isn't speculative and, quite 14 15 frankly, puts the cross defense side at a completely 16 unfair disadvantage. And that's not the Court's 17 intention to either one of you. 18 So I'm going to -- I'm not inclined to give any 19 damages -- any instructions -- I'm sorry -- which 20 contemplates an award of future economic damages beyond 21 the date of trial. 22

Let me go to Mr. Cotton's side. Comments or objections?

MR. AUSTIN: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me go to

Mr. Geraci's side.

27 MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection. That makes

28 | sense.

23

24

25

26

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. So what I'm not going
 2
    to do is give 359, since that's no longer applicable.
 3
              The next one will be 380. Who is proposing
     380?
 4
 5
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              The defense.
              THE COURT: Okay. So what did you have in mind
 6
7
    with 380?
              MR. AUSTIN: Well, some of the emails pretty
 8
9
    much verify the terms that Mr. Cotton is alleging. And
10
     the November 2nd email -- emails back and forth from --
              THE COURT: All right. Let me -- I got you.
11
12
    Let me just go to the other side.
13
              Is there any question that either side can rely
    upon the electronically communicated messages?
14
15
              MR. WEINSTEIN: May I -- I don't -- my response
16
    may sound nonresponsive, and I apologize. I've never
17
    understood -- I've understood their theory being that --
18
    first of all, the electronic means deals with how you
19
    accept and -- as to contract formation. I understood
20
    the testimony to be that it was an oral agreement that
2.1
    was formed when they had oral communications where my
22
     client allegedly agreed to what Mr. Cotton said were the
     terms and conditions that were contained in the two
23
    working documents.
24
25
              But the essence of the formation of the
26
    contract, I always understood, and I think was -- based
2.7
    on the evidence is a verbal consent to it, not because
     some email was sent. In fact, I don't know of any email
28
```

1 that was sent where consent was --2 THE COURT: The only thing I'm thinking of is 3 the one that Mr. Geraci sent to Mr. Cotton which Mr. Geraci explained on his examination to be something 4 5 different than Mr. Cotton understood. But, okay, or 6 some form of acceptance --7 MR. WEINSTEIN: But that evidence is being used not to establish formation of a contract but to 8 9 establish that Mr. -- Mr. Geraci agreed to a single 10 term, the equity interest. 11 THE COURT: Well, that's the oral agreement. 12 Right? 13 MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, but the oral is much broader than that. And so I think the testimony is, 14 15 yeah, that's -- that's going to be argued as evidence that corroborates that he made that oral agreement. But 16 17 he would have had to orally agree to all of these other 18 terms and conditions. And there's nothing under the --19 under the -- there's no emails that are being proposed 20 to say, you know, that somehow the formation occurred of 21 that oral agreement as a result of the exchange of 22 emails. That's my point. 23 THE COURT: So let me hear from you. MR. AUSTIN: Well, the email -- in that email, 2.4 25 Mr. Cotton says the 10 percent was a factored element in my decision to move forward. Please confirm that this 26 2.7 will be in our -- in any final contract. So it doesn't say that's exclusively the one thing. He just kind of 28

```
1
    puts emphasis on that's one of his primary concerns, to
 2
    which the response is, no, no problem at all.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Just give me a moment here.
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And I would -- the use notes
 4
 5
     explains that it goes to contract formation.
              THE COURT: Goes to what?
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Contract formation. So I'm not
 8
     suggesting he can't argue that that corroborates my
 9
    client's consent to that provision, but it's really not
10
    being used to establish the formation of this larger
11
    oral agreement.
12
              So the evidence comes in. He argues it.
                                                        But
13
    he just doesn't need this instruction. It's not
14
    applicable.
15
              THE COURT: Well, is this more
16
    Cross-complainant Darryl Cotton?
17
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
18
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: All right. It's very -- it's been
20
    a long time since I've had a case to try to work this
21
    hard to understand the application of certain
22
     instructions.
                    Wow. All right. Just give me a moment.
23
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Is there any evidence in the
2.4
    record, your Honor, on the second paragraph?
25
              THE COURT: One moment. I'm just trying to
26
     line this up --
2.7
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              Okay.
              THE COURT: Okay.
28
```

```
1
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Is there any evidence in the
 2
    record that the parties agreed to formalize the
 3
    agreement by the use of email?
              THE COURT: Well, I've seen -- I see a lot of
 4
    evidence of email communications. Whether that's an
 5
    agreement, explicit or tacit, I'll leave it up to the
 6
 7
     jury to decide.
 8
              All right. So I'm going to give 380 as
    modified.
9
10
              And I take it I'm doing so over Mr. Geraci's
11
    objection?
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: All right. And this is what
14
    Mr. Cotton is proposing?
15
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right.
              Now, let's go on to 3501. Who is proposing
17
18
    this?
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: The defense.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
2.1
              MR. AUSTIN: We don't need that, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: All right. 3501 will not be given.
23
              Let's go to 3502. Who is proposing?
2.4
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Defense again.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Again, I had
26
    reservations about including this, but I don't --
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: We don't need it, your Honor.
28
              THE COURT: All right. So 3502 is gone.
```

```
1
              Let me just go up.
 2
              The next one is 1900.
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, before we leave the
 4
    damage instruction, I think it's an appropriate time to
 5
     address 361, reliance damages. That was an instruction
 6
    where we had requested and didn't appear in your
 7
    version. And I think it's a proper instruction.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me see if -- oh, boy.
9
    Let me see if I can retrieve your instructions.
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I can give you a copy of the
     sheet I have.
11
12
              THE COURT: It's different.
13
              Why don't you give it to me.
14
              MR. WEINSTEIN: It's got my note on it that
15
     says should be put back in. But other than that --
16
              THE COURT: All right. That's fine -- let me
17
     just -- I may have found your instructions, Counsel.
18
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              Okay.
              THE COURT: I didn't see -- Counsel, this is
19
20
    what you sent me, truly. And I can go back -- I can get
21
    out of this to show you. This skipped from 359 to 380.
22
    Now, was there a special instruction that you gave to
23
    me?
24
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              No.
25
              THE COURT: Because, see, look at this, jury
     instruction from Michael Weinstein. So, you know, we
26
2.7
    could have messed up here.
28
              Now, this is an earlier set that we got on
```

```
1
    June 28.
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Right.
 3
              THE COURT: Let me go there.
 4
             MR. WEINSTEIN: It was in our report --
 5
              THE COURT: Let me go to this one.
 6
             MR. WEINSTEIN: It should be on page 75 of that
 7
    document.
 8
              THE COURT: Page 75.
 9
             MS. KULAS: Your Honor, in the version that was
10
    provided to you -- I just did a control find on CACI
11
          It looks like it's on page 75. So it might have
12
    been a little bit out of order.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Let's see what we can
14
    find here.
15
              Okay. Was it identified as CACI 361?
16
             MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              It was.
17
             Here we go. So let me do -- does this look to
18
    be what you proposed?
19
              THE WITNESS: It was.
20
    BY MR. WEINSTEIN:
              Okav. So let me do this. I don't know if I
21
22
    know how to do any control searches.
              MR. AUSTIN: Go control F. And then --
23
              THE COURT: Counsel, I'm just kidding. I mean,
2.4
25
    I'm not so sure I do know how to do it. So now what I'm
26
    going to do is scroll back to 350, in between 350 and
2.7
     380. We're going to paste this in. So just give me a
28
    moment to set this up a bit. Okay. So we'll -- so now
```

```
1
     we're all looking at 361.
 2
              And I take it that this is what Mr. Geraci's
 3
     side is comfortable with?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: And it should be -- I believe
 4
 5
     it's --
              THE COURT: I'm going to add Defendant.
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't think there's any
     modification of CACI in there.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. So Plaintiff Jerry -- Larry.
10
     I'm getting these names mixed up now.
11
              So let me go to the defense side. Comments?
12
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection to -- to how it is.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me just -- what I've
     learned is as easy as you all have come to refer to each
14
15
     other by last names, it's much more complicated from the
16
     jury and the Court's perspective. So I just put down
17
     Plaintiff and Defendant. Just give me one moment.
18
              Just give me a moment here.
19
              MR. WEINSTEIN: That's the way I do page breaks
20
     too.
21
              THE COURT: Yeah. Let me just drop that down a
22
     bit. I'll clean this up if I have to before I send it.
              Okay. So there's 361, as modified. And I'm
23
2.4
     inclined to give that.
25
              Did I hear no objection from the defense side?
26
              MR. AUSTIN: Correct, your Honor.
2.7
              THE COURT: All right. Now, are there any
     other damages instructions that the Court failed to
28
```

```
1
     include from Plaintiff's side?
 2
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. So in order, 350, 361,
     380, and now we're up to 1900. Right? 1900.
 4
 5
                     This is -- we need to make some
    corrections to get rid of Ms. Berry.
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So with -- you're going very --
    with the damage instructions we just talked about
 8
9
     limiting no future damages. Is there going to be -- is
10
    that just going to be argued that way, or is there going
11
    to be --
12
              THE COURT: I'm going to leave it as argument
13
    with the admonition that the Court has granted at least
     in part Cross-Defendant's motion for nonsuit and will
14
15
     limit Mr. Cotton's claim for economic damages based on
16
    contract or fraud. I should say and fraud to
17
    the $10,000 per month from some date prior to trial to
18
    no later than the date of trial. Just give me a moment
19
    here.
20
              And if there's an -- if there's a statement or
21
    an argument made, then I would expect Mr. Geraci's side
22
     to object.
              On the other hand, if the jury does go beyond
23
    what the Court has now ordered, it will become the
24
     subject of a proposed trial motion. So one way or the
25
26
    other, we're going to get to it.
2.7
              Okay. So now we're at 1900. And what I've
    done is I've deleted all the references to Ms. Berry.
28
```

```
1
    Otherwise, I think it's consistent with what we had
 2
    given the jury in pre-instructions.
 3
              Any objection from either one of you?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection from Plaintiff.
 4
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. After 1900, is 1902,
 6
 7
    false promise.
 8
              Comments? Objections?
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection from Plaintiff.
10
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection, your Honor.
11
              MR. TOOTHACRE:
                              Wait.
12
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Two needs to be --
13
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Two needs to be he -- the he or
14
    she.
              THE COURT: Did not intend -- you're right.
15
16
    let's get rid of or she.
17
              MR. TOOTHACRE: That's good.
18
              THE COURT: Anything else, Counsel?
19
              MR. TOOTHACRE: No, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: So the Court will give 1902 as
21
    modified to delete the reference to she.
22
              Next is 1903. Let me get rid of the references
23
    to Ms. Berry.
              All right. As modified, Counsel, any comments
2.4
25
    or questions?
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not from Plaintiff.
2.7
              MR. AUSTIN: Only that on the top line, it
28
     says -- after you got rid of Rebecca Berry, it says
```

```
1
     Cross-Defendants Larry Geraci.
 2
              THE COURT: Gotcha.
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: But no objection to the substance.
              THE COURT: All right. There we go. I've
 4
 5
     cleaned that up.
              Continuing on, 1904. Comments?
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: So the -- the representation
     that's being -- I'm not sure it's necessary. The
 8
 9
    representation that they're pursuing is that he didn't
10
     intend -- he said he would pay $10,000 a month
11
    quaranteed and that he didn't mean it. I mean, I'm not
12
    going to argue that opinion, if that's what you're going
13
    to limit their argument to.
14
              THE COURT: Thank you. Let me go to defense
15
     side -- or Mr. Cotton's side.
              Are you asking for this?
16
17
              MR. AUSTIN: I think at one time we did want to
18
    make that argument about his claim to have special
19
    knowledge and special skill sets. But I -- I don't
20
    think we need that anymore.
2.1
              THE COURT: So you're withdrawing 1904, or not?
22
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. I can withdraw that.
23
              THE COURT: All right.
2.4
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor --
25
              THE COURT: Any objection?
26
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No. But it's under the
2.7
    understanding that their argument is limited to -- I
28
    mean, when we talked in the motion about what they could
```

28

1 go forward on and you asked them to identify the 2 misrepresentation, the misrepresentation that was 3 identified was the statement before November 2nd, 2016 that he was going to, you know, pay -- you know, 4 5 essentially live up to these terms and conditions, none of which are opinions. They're just statements of fact. 6 7 And that was the only representation they identified that was actionable to induce reliance. 8 9 If they're going to argue there were other 10 representations, I don't know what those are. But, you 11 know, I'm concerned that I eliminate the instruction if 12 they're not limited in that regard based on the Court's 13 ruling. THE COURT: Well, I'm seeing dual arguments. 14 15 The misrepresentation of fact is not opinion. 16 that Mr. Cotton would become a joint venture partner and 17 get paid in equity interest or some major damages per 18 month. That's a fact. 19 But either side can argue testimony that may 20 fall into the category of opinions for other purposes. 21 Like, for example, if Mr. Cotton or Mr. Geraci say he's 22 a super star in getting applications authorized by the City and he was going to make a zillion dollars 23 operating a dispensary, part of which Mr. Cotton was 24 25 entitled to get some money, I mean --26 MR. WEINSTEIN: Then I would not withdraw it, 2.7 then.

THE COURT: Well, you can argue the same thing

```
1
     toward --
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: I understand.
 3
              THE COURT: So you would want to withdraw it,
    or not withdraw it?
 4
 5
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I would want to keep it, then.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. So -- okay. So if one side
7
    wants to keep it -- so how do you -- what I'm inclined
    to do is delete the references to Plaintiff Larry Geraci
8
9
    and Defendant Darryl Cotton and let you all argue it
10
    however.
11
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection to making it
12
    generic.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?
             MR. AUSTIN: That's fine, your Honor.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Now, I want to make
16
     sure -- okay. Oh, boy. Okay. Now, having said what I
17
     just said, I don't want either side to be boxed in.
18
              So let me ask Plaintiff's side, do you want
19
    this to be reciprocal? I mean, what we talked about is
20
    why it could be relevant from Cotton's perspective.
2.1
    don't want --
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Well --
              THE COURT: I can make this reciprocal if we
23
24
    can figure out a way to do it.
25
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't -- it really goes to
26
    the false misrepresentation claim. So I have no
2.7
    objection to it being that way.
28
                          Thank you. The Court then will
              THE COURT:
```

```
1
    give 1904 as modified.
 2
              Continuing on, 1907. Any objection?
 3
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection from Plaintiff.
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: 1908?
                                 These are standard --
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
 6
 7
              MR. AUSTIN: No objection.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Let's get rid of that
 9
    comma.
10
              1922.
11
              MR. WEINSTEIN: This is Defense's instruction.
12
     I don't think it applies anymore.
13
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, we can -- we can remove
14
    that.
15
              THE COURT: You agree we can withdraw it -- or
16
    remove it?
17
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: 1922 will be deleted and not given.
19
              1923. I know that needs to be harm.
20
    All right.
21
              MR. WEINSTEIN: This is typically -- there
22
    needs to be a damages instruction, obviously. And
    typically it's the out-of-pocket rule, but we don't have
23
    a situation in which we're seeking remedies that would
24
25
    normally be involved with the breach of the sale of the
26
    property, which this is designed to do.
2.7
              THE COURT: I gotcha.
28
              So let me go to the defendant's perspective and
```

```
Mr. Cotton's perspective or side.
 1
 2
              Are you asking for this instruction?
 3
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. 1923 will not be given.
 4
                              That has the -- that has the
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
 5
     effect of just reverting everything to the damage
 6
 7
     instructions that are in the other part of the
     instructions --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Right.
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: -- which is fine.
11
              THE COURT: Let me see here.
12
              And then somebody proposed 3925. So after
13
     1908, we skip all the way to 3925.
14
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection.
15
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, no objection.
16
              THE COURT: And next instruction -- okay. So
17
     that's the last substantive -- we've got some closing
18
     instructions to go over.
19
              Let me go to Plaintiff's side. Is there any
20
     other instructions that you wanted to bring to my
2.1
     attention that I have failed to address so far?
22
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. But not because you
23
     failed to address it.
2.4
              Could we put up 306 again.
25
              THE COURT: 306?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. Unformalized agreement.
26
2.7
     I think I may have muffed that one.
              THE COURT: All right. There it is. There you
28
```

```
1
     go.
 2
             MR. WEINSTEIN: So I think we should withdraw
 3
     that. I think it's confusing.
              THE COURT: Withdraw it?
 4
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I would withdraw it. It's
 5
 6
     confusing.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Let's go to the
    Defendant's side.
 8
 9
             MR. AUSTIN: I do think it's pretty confusing.
10
              THE COURT: I'm sorry?
11
             MR. AUSTIN: I think it's confusing. Like --
12
              THE COURT: Okay. If both of you agree, the
13
     Court will delete it. Agreed?
14
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Agreed.
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Austin, agreed?
16
             MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: The Court will withdraw 306. Now,
18
     let me just line it up here.
19
              Okay. Let me go back to Plaintiff's side.
20
     other instructions you want to talk about?
2.1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Let me go to the defense side. Any
23
     other instructions you want to talk about?
              MR. AUSTIN: I would just like to add the joint
2.4
     venture agreement instruction. And I -- I don't -- my
25
26
     phone died. So I wasn't able to --
2.7
              THE COURT: What's the number?
28
             MR. AUSTIN: I had it written down, but I can't
```

1 find that note and my phone is dead. So I wasn't able 2 to look it up. 3 THE COURT: All right. Just give me one 4 moment. I think it's 3712. Okay. Let me see if I can 5 get there. All right. Let me -- I found it in CACI, by 6 7 the way, on my -- so let me try to get it as big as I can. Sorry, Counsel. Oh, wait a minute. Maybe if I 8 9 can get rid of that. There we go. 10 All right. That's as big as I can get it for 11 you. For those of you who are not as young as you used 12 to be, I've made it as big as I can. No disrespect 13 intended to anybody. 14 MR. TOOTHACRE: I don't think we need the first 15 paragraph because I don't think there's any 16 allegation --17 THE COURT: I tend to agree with that. Well, first of all, let me go to Mr. Cotton's counsel. 18 19 So is this the instruction that you were 20 thinking about? 21 MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, it is. 22 THE COURT: And I agree with Mr. Geraci's 23 counsel that the first paragraph should be deleted. What you're looking for is the definition of joint 24 25 venture. Right? 26 MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. Primarily. Although --2.7 THE COURT: So it seems to me that 3712, as 28 modified to delete the first paragraph, satisfies your

```
1
    purpose.
             MR. WEINSTEIN: I believe the first sentence of
 2
 3
    the next paragraph should be deleted as well so that it
     just says definition.
 4
              THE COURT: Well, don't they have to decide
 5
    whether there was a joint venture entered into?
 6
 7
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I suppose. I viewed it sort of
     like a special instruction. But --
 8
9
              THE COURT: I'm not --
10
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't -- the first paragraph
11
     is sufficient. I'll agree to that without objection.
12
              THE COURT: All right. So let me go to the
13
    defense side. Let me do this. Let me see if I can find
14
    where we left off.
15
              So I'm trying to think where that would go.
16
             MR. TOOTHACRE: Probably behind the contract.
17
             THE COURT: 303?
18
             MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah.
19
              THE COURT: All right.
20
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Or it literally could be added
    as part of the second half of 303.
21
              THE COURT: Oh, well --
22
23
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Where we say --
              THE COURT: Okay. I mean, we tell them that
2.4
25
    the order of the instructions doesn't matter.
26
              MR. AUSTIN: We could just put it on the page
2.7
     following -- or even before 303.
28
              MR. WEINSTEIN: I would say after. But --
```

```
1
             MR. TOOTHACRE: I would say after. Drop 304
 2
    down.
 3
             THE COURT: 304 down. 305. 312.
             MR. WEINSTEIN: It's right after -- it would be
 4
    right after the first instruction where the term "joint
 5
 6
    venture" is used. It seems to me to make the most sense
 7
    there.
             THE COURT: Okay. So that would be after 303?
 8
9
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Correct.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. So there -- the first
11
    reference to joint venture is 303. So then here's what
12
    we'll do --
13
             MR. WEINSTEIN: So it could become Special
14
    No. 1, I guess.
15
             THE COURT: I'd still call it CACI --
16
             MR. WEINSTEIN: Oh, that's right.
17
             THE COURT: -- 3712. Let me just do something.
18
             MR. AUSTIN: Well, there are some allegations
19
    that were testified to about, you know, perhaps some of
20
    the legal dispensary sanctions.
21
             THE COURT: Oh, wait a minute. Let me just do
22
    this here, then. Okay.
23
             Now, your -- are you addressing the first
24
    paragraph?
25
             MR. AUSTIN: I agree with you guys.
26
             THE COURT: Okay.
2.7
             MR. AUSTIN: We can take that out.
             THE COURT: Okay. So what I've done is I've
28
```

1 deleted the reference to the first paragraph of 3712. 2 Otherwise, I've left it as is. 3 Let me go to the defense side. You're proposing it. Any objections to 3712 as modified? 4 MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Let me go to Plaintiff's side. 7 MR. WEINSTEIN: No objection as modified, your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Now, let me go back 10 to -- let me just scroll this down. I think all the 11 rest of these will be fine. 12 MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, in relation to the 13 contract instructions, isn't there also one about, like, mutual incentive, something that kind of maybe describes 14 15 meeting of the minds? I think it's entitled mutual ascent. I mean, it's not included in here, but would 16 17 that be a standard? 18 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Did you 19 propose it? There's probably a good reason that it's 20 not in here. If you proposed -- although, I did miss 2.1 the 361 one. 22 MR. TOOTHACRE: What are the odds of making two mistakes, your Honor. 23 24 THE COURT: Yeah. 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: I'm looking at the index in 26 CACI, and I don't see a --2.7 THE COURT: I'm not seeing anything either. 28 Let me go to 309.

```
1
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Contract formation.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, Counsel, at some point, you
 3
    have the responsibility to at least give us a number.
    And I -- we're all aware of the principle that you're
 4
 5
    referring to, but --
 6
              MR. AUSTIN: I --
              THE COURT: -- I don't see it. So in the
 7
    absence of you having given me something to get me
8
9
     started, I don't know how to respond to that, other than
10
     to just not accept it, for the time being.
11
              I mean, if you can propose something, maybe we
12
    can integrate it between now and Monday, but --
              MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I apologize. I can't access
13
14
    my phone.
15
              THE COURT: So what I'm going to do is I'm
16
    going to -- now, let me just go to the very end.
                                                       The
17
    Court will give all the instructions that we've
18
    discussed -- now, through and including 3925. At that
19
    point, Counsel, I'm going to stop. You argue.
20
              When you're done arguing, the concluding
21
     instructions will consist of the following: 5009, 5010,
22
     5011 -- and, by the way, you need to have your Court
23
    reporter available for readback, if requested -- and
     5012.
24
25
              And at that point, subject to a couple of
26
    additional admonitions, the jury will get the case.
27
              So I'm going to send this to my clerk, and
28
     going to send it to you in just a few moments.
```

MR. WEINSTEIN: May I address one issue before 1 2 you do that? 3 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 4 MR. WEINSTEIN: And I apologize. The Court has been very patient. 1908, reasonable reliance, it 5 doesn't look like it's the full CACI instruction. And 6 7 I'm not sure why. 8 THE COURT: 3908? 9 MR. WEINSTEIN: No. 1908. Reasonable 10 reliance. 11 THE COURT: Now, this may be one that I did not 12 cross-reference. Somebody may have proposed it as is. 13 So let me see if I can retrieve 1908. Oh. There's a lot more here. 14 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. I thought maybe I didn't 16 have the most up-to-date book. THE COURT: Let me see if I can retrieve it 17 18 real quick. Oh, boy. Well, maybe not. 19 MR. WEINSTEIN: Oh, that's an old one. 20 THE COURT: I have an old one. Believe it or 21 not, this is what we get online from the Court. But the 22 newer model has a lot more to it. 23 MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: That may be part of the issue. 2.4 25 MR. WEINSTEIN: It may very well have been --26 THE COURT: Well, are you asking that the Court 2.7 give the balance of the instruction? MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes. 28

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Let me go to --
 2
              MR. AUSTIN: I did review the much lengthier
     one, and I'm fine with that one.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. So, Counsel, I would
 4
 5
    need to do some additional word processing. Let's not
     take the time for you to stare at me to do that.
 6
 7
              So here's what I'm going to do. Plus -- oops.
     Plus rest of 1908.
 8
 9
              So when I send this to you in just a moment --
10
     I'll send you another set, but I want to get something
11
     to you tonight. So I won't forget, I can assure you.
12
              Is there anything else from Mr. Geraci's
13
     side --
14
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: -- on instructions?
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Just, again, let me save
18
     this and send it to you.
19
              Okay. So, Counsel, here's what I propose we
20
         It's 4 o'clock now. It's been an awful long day.
     do.
21
              We're going to get you the instructions. And
22
     then rather than spend any more time tonight, at or
23
    before I take the bench tomorrow morning at 8:30 for my
     ex parte calendar, we will arrange to email you the
24
25
    verdict forms, which I think will reflect all of the
26
     changes that the Court has made as a result of its
2.7
     rulings.
28
              What I'm going to suggest is that we
```

1 reconvene -- and I'm going to give you leave to appear 2 telephonically -- at 10:30. 3 MR. WEINSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Now, what I'll try to do well 4 before then is not only to have emailed you the current 5 set of the verdict forms but the most recent set of the 6 7 jury instructions which -- jury instructions which includes the completed 1908. 8 9 So our 10:30 hearing, which, again, you have 10 leave to appear telephonically. If you want to come 11 down, that's fine. It may be very short. If all I hear 12 is you're good with the instructions, you're good with 13 the verdict forms, that will be that. 14 I will want to get you on the phone or have you 15 say in person that you're good with -- there may be an 16 objection. You can interpose the objection. 17 But I want to know that we've done the best we 18 can as of tomorrow morning. 19 And then that will be the last I need to hear 20 from you before Monday morning. 21 What's the number, your Honor? MR. WEINSTEIN: 22 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. WEINSTEIN: No. What's the number I should 23 call? 2.4 25 THE COURT: Call the department. 26 THE CLERK: There's only one line. So they 2.7 have to call in. 28 THE COURT: Is that what we do, get them on the

```
1
     line?
 2
              THE CLERK: Yes. I can do the conference call.
 3
              THE COURT: So if you choose to appear
     telephonically, before you leave, make sure we know what
 4
 5
    number to reach you no later than 10:30. And I'll get
 6
    this to you before then. When I say "I," my clerk will
 7
    have emailed it to you.
 8
              Okay.
 9
              MR. TOOTHACRE: I have one question, your
10
    Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Sure.
12
              MR. TOOTHACRE: In closing arguments, what's
13
    the Court's feeling of publishing rough transcripts from
14
     the court reporter?
15
              THE COURT: Good question.
              My first reaction is if counsel is satisfied in
16
17
    representing that this is what a witness said, I'm
18
    satisfied.
19
              MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.
20
              THE COURT: Let's go to the defense side.
                                                         And
21
    you may want to publish draft dailies of what the
22
    witness said too. I'm inclined to let you do so.
23
              MR. AUSTIN:
                           Okay. If I did choose to do that,
24
     I would ideally just want to have a printed copy for
25
    everyone, because I don't know how to use any of these,
26
    like, projectors. I don't think they'll use it. But if
2.7
     I were to do so, would I want, like, a copy?
28
              THE COURT: No. You wouldn't be giving the
```

```
1
     jury anything. You'd be displaying it.
 2
              MR. AUSTIN: Okay.
 3
              THE COURT: Now, how you display it is entirely
 4
     up to you.
 5
              MR. AUSTIN: Okay.
              THE COURT: Folks, I'm not going to allow
 6
 7
     anybody to compromise the court reporter. She's done
     the best she can and, apparently, has given you dailies
 8
 9
     or drafts of something. This is not about the court
10
     reporter.
11
              So if there's any question about what appears
12
     on the draft that you displayed to the jury, you are
     putting yourself on the line. It's not the court
13
     reporter who has done the best she can.
14
15
              Do you understand that?
16
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Understood.
17
              THE COURT: Counsel?
18
              MR. AUSTIN: Yes, your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right. So you've got leave.
20
              THE REPORTER: Your Honor, for the reporter,
21
     excuse the interruption, will there be a need for me to
22
     be on the line as well tomorrow morning?
              MR. WEINSTEIN: Not from the Plaintiff's
23
24
    perspective.
25
              THE COURT: In other words, waive reporting of
     tomorrow morning's conference call -- or hearing.
26
2.7
              MR. WEINSTEIN:
                              Waived.
              MR. AUSTIN: So waived.
28
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Gotcha. And I think our minute
 2
     order captures the objections to instructions or
 3
     something. So there's not a whole lot of business to
 4
     accomplish. And, folks, I'm going to do it the same way
 5
     tomorrow whether we have a reporter present or not.
 6
              All right. So we'll waive reporting of
 7
     tomorrow morning's hearing.
              Anything else from Plaintiff's side?
 8
 9
              MR. WEINSTEIN: No.
10
              THE COURT: Defense side?
11
              MR. AUSTIN: No, your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: We've got a ton of people coming
13
     through tomorrow morning. So make sure your belongings
     are protected.
14
15
              (The proceedings concluded at 4:01 p.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
2.7
28
```

1	I, Margaret A. Smith, a Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter, No. 9733, State of California, RPR, CRR, do
3	hereby certify:
4	That I reported stenographically the proceedings
5	held in the above-entitled cause; that my notes were
6	thereafter transcribed with Computer-Aided
7	Transcription; and the foregoing transcript, consisting
8	of pages number from 1 to 182, inclusive, is a full,
9	true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes
10	taken during the proceeding had on July 10, 2019.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	this 25th day of July 2019.
13	Margaret A. Smith
14	
15	Margaret A. Smith, CSR No. 9733, RPR, CRR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

\$

**\$1.2** 148:2,4

\$10,000 37:26 38:18, 22,23 50:4 89:9 90:9,16 96:5,11 97:16 101:1 103:22 148:6 149:6 150:13, 16 154:7 156:12 164:17 166:10

**\$12** 44:6

**\$120,000** 90:10

**\$400,000** 55:15

**\$5,000** 50:6 137:14

**\$80,000** 96:12

1

1 14:9,11,12,13 28:24 119:18 120:5,9 122:8 130:13 136:1, 3,8 144:14,16 145:15,23 174:14

**1,000** 30:4,8

**1,000-foot** 30:3

**1.2** 35:5 44:3

10 5:1 6:6 35:4 36:9 37:25 41:7,11,12,15, 25 42:10 44:5 45:4 77:21 79:5 86:25 87:18 88:2 89:10,11, 25 91:9 93:12 95:24, 25,27 96:8 97:15 100:19 101:4,8,9,17 102:7 103:20,23 105:10 106:18,22 148:7 149:6,7,12 150:2,11 156:3 158:25 **10,000** 41:20 106:6 149:28 150:8

**10,000-dollar** 35:25 45:3 101:7 139:9

**10,000-dollar-a-month** 96:9

**10,000-dollar-permonth** 100:26

**10-year** 148:3

100 18:24 28:24

**105** 19:21,23,25,27 26:23

**10:27** 64:22

**10:30** 179:2,9 180:5

**10:41** 64:22

**10:45** 67:1

**11** 36:9 100:19 101:5, 10,16,17 102:15,17, 18

**11/2** 38:21 39:17

**11/2/16** 88:27

**11/2/2016** 86:2

**11:30** 7:1

**11:36** 98:11

**12** 25:25 35:5 148:6

12th 17:26

**13** 18:18 26:2

**1400** 13:6

**15** 5:23 63:16,18 64:21 155:22

**151** 37:13 57:4,7,11 58:18 59:4 80:3

**15th** 68:3,17

**170** 15:22 26:8

**19** 22:5 91:27

**1900** 161:2 164:4,27 165:6

**1902** 165:6,20

**1903** 165:22

**1904** 166:6,21 169:1

**1907** 169:2

**1908** 169:5 170:13 177:5,9,13 178:8 179:8

**1922** 169:10,18

**1923** 169:19 170:4

**1980** 12:19

1:20 97:24,26 98:8

**1:22** 98:11

**1:30** 67:14,15,19 97:25

2

2 81:16 86:15 120:6, 10,24 121:13,27 124:20 130:13 136:2,3,8 144:17 145:24,25,26 146:15

**20** 13:14,16 18:2 22:5 32:10 46:19 91:28

**200** 111:6

**2002** 12:20

**2006** 12:4,23

**201** 111:7,19

2014 70:19

**2015** 14:26 70:21

**2016** 34:15,20 42:14 51:16 55:15 75:10 86:15 100:18 103:15 104:16 119:28 120:1,6,10,24 144:12,17 167:3

**2017** 17:7,11,19 20:8 25:16,28 26:24,26 27:7,13 40:19 42:16 56:22 60:7 85:10,11

2018 27:25

2019 5:1

**202** 111:20

203 111:21

204 111:22 112:21

**205** 112:23

206 112:24 113:7,11

**208** 113:12

**20897** 70:20

209 113:13,18

**210** 113:19,25

**212** 113:28

**215** 114:4,7 116:1

**219** 116:20 117:11

**21st** 37:12 56:21 143:16

22 12:18 17:18

**220** 117:20

**223** 117:21

22nd 25:26

24th 20:7 100:18

**26051** 69:22

**26th** 103:11,13

27th 85:9

**28** 60:6 162:1

**281** 69:20,23 70:5,10, 15

29th 14:26

Index: \$1.2-29th

<b>2:58</b> 155:23			
<b>2nd</b> 35:12 44:28			
45:21 50:26 73:12			
75:10 85:25 86:5			
103:15 104:16			
105:18 106:12,15			
120:1 132:22			
137:10,19,23 138:10			
157:10 167:3			
3			

**3/28/17** 59:28

5:15 7:4 17:22 25:2,6 32:11,12,18, 21 46:19 68:4

47:28 118:2,4

117:22 118:2,9, 15 121:4

 74:8 118:24,25 119:10,12 144:15 173:17,21,27 174:8, 

124:26 125:4 174:1,3

125:2,18 127:12, 18 174:3

 127:13,20 130:12,19 131:27 170:24,25 171:17

132:1,4,8,26 133:24,25 134:3

175:28

132:3 134:4 135:1,7

135:8 136:7,27 174:3

136:28 137:4 138:16

138:22 139:12,16

139:23 140:2

140:3,7

140:8,12

140:13,16

31st 17:10 51:16

140:17,18 141:3, 6,9

141:10,26 143:11

143:12,21,24

74:10 143:25 144:3,16,25

144:26 145:13,14

146:27 147:6,23 162:26 164:3

160:17,22

160:23,28

147:23 148:22

71:7,13,27 148:23 149:20

150:22,28 151:6, 10

151:1,11,12,14 152:8

152:14 157:2 161:21

89:20

161:5 162:11,15 163:1,23 164:3 175:21

146:20,26

172:5,27 174:17 175:1,4

157:3,4,7 160:8 161:21 162:27 164:4

177:8

170:12,13 176:18

**3:12** 155:23

**3:59** 155:21

178:20

13:4 59:11,13,15

400,000 34:22

70:21

5:13 68:4

**4:01** 182:15

37:5,11 40:25 80:5 85:9 102:17 103:19

**5.000** 90:18 108:21

105:9

**50,000** 38:16 106:6

**50,000-dollar** 42:10 45:4 52:16 105:9

108:26 109:8

176:21

176:21

176:22

176:24

109:9,18

109:26 110:28

121:13,27 124:20

21:11

15:10,11 23:17, 20 26:4 30:23 32:21 34:3 43:12 48:19,23 51:26 154:17,27 155:4

 22:8,14,27 23:11 24:24 29:25 30:8 32:1 47:28 48:21,23 49:1,6,13, 18,26

6th 40:19

162:6,8,11

800,000-dollar 45:5

59:18,22,24,25

**8:30** 178:23

**8:44** 5:1

9 9:17 68:3

90 29:7

9:00 108:19

Α

**a.m.** 5:1 64:22 98:11

**Abhay** 20:4,13,17,20, 26 24:28 25:4 28:23 30:2 32:10 49:25

**able** 37:19 39:24 43:6 48:11,22 49:4 58:16 171:26 172:1

Index: 2:58-able

abreast 41:28 absence 9:13 150:19 176:8 **absolute** 114:16.23 115:13 **Absolutely** 7:8 40:12 43:14 77:27 114:10 accelerated 67:3 accept 157:19 176:10 acceptance 133:21 158:6 accepted 39:6 42:12 access 20:22,25 21:2,18,21,23 23:5, 28 27:4,10 29:16 42:16,18,24 52:25 56:18 61:8 176:13 accessing 110:13 accidentally 106:20 accomplish 182:4 accomplished 52:3 accounting 41:16,19 53:21 accounts 34:19 accurate 28:26 29:19 38:19 136:13 accurately 126:11 accustomed 133:16 achieve 61:25 achieved 84:4 acknowledge 57:26 acknowledged 31:18 40:16 acknowledging 39:2 acknowledgment 38:24 73:15

acquire 34:3 acquired 89:14 actionable 167:8 activist 40:28 actual 21:11 79:19 acumen 57:27 add 100:2 109:22 120:27 163:6 171:24 added 16:18,23 31:21 102:20,23 108:26 173:20 additional 6:10 7:13 33:3 63:5 69:3,6,10, 16 100:4 105:24.28 138:11 176:26 178:5 address 76:10 77:25 84:12 99:12,14 161:5 170:21,23 177:1 addressed 9:7 105:11 addressing 174:23 adequately 36:18 adjustments 8:12 administration 11:9 administrator 42:25 **admission** 6:9 7:13 33:3 59:22 63:4 69:2 admissions 113:19, 21 admit 94:9 admitted 59:14,15, 19,24,28 69:17 70:15 admonition 164:13 admonitions 64:25 176:26

advanced 138:18 advisor 46:28 affairs 11:24 affect 121:19 afternoon 8:5 67:15, 16 68:14 97:22 98:13 150:6 153:16 155:10 agency 11:25,27 agenda 16:21,23,25 17:8 24:14 31:21 agent 18:4,12 agents 60:12,14 ago 67:13 98:7 agree 79:4 81:10,14 82:25 96:4 100:15 123:23 126:28 128:11,21 138:6 139:17,18 140:28 141:2,6 142:25 148:14 150:9.17 158:17 169:15 171:12 172:17,22 173:11 174:25 agreed 36:14 62:7 75:12 77:4 100:25 103:14 106:5,20 137:22 157:22 158:9 160:2 171:13,14,15 agreement 14:6,19, 22,25 35:9,27 36:9 37:24 38:2 39:23 41:24 45:22 61:10 73:15,26 75:9,14,19, 27 76:5,27 77:10 78:2,9,13,19 79:14, 16 81:25 85:24 86:1, 2,13,18 88:20,27,28 90:14 100:14,20,24 101:5,6 103:14,23 allowed 21:7 99:18

Geraci vs. Cotton, et al. 104:8,10,14,17,19, 22,25,26 105:7,15, 18,24 106:2,13,18 119:28 122:14 123:23 126:17 128:4,18,23 129:6, 24,25,26 130:25 133:9,21 136:19 137:19 138:2,3,6,9, 10 140:20,26 157:20 158:11,16,21 159:11 160:3,6 170:26 171:25 agreements 106:9 140:21 **agrees** 135:5 Ah 116:9 128:8 ahead 23:10,13 75:5 ahold 71:21 airport 13:5 **Albeit** 77:18 aligned 36:12 allegation 137:18 172:16 allegations 174:18 allege 125:8 alleged 76:3 78:20,22 82:22 100:24 104:1 128:24 129:23 137:12 140:21 allegedly 86:10 157:22 **alleges** 106:19 alleging 100:25 126:2 144:6 153:1 157:9 allow 37:15 81:24 82:10 181:6

Index: abreast-allowed

150:18 allowing 59:3 alternative 119:21 137:28 ambiguity 125:16 ambiguous 49:7 127:10 138:27 **amend** 16:1 amount 39:1 53:27 97:22 102:6 106:4 149:6 156:8 **analysis** 19:13,15,18, 20 21:24 83:21 answer 45:20 46:12 61:17 113:14,20 anticipate 6:16 32:13, 21 38:8,12,28 46:20 51:26 138:19 anticipated 45:2 50:15 52:16 anybody 72:2 109:9 113:6,13,20 115:10 117:12 126:2 172:13 181:7 **anymore** 142:20 166:20 169:12 **anyway** 117:5 apologize 125:4 132:5,16 157:16 176:13 177:4 apostrophe 142:13 apparently 181:8 appeal 24:24 25:2,7 29:27,28 32:10 81:22 94:7,28 95:2 appealed 32:15

154:27

appear 20:4 105:19 161:6 179:1,10 180:3 **appears** 20:10 67:26 82:16 136:8 181:11 applicable 133:24 134:11 140:23,24 145:9,14 146:19 157:2 159:14 applicant 18:11 24:9, 15,18 applicants 13:21 application 14:24 15:1,6,23 16:6 18:4, 8,21 21:3,6,24 22:9, 10,27 23:1,21 25:5 41:28 43:7,8 47:21 49:26 51:16 52:15. 20 59:27 115:6 154:13,19 156:10 159:21 application's 156:2 applications 22:1 167:22 applied 15:20 18:4,8 applies 128:19 169:12 **apply** 126:24 138:13, 17 **appointed** 12:8 43:2 appraiser 56:2 appreciate 67:28 68:1,25 89:1 102:3,9 appropriate 71:1 77:23 107:14 112:19 126:6,10 135:22 139:25 151:16,18 161:4 approval 17:22 24:24

61:8 9 approved 16:28 arguments 30:1 17:20 25:6,24,26 64:16 67:2,18 84:24 32:22 34:25 43:12 98:19 99:12 100:2 106:23,28 153:26 47:6,28 48:2,3,20 167:14 180:12 52:3 149:2 154:16, 19 155:4 arrange 16:18 178:24 approving 32:13 arranged 16:15 approximate 34:15 arrested 60:14 66:16 approximately 17:1 articulated 148:18 26:27 27:13 40:14 149:23 50:8 63:16 articulating 149:22, aquaponics 41:4 27 arbitrarily 96:24 as-is 147:2 area 19:19 ascent 175:16 arque 72:12 73:24,25 aside 66:12 71:12,25 102:2,3,10 104:20 asked 56:4 64:1 119:22,23 121:1 84:22 107:20 113:3 127:24 133:23 119:4 134:19 138:19 145:13 159:8 166:12 167:1 167:9,19,28 168:9 176:19 asking 20:16,17,26 25:20 44:17 45:3,23 argued 78:1 105:15 50:17 53:28 64:17 158:15 164:10 70:1 134:14 145:16 **argues** 159:12 151:25 152:17,21 arguing 68:6 119:24 153:18 166:16 170:2 135:23 176:20 177:26 argument 76:2,9 **aspect** 41:22 78:16 79:25 84:8 assemble 87:8 92:17,19 95:11 assembled 9:24 102:11 105:1,21 47:12 86:23 112:8 122:21 127:25 129:19 131:11,14 assembling 10:1 132:25 138:19 assert 114:5 139:13 150:7 164:12,21 166:13, asserted 89:15 18,27 114:28 argumentative 44:23 asset 80:7 46:23 50:21 61:13 118:5 121:1 142:1,6,

62:22,26

assist 13:21 37:16

associate 56:10

associated 49:20

55:19

**Associates** 12:22,23,

24 22:25

assume 101:21 117:5

**assumed** 117:19

**Assumes** 27:18

**assuming** 79:14 90:9

107:18

assurances 41:20

53:12

assure 178:11

assured 38:3 102:17

attempt 39:13 71:5

attempted 27:14

attend 15:1 29:21.24

39:20 48:11

attended 15:3 24:25

attention 9:17 16:3

54:24 102:5 108:24

112:10 126:14

129:18 170:21

attorney 38:4 39:7

62:24 114:26

attorneys 116:6,7

attorneys' 107:14,21

attributed 88:18

audited 55:7

**Austin** 5:8,22,26 6:3,

17,25 7:15 8:17 9:21

25:12,20,21 26:22

27:21 28:9,14,22

32:9,24 33:8,27

34:17,23 35:8,19 38:4,11,27 39:8,9,

10,18 40:16 43:27

44:26 45:12,17,24 46:15,16 47:18 48:4,

14 49:11,17,24 50:2,

24 51:6,25 52:14

53:25 54:2 59:23

60:27 61:18,20,23

62:8,13,24 63:3,6

64:19 66:21 69:20,

24 70:3,7 71:16,19,

22 72:10 73:3,8

76:20,23,26 77:8,17

78:1 79:27 85:6,11,

19 86:3,5,19 87:21

89:8,24,27 90:3,7,9,

13,22,27 91:2,8,12

96:7 103:17 106:1

107:13,15,17,24

109:6,16,20 110:11,

25 111:2,17,24,28

112:3,15 113:4,9,16,

23 114:12,20,27

115:4,6,20,27

116:15,23 117:8,10

118:12,14 120:20,27 121:4,27 122:16,28

124:12,24 125:1,11,

13,22 126:6,25

127:5,14,19 130:7,

13,17 131:18,21,23,

26 132:19,28 133:2,

27 134:9,12,22,28

135:4,11,20,28

136:9,12 137:5,8 139:8,18,28 140:6,

11,15,28 141:5,7,27

142:11,15,27 143:8,

22 144:2,23 145:1,2,

22 146:13,25 147:5

148:2,5,26 149:1,7,

10 151:4,8,15,18

152:1,5,11 153:19,

21 154:15,20,26

155:1 156:24 157:8 158:24 159:17

160:15,21,27 162:23

163:12,26 165:5,10,

27 166:3,17,22

168:14 169:4,7,13, 17 170:3,15 171:9,

11,15,16,24,28

172:21,26 173:26

174:18,25,27 175:5,

12 176:6,13 178:2

180:23 181:2,5,18,

28 182:11

authority 43:7

authorized 167:22

avail 37:19

available 54:25 57:10

176:23

156:20

award 93:17 99:18

107:19 153:18 154:4

**awarded** 154:17

aware 16:14 19:9

21:15 22:8,11 24:23

27:12,14,22 30:3,13

126:13 176:4

awful 91:26 178:20

В

**B-A-R-T-E-L-L** 10:28

**baby** 100:9

bachelor's 11:7

back 6:2,21 8:9 19:2

27:24 60:28 64:15

66:26 81:11 84:11

87:23 89:6 90:20

91:14 96:3 97:24

101:4 103:28 105:27

124:14 125:3,5,12,

25 127:17 130:5 131:16 132:8 133:3, 15 135:25 149:23

155:11 157:10

161:15,20 162:26 171:19 175:9

**BAILIFF** 33:12 107:27

**Baird** 42:22

balance 121:8 177:27

**ballot** 67:26

barred 72:1 75:28

barrier 73:10

Bartell 5:10 6:6

10:13,18,21,27 11:1,

3 12:11,22,23,24

22:25 25:11,13

28:17 32:27 33:11

49:3 52:2 91:21,26

116:25,26 155:6

base 106:3

**based** 16:6 19:8

21:11 34:10 35:2

42:12 47:15 54:20

58:5 63:26 73:12

75:2 76:9 81:24

88:10 89:2 99:16,25

100:5,23 105:1 117:13,19 118:25

134:12 154:6,10

155:17 157:26 164:15 167:12

basically 118:24

basis 76:7 83:15 87:4

95:26 111:10 133:5, 6

**Bates** 11:18,23

**battle** 97:12

bear 7:6 52:1 108:12

**bears** 74:7

Index: assist-bears

beat 23:2 Beck 75:17 78:9 becoming 53:10 beginning 9:3 begun 156:11 behalf 10:22 15:28 22:26 23:20 33:17 65:13 belief 24:17 30:26 45:6 **believe** 16:26 23:4 29:15,18 34:5 35:4 36:8,27 37:5 40:18 43:28 44:9 47:9,22 52:3 53:20,26 60:23 73:20 85:16 88:21, 22 91:2,12 104:3 105:14,16 108:1 109:13 110:9 111:24 112:18,27,28 114:11 127:3 130:8 133:6 135:22 139:24 140:4,9,19 141:23 142:23 145:5 150:5, 6 152:15 154:20.26 163:4 173:2 177:20 believed 83:10 believes 75:27 85:15 154:15 belongings 182:13 bench 97:25 178:23 **Berry** 18:11 108:26 164:6,28 165:23,28 best 5:13 6:24 37:2 44:1 46:1,8 179:17 181:8,14 bet 54:6 56:8 75:6 135:16 **better** 6:14 43:20

82:25 beyond 62:6 89:25 156:20 164:23 **bias** 115:15 **big** 172:7,10,12 billions 83:16 **binding** 75:14,19,21 76:5,27 78:3,5,9,18 130:1 bit 12:12 31:14 35:1 54:10 99:24 113:27 114:1 116:18 119:19 162:12,28 163:22 **blame** 47:19 103:2 blank 138:27 block 42:18 blocking 42:16 **blow** 60:1 **board** 12:9 book 19:28 177:16 **Boom** 109:23 **bottom** 116:6 **Boulevard** 15:10,12 34:4 Boulevard's 29:25 **bound** 78:24 **boxed** 168:17 boy 135:19 153:12 161:8 168:16 177:18 **brains** 110:6,8 brainstorming 119:20 **brand** 58:18 branding 41:3 80:2 breach 74:8,9 76:25

81:18 84:13 104:7 buy 151:27 122:11 123:10 buyer's 84:17 128:24 151:19.26 169:25 C break 6:28 54:9 63:11,14 152:13 **CACI** 74:8,10 108:22 153:16 155:22 112:12 118:23,25 **breaks** 163:19 121:11 125:26,27 126:12 127:22,25 brief 34:2 39:25 65:2 128:11 144:15 75:18 146:19 147:11 briefly 7:22 11:5 63:9 162:10,15 163:8 172:6 174:15 175:26 **bring** 9:16,17,24 177:6 14:13 17:16 73:7 75:1 100:8 101:10 calculate 100:27 108:24 112:10 calculated 148:5 126:14 129:17 170:20 calendar 178:24 bringing 6:16 California 5:1 13:8 **brings** 106:15 California-based 11:27 broader 158:14 call 10:6 20:3 47:11 **broken** 36:11 53:5 60:13,15 67:8 **broker** 55:28 78:28 97:24 109:1 146:15 174:15 **brought** 102:4 112:5 179:24,25,27 180:2 building 90:23 181:26 **built** 36:15 called 10:22 18:22 **bulletin** 15:22,24,26 33:17 65:13 68:22 16:5,7 26:7 31:17, 82:10 100:20 18,20 calling 51:4 67:6 **bulletins** 47:9 92:27 burden 74:7 142:3,7 Calls 51:1 61:12 **business** 13:14,16,19 canceled 29:6 39:3 44:4 45:8 57:19 candid 97:9 58:1,8 69:21,25 candor 89:1 78:25 79:23 80:7,19, 23.28 89:11 154:14 cannabis 40:28 55:6 182:3 57:16 **busy** 8:20 cannabis-based 57:10

capacity 45:6		
captures 182:2		
care 6:15 101:12		
careful 93:15		
carefully 130:21		
<b>Carlos</b> 49:25		
carried 123:4 138:3		
carries 122:5		
case 6:22 7:17 10:4 19:10 63:17 64:27 67:13,23 68:7,18 70:19,20,21 72:21, 28 73:1,7,11 75:8,18 78:9 81:6 83:2 88:25 92:26 93:11 95:5 96:24 100:10 107:6, 19 117:1 133:10 149:16 159:20 176:26		
<b>cases</b> 18:14 95:11 126:23 139:25		
<b>cash</b> 38:18,22,23 39:1,5 153:11		
categories 30:16		
category 167:20		
caught 98:14 126:1		
causation 95:16		
<b>cause</b> 24:10		
<b>caused</b> 26:8 83:5 84:7		
ceased 24:21		
center 30:5,14 117:6		
centers 30:18		
cents 120:19		
<b>certain</b> 59:7 67:5 89:14 130:7 159:21		

ngs			
certainly 6:13 7:27 41:9 73:10 79:8 83:22 84:27 89:18 110:7 117:23 145:12			
certainty 102:6			
chain 42:4			
chair 23:25			
chairman 24:4			
challenged 123:27			
<b>chance</b> 6:11 67:25			
change 17:19 22:7 72:10,11 115:16 123:28 126:11 128:1 131:6 136:7 142:15 144:15 146:21			
changed 43:19 100:11,12 141:18 144:5 146:6,21			
<b>changes</b> 19:4 108:23 115:23 124:24 134:9 178:26			
characterizing 81:27 88:2			
charters 13:6			
<b>chief</b> 6:22 7:18 10:4 11:15,19,20 72:28 73:2			
<b>childcare</b> 30:5,14,18 48:9,18			
<b>choose</b> 180:3,23			
<b>chose</b> 90:5 92:25			
church 30:5			
circle 6:21			
circular 80:25 105:20			
cite 74:27			
<b>cited</b> 75:18			

```
city 12:8,15,17 13:22,
                           81:6,21 89:18 99:8,
                           11 100:13 106:17
  26 14:23 15:1,3
  16:3,4,9,28 17:20,23
                           111:12 150:17
  18:18 19:12 20:16
                         clearly 78:25 98:4
  21:12,13 23:25
                           119:19 138:12
  25:23,26 28:3 29:6,
                         clerk 10:19,25 103:1
  12 31:17 32:4,13,20
                           108:12 116:3 176:27
  42:11 167:23
                           179:26 180:2,6
City's 15:21 23:12
                         client 14:5 60:10 75:9
civil 72:19,21
                           78:12 106:5,13
claim 9:1,3 62:23
                           114:28 157:22
  74:18,28 76:17,24
                         client's 134:21 159:9
  79:3 81:19 82:11,15
                         clients 13:3,4 22:2
  84:11,13 85:1 88:10,
  11,12,22 93:6 99:10
                         clients' 72:24
  101:24 104:6 111:10
                         close 21:5
  119:5,6,15,16,17
  121:14 122:11
                         closed 90:2
  124:21 128:27
                         closing 64:16 67:2
  129:2,28 131:1
                           73:26 153:25 170:17
  135:18 137:19
                           180:12
  149:15 153:6 155:28
                         co-branding 57:4,7,9
  156:5,13 164:15
                           58:14
  166:18 168:26
                         co-counsel 54:5
claimed 101:5,6
                         coalition 12:26
claiming 128:16
  129:25
                         coat 6:2
claims 8:28 9:8,12
                         Code 15:27 16:1,4,6,
  74:7,14 75:2 82:13,
                           10,14 26:8 31:6,15,
  20 84:9 89:3 94:3
                           17,19,23 47:8 69:22,
  98:20,27 99:1,6
                           25 70:13
  100:14 107:4,9
                         coincide 86:4
  111:8
                         colleague 103:2
classic 79:7
                         colleagues 98:15
clean 163:22
                         collect 156:12
clean-up 29:1
                         color 40:3
cleaned 109:27,28
  166:5
                         come 8:8 35:25 44:14
                           60:12 64:15 68:3
clear 44:27 45:13,21
                           115:14 123:16
  56:16 75:11,26
                           142:24 163:14
  78:13 79:20 80:10
```

179:10 **comes** 6:2 9:23 77:20 88:25 96:7 136:6 159:12 comfortable 20:1 163:3 coming 79:1 90:20 155:11 182:12 comma 169:9 comment 80:24 82:2 95:7 102:9 114:1,8 123:15,19 129:14 149:25 commented 82:12 commenting 82:1 comments 18:28 19:1 79:26 99:27 105:24,28 112:17 117:27 123:2 125:18,19,21 130:6 132:23 141:26 144:22 145:1,2 146:22.27 151:21 156:22 163:11 165:8.24 166:6 commission 22:23 committed 155:12 committee 24:4 154:21 **common** 18:15 communicated 157:14 communicating 42:5 communication 66:1, 10 79:10 communications 11:26 24:21 47:16

65:19 134:13 157:21

160:5

community 12:26 23:25 24:13 48:27 49:1 companies 55:6 **company** 12:1,4 37:17 comparable 55:11,13 compared 67:3 68:12 competent 47:1 competing 22:9 23:10 75:8 154:16. complain 94:20 complainant 9:1 complete 6:20 19:7 68:10 90:24 completed 7:19 46:21 73:4 128:25 179:8 completely 53:4 102:7,8 136:19 156:15 completes 66:27 72:25 compliance 17:17 complicated 129:18 163:15 **comply** 136:4 compromise 181:7 comps 55:16,17,21 con 87:14 conceivable 7:21 concern 119:9 concerned 44:12 47:7 48:2 49:14

concerns 89:15 159:1 conclude 64:11 71:5 concluded 182:15 concluding 176:20 conclusion 61:13 conclusions 71:2 concur 40:20 condition 142:5 conditional 84:3 **conditions** 21:5 36:4 100:16 103:15 137:20 157:23 158:18 167:5 conduct 30:11 85:12 126:8,20,21 **conducted** 26:25,26 27:8 28:26 conference 180:2 181:26 confidence 43:23 confident 17:11 39:26 confirm 8:10 158:26 confirmed 8:11 confirms 107:5 conflict 15:24,26 31:16 67:19 106:28 conflicts 47:7 confuse 44:15 confused 44:8 118:23 120:25 confusing 120:21,22 130:4,14 171:3,6,9, 11 confusion 144:6

Congressman 11:21, 22 connected 97:15 connection 76:10 78:24 84:5 130:28 154:12 conscious 150:27 **consent** 138:13 145:7,10 157:27 158:1 159:9 consented 145:6,7 consider 88:27 consideration 22:24 63:24 64:2 95:2 138:11 considered 38:21 40:22 116:23 considering 58:18 consist 176:21 consistent 15:21 16:12 75:25 102:11 116:8 144:15 165:1 consulting 12:28 13:1 consumed 67:7 contact 46:27 contained 85:6 106:7 137:20 140:25 157:23 containing 88:20 contemplated 148:19 contemplates 86:9 112:7 148:11 153:5 156:20 contemporaneous 105:6,8 contend 23:21

Index: comes-contend

88:26 122:4 150:4

167:11

104:21 129:22 133:8

contended 75:11 133:8

contending 136:17, 18 138:27

**contends** 75:9 119:1 128:3 129:4 130:23 131:4 132:20

**contention** 32:18 76:5 125:6 130:3

context 99:22

contingent 36:6

**continue** 106:12 109:1

continued 85:12

Continuing 109:9 116:17 131:28 132:2 146:16 166:6 169:2

continuous 85:17

contra 147:28

contract 8:28 9:1 34:13 35:14,28 36:7, 17 37:25 38:5 39:8. 21 44:8 50:18 51:7 53:24 61:4,25 62:23 73:10,14,22 74:3,7, 8,28 75:21 76:8,17, 18,25 81:15,16,19 82:11,15,17 84:11, 13 85:15 88:11,12, 22 105:3,4 118:28 119:1,4,15,16,17,18, 25 120:7,11,13,15, 23,24 121:5,13 122:11,13,25 123:11 124:3,6,19,21 125:8 126:3,21 128:3,5,17, 18,22,23,25 129:5,7, 20,23,25,28 130:2,8, 26 132:11,21 133:7

134:15,21 136:5 137:10,13,22,24,26, 27 138:1,5,14 144:5, 6,18 145:26 148:19 151:20,26 157:19,26 158:8,27 159:5,7 164:16 173:16 175:13 176:1

contracts 75:8

contradict 87:15

contradictory 105:7

contribute 81:26

**control** 43:4 162:10, 22,23

**conversation** 40:13 80:11 85:21 121:6

conversations 42:15

convert 88:21

converted 146:18

convicted 66:13

convinced 148:17

**convincing** 99:8 111:12

convoluted 130:10

cooperative 13:17

coordinated 39:19

**copy** 74:23 161:10 180:24,27

Corina 112:4

corners 72:6

correct 12:22 14:26, 27 15:26 16:6 17:12 18:27 21:18 26:12 28:4,12 29:12,14,22 31:18 32:11,17 35:10 36:10,11 37:6, 9 41:8 42:8 43:13,17 44:21,28 45:8 48:24, 25 52:28 53:1 55:19, 20 56:19,23 57:20 58:2,3,5,6,8,17,21, 22,25 59:1,20 62:11, 17,22 94:11 103:5 106:19 113:22 119:25 136:10 151:5,8 153:4 163:26 174:9

correcting 18:27

corrections 164:6

correctly 31:22 43:16

corresponded 125:27

**corroborates** 158:16 159:8

costs 27:15 36:14

Cotton 6:23 7:12 10:5 15:13,14 23:20 24:7, 9,17,21 27:7,12 33:8,10,13,16,20,26 54:14,16 56:16 59:26,27 60:26 62:15,17 63:20,22, 26 65:18,23,26 66:1, 3 72:9,20 75:11,26 77:1,16 78:4,13,24 79:8 80:18 82:15 83:9 86:11,17 87:18, 21 88:1,5,16 89:15, 27 90:16 91:12,16 92:11,13 93:6 94:3, 20 100:8,14,24 101:22 103:12 105:17 106:3,10,16 115:10 117:16 120:6,10 122:25 123:11 124:10,18 128:2 131:5 132:20 136:4 137:12.22 142:7 143:15 144:17

148:1 149:5,16 150:15 154:15 156:9 157:9,22 158:3,5,25 159:16 160:14 167:16,21,24 168:9

Cotton's 23:5 76:24 77:3 81:24 85:23,27 88:7 91:28 94:21 97:11 104:18 120:14 122:11 124:21 147:24 148:24 153:6 156:22 164:15 166:15 168:20 170:1 172:18

**Council** 16:9,28 17:20,23 25:23,26

councilman 12:17

counsel 5:18,21,24 6:1 9:11 10:11 32:7 33:7,24 42:22 44:25 45:11 46:14 51:3,24 52:13 54:8 59:21 60:25 62:14 63:2,22 64:27 65:9 68:20 71:12 74:23 76:18 82:5 89:2,7,21,26 91:14 92:6 93:2 94:11 96:5,23 101:16,19 102:22 103:26 105:26 107:10 109:12 110:10 112:9 115:23 116:11 118:9 121:14 122:1,12 123:27 124:15 125:10,19,21 127:4 131:7,17,25 132:24 133:14,16 135:27 136:25 137:2 139:17 142:26 143:7 144:10 145:1 148:17 150:7,10,18,21 153:23 155:24 161:17,19 162:24 165:18,24 168:13

Index: contended-counsel

172:8,18,23 176:2, 19 178:4,19 180:16 181:17

**counsel's** 66:26 139:13

counterclaim 61:3

**country** 57:5,8

**county** 11:16,17 54:18 55:9,10

**couple** 8:28 10:2 98:6 100:2 115:23 176:25

**course** 45:7 80:10 85:12 134:12

**court** 5:4,9,13,18,20, 24,27,28 6:4,9,18,27 7:6,9,16,23,26 8:16, 19 9:14,19,22,28 10:14,16 12:11 14:12 19:22,25 21:22 25:10,19 26:21,26 27:1,20 28:16,19 32:25,27 33:2,6,9,13,20,24 34:18,28 35:18 38:26 43:21,26 44:24 45:10,16,19 46:3,12,25 47:26 48:8 49:9,15,23 50:1,23 51:3,20 52:11 53:14,16,18 54:3,6,13 56:8,11,14 59:12,15,19,21,24 60:25 61:14,16,19, 21,28 62:2,14 63:2, 4,7,10,24 64:7,17, 20,23 65:3,9 66:20, 22,25 68:26 69:12, 15,21,28 70:1,4,9, 14,17 71:3,6,12,17, 20,24 72:14,21 73:5, 17 74:4,22 75:2,6 76:12,14,17,22,24

77:14,24,27 78:17 79:13,26 80:15 81:1, 22,28 82:5,24 84:23, 26 85:3,10,26 86:4, 8,28 87:22,25,28 88:26 89:3,21,25 90:2,4,8,11,19,25 91:1,4,10,13,20,23, 26 92:3,6,12,22 93:2 94:4,10,14,19 95:2, 9,19,23 96:3,16,22 97:12 98:12,14,18, 25 99:12,21,25 100:27 101:12,15,19 102:14,18,21,25 103:3,7,26 104:5,6 105:2,25,26 106:23 107:5,8,16,18,22,25, 28 108:5,6,10,16,18, 20 109:5,7,15,17,21 110:5,10,16,18,27, 28 111:3,13,16,18, 23,26 112:1,6,16,20, 25 113:1,6,10,17,24 114:6,10,13,15,18, 22,27 115:5,16,19, 21,28 116:9,13,17, 19,25,27 117:9,11, 18,20,21,25,27 118:4,11,13,15,18 119:8,10,12,24,27 120:2,18,22,28 121:12,18,21,23,26 122:1,7,10,20 123:1, 7,13,17,19,25,28 124:4,11,14,17,23, 25,28 125:2,5,10,15, 18,21,23 126:5,9,19, 28 127:4,7,11,12,16, 20,22,27 128:8,11, 14 129:1,10,16 130:5,11,16,18 131:2,11,13,16,19, 22,24,27 132:8,10, 14,17,23 133:1,3,12,

14,28 134:3,7,10,20, 23 135:1,5,7,12,16, 24 136:6,11,13,25, 26 137:2,4,6,16 138:15,16 139:12, 17,19,26 140:1,5,7, 10,12,16,22 141:2,6, 8,13,24 142:2,9,14, 17,25,28 143:2,7,9, 11,17,23 144:1,3,7, 9,13,21,24 145:3,12, 18,23 146:2,6,12,14, 24,26 147:2,4,6,9, 14,16,18,23,26 148:4,10,17,22,27 149:4,9,14 150:3,9, 26 151:2,5,9,17,19, 22 152:2,7,12,17,20, 23,26 153:5,9,20,23 154:9,18,22,28 155:6,9,24 156:25 157:1,6,11 158:2,11, 23 159:3,6,15,19,25, 28 160:4,13,16,20, 22,25,28 161:8,12, 16,19,25 162:3,5,8, 13,24 163:6,9,13,21, 27,28 164:3,12,13, 24 165:6,15,18,20 166:2,4,14,21,23,25 167:14,28 168:3,6, 13,15,23,28 169:5,8, 15,18,27 170:4,9,11, 16,25,28 171:4,7,10, 12,13,15,17,22,27 172:3,17,22,27 173:5,9,12,17,19,22, 24 174:3,8,10,15,17, 21,26,28 175:6,9,18, 24,27 176:2,7,15,17, 22 177:3,4,8,11,17, 20,21,24,26 178:1,4, 15,17,26 179:4,22, 25,28 180:3,11,14, 15,20,28 181:3,6,7,

9,13,17,19,25 182:1, 10,12

Court's 9:16 59:17 68:22 87:10 95:13 100:1,22 102:4,9 105:4 106:25 111:8 112:10 129:18 149:14 156:16 163:16 167:12 180:13

court-appointed

42:25

**courts** 21:16

covenants 74:9

cover 13:13

**covered** 5:16 7:7 59:16

**created** 126:21 128:5 129:7 130:26 132:21

creating 25:2

credentials 46:17

credibility 101:25

crime 66:14,16

criteria 15:23

criticism 67:5

**cross** 8:28 9:12 76:24 83:14 94:3 156:15

cross-complainant

98:1 99:17 119:15 120:5 122:11 125:7 130:27 131:5 151:27 153:6 159:16

cross-complainant's

74:17 82:8 89:6 95:22 97:27 98:20 99:13 107:9 111:8 131:17,25 155:16

**Cross-defendant** 

74:25 94:15 99:13 123:7 130:23 131:4 153:11 154:2

#### cross-defendant's

74:24 82:18 94:1 97:28 98:19 164:14

# cross-defendants 155:28 166:1

## cross-examination

5:20 7:3 25:10,11 54:3,14 66:20 77:15

# **cross-reference** 125:26 177:12

**crossed** 123:25

**cumulative** 70:24 71:8

# cumulativeness

71:28

CUP 15:1 18:3 21:24 22:1,26 23:1,7,20 27:15 29:25 30:4,23 31:2 32:1,14,22 35:23 41:28 42:12 43:5,12,20 44:1,12 45:28 46:7,21 47:21, 23 49:26 51:26 52:5 55:19 83:24 86:24 87:9,11 89:9,14,17 91:9,11,18 92:9,14, 19 117:17 142:8 148:3 149:2 153:21 154:16,27 155:3,4 156:2

**CUPS** 18:1 46:19 48:23 89:20

current 179:5

currently 8:27 122:7

**cycle** 18:17,22,23,28 19:2 25:4 26:1,5 27:24 32:5

#### D

**D.C.** 11:20

dailies 180:21 181:8

daily 57:24

**damage** 83:5 84:13 85:1 148:16 161:4 164:8 170:6

damages 9:4,7 76:10 83:7 84:7,12,19,20, 22 89:5,7,23 93:1,11 95:16,17,19,22 97:10 99:3,10,14,17 100:4,5,9 107:2,13 111:10 123:6,10 147:12,14,28 148:7, 8,11,15,21 150:7,28 151:19,26 152:18,22 153:1,7,18 154:4 155:17 156:1,6,8,19, 20 161:5 163:28 164:9,15 167:17 169:22

#### danger 70:27

Darryl 33:16,26 54:14 59:26 60:26 62:15 85:8,19 86:22,25 90:15 128:2 131:5 132:20 134:14 153:6 159:16 168:9

date 17:19 40:15 50:9 51:19 52:10 91:6 154:9 155:5 156:21 164:17,18

**dated** 20:7 26:24 59:27

**day** 41:6 44:16,20 47:9 53:26 64:15 96:12 178:20

days 17:22 29:7

67:25 98:7 134:18

dead 172:1

**deal** 72:8 73:28 80:20,25,27 84:1 92:23 153:26

dealing 74:10

deals 36:25 157:18

**decide** 41:23 73:24 94:15,24,26 107:6 117:2 160:7 173:5

decided 53:5 125:28

decides 94:16

deciding 119:5

decision 97:20 150:27 158:26

**decisions** 95:4 155:21

defendant 72:19,21 119:2 120:5 125:7 128:2 129:1,7 132:20 136:1,3,17 142:4 145:6 163:6, 17 168:9

defendant's 7:17 72:28 81:12 82:12 151:3 169:28 171:8

defendant/crosscomplainant 33:17

defense 7:14 8:28 9:20 10:4 63:5,6,22 69:5,16 81:10 109:2, 5,15 110:23 111:16 113:1 117:5,6 118:11 121:12 122:12,23 123:2 124:9,23,28 125:10, 21 126:20 127:4,21 129:12 130:6,28 132:17 133:7,15,25 134:6,8 135:9,25 137:1,2 139:27 140:5,10,22,27 141:25 143:20 144:1,21,27 145:3, 20 146:12,24 147:4 151:13,24 152:15 153:15 156:15 157:5 160:19,24 163:11,25 166:14 171:22 173:13 175:3 180:20 182:10

**Defense's** 169:11

Defense/crosscomplainant 105:27

defer 6:19 7:18

define 35:16 99:20

**definitely** 149:11 155:10

**definition** 139:14 141:20 172:24 173:4

degree 11:7,8 80:11

**delay** 21:24 26:8,28 56:17

delayed 21:27 23:21

delays 47:19,20,22

delete 109:21,22 111:4 113:6 134:1 141:3,6,9 142:28 143:10,24 148:22 151:10 152:8 165:21 168:8 171:13 172:28

deleted 118:2,4,6 134:3 138:28 164:28 169:18 172:23 173:3 175:1

**deleting** 127:17 148:20

**deliberations** 63:17 68:11,18

delivered 51:9 delivering 77:13 demonstrating 136:20,21 demonstrative 109:10.14 denied 30:24,27 47:24,27 74:4 82:19 88:6 94:4 134:13 154:27 denies 89:3 94:4,14 107:8 deny 97:8 98:25,26 denying 82:12 department 20:15 35:24 68:21 142:18 179:25 departments 18:19 depending 93:21,23 154:3 depends 129:20 deposit 35:26 38:13 45:5 52:17,28 73:16 105:9,10 106:4 139:9 deputy 10:16,19 33:11 65:4 74:22 102:26 107:25 describe 18:16 119:19 described 79:12 describes 106:14 175:14 description 120:14 141:18 designated 117:4

designed 169:26

**detail** 33:28 determine 20:27 71:5 determined 16:5 determining 80:1 develop 57:28 developer 42:28 development 12:27 35:24 dialed 150:21 died 171:26 **Diego** 5:1 11:7 12:3 14:23 46:1,9 47:8 54:18,23 55:9,10 89:20 dies 120:24 difference 41:11 124:5 **different** 18:18,20 26:2 54:22 58:23 61:24 75:2 80:22 81:3 100:7 105:17 124:8 133:22 136:19 139:1.4 158:5 161:12 differently 98:6 difficult 90:17 100:28 direct 11:1 33:26 65:16 77:15 83:8 directed 73:1 74:17, 20,26 75:3 82:10 98:20 direction 38:7 129:8 130:22 directions 10:19 65:4 128:20 directly 29:3 42:5 53:9

disadvantage 156:16 disagree 28:27 81:12 disagreeing 115:5 disciplines 18:18,21 19:2 26:2 47:2 **disclose** 114:23,26 discounted 153:2 discrepancies 25:2 32:11 discuss 63:17 68:18 discussed 24:22 36:19 41:3 54:25 58:20 70:1 88:6 176:18 discussing 40:26 discussion 9:27 37:16 80:17 81:3 97:9 100:22 109:25 discussions 56:26 57:3,21 80:1 106:11 dismiss 89:3 93:16 94:2 98:26 99:1 107:8 111:8 dispensaries 54:22 55:3,8 71:11 83:18 dispensary 14:24 15:23 55:22 57:15, 23 58:17,24 59:1,6 72:3 80:21,22 83:17 90:23,26 92:10 96:18 141:14,15,17 142:16,19 143:2 154:13 156:11 167:24 174:20 dispensary's 103:20 154:19 **display** 8:23 181:3 displayed 181:12

displaying 181:1 dispute 78:10 88:12 138:26 140:19,20 **disputes** 134:19 disrespect 172:12 disruptive 23:27 distinction 78:10 119:23 distract 59:8 document 14:16,18 35:13,16,20 38:20, 21 56:10 73:12 79:11 104:16 106:15 132:22 137:10 162:7 documents 17:16 35:22 36:5,7,8,20 37:28 39:18,21,26 54:21 71:4 88:15,18 100:17 101:8,23 103:10,11,16,25 104:2,23,27 137:21 157:24 doing 37:13 39:16 40:27 56:12 68:13 72:8 80:3 89:12 160:10 dollars 83:16 167:23 door 21:1 double-check 37:10 downtime 67:21 draft 37:28 39:18 180:21 181:12 drafted 103:11 128:1 drafting 85:15 drafts 57:25 107:15 125:12 181:9 draw 71:2

drawn 93:15

driving 78:17

drop 163:21 174:1

**DS-190** 48:20

**DSD** 35:24 48:23 49:5,12,18,27 51:11 52:22,25 53:5

**DSD's** 43:9

dual 167:14

due 29:6 42:11

**duly** 10:23 33:18 65:14

duration 156:3

Ε

earlier 26:24 97:6 100:7 132:23 161:28

early 51:14,21 64:26 68:7 97:23

earnest 38:14 42:10 139:5,14

easier 93:25 109:22

east 47:28

easy 48:16 120:8 163:14

economic 44:13 153:9 156:1,20 164:15

edited 134:24

editorial 108:23

edits 106:11 130:8

education 11:5,6

**effect** 25:27,28 37:4 72:18 150:15 156:4 170:6

**effective** 17:23,25

effort 31:7 136:4

**efforts** 15:9,28 57:4,8 87:11 142:4,8,12

eight 11:28

Eighty-five 59:19

either 50:17 55:26 113:4 116:13 119:7 126:20 129:8 132:26 156:17 157:13 165:3 167:19 168:17 175:27

elected 12:7 67:7

**electronic** 110:8 157:18

electronically 157:14

**element** 119:18 120:5 122:5,8,24 144:14, 16 158:25

**elements** 74:6 121:9, 13 124:20 136:8

elicit 83:14

**elicited** 63:25 83:28 92:4

eliminate 167:11

eliminated 5:16

email 20:10,12,14 26:23 42:3 47:3 50:3,7,26 59:25 60:1,4,6,28 66:7 90:15 106:8,16 116:3 137:13 157:10,28 158:24 160:3,5 178:24

**emailed** 108:1 179:5 180:7

emailing 108:11

emails 53:27 57:3

65:26 85:7 157:8,10 158:19,22

emphasis 159:1

emphasize 74:11 108:3 112:11 120:12 149:21

**enable** 74:13

**encompassed** 13:12 103:16

**ended** 81:2

engagement 85:20

enhanced 58:13

enter 61:24 86:11,13, 18 90:1 122:13,14 124:7 128:3 129:22 130:24

entered 14:25 75:9 76:4,26 78:2 86:1 119:1,2,4,25 120:6, 10 122:25 124:18 129:5 137:22 144:17 173:6

enterprise 96:1

**entire** 29:13 73:11 116:3

entirely 48:25 81:21 133:22 181:3

entirety 69:13 133:21

**entitled** 97:15 153:11, 13 154:2,4 167:25 175:15

entitlement 107:22

entitlements 13:11,

equally 47:1

equity 37:26 41:7,12 45:4 77:19 86:25 87:18 89:11 93:12

95:24,25 96:8 97:16 106:18,22 149:6 158:10 167:17

err 136:26 155:12

errata 16:22 31:22

especially 126:7

**essence** 84:8 135:18 157:25

essential 73:27 104:11 105:23

essentially 29:12 50:15 61:10 76:3 77:18,22 80:2 86:6 143:14 167:5

**establish** 63:27 84:19 106:21 158:8,9 159:10

**established** 34:21 36:4 73:13

**estate** 34:7,9 35:7 36:25 37:18 55:17, 28 73:28 81:9

**estimate** 5:13 6:24 34:24

estimates 44:2

estimation 44:5

**evaluate** 95:4 107:21 149:18

evaluating 56:5

evaluation 55:14

**event** 68:27 97:22 118:7

eventually 12:21 22:9

everybody 5:5 9:28

**evidence** 6:20 7:19 8:1,3 9:10,25 14:10, 12 19:21,24,25 43:8

Index: drawn-evidence

59:28 63:12,25 64:12,24 66:27 69:11 70:16 72:17, 18,23,26 73:20,25, 26 74:12 75:25 76:4 78:18 79:18,24 80:26 81:1,20 82:1, 3,17 83:1,4,6,7,22, 26 84:18,28 87:3,14, 28 89:2 90:1,2,11, 19,21 91:5,18,21 92:8,14,15 93:1,5, 12,17 95:16 96:13, 19,21,25,28 99:5,7, 8,16,26 100:5 101:24,25,27 105:6, 15 107:1,3 109:14 110:6,8,18,19,23 111:27 112:2,12,13, 15 131:2 138:20 142:21 145:13 147:28 148:27 149:15,17 150:13,19 153:24 154:6,11,18, 23,24,25 155:3,18, 25,27 156:5,13 157:27 158:7,15 159:12,23 160:1,5

ex 178:24

**exact** 34:5 40:15 50:9

**Exactly** 45:25

**examination** 7:9 11:1 33:25,26 60:26 65:16 76:7 158:4

examining 63:22

example 58:16 72:7 93:27 94:3 105:10 110:15 118:23 125:25 137:26 150:10 167:21

exceptionally 89:12

exchange 158:21

exchanges 47:3

excluded 57:24

exclusively 158:28

**excuse** 92:18 181:21

**excused** 32:28 92:20 122:4

exercise 114:5

exercises 115:7

exhibit 14:9,11,12,13 19:27 26:23 37:5,11 40:25 59:11,13,15, 18,22,24,25 69:20 70:10,15 80:5 85:9 101:4,5,10,16 102:15,17,18,28 103:23

exhibits 6:10,13 7:13 33:3 36:9 63:5,26 68:28 69:3,7,10,16 100:19

**exist** 47:9 48:19 55:25 134:15

expanded 141:21

**expect** 35:28 36:21, 24 50:25 64:13 81:3 93:20 94:26 99:18 153:17 164:21

expectation 47:16 89:8

**expected** 35:25 37:25 52:4 64:8,10

expecting 45:14 51:8

expedite 35:23

expenditures 152:24

expense 44:13

**expenses** 41:16,20

expensive 31:4,5

**expert** 83:20 84:21 96:14 116:23

expertise 57:26

**explain** 11:6 24:16 31:13 104:4 121:4

explained 158:4

explains 159:5

**explicit** 34:13 80:26 160:6

**express** 63:16 68:17 117:12

extent 108:4 138:2

**extra** 33:11 69:18 142:13

F

facie 74:6 83:1 96:28

facilitate 42:21

**facilities** 48:10,18

facility 58:14

fact 55:2,6,24 76:4 78:25 79:20 83:14, 23 92:3 99:18 100:24 157:28 167:6,15,18

factor 80:1

factored 158:25

**factors** 71:13,27 104:9

facts 27:18 73:13 117:19

factual 78:10

failed 79:18 136:17 163:28 170:21,23

**failure** 128:17

fair 34:21 36:12 74:9 83:27 97:22 125:17

**faith** 74:9 136:4 139:5,14

fall 167:20

falling 68:22

**false** 82:23 83:3 86:9, 16 98:28 165:7 168:26

**familiar** 32:1,2,3 147:19

far 154:3 170:21

**Farms** 37:13 57:4,7, 11 59:4 80:3

fashion 43:11

faster 46:22 89:19

fault 103:1

**favor** 81:18 82:15 94:5,16 118:8

featured 40:9

**February** 16:26 17:18 25:26 51:14,21,22 85:9

Federal 15:10,12 22:14 29:25 34:4

feel 7:10 34:24 38:6 39:26 47:19 62:9 63:20 73:12 108:24 126:6 151:18

feeling 180:13

feels 85:24

fees 107:14,18,19,21

feet 21:2 30:4,8,14 47:28 48:10

**felt** 21:3 23:26 25:6 36:18 127:10

field 68:23 figure 168:24 figures 27:11 file 71:18 74:22 94:28 108:9 filed 50:11,14 56:19, 21 61:3 134:18 fill 138:28 final 35:13 36:6,17 37:25 38:5 39:21 50:18 79:26 97:20 106:13,17 128:4,17 129:6,24,26 130:25 155:21 158:27 finalize 8:7,22 67:10 97:26 98:4 123:17 finally 52:3 53:5 105:2 financial 46:28 54:21 financially 43:20 financials 54:17 55:1. 4,7 Finch 42:22 find 20:21 40:4 41:18 49:5 82:14 102:18 121:15 122:17.18 142:5 162:10,14 172:1 173:13 finding 79:25 85:1 96:27 99:5,7 findings 42:26 finds 94:5 108:6 fine 63:10 76:14 101:12,14 109:4,6 117:10 122:3,6 127:5 161:16 168:14 170:10 175:11 178:3

179:11

finish 23:2,8 fire 44:17 **firm** 12:1,28 13:1,8 27:14 42:20 Firouzeh 43:6 51:10 52:7 first 10:23,26 20:3 23:7 50:5 53:26 56:24 57:25 68:26 69:1 74:20 75:1 76:16,18 77:28 78:16 79:14 80:4 90:17 91:15 93:4 94:24 100:8 108:28 116:5 122:12,24 123:9 127:28 129:19 130:14 137:14 143:5 144:28 157:18 172:14,18,23,28 173:2,10 174:5,10, 23 175:1 180:16 five 12:3 78:4 103:4 fixed 101:1 flip 75:23 121:16 **flipped** 134:25 focus 15:8 22:7 87:25 101:18 focused 132:7 focusing 71:13 folder 38:1 106:9 folks 7:26 10:9 54:7 63:10 66:25 81:22 93:18 96:23 108:22 146:20 155:10 181:6 182:4 follow 10:19 33:10 65:4 94:9 **follow-up** 139:21

following 26:27 46:5 83:12 91:5 93:5,16 70:24 100:10 123:11 120:26 130:27 173:27 four 11:12 17:5 52:23 176:21 72:6 78:4 follows 10:23 33:18 fourth 12:1 127:9 65:14 frame 47:5 154:10 force 27:3 framed 44:24 49:16 foresee 7:20 51:3 **forever** 48:19 frankly 156:15 forget 72:13 178:11 fraud 9:1 77:11 87:4 **form** 48:20 50:20 88:9 89:4 98:2,21 63:16 68:17 71:3 99:2,9 107:3,4,9 87:4 92:25 98:4,5 111:9 145:8 164:16 99:3 112:4 121:17, frauds 76:1 78:19 26 122:25 123:22 79:17 104:3,14,24 124:8,13,19 158:6 105:1.21 145:16 formalize 160:2 fraudulent 86:10 format 20:11 fraudulently 77:12 formation 157:19,25 free 63:20 108:24 158:8,20 159:5,7,10 front 19:28 71:15 176:1 103:5 formed 12:4,21,23 frustrated 39:17 73:22 75:22 126:17 67:21.22 128:17 157:21 full 10:25 19:7 52:16 forms 8:7,11,22,25 135:15,17 177:6 67:10 107:12 119:3 178:25 179:6,13 fully 73:13 105:5,13 120:27 forth 83:1 157:10 **furious** 51:16 forthcoming 35:28 39:27 further 25:9 31:14 32:24 54:2 62:13,28 forward 9:25 10:2,9 97:19 129:14 50:16 53:22 57:2 61:9 67:1 68:19 77:2 future 84:4 152:17 158:26 167:1 153:1,6,18 154:3,4 156:1,6,14,20 164:9 found 39:3 51:15 137:9 161:17 172:6 G foundation 34:17,26 46:11 47:25 48:7 63:23,28 82:16 **gate** 41:10

gatekeeper 95:14

**general** 16:16 23:28 77:22

**Generally** 17:24 18:16,24

**generic** 123:15 168:12

Gentlemen 149:21

Geo 20:16

geology 20:15

geotech 20:24,28 21:4,8 23:18 29:17

**geotechnical** 19:10, 18,20 20:22 21:24 23:6 26:3

Geraci 7:22 14:2,4,7, 20 15:8 16:1 18:12 21:16.20 31:1 34:20 35:9,22 36:17 37:12 38:2,17 39:13 40:1, 23 41:27 42:3,6,10, 13,21 43:28 45:7 46:26 47:12 50:3,26 51:13 52:1 53:3,7 56:26 57:21 58:19 59:26 61:26 62:6,9 64:4,14 65:1,3,12, 16,18 66:26 69:26 70:19 71:28 72:7,18 76:25,28 77:12 79:10 80:6 81:18 85:13,28 86:10,17, 20 87:5 88:1,6,16 89:16 90:15 92:24, 25 94:5,16,22 99:8 100:17 103:12,13,25 104:17 106:6,19 112:1 115:1,10 120:6,10 122:25 123:10 124:18 131:4

134:13 137:14

144:16 149:16

158:3,4,9 166:1 167:21 168:8

Geraci's 18:1 22:10 23:2,7 43:19 46:17 48:12 70:5 72:16 74:1,25 81:8 120:13 121:13 156:26 160:10 163:2 164:21 172:22 178:12

getting 10:1 26:7 29:11 42:6 46:21 52:2,16 53:6 58:10 89:9 92:19 99:23,24 139:22 154:7 163:10 167:22

**Gina** 38:4 85:15,19 103:17 107:15 114:12,20 125:12

give 6:1,10 8:5 33:10 35:26 39:13 41:19 68:5 73:17 86:25 96:25 97:13 98:22 108:5,21 109:7,11, 17,24 110:14,20,28 111:14,18,23 112:13,20,25 113:3, 11,18,25,26 114:7 116:1,20 117:11,20, 21 118:7,15 119:13 121:22 122:1 125:20 126:12 127:12 128:21 129:10 130:12 131:27 132:18 136:27 137:4 138:6,16,21 139:12, 13 140:2,7,12,16 142:2 143:11,23 144:3,25 145:14,23 146:1,9,14 147:6 148:22 149:20 150:28 151:10 152:8 154:1 156:18 157:2 159:3,22 160:8

161:10,13 162:27

163:17,18,24 164:18 165:20 169:1 172:3 176:3,17 177:27 179:1

given 36:16 38:17,20, 22 81:19 82:7 111:7 113:2 114:3 115:9 118:1 130:21 135:2, 7 136:16 138:11 139:23,24 140:4,9 141:12 143:15 145:13 146:26 156:8 160:22 165:2 169:18 170:4 176:8 181:8

giving 38:28 79:21 110:24 112:12 118:8 136:26 153:25 180:28

**go** 5:11 6:13 7:11 8:1, 6,23 9:8,15,19 31:2 33:28 39:16,24,25 40:11 54:11 57:2 64:14,26 68:2,28 69:2,4,15 70:17 74:2,16 75:5 77:2 81:25 82:11,20,25 84:11,26 87:9,23 89:6 91:7,13 98:3 99:27 100:6 105:27 107:28 110:1 111:12,16 112:16, 22,24 113:1 114:18 117:6,14,27 118:11, 21 119:12,13 121:8, 12 122:10,12,22 123:1 124:8,14,25 125:3,5,10,25 126:19 127:7,11,16 129:12 130:5 131:16,22 132:17 133:3,15 134:4,7 135:8,12,25 136:28 137:2 138:22 139:6,

26 140:22,27

141:10,24 142:17 143:12,20,26 144:21,26,27 145:20,26 146:12,22 147:23 148:23 149:23 150:22 151:11,13 153:15 156:22,25 157:12 160:17,23 161:1,20 162:3,5,17,23 163:11 164:23 166:4,14 167:1 169:28 170:18,19 171:1,7,19,22 172:9, 18 173:12,15 175:3, 6,9,28 176:16 178:1 180:20

**goes** 18:20 37:11 112:3 159:5,6 168:25

going 5:22,27 8:12 9:5 10:2 11:5 15:8 17:8,12 22:7 27:24 29:6 30:24 33:28 34:1 36:28 37:8,21 40:5 46:10 50:16,17 53:8,22 54:9 56:13, 28 57:2 58:1,4 59:17 63:11,12,14,15 64:25 68:2,16 69:4 70:28 75:1 77:2,3,5, 18 78:24 79:21,22, 28 80:8,11,20 83:15 84:14 86:22.24.25 89:17 93:19,22,24 94:13,22,28 95:2,4 96:1,22 97:5,6,12, 21,23 99:14 100:8 107:28 109:1,15,21 111:12 113:28 115:14 116:2 117:5 120:4,13,28 121:7 122:22 124:17 125:16 136:22,25

Index: gatekeeper-going

138:21 142:28 143:18 145:24 147:27 148:12,20 149:5,20,25,26 150:18 152:12 154:1 155:9,13,20 156:18 157:1 158:15 160:8 162:26,27 163:6 164:7,9,10,12,26 166:12 167:4,9,23 176:15,16,19,27,28 178:7,21,28 179:1 181:6 182:4

Gonzales 49:25

Gonzalez 13:8

good 5:4,6,7,8 6:12 9:28 10:18 11:3,4 25:13,14 33:9 65:3 74:9 91:26 93:18 96:4 97:3 120:16 122:8 123:19 136:4 139:5,14 144:20 165:17 175:19 179:12,15 180:15

**gotcha** 101:26 116:10 166:2 169:27 182:1

**gotten** 8:11 50:26 66:13 83:25 89:19

**government** 12:25 13:28

grant 97:1 98:25 99:1

granted 51:22 92:15 156:10 164:13

granting 94:25

great 33:28 69:15 80:7

greater 96:10 149:10

grocery 13:7

grounds 75:3

**group** 11:27 23:25 24:5,14 49:1

group's 48:28

grow 41:4

**guarantee** 41:21 150:8

guaranteed 35:3 100:26 101:1,7 102:6 103:21 148:8 149:2 150:1 166:11

guess 85:1 93:18 94:8 108:28 121:4 127:8 135:21 153:10 155:27 174:14

guy 90:16

**guys** 58:20 61:10 174:25

Н

half 8:2 173:21

hallway 10:14

hand 164:23

**handled** 107:19

**hands** 49:3

handshake 34:10 36:26

happen 51:8 67:20 137:28 138:4

happened 42:7 75:20,27 154:23

happens 151:27

**hard** 9:8 50:4 100:10 103:28 118:5 159:21

harm 115:10 169:19

**hazy** 44:18

head 27:11

headnote 40:6,8

hear 8:3,6 32:8 38:9 57:6 62:18 76:16 77:6 106:28 108:28 109:12 110:22 114:4 130:18 137:16 140:26 158:23 163:25 179:11,19

heard 8:20 31:26 34:1 46:17 51:17 63:27 65:18 72:18,20,23 77:14 81:20 82:6,22 83:3,6 95:10,15 98:18 110:22 112:8 126:16 133:23 142:21 151:11,25 156:2

hearing 16:13,15 22:23 24:25 29:24, 26,28 30:1,17 71:6 92:22 93:3 124:4 179:9 181:26 182:7

hearings 29:22 48:12 154:21

hearsay 49:21,28

held 59:7

help 35:23

high 54:26

hired 14:28

Hispanic 13:7

history 11:10 72:24

**hold** 115:8

home 10:9 116:4

Hon 5:2

honest 53:4 119:21 141:19 152:28

**Honor** 5:6,7,8,12 6:8, 17 9:18,21,26 10:13 14:9 19:23 25:9,18

26:20 27:19 32:26 33:5,12,23 44:22 46:11 49:22 51:18 52:9 53:17 54:4 56:7,9 59:10 60:24 63:1,3 64:19 66:18, 21 68:24 70:3,8,12, 23 71:16 72:12 73:8 77:25 80:13 82:21 84:25 98:9,10,13 107:27 109:6 110:26 113:5,9,15,16,23 114:8 116:5,15,16 118:10,12,14,17 120:17 122:9,28 127:2,5,19 129:15 131:23 132:5 133:27 134:2 135:4 137:5 139:11 140:28 141:4,7 142:27 144:12,19 145:17,22 147:7 148:14 149:24 151:7,8 152:6 156:24 159:24 160:12,15,21,27 161:3 162:9 163:26 164:2 165:10,19 166:24 168:14 170:3 171:16,21 175:5,8, 12,23 178:14,16 179:21 180:10

hope 105:20

hoped 58:16

hopeful 58:27

hopefully 8:8 97:25

181:18,20 182:11

hoping 61:25

hour 6:25,26,28 7:4 8:2

hours 153:25

Hurtado 39:25 40:17

Index: Gonzales-Hurtado

hypothetical 117:13, 14 I idea 47:3 52:24 54:20 82:13 ideal 8:4 **ideally** 180:24 **identified** 15:8 18:25 25:5 31:16 87:2 146:18 162:15 167:3,7 **identifies** 51:19 52:10 identify 56:10 142:6 167:1 **imagine** 5:23 7:16 immediately 60:13,15 imperative 108:9 implicated 78:16 implied 74:9 implied-in-fact 126:3 **important** 7:2 17:15 67:23,27 104:2 155:11 impression 24:8 **impressive** 116:27,28 Improper 34:27 improperly 25:6 inactivity 29:7 inapplicable 136:24 incentive 89:16 175:14 inclination 71:22 inclined 72:12 97:8

98:22,25 100:1

110:20 112:13 114:6 126:12 131:3,6 136:7 143:2 156:7, 18 163:24 168:7 180:22 include 71:23 72:13 149:19 164:1 included 76:28 77:9 100:25 106:19 108:4 123:12 124:10 147:15 175:16 includes 179:8 including 62:24 63:26 71:27 137:13 160:26 176:18 incomplete 138:24 inconsistencies 26:7 inconsistent 16:4 17:16 102:2,10 incorporate 38:4 incorrect 62:25 Inda-gro 37:17 index 175:25 indicate 31:1 37:21 116:6 indicated 9:2 69:1 80:11 105:2 indicating 61:4 77:1 indication 108:22 indisputably 88:17 individuals 62:24 induce 86:27 167:8 induced 86:11,13,17 industry 13:15 54:24 57:26 infancy 44:4

influence 86:23 87:7 information 47:8 48:26 52:26 53:6 83:18 118:5 120:13 informed 60:10 initial 7:9 96:27 initially 42:20 123:3 124:6 injunction 72:22 injunctions 72:19 inside 72:6 insignificant 29:10 insist 53:21 insistent 42:8 instance 18:10 94:24 instances 143:10 instruction 97:13 110:24 111:6,7,12, 23 112:6,12,26 113:3 115:9 117:4 118:7,20,24 121:2 122:17 123:5 126:23 127:1,22,25 128:21 129:13 132:18 134:5,25 135:27 136:21 139:4,7 145:15,25,28 146:20 147:13,14,24 148:10,12,24 150:24 151:3 153:13 154:1 159:13 161:4,5,7,22, 26 167:11 169:11,22 170:2,16 171:25 172:19 173:8 174:5 177:6,27 instructions 8:7,14, 21,26 9:5,6,9,12,13 64:16 67:2,11,18

inferences 93:15

68:5 71:3 84:14,16 98:3 99:15,22 105:12 107:2,11 108:6,10 118:3,25, 27 132:24 133:18 139:20,21 153:24,27 155:12,19 156:19 159:22 161:9,17 163:28 164:8 170:7, 8,18,20 171:20,23 173:25 175:13 176:17,21 178:15,21 179:7.12 182:2 insufficient 32:16 integrate 176:12 integrated 73:14 77:10 81:16 105:3,5, 14 integration 145:26 intelligently 149:18 intend 35:13 165:15 166:10 intended 76:27 78:3, 5 141:13 172:13 intention 75:18 78:11 128:22 129:27 156:17 intentional 83:2 98:27 interest 37:2 41:5 43:20 58:7 78:26 79:5 80:21 89:28 95:28 101:9 149:12 158:10 167:17 interested 41:2.18 58:10 59:2,3 interesting 116:18 interests 44:1 **interfered** 92:11,15, 16 142:4,7

Index: hypothetical-interfered

interference 23:19 48:21 92:1,27 117:16 **Internet** 110:13 interpose 179:16 interposed 63:21 interpret 70:28 139:8 interpretation 139:2, 3.5 140:23 interrogatory 113:14 interruption 181:21 introduce 39:20 96:13 introduced 74:5 90:5 107:3 156:4 introductory 118:7 123:4,8 invasive 42:27 invest 101:20 investigation 20:16, 24 21:13 26:3 investment 55:6 investor 39:24 involved 25:1 27:1 29:3,4 40:27 47:2 79:22 84:1 99:8 169:25 involvement 57:14, 22,25 **involving** 70:18 71:10 92:23 101:21 114:28 irregardless 9:10 irrespective 101:2 **issue** 9:7 16:18,24

17:11 19:17 24:2,6

29:4 44:9,18 48:13,

25:15 26:13 27:3

15 77:11 78:19 89:4 104:4 105:8,14 107:21 111:11 118:16 126:15 133:22 136:16 150:21 155:13 177:1,24 issued 72:20,21 92:9 154:13 issues 9:16,20 15:5, 17 18:23,24 19:8,10, 20 24:10,12 25:6 26:5,6,9 27:25 28:24 29:10 30:15 32:18, 21 42:23 48:21 75:17 97:3 149:25 153:12 item 17:7 31:21 items 23:26 38:4 J **J-A-M-E-S** 10:27 iacket 5:25 85:21 **James** 10:21,27 11:1 25:11 January 12:23 17:7, 10 25:16 26:27 **Jerry** 163:9 **Jim** 10:13 11:18,23 31:24,26 **Joel** 5:2 John 62:5 join 11:24 joined 11:26 joint 36:14,25,27 41:6 44:10 56:24,25 57:1, 13 58:19 59:25 61:5

6,9 70:15 77:16,22, 23 78:15,17,20,22, 28 79:1,7,9,12,15, 19,27 81:20,25 86:14,18 88:3 92:23 104:7 106:13 122:14,18,26 124:3, 7,8,13,19 130:24,26 152:3 167:16 171:24 172:24 173:6 174:5, 11 Joseph 39:24 Judge 67:24 judgments 70:18 71:14,28 72:7,16,19 judicial 70:2,18 72:15 **July** 5:1 20:7 26:24, 26 27:7,13 37:12 42:16 jumping 37:20 June 162:1 **juries** 67:20 juror 93:25

jurisdictions 13:23 jurors 64:24 68:20 jury 6:1 8:1,13 9:8,17, 23,24 11:6 18:16 63:19 64:14 67:28 70:13,28 72:17,23 73:24 74:2,12,13,14, 15 81:17,25 82:11, 14 93:7,8,19,21,23, 25 94:4,5,15,23,26 95:4 96:25 98:3 99:15,18,22 100:6 102:3 105:11 106:24 107:6,10,18,20 114:6 115:7,13,14 117:2 118:22,27 119:3,23 120:25

122:17 137:9 142:21 149:17 150:11 154:4,24 155:12 160:7 161:25 163:16 164:23 165:2 176:26 179:7 181:1,12 **JVA** 104:1

Κ

keep 41:27 68:23 90:20 110:11 118:20 168:5,7

Ken 48:27 49:4

kept 118:2

kidding 162:24

kind 13:23 38:5 54:20 79:25 83:20 84:28 114:25 120:20 130:9 158:28 175:14

**kinds** 70:26 87:12

knew 17:7 26:24 42:13 46:26,27

**know** 8:12 14:2 15:11,14 20:19 22:12,15,18,20 24:20 26:28 31:12, 24 34:15 35:27 36:6 37:2 38:6 40:26,27 41:11 44:3,7,16,18 45:6.27 46:6 47:23. 27 52:19 55:5,24,26 57:27 68:6 69:24 71:4 73:24 77:10 79:13 80:2 82:24 83:10,22,23 84:15 85:24 86:6,20 88:24 89:28 93:14 95:23 96:13 97:14,17 101:1 102:7,27 106:10,12 114:22

Index: interference-know

65:19,22,25,28 66:3,

115:5,25 116:7,21 118:21 119:6 121:5 128:14 133:8 135:24 136:20 138:16,26 141:19,21 145:8 146:5 151:22 155:18 157:28 158:20 161:26 162:21,22,25 167:4,10,11 169:19 174:19 176:9 179:17 180:4,25

knowledge 166:19 knows 96:1 110:4,7

Kreg 20:27

knowing 42:8

**KULAS** 144:5,8,11 162:9

ı

labor 12:27 lack 21:23 34:26

99:16 155:26 land 13:10,12

language 36:15 37:8 80:8 96:10 120:27 123:4,8,22 124:1 126:1,11 136:6,7

large 150:11,12,14,20

larger 159:10

largest 12:1 13:7

**Larry** 60:12 65:12,16 131:4 163:9 166:1 168:8

Lastly 50:3

lasts 89:10

late 10:2 25:15 90:1 98:15

latitude 6:1

law 27:14 42:20 72:11 73:28 74:28 136:14 153:4

lawsuit 42:2,18 44:7, 14 50:10,13 56:18, 21 61:4 134:18

**lawsuits** 69:26 70:6 71:10

lawyer 124:11

lawyers 67:5,10,16, 22 68:6 119:22

lays 15:22

leading 43:25 45:9,10 46:24 50:21,23 61:15 77:12

lean 155:15

learned 163:14

leave 117:8,9 121:7 124:18 125:16 143:3 160:6 161:3 164:12 179:1,10 180:4 181:19

led 38:5 85:16

left 12:3 63:19 68:21 94:19 98:18 138:27 173:14 175:2

**legal** 44:15 61:12 71:2,11 174:20

legally 83:6 84:7

legs 54:7

lend 111:11

lengthier 178:2

let alone 145:7

**let's** 5:9 7:18 33:10 68:28 69:5,8,9,15 70:9,17 72:27 73:5

76:17 80:8 82:20 87:17 94:15 97:22 101:20 106:10

107:10 112:24

119:12,13 124:25

125:18 127:11 134:4

136:28 138:22

141:10 143:12

144:26 147:16,23 150:22 151:11 154:5

160:17,23 162:13

165:16 169:8 171:7

178:5 180:20

letter 14:19 20:4,7,11

letting 64:25 94:26

level 68:23

liability 100:11

liaison 13:25

library 30:6

license 35:6

licensed 48:9,18 55:27 56:2

licensing 35:3

life 91:8,10

Likewise 139:14

limit 95:12 150:6,7 156:8 164:15 166:13

**limitations** 99:16 101:28

limited 71:27 99:25 150:15 166:27

167:12

**limiting** 112:25 113:3 164:9

limousines 13:6

line 23:2,8 116:5,6,7 126:26 142:1,12 143:6,17 159:26 165:27 171:18 179:26 180:1 181:13,22

**lined** 5:10

lines 37:8

link 106:8

list 69:6

listed 49:26

lists 37:14

literally 57:4,8 64:6 153:25 173:20

litigate 61:7

**litigation** 39:24 43:24 89:16 142:18

little 9:7 12:12 31:14 34:2 39:5 44:18 50:4 54:10 64:26 97:6,19, 23 99:24 101:21 106:25 113:27 119:19 162:12

live 167:5

lived 62:9

lives 120:23

local 12:15

located 22:13

location 36:14

long 7:25 17:1 21:27 26:15,28 42:24 47:14 67:4,12 89:13 91:6 159:20 178:20

longer 61:5 68:10 108:27 157:2

look 22:8 40:3 68:19 71:4 80:4 93:22 94:21 95:3 98:5 101:20 102:14 115:24 127:17

Index: knowing-look

131:20 135:15 161:25 162:17 172:2 177:6

looked 32:16 55:11, 13,21 71:14 101:4

**looking** 49:12 78:27 79:13 81:23 119:17 120:23 126:7 163:1 172:24 175:25

looks 125:27 135:9 162:11

lose 44:7 102:11

loser 94:27

losing 44:14

loss 79:3 83:8 97:13 149:15

losses 80:28

lost 9:14 83:11,16,21 93:2,9 148:28 149:19

**lot** 13:10 31:9 80:9 86:21 93:5,14,25 94:23 95:3 109:22 116:28 142:21 146:5 153:12 155:19 160:4 177:14,22 182:3

low 54:26

low-end 44:2.5

lunch 8:2 94:10 97:7 98:11

M

**ma** 62:18

Madam 10:16 33:11 107:25

Magagna 22:17,18, 20,26 154:28 155:3 Magagna's 23:1

major 15:4 167:17

making 6:19 15:23 53:11 77:13 80:24 86:21 94:25 112:7 128:27 129:1 130:3 154:14 168:11 175:22

malice 99:2,9 107:4 111:9

**manage** 13:23

management 52:22

manager 51:11

managers 48:26 49:19 52:24

manner 39:2 60:11

manufacturer 59:3

**maps** 29:2

March 17:26 25:25,27 27:25 40:19 51:14, 21,23 52:4 56:21 60:6 143:16

marijuana 13:13,17 17:28 22:10 34:25 47:4,11 54:17,22,26 55:3,22 57:23 72:3 141:14,17,18,20,23, 27 142:16,19,22 143:2

marijuana-related 46:19

marked 135:14

market 34:21 36:13 83:27

marketing 80:3

Markets 13:8

**Marlbrough** 24:3,4,6, 8,16,21 48:27 49:4

Marlbrough's 24:14

Martin 62:5,22

massage 107:2

master's 11:8

Matt 90:16

matter 72:12 94:26 113:2 173:25

max 7:5

mayor 12:15,17

mean 17:4 31:5 53:7 58:12 71:1,5 93:14 95:10,27 96:17,23 120:22 121:10 127:8 131:13 134:25 135:18 139:1 140:21 145:3 154:11 162:24 166:11,28 167:25 168:19 173:24 175:16 176:11

meaning 115:7 140:25

means 78:8 95:27 139:5 150:12 157:18

meant 60:21

measure 95:24

measured 93:11 97:16

media 12:26 54:25

medical 13:13,16 17:28 18:3 22:10 40:28 47:4 54:17,22 55:3,22 57:22 141:14,17,22 142:19,20,24 143:1, 5,10

medium 54:26

**meet** 23:7 39:14,25 73:10

meeting 20:18,27 39:19,20,28 49:4 73:11.23 74:1 86:6 147:27 175:15

**meetings** 15:2,3

member 24:27 28:23

members 24:26 30:12 46:19

memorandum 36:10 38:1 39:22 90:14 100:21 106:2

memorialize 92:24

memorialized 133:22

**memory** 103:5

mention 30:17 100:7 101:7,8,10 103:21, 22 106:4 134:17

mentioned 26:3 150:5

mentioning 30:21

mere 88:10

merely 104:19 108:11 145:9

message 59:9

messages 37:7 40:19 51:28 80:5,10,16,17 85:7 157:14

messed 128:14 161:27

met 15:15 16:4 21:12 22:21,22,23 31:17 34:20 39:11,12 50:17 62:5

Metropolitan 12:5,9

Michael 59:25 60:9 161:26

mid-1980 34:6

Index: looked-mid-1980

million 35:5 44:3,6 148:2,4 millions 35:2 Mills 20:27 21:13 42:15 mind 7:6 10:11 23:4 68:16 88:25 108:13 110:19 118:20 123:26 125:16 136:9 157:6 mindful 72:17 minds 73:11,23 86:7 175:15 mine 93:18

minimum 37:26 41:21 45:4 86:26 87:19 89:9,10 96:9 101:1,7 minor 29:1,10

minute 54:8 172:8 174:21 182:1

minority 41:17

minutes 5:14 7:5 8:3 10:2 63:16,18 64:6, 9,21 68:5 94:14 98:15 155:22,25

mirror 39:22

misrepresentation

85:4,13 86:8,16 98:27,28 167:2,15 168:26

misrepresentations 83:2 84:6 85:4,18

misrepresented 58:13

misrepresenting 23:24

**missing** 112:9

misstates 25:17

mistake 94:25 mistakes 175:23

mistaking 41:10

mixed 163:10

**MMCC** 47:4

**MMCCS** 47:10

model 58:15 177:22

**modes** 13:5

modification 121:24 137:7,24 163:8

modifications 118:9 121:9,14

modified 114:14 116:1 122:24 126:13 127:12 131:28 132:27 137:23,27 138:2,5 143:11,24 144:24 146:7 160:9 163:23 165:21,24 169:1 172:28 175:4,

modify 125:28 131:3, 19 138:9,13 143:18 145:24

moment 5:10 33:11 51:17 54:5 56:7,9 69:5,6,18 73:18 87:1 109:24 113:26 115:22 122:22 125:20 129:11 140:2 142:2,14 147:11 159:3,22,25 162:28 163:17,18 164:18 172:4 178:9

moments 152:13 176:28

Monday 64:15 68:3,9, 17,19 108:18 176:12 179:20

money 37:3,9,22 38:12,14 42:11 80:9, 24 83:16 96:19 139:5,14 149:17 150:14 167:25

monitor 48:22

month 37:26 50:4 86:26 87:19 89:9 90:9,16 96:6,11 97:17 137:14 148:6 150:1,8,13,16 154:7 156:12 164:17 166:10 167:18

monthly 45:4 103:20 149:2

months 17:3,4 21:28 26:11,14 31:10 49:2 50:5 52:20 62:10 77:1 90:17 134:14 137:15 148:7

moot 139:21

morning 5:4,6,7,8 6:28 8:9 9:28 10:18 11:3,4 25:13,14 33:9 54:9 63:11,14 65:3 67:14,17 68:19 81:4 100:23 155:11 178:23 179:18,20 181:22 182:13

morning's 181:26 182:7

MOS 47:11

motion 6:16,20 7:17 51:21 52:12 72:28 73:1,3,6 74:4,17,24, 25,27 75:1,3 76:8, 11,16 82:9,13,18 83:6 88:7 94:4,25 97:1,8,27,28 98:19, 26 99:1 107:8,20,23 111:4 164:14,25 166:28

motions 6:21 8:6,21 72:27 74:19 89:3 93:21 94:14

motivations 87:12

**MOU** 38:1 103:19,21

move 10:2 50:16 56:13 69:11 76:15 107:10 125:18 158:26

**moved** 69:7

moving 10:9 85:16 99:27 132:6

muffed 170:27

multiple 76:6 96:7

Municipal 15:27 16:1, 4,6,9,14 26:8 31:6, 15,17,19,23 47:8

mutual 129:27 175:14.15

Ν

name 10:25,26 18:11 67:26

named 69:27

names 49:19 146:28 163:10.15

National 11:9

naturally 79:16

nature 86:10 95:12 99:17 137:6 153:10

Navy 11:11,12

near 50:13

nearby 20:23,28 21:8

nearly 63:11 64:24

Index: million-nearly

156:5 necessarily 35:6 97:9 110:19 **necessary** 6:11 7:11 8:6 61:7 129:16 142:20 151:23 166:8 need 8:12 18:27 49:15 56:9 67:9,15, 16 69:18 72:2 87:25 94:10 95:1 97:19,21 108:2,14 110:3,14 111:26 114:14 117:5 122:17.18 128:1 135:15 139:3,6,10, 15,23 159:13 160:21,27 164:5 166:20 172:14 176:22 178:5 179:19 181:21 needed 17:16 28:8 36:3 42:14 needs 18:26 43:19 123:4 165:12,13 169:19,22 negative 98:28 negligent 83:2 negotiate 29:16 neighborhood 68:4 neither 7:10 **Nelson** 11:26 **net** 35:4 54:21 100:27 101:2,9 102:7,8 103:20 150:2 neutrally 153:27 **never** 15:15 27:22 34:11 36:16 38:17 39:10,11,12 42:3

49:3 55:13,21 61:6

75:20 76:4 83:17

44:11 47:4 48:13,16

18

88:20 112:5 133:8,9, 11 134:13,19 136:9 157:16 nevertheless 91:17 newer 177:22 nice 37:18 nine 80:5 nominal 93:11 noncooperation 23:28 nonparty 114:12 nonperformance 88:10 nonrefundable 105:9,10 139:9 nonresponsive 51:19,23 52:10,13 157:16 **nonsuit** 73:4,7 82:10 98:19 164:14 noon 8:1 63:13 68:7,8 normal 39:3 normally 169:25 Northgate 13:8 notarized 39:4 **note** 98:16 144:14 147:7 161:14 172:1 notebook 102:19 notes 29:1 159:4 notice 59:27 60:11 70:2.18 72:15 noticed 32:10 **notices** 60:15 notification 29:5,11,

notified 143:15 Novelli 12:2

November 35:12 44:28 45:21 50:26 73:12 75:10 81:16 85:25 86:5,15 103:14,15 104:16 105:18 106:12,15 119:28 120:1,6,10, 24 132:22 137:10, 19,23 138:10 144:17 157:10 167:3 number 63:21 70:21, 26,27 75:22 78:14 87:2 93:10 101:2 137:18 146:7 150:11,12,14,20 171:27 176:3 179:21,23 180:5 numbers 47:15 136:1 0 o'clock 6:6 9:17 68:3 178:20 **o0o** 5:3 oath 33:22 65:7 119:13 object 8:4 46:10 49:21 50:20 61:15 70:23 113:6 127:24 130:19 132:14.15 140:18 164:22 objection 28:19 34:17,26 35:17 38:25 43:25 44:22 45:9,10,15 46:2,3, 13,23 47:25 48:7 49:7,16,23,28 51:1, 18,20 52:9,11 53:13, 18 59:23 61:12,16,

27 62:2 70:14,16 71:7 109:17,19,20 110:27 111:2,13,15, 17 112:19 114:2 115:19,20,26,27 116:13 124:18,27 125:1 131:26 133:4, 5,19,28 134:27 135:6 136:27 138:15 139:28 140:6,11,14, 15 142:9 143:8,22 144:19,23 146:13, 23,25 147:3,5 152:9, 11 156:24,27 160:11 163:12,25 165:3,4,5, 9,10 166:3,25 168:11,27 169:2,3,4, 6,7 170:14,15 173:11 175:7 179:16,22 objectionable 108:7 objections 8:24 59:21 61:14 63:21, 23 64:2 70:11,22 72:15 131:24 132:1. 3,4 143:4,20,27 145:20 156:23 165:8 175:4 182:2 **objects** 134:26 140:24

obligated 79:6 obligation 96:24 obligations 78:23 obtain 72:1 87:9 obtained 73:23 91:19 145:8 obtaining 22:2 obviously 84:14 88:15 169:22

occasion 22:22

occasions 37:1 76:6 78:5

occur 43:22 51:7

occurred 86:14 95:17,18 96:20 128:24 152:25 158:20

October 14:26 42:14 51:16 52:8,12

**odd** 49:5

odds 175:22

offer 27:14 59:10,18 133:8,13,20

offered 59:11

offering 6:13

office 12:3

official 12:7

officially 86:6

oh 5:26 71:19 76:20 90:14,16 121:21 123:7,13 135:20 142:15 153:12 161:8 168:16 172:8 173:22 174:16,21 177:13, 18,19

okay 5:9 6:18 7:26 11:10,14,19,24 12:5, 24 13:2 14:6,25 15:7,11,14,24,28 16:8,11,18 17:6,10, 18,28 18:3,14 19:21 20:13,19,26 21:15 22:12,15 23:16,19, 26 24:2,16,20 25:7, 25,28 26:15 27:2,24 28:23 29:5,21,24 30:3,13 36:21 39:28 40:13 45:26 46:15 50:12 52:19 53:2,10, 26 55:14,18,24,27

56:21,28 58:1,23 59:5,10,24 60:4 62:28 64:20 70:4 76:23 79:6 89:24 98:16,18 102:20,25 108:17 109:15 110:5 111:6 112:20 114:13 115:23 116:9,13 117:6,11,22,25 120:9,18 121:12 122:20,21 123:21 124:20 125:5 126:25,27 127:19,27 128:10 129:4 131:12,15 132:17,28 133:2 135:1,8 136:9 137:6 138:15 142:17 144:3,7 145:12,23 147:17,22 148:23 149:4 150:26 151:19 152:14,27 154:9 157:6 158:5 159:3, 27,28 161:8,18 162:15,21,28 163:9, 13,23 164:5,27 168:6,13,16 170:16 171:12,19 172:5

old 177:19,20

181:2,5

once 6:1 22:21 25:23 31:16 42:11 68:5 90:23

173:24 174:8,10,22,

26,28 178:17,19

179:3 180:8,19,23

online 177:21

oops 178:7

open 132:6

**opened** 83:17 90:23, 26 92:10 154:14

operate 58:1

operating 57:27 58:7

72:2 80:21 154:14 167:24

**operation** 55:2 57:15, 18,22 59:6 78:25,26 83:19

**operations** 54:17 79:28

opinion 34:27 63:17, 23 68:18 83:13 117:13 129:9 166:12 167:15

**opinions** 116:23 167:6,20

**opportunities** 41:3 57:9 58:14

opportunity 39:14 41:6 61:5 69:2 80:23 98:23

**opposed** 105:9 154:10

opposing 74:23

opposite 130:22

**oppression** 99:2,9 107:4 111:9

oral 35:9 36:26 37:23 45:22 75:27 76:26 77:9,10 78:2 81:25 85:24,28 86:13,18 88:3 104:7,26 105:5, 8 122:13,25 124:2,6, 19 125:9 130:24,26 134:15,21 138:2,5,9 157:20,21 158:11, 13,16,21 159:11

orally 158:17

order 21:17 23:6 26:26 31:14 44:13 68:10 108:20 119:14 162:12 164:3 173:25 182:2 ordered 29:19 164:24

orders 70:26 71:1

ordinance 15:27 16:17

ordinarily 29:21

originally 11:26 68:13 147:11

ought 71:2

**out-of-pocket** 83:8 148:15,16 169:23

**outlet** 34:25 137:15 141:18,20,28 142:16

outlets 47:11 54:27

outlined 37:27 41:9

outreach 12:26

outside 10:14 51:12

outstanding 27:25

outweighed 71:26

Overall 26:15

overhead 8:23

overheated 6:3

overrule 124:17 136:27 142:10

overruled 25:19 26:21 27:20 34:18, 28 45:16,19 46:3,25 47:26 48:8 49:9 53:14,19 61:14 62:3 64:2 138:16

overwhelming 87:10

owner 15:11 22:15

ownership 79:5 95:28

Р

**p.m.** 98:11 155:23

Index: occasions-p.m.

182:15 page 23:12 80:4 103:4 162:6,8,11 163:19 173:26 **paid** 167:17 paperwork 32:4 paragraph 20:3,20 81:15 102:17 103:3, 19 126:16 127:1,18 143:5 159:24 172:15,23,28 173:3, 10 174:24 175:1 paragraphs 127:9 142:24 parentheses 109:27 park 30:5 part 9:12 42:3 49:26 51:4 53:23 64:12 72:23 73:16 79:4,21, 28 80:20 82:18 83:5 95:1 98:25,26 104:22 105:15 112:3 125:11 126:23 137:12 142:20 145:19 146:2 164:14 167:24 170:7 173:21 177:24 parte 178:24 partially 155:6 participate 24:23 27:15 participation 57:1 particular 15:16 16:24 19:4 126:16 155:4 parties 67:22 70:25 75:10,12,13,16,19 78:7,11 100:15 103:18 104:17 117:1,24 126:8,17,

18,21 128:3,21 129:5,22,27 130:24 134:25 138:3.6 146:28 160:2 partly 125:9,12 partner 40:22 41:17 77:18,22 167:16 partners 36:28 partnership 50:16 79:2 parts 36:11 39:23 130:13 party 41:23 43:15 69:27 99:27 108:27 112:7 115:17 144:6 party's 126:20 passed 23:16 38:3 154:20 passive 79:6 paste 162:27 patience 68:1 patient 177:5 Patrick 10:27 pay 54:24 166:10 167:4 payable 42:11 paying 137:14 payment 96:9 100:26 101:7 103:21 139:9 149:3 payments 86:26 87:19 96:19 101:1 **people** 46:28 59:4 67:7 79:1 117:18 182:12 percent 13:14.16

25 45:4 77:21 79:5 50:21 53:13 61:13 86:25 87:18 88:2 pick 35:25 36:14 89:11,25 93:12 93:28 95:24,25,27 96:8 picking 92:7 97:16 101:8,9 102:8 103:20 105:10 pitch 106:24 106:18,22 149:6,7, pitches 150:9 12 150:2,11 158:25 place 35:27 43:22 **perfect** 105:10 47:10 79:14 perform 136:18,19,23 places 30:9 143:15 plaintiff 6:7 8:27 performance 92:18 10:3,6 33:3 66:23 135:23 136:16.21 69:9,12 73:9 74:5,6 performed 136:22 93:28 107:3 109:2 111:1 113:8 116:19 **period** 27:13 29:7 117:24 119:1,14 150:16 153:17 120:4 121:8 124:22 periods 84:1 128:6 129:4 130:23 **permission** 5:28 72:1 134:26 135:28 137:16 140:3,8 permit 84:3 141:10 143:13 permitted 20:28 145:4,16 146:27 149:22 151:12,25 permitting 21:17 152:10,17 153:10 31:26 163:9,17 165:4,9,26 person 18:5 42:1 168:8 169:3 43:2 58:28 110:3,5,7 plaintiff's 6:22 9:15 114:11 179:15 10:8 69:1 70:22 persons 116:22 72:28 73:1,7,19 74:16 81:6,7 82:13 perspective 6:14 89:3 110:1 111:13 81:8 85:23 87:10,14 112:17,25 117:28 101:25 106:25 149:14 163:16 120:23 122:22 124:15,26 125:19 168:20 169:28 170:1 130:19 133:4,19 181:24 134:1,26 135:5,13 persuade 97:12 142:3,4,7,12 143:26 persuaded 93:4 99:6 145:27 146:17,22 150:23 164:1 168:18 **phase** 107:5,7 170:19 171:19 175:6 **phone** 110:15 171:26 181:23 182:8 172:1 176:14 179:14 phrased 44:23 46:24

37:26 41:7,11,12,15,

plaintiff/crossdefendant 10:22 65:13 87:23 plaintiffs 110:22 117:14 **plan** 6:23 planned 67:6 planning 18:19 22:23 23:25 24:5,13 48:28 49:1 **plans** 32:3 playground 30:6 playing 68:23 please 10:12,16,18, 19,25 14:14 28:21 33:25 38:10 57:7 59:13,18 60:2 62:19 65:4 77:7 102:26 107:26 108:12 144:14 155:16 158:26 plenty 8:20 **plow** 9:24 67:1 plural 85:4 **Plus** 9:2 178:7,8 **point** 7:20,27 17:10 23:16 27:26 28:28 31:1 41:18 44:9 46:27 61:8 72:4 80:13 84:27 94:11 96:4 99:21 105:13 108:27 126:4 139:2. 15 145:10 151:6 154:5 156:9 158:22 176:2,19,25 points 7:11 77:26

police 60:13,16

politics 11:15

Porter 12:2 portion 122:4 position 41:8 81:12 145:6 possibility 80:18 84:4 possible 68:23 97:7 possibly 10:10 50:18 58:27 59:8 93:7 120:25 post 93:20 107:20,22 **posted** 52:25 Posting 59:27 potential 19:20 80:23 84:3 potentially 58:16 **PR** 12:1 practical 95:12 practicality 95:13 practice 6:14 practices 39:3 pre-instructions 143:26 144:27 145:19 146:3 165:2 predicate 99:3 predicting 94:22 prefer 103:2 116:19, 21 124:16 preferable 7:28 preferred 6:14 prejudice 6:19 7:18 71:26 preliminary 35:27 118:3 premarked 59:25 70:15

prepare 73:3 prepared 16:22 20:17 74:21 88:16 155:17 prerogative 82:2 106:26 prescribed 15:21 presence 5:28 114:6 **present** 102:10 153:2,11 154:8,24, 25 156:12 182:5 presentation 66:27 presented 73:26 75:26 83:25 100:5 101:23 154:24 155:26 **presenting** 119:15,16 presided 101:22 president 11:28 48:28 pressure 7:2 64:8 presumably 29:9 58:26 91:8 presume 114:2 presumption 149:1 pretty 17:11 28:1 29:21 35:26 42:6 75:26 157:8 171:9 prevent 89:17 93:8 149:27 previously 33:18,21 43:5 60:9 65:7,14 69:28 **price** 41:25 45:5 149:13 prima 74:5 83:1 96:28 Primarily 172:26

primary 46:26 76:2,7 159:1 principals 54:18 96:12 principle 176:4 **printed** 180:24 prior 71:14 72:16 105:6,8 144:8 164:17 **privilege** 114:5,28 probable 92:9 probably 7:4 21:28 51:8 66:28 68:4 109:11 116:4,23 117:19 126:9 130:9 153:22 173:16 175:19 probative 71:25 problem 20:21 42:24 52:8 115:12 117:4 123:24 129:20 142:11 159:2 problematic 28:10 proceedings 182:15 process 13:24 17:2 21:6,22,25 23:9,18, 20,21,27 26:4,15,19 27:1 29:14 31:6 35:23 47:17 89:13 processed 43:11 **processing** 13:10,21 14:24 15:5 43:5,7 178:5 product 58:17,24,25, 28 80:18 products 57:10 58:13 Professions 69:22,25 profit 35:5 41:12,15

Q

79:2 80:28 83:21 101:9 154:14 profitable 44:4 **profits** 54:21 83:11 93:5,9 97:13 100:27 101:2 102:7,8 103:20 148:28 149:8,15,19 150:2 progress 29:13 prohibit 72:7 project 13:23 15:4 22:24 23:10,24 29:6, 23 48:26 49:19 51:11,12 52:22,23 projector 107:26 projectors 180:26 promise 83:3 86:9,16 98:28 165:7 **promised** 86:20,26 88:19 promises 36:26 77:13 86:21 promising 62:10 promptly 6:23 **proof** 74:7 **proper** 161:7 properly 32:19 69:25 properties 37:14 55:18,22 **property** 15:7,16 19:19 20:22,23,25 21:1,3,6,8,11,14,19, 21,23 22:12,16 23:6 24:1 27:4,10 29:16 34:3,12,16,25 36:13 38:13 40:24 42:24

46:21 47:24 48:1,11,

19 49:13,20 55:15

56:5,18 60:11,13 61:2,8 62:5,23 75:28 77:11 79:22 81:27 83:28 84:2,16 89:28 104:12,25 151:20,27 152:3 154:17 169:26 property's 45:28 46:7 proponent 40:28 proposal 103:24 108:9 114:22 121:7 proposals 106:3 propose 9:11 131:9 133:25 146:11 152:27 175:19 176:11 178:19 **proposed** 9:9 72:8 108:5 109:26 111:24 118:9 121:9.14 125:24 134:4 135:10 138:24,25 141:11 143:13 146:16 150:23 152:14 158:19 162:18 164:25 170:12 175:20 177:12 proposes 111:22 proposing 117:22 127:20 129:13 130:11 131:7 132:18,26 135:10,27 136:11,28 137:4 143:12 151:6,12,14 157:3 160:14,17,23 175:4 proposition 81:14 protected 182:14 protecting 39:1 **prove** 83:24 123:11 128:5,6 129:7,8

130:26,27 131:5

170:24 173:26 proven 73:9 **provide** 27:10 74:23 puts 7:1 156:15 159:1 102:25 putting 6:23 39:21 **provided** 9:6 76:3 66:12 71:12,25 138:25 162:10 181:13 providing 37:14 39:8 provision 138:12 159:9 qualified 56:6 provisions 137:23 **qualify** 48:1,5 **public** 11:8,24 12:25 quarrel 124:5 26:9 29:24 30:5 55:4 question 28:16 32:8 **publish** 180:21 45:20 46:4 49:10 publishing 180:13 51:24 53:16 56:13 **pull** 43:6 61:21,28 62:19 119:13 126:10 127:9 pulling 38:7 128:28 133:20 155:2 **punitive** 9:4,7 85:1 157:13 180:9,15 99:3,10 111:10 181:11 purchase 34:9 45:5 questioning 154:22 75:28 79:4 81:9 questions 32:24 104:12,14,24 105:23 44:18 54:2 62:13 151:20 63:9 165:25 purchased 34:7 quick 80:13 98:16 purpose 115:9 177:18 138:18 173:1 quickly 10:3 purposes 88:7 quite 35:1 152:28 109:10 167:20 156:14 pursuant 14:21 pursued 85:25 pursuing 9:3 166:9 race 23:14 put 6:2 7:21 31:7 radius 30:3 48:10 43:4,22 47:10 64:8 78:6 83:1 84:21 raise 118:16 149:26 87:13 92:14 96:20 raised 18:23 48:13, 100:15 102:12 15,16 96:3 120:8,12,14 122:21 128:9 129:3 147:12 raises 75:17 161:15 163:16 raising 97:4

Index: profitable-raising

R

ran 12:2 range 149:19 rapid 44:17

rate 97:16 148:6 155:10

rational 93:4

rationally 96:25

**reach** 48:25,27 50:5 90:17 101:13 180:5

reached 35:9 51:10 52:22 53:9

reaction 180:16

read 46:5 71:28 113:13,20 118:21 120:6,9 122:24 123:10 130:21

readback 176:23

reading 137:9

reads 144:16

ready 5:10 33:25 54:11 65:10

real 34:7,9 35:7 36:25 37:18 52:8 55:17,28 56:4 73:28 81:9 104:12 151:27 152:2 177:18

really 64:9 74:27 83:15 88:19 98:1 100:28 104:22 118:19 153:28 159:9 168:25

Realtor 34:21

realty 76:28

reason 26:3 43:15 50:19 52:27 70:24 104:2 108:6 127:28 130:3 175:19 reasonable 27:2 99:21 121:6 177:5,9

reasonableness 87:15 154:12

reasonably 90:26 142:6

reasons 67:12

**Rebecca** 165:28

**rebuttal** 7:20 10:7 63:8 64:3,27 65:16

recall 17:1,6,18 21:27 23:16 30:20,22 40:7 50:3,7 65:6,20 92:7 113:2,4,20 156:3

recalling 10:5

receipt 38:18,21,23 39:2,4 44:8,19 73:16 104:19,21 105:22

receive 29:5

received 29:12 71:10 106:16

receiver 43:2

receiving 38:12

recess 63:15,18 64:20,22 68:8,17 98:8,11 155:23

reciprocal 118:25 168:19,23

recognize 14:16 60:4

recognized 17:15 54:25 100:28

recollection 56:22

reconciled 97:18 131:14 132:24

reconvene 179:1

record 9:27 10:26 40:16 81:23 82:26 95:3 96:28 99:5,26 100:3 101:24,28 102:13 103:28 108:7,11 159:24 160:2

recover 123:5,6,10 151:26

recovering 150:16

recreational 141:28

recross-examination 62:15

red 85:21

redirect 32:25 60:25, 26

**reduce** 36:3 44:13 100:15 103:17

reduced 44:11 45:14, 22 53:23,28 61:6 62:7 75:15,20 77:5 104:27 125:13

reducing 78:12 128:22

reduction 153:12

refer 14:11 19:27,28 109:9 163:14

reference 80:25 85:14,20 119:18 143:1,24 165:21 174:11 175:1

**references** 77:15 164:28 165:22 168:8

referred 80:17

**referring** 53:20 60:28 95:20 134:20 144:10 149:28 176:5

reflect 16:7 31:20 94:13 97:6 106:27 131:4 155:16 178:25 reflected 36:19 104:22 122:8

reflecting 155:25

reflection 156:7

refusals 143:14

refuse 27:7

refused 27:9 92:24

**refuted** 134:16

regard 13:20 15:1 19:17 22:26 105:4 167:12

regarding 23:20 29:25

regardless 41:21 115:7

regards 106:1

related 26:9 31:21 137:11

relates 74:28 129:24 130:2 133:7

relation 175:12

relations 12:25,26,27

relatively 21:4

**release** 55:3,7

relevance 27:18 38:25 46:2 49:8 51:2

relevancy 71:13,25

relevant 168:20

reliance 84:22 147:12,14 150:28 152:21 161:5 167:8 177:5,10

relied 31:19 83:4

relocate 37:17

relocation 37:15

Index: ran-relocation

reviewer 20:15

rely 57:27 88:7 91:23 157:13 relying 85:5 104:25 105:17 remain 97:7,11 107:1 remained 27:25 28:28 remaining 28:25 **remains** 127:18 remedies 169:24 **remedy** 84:17 remember 34:5 40:15 50:8,9 91:17 126:3 remind 103:7 113:13 remove 169:13,16 removed 60:15 renegotiate 50:18 renewed 91:9 reorganize 41:23 repeat 18:6 46:4 49:10 rephrase 28:21 44:25 46:14 49:15 61:20 81:13 replaced 147:20 report 20:23 21:11 25:2 162:4 reporter 32:7,8 38:9 46:5 57:6 62:18 77:6,7 176:23 180:14 181:7,10,14,

20 182:5

182:6

reports 21:8

reporting 181:25

represent 13:4,7

14:23 17:25 representation 87:5, 6,7,8,17 88:11,14 166:7,9 167:7 representations 82:23 87:16 88:17 167:10 representative 12:9 represented 37:1 59:4 representing 180:17 request 31:14 48:26 70:17 113:19,20 requested 154:1 161:6 176:23 requests 53:11 require 47:12 81:26 **required** 19:3,19 20:25 21:10,12,13 48:12 requirement 30:4 requires 81:9 requiring 19:12 reservations 82:26 160:26 resolve 15:4 76:17 resolved 17:12 25:15, 23 26:5,7,10,11 27:27 28:7,8 32:19 42:12 resort 21:16 resources 57:27 respect 75:2 104:24 respective 72:24 106:28 respectively 140:21

respects 96:16 respond 18:23,28 19:8 176:9 response 73:19 157:15 159:2 responsibility 108:9 176:3 rest 6:7 7:14 33:4 63:5 66:23 85:1 175:11 178:8 rests 63:6 69:12 resubmit 18:27 19:3 resubmittal 19:7 resubmitting 19:6 result 42:26 137:24 158:21 178:26 results 16:27 42:26 resume 97:26 retail 57:16,24 141:28 142:22 **retake** 33:13 retrieve 10:17 161:9 177:13,17 return 74:13 81:18 82:14 96:26 returning 93:8 returns 57:28 revenue 58:4,10 78:27 91:6 **reverse** 114:25 reverting 170:6 review 18:21,28 19:2 26:2 42:26 49:2 69:6 178:2 reviewed 8:15 18:25

reviewing 32:5,20 40:25 reviews 18:17.22.23 25:5 27:24 32:5 revisit 93:26 rewrite 85:14 rezoning 31:4 Richard 62:5 rid 152:5 164:6 165:16,22,28 169:8 172:9 right 5:4,20,24 6:5, 24,28 7:6,12,16,23 8:16 9:15,19,22 10:6 17:27 28:19 31:10 32:27 33:2,9,20 40:1 41:1,9 45:27 46:6 53:18 54:11,13 56:11,16 57:17 59:6 60:10,25 61:1 62:2, 23 63:7,10,19 64:11, 13,23 65:6 66:22 68:20 69:12,21,28 70:9 71:12 72:14.25 73:17,19 75:7 76:12, 13 77:14,24,28 81:1 84:26 85:26 86:8 87:2,22,27 88:4 89:1,2 90:6,19 91:13,22 92:12 94:12,19 96:23 98:12 101:11 103:26 108:20,26 109:7,21 110:9,16,27 111:3, 18,20,26 112:16 113:10,17,24,28 114:5,17,23 115:13, 18,21,28 116:17 117:18 119:8,26 120:2,9 121:25 122:1,7,10 123:1,14 Index: rely-right

54:16 63:27

124:25 125:15 126:19,28 127:4,11 129:10 130:5,18 131:2,22,24,27 132:10 133:3,12,28 134:3,4,7,23 135:7, 12 136:13 138:23 139:19,26 140:1 141:6,8,24 142:2,23, 25,28 143:10,23 144:24 145:3,18 146:14,26 147:26 148:27 150:3 151:9, 20,28 152:7,12,23, 26 153:8,9 155:14, 24 156:25 157:1,11 158:12 159:19,22 160:8,13,16,20,22, 25,28 161:16 162:2, 13 163:27 164:3,4 165:6,15,24 166:4, 23 168:15 169:8,20 170:4,9,28 171:7 172:3,6,10,25 173:12,19 174:4,5, 16 175:9 178:1,4 181:19 182:6

right-of-way 26:10,13

**rightly** 154:17

rights 62:26

risk 94:24

113K 34.24

road 147:27

**room** 94:6

**rough** 180:13

roughly 25:5

round 19:3,4 47:15

rubber 147:27

rule 169:23

ruling 105:4 111:8 167:13

**rulings** 178:27

running 80:21 98:15 137:15

S

**sabotaged** 43:20 44:1,13

safer 94:23

**sale** 37:15 41:25 77:10 84:16 104:12, 14,24 149:13 169:25

sales 55:11,13

samples 27:28

**San** 5:1 11:7 12:3 14:23 46:1,9 47:8 54:18,23 55:9,10 89:20

sanctions 174:20

**Santee** 12:17

**Sargon** 83:11

**satisfied** 74:5 122:27 180:16,18

satisfies 172:28

**satisfy** 104:13,23 142:4

.\_\_ .

**save** 178:17

**saw** 9:6 26:23 41:5 147:20

saying 61:1 74:11 83:15 85:13 86:12 88:19 90:16 91:16 92:13 94:12 96:5 104:6 105:21 115:12 135:3

**says** 47:10 50:4 60:9 75:12 78:12 90:16 100:15 104:17

106:5,6,10 119:2 128:2,21 134:24 136:22 143:18 158:25 161:15 165:28 173:4

scheduling 5:9

**Schweitzer** 20:5,13, 20 24:28 28:23,28 30:2 49:25 116:24

scope 99:17

screen 20:1 115:24

**scroll** 113:26 139:19 162:26 175:10

scrolling 111:4 113:19

search 30:11

searches 162:22

seat 66:26

second 7:11 20:20 67:12 70:20 83:5 93:26 103:4 107:5 116:7 121:22 126:15 127:1,14,16,18 128:2,20 132:19 138:1 143:6 146:1, 10 159:24 173:21

second-guess 93:24

secondary 76:9

section 70:13 130:14

**secure** 87:11

see 5:24 29:13 37:7, 20 40:26 43:12 44:1 47:5 48:16,28 49:13, 19,25 57:5,8 60:17 70:12,13 75:24 81:24 82:28 101:15 106:27 116:9 123:20 128:18 143:17 156:13 160:4 161:8, 9,19,25 162:13 170:11 172:5 173:13 175:26 176:7 177:13,17

**seeing** 36:6 68:19 72:5 167:14 175:27

**seek** 148:11 150:28 156:9

**seeking** 125:8 147:12 148:21 169:24

seen 18:3,8,14 38:3 39:18 55:1,8,16,21 160:4

**sell** 34:9,12 40:23 41:23 58:17 61:24 62:4 89:27 141:22

**seller** 151:28

seller's 84:17 151:19

selling 40:23 142:22

send 108:4 163:22 176:27,28 178:9,10, 18

sends 106:8

**sense** 32:2 44:15 85:24 118:6 130:14 131:9 156:28 174:6

**sent** 98:6 103:8,10, 12,25 157:28 158:1, 3 161:20

**sentence** 123:9 132:20 173:2

**separate** 38:18,20 79:16 104:10 122:17 147:13

separation 30:15

**September** 100:18 103:11,12,13

**series** 37:11

**served** 11:12 **service** 37:18 39:23 90:13 103:23 services 31:27 35:24 36:9 38:2 48:17 100:20 106:1 **set** 9:5 16:13,16 20:17,18,26 49:4 67:12 85:17 108:1 116:3 117:19 161:28 162:28 178:10 179:6 setback 48:21 sets 8:13 166:19 **seven** 11:16 **share** 41:12,15 77:21 79:2 shared 37:28 106:9 **sheet** 16:22 31:22 161:11 **shirt** 40:3 **short** 5:23 35:24 38:2 64:9 179:11 shorter 64:10 shortly 68:8 **show** 142:3.7 145:9 161:21 showing 37:13 73:11 74:6 96:28 **shown** 61:1 **shows** 53:27 shuttles 13:6

shy 93:22

sickness 111:4

side 6:10 9:15,20

69:1,16 70:22 73:19

**sic** 81:7

74:16 75:23 87:23 90:5 92:16 93:3 94:1,22 97:3,11 105:28 109:5,15 110:1,23 111:13,16 112:17,25 113:1 116:14 117:7,28 118:11 121:13 122:22,23 123:2 124:9,23,26,28 126:20 129:12 130:6,19 132:17 133:15 134:1,8 135:5,9,13,25,26 136:26 137:3 138:19 139:27 140:22,24,27 141:25 143:20,26 144:1,21,27 145:4, 20,27 146:12,17,22, 24 147:4 151:13,24 153:15 154:7 155:16 156:15,22,26 157:12,13 163:3,11, 25 164:1,21 166:15 167:19 168:6,17,18 170:1,19 171:8,19, 22 173:13 175:3,6 178:13 180:20 182:8,10 **side's** 101:25 119:17 **sides** 109:1,2 sign 88:17,20 128:17 signed 35:12,20 45:1 48:20 73:27 74:2 75:15,20 78:7 103:18 104:16 128:4,23,25 129:6, 24,26 130:1,25 significance 29:2 significant 28:1,2 29:4 53:27 124:5 signing 35:22

similar 83:18 109:25 121:23 simply 43:4 48:1 79:4 **single** 79:11 125:26 158:9 site 52:24 situation 169:24 **six** 12:7 21:28 49:2 50:5 90:17 137:15 skill 166:19 **skip** 170:13 **skipped** 161:21 sliver 77:19 100:23 102:5 slow 12:11 slowed 26:4 **slower** 132:6 slowing 114:1 **small** 77:19 so-called 42:4 **social** 48:17 soil 19:20 21:5 27:27 soils 26:24,25 28:25 42:13,17 sold 12:1 41:26 149:12 somebody 43:21 91:10 109:26 112:1 126:13 154:25 170:12 177:12 soon 9:23 90:22 97:25 106:16 116:2 sooner 27:3 sophisticated 86:21 87:6

**sorry** 6:3 19:15 32:7 57:6 62:18 67:15 76:22 78:23 90:8 91:1 95:21 97:28 103:1 118:13 123:7, 13 133:1 144:4 145:24 147:18 156:19 171:10 172:8 sort 38:23 80:25 100:9,11,23 173:7 sought 84:20 148:1 sound 17:26 28:26 29:19 38:18 82:1 94:6 157:16 sounds 6:5 123:23 147:19 source 53:6 **space** 142:13 **span** 91:8,11 **speak** 39:9 74:28 93:25 95:14 **speaker** 40:6,7 speakers 40:9 speaking 39:19 43:21 85:20 126:18 **special** 121:17 145:15,25 146:17,18 147:28 148:7,15 161:22 166:18,19 173:8 174:13 specific 15:7 84:16 85:3 114:20 specifically 14:28 118:20 specified 50:28 **specify** 120:3 130:8 speculate 150:18 speculation 51:2,4

<b>speculative</b> 100:6,28 102:8 149:11 156:14		
<b>spell</b> 10:26		
spend 178:22		
spending 133:17		
<b>split</b> 27:14 80:28 103:24		
<b>spoke</b> 31:12 53:9		
<b>spoken</b> 39:10 126:22		
squeeze 67:18		
staff 11:16,19,20 16:3,5 23:25 31:17		
stake 41:12 45:4 77:19 86:25 87:18 88:2 89:11 93:12 95:24,26 96:8 97:16 106:18,22		
stand 6:23 8:27 9:22 33:14 65:5		
standard 59:8 169:5 175:17		
<b>stands</b> 129:19		
star 167:22		
<b>stare</b> 178:6		
start 68:28 69:9 72:27 91:5 96:18 113:28 119:27 147:27 154:5		
<b>started</b> 10:1 37:12 53:10 142:18 176:9		
starters 138:23		
starting 11:10 67:14 73:3		
starts 71:24		
state 10:25 11:7		
stated 38:17 43:6		
<b>statement</b> 86:9 88:1		

gs		
136:14 153:4 164:20 167:3		
<b>statements</b> 87:3 167:6		
states 105:23		
<b>status</b> 42:9 43:9 48:23 51:12		
statute 76:1 77:11 78:19 79:17 104:3, 13,23,28 105:21 145:15		
<b>stay</b> 106:11 122:23 151:24		
step 63:20 96:3		
stick 8:2		
<b>stint</b> 12:5		
stop 86:28 87:22 89:22 94:13 97:9,23 107:16 111:22 112:24 149:22 155:21 176:19		
store 13:7		
straight 108:22 121:11		
straight-on 81:9		
<b>stream</b> 42:4 58:4,11 78:27 91:6 153:1		
stretch 10:9 54:7		
stricken 52:12 127:3		
<b>strike</b> 18:9 51:22 52:12 127:1		
striking 126:26		
<b>Strome</b> 31:24,26		
<b>strung</b> 77:1 85:27 131:13		
studies 23:6		

<b>study</b> 21:4 23:18 29:17	<b>suggested</b> 39:28 40:2
stuff 29:1 109:28 subcontracted	suggesting 119:19 135:2 159:8
143:17	suggestions 106:10
<b>subject</b> 6:9 7:13	suggests 96:10
21:14 33:2 48:21 63:4 70:26 79:16,17	<b>suing</b> 155:3
91:20 93:20 104:9,	super 167:22
10 107:1 122:20	Superscope 88:24
164:25 176:25	supervise 43:2
subjected 155:28	supervisor 11:16,17
submission 52:15	supplied 58:28
submit 20:28 21:7,10	supplying 80:18
submittal 35:23	
submitted 32:4,20 51:15,27 52:5,7,20 73:21 83:27 85:7 100:17	support 9:9,11,12 78:18 79:19,24 80:27 82:17 83:12, 19,20 84:18,28 87:4 90:11,21 93:5,17
submitting 20:22	99:5,7,9 101:24
subsequent 138:9	110:19,23 112:12 127:23 131:3 148:1
substance 166:3	149:15 156:5,13
substantial 39:1 87:10 135:23 136:16,21	<b>supported</b> 127:26 138:20
substantially 71:26	supports 137:18
136:18	<b>suppose</b> 88:13 117:15 173:7
substantive 170:17	supposed 90:21 91:6
successful 21:20 22:2,3,5 25:7 43:17	103:17 106:5 136:23 suppressed 112:2
46:20 86:22 sufficient 73:21 74:2 82:28 83:7 84:7,28 88:23 108:12 173:11 sufficiently 10:10	sure 27:26 28:18 43:16,18,22 58:12 62:20 63:13 64:7 80:15 82:22 95:9 99:20 104:20 108:8
suggest 6:19 93:24 114:15 120:3 123:28 150:11 178:28	112:6,22 115:8,13 118:18 119:22 123:18,26 124:4,7

125:26 132:13 133:23 139:1 140:26 142:19,21 146:5 147:9 148:10 162:25 166:8 168:16 177:7 180:4,11 182:13

sureties 35:26

surprise 102:21

**surprised** 39:5 49:1

surround 47:1

survive 82:9

**suspicion** 46:12 53:3

**suspicions** 45:28 46:7

suspicious 53:11

**sustain** 72:15 73:21 134:27

sustained 28:20 35:18 38:26 43:26 44:24 45:11 46:13 49:16,23 50:1,23 51:5,20,23 52:11 61:16 70:14

switched 136:1

**sword** 68:23

**sworn** 10:23 33:18,21 65:7,14

**System** 12:6

Т

table 66:27

tacit 160:6

take 6:11,26 8:21,26 15:28 26:15 44:2 47:14 59:17 63:11, 14 64:3 65:4 67:4 68:4 69:5,18 70:1 72:15 94:10 95:1 97:24 115:21,24 127:14,17 131:20 144:14 152:13 153:16 155:9,13,20, 22 160:10 163:2 174:27 178:6,23

**taken** 5:25 6:15 41:16 47:17 126:11 145:6

takes 17:22 66:25

**talk** 5:9 57:13 67:10 70:9 72:27 84:15 124:11 171:20.23

**talked** 164:8 166:28 168:19

**talking** 52:6 57:14 95:23 129:20 132:11 153:24

talks 54:8 103:19 110:13 126:7

tar 100:9

task 31:4

tax 46:27

taxis 13:5

team 13:24,26 15:4 23:5 24:25,27 27:12 28:23 30:12 41:27 42:4,13 46:18,19 47:12 48:12 86:23 87:8 89:18 112:2

teams 46:1,8

tech 20:16

technical 19:12

technically 74:24

telephone 66:1,10

**telephonically** 179:2, 10 180:4

tell 30:23 40:5,10

64:15 108:8 111:26 133:16 173:24

telling 20:14 115:6

tells 85:19 118:27 147:11

**Ten** 153:19,20

tend 54:27 96:4 172:17

tens 35:2

tentative 100:1

**Tenzer** 88:24

**term** 65:25,28 158:10 174:5

terminated 61:11

termination 143:14

**terminology** 44:16 77:23

terms 12:7 23:28 27:4
36:4 37:13,23,24,27
38:14 45:1,2,13
47:14 50:16,27
53:15 61:6 62:5
73:27 75:13 76:28
77:4,8 78:11 81:21
86:19 88:20 100:16
103:14,15 104:11,
15,26 105:6,8,17,23
125:13 128:10,22
134:17 137:12,20
157:9,23 158:18
167:5

terrific 67:28

testified 10:23 33:18 39:7 40:17 56:19 65:14 76:6 79:9 80:19 87:20 90:25, 27 91:2 95:16 103:13 116:22 153:3 174:19 **testify** 65:18 83:20 91:10,16 153:3

testifying 91:17

testimony 25:17 34:1 56:17 65:20 70:25 71:9 74:1 75:12 76:3 78:1,3,4,23 79:20 83:3,9 84:6,21 88:5, 8 91:24 95:28 100:13 101:22 102:2,10 103:10,28 126:16 137:11,17,21 138:4,8,10 149:5 157:20 158:14 167:19

testing 26:25 27:8 28:25 29:19 42:14, 17

tests 42:27

**text** 37:7 40:2,18 42:3 51:28 65:23 66:4 80:5,9,16 85:7

texts 37:3,11 47:3 57:3

thank 5:18 9:26 33:6, 24 54:12 56:14 65:9 66:19,24 68:24 69:14 71:6 79:25 82:21 98:9,10 105:26 107:24 127:11,19 132:9 133:14 143:7 166:14 168:28

**Thanks** 9:28 131:23

theoretically 96:11 149:11 153:21,23

theories 9:2

theory 72:4,5 75:24 76:18 78:8,14,18 81:6,7,19,24 82:8 83:24 84:13 85:28

Index: sureties-theory

86:12 87:5 89:7,23 92:26 96:26 97:15 99:13 100:9,10,11 101:3 104:18 120:23,26 122:15 133:10 134:26 137:28 138:17 148:11,18 149:22, 27,28 150:1 152:2,3 155:17 156:8 157:17

thing 57:25 80:25 87:25 100:27 107:11 128:20 138:1 147:8 158:2,28 167:28

things 5:16 8:27 28:3, 11 29:2 37:2 40:26 43:16 45:3 53:8 67:3 84:18 102:22 103:25 114:1 120:21 134:14 137:26

think 5:15 6:26 7:10 8:4 15:22 19:23 28:5 32:26 36:2 43:10 48:5 59:14 64:6 69:20 70:7,27 71:1, 6,9 72:10,20 74:20 76:10 77:23 78:15, 16 79:17,24 81:16 82:5,7 83:12,24,25 84:5 86:5,12 89:17 90:22,27 92:3,8,17, 22 95:26 97:19 100:5 104:28 106:21 109:3,5 110:2,25 111:10 112:3 116:22 117:7,26 118:1 120:16,20,21 121:6, 19,27 122:3,5,6,16 123:24 130:4,7,9,21, 22,28 131:9 132:28 133:10,19 134:12,24 135:20,22,28 137:17,25 139:25 141:1,11 143:9

144:26 146:2,6,17 147:10 148:11,18 149:4 151:4,15 152:5 153:13 154:2, 3,6 156:10 157:26 158:14 161:4,7 163:7 165:1 166:17, 20 169:12 170:27 171:2,3,9,11 172:5, 14,15 173:15 175:10,15 178:25 180:26 182:1

**thinking** 118:28 119:6 158:2 172:20

**third** 43:15 80:5 127:9

third-party 41:19 42:25 43:1 53:21

Thirteen 18:20

Thornton 42:22

thought 9:2 16:3 21:2 23:26 37:18 46:28 55:16 57:1 67:4 72:3 82:9 92:23 117:24 118:2 124:6 138:26 177:15

**thoughts** 115:25 116:20

thousand 30:14 48:10

**three** 9:1 11:13 17:4,5 69:26 146:8

three-sentence 81:15

threshold 107:19,21

throw 120:7

tickets 66:12

tie 131:9

tied 49:3

time 6:7 7:11 8:24,26 12:8 16:14 17:10 22:18 27:12.13 28:25 29:15 34:20 35:12 39:26 40:20 41:4,5,25 42:23 43:1 44:9 45:15 47:5 50:13 51:11 52:5,23 53:2 56:18 59:17 60:19 62:12 63:15 64:27 67:7,9,17 68:26 69:8,17,19 73:25 77:4 81:5 84:1,12 93:26 95:3 96:18 97:22 100:10, 12 101:20 106:27 108:2 124:14 126:14 133:17,25 137:13 150:16 153:17 154:8,10 155:13 159:20 161:4 166:17 176:10 178:6,22

timely 43:11

times 18:21 96:8 148:6.7

**Tirandazi** 51:11 52:7 53:9

**today** 47:10 64:26 81:20 154:10

today's 154:9

**told** 39:7 40:3,11 51:13 55:14 68:13 114:26

tomorrow 8:9 67:14, 15,17 68:14 155:11 178:23 179:18 181:22,26 182:5,7, 13

ton 182:12

tonight 178:11,22

**TOOTHACRE** 5:6,12,

15,19 9:26 10:13,15 11:2 12:14 14:9,13, 15 19:23,26 25:9,17 26:19 27:18 28:6,13 32:26 33:1 68:24 79:3 98:10,13 112:28 114:25 115:2 116:5,12 117:15 120:16,19 126:2 132:4,11 143:5 144:12,20 159:23,27 160:1 165:11,13,17, 19 172:14 173:16,18 174:1 175:22 180:9, 12,19

top 60:2 123:5 165:27

topic 22:7 93:26

**tort** 76:11,16 82:20 84:9 88:12,22

total 52:23

track 68:12

tracking 23:10,11

traffic 66:12

transcripts 180:13

transit 12:6,9

transportation 13:5 18:20

tremendously 67:3

trespassing 60:14

**trial** 9:3 93:21 102:23 107:6,20,22 154:11 156:21 164:17,18,25

tribute 67:5

tried 27:6 95:11

true 55:25 56:20 57:23

truly 161:20

trust 51:17

Index: thing-trust

try 27:2 34:2 42:21 47:12 87:11 97:26 108:18 118:22 155:13 159:20 172:7 179:4

trying 20:21 29:16 70:28 72:1 89:22 93:28 96:23 124:5 153:26,27 159:25 173:15

turn 76:19,21 107:26

two 7:17 17:15 26:17, 18 30:14,17 36:11 39:23 45:28 46:8 48:6,9,18 64:6,9 69:26 70:2,6,18,27 71:14 72:16,19 74:7 75:17 79:1 100:16 103:9,25 104:2,23, 26 106:9 109:1 116:24 120:19 126:28 134:18 137:20 143:9 146:8 157:23 165:12,13 175:22

type 78:18 types 42:27 84:18 typically 169:21,23

U

Uh-huh 177:3 ultimately 21:7,10,20 23:1 62:4 88:9 94:5, 16 unable 24:13

unanimously 16:28 unavailability 112:4

unavailable 112:8

**underlying** 98:27 99:6

understand 6:4 18:10 28:16 33:21 35:21 53:16 55:2 59:4 61:28 82:8 84:12 85:26 89:22 92:25, 26 95:8,11 99:28 102:1 104:1,5 105:3 118:22 128:26 146:9 152:3 159:21 168:2 181:15

understandable 8:19 understanding 24:15 27:9 36:10 38:1 39:23 42:9 44:10,20, 27 52:6 58:5 68:1 75:13 77:3,17 90:14 100:21 106:2 166:27

understood 51:15 81:5 100:22 146:19 157:17,19,26 158:5 181:16

undispute 88:16 undue 71:26 unfair 156:16 unfold 142:18

Unformalized 170:26

University 11:8,9 unreasonable 6:27

unusual 39:4 41:20

**up-to-date** 177:16

**update** 16:9,13,16 31:14 39:21 51:12

**updated** 16:7 31:7, 20,23 42:1 43:9

**updates** 31:22 41:28 42:6

**uphill** 97:12

**upset** 52:19,27 67:21

**use** 13:10,12 56:25 65:28 84:3 128:20 130:15 159:4 160:3 180:25,26

**usually** 5:27 6:1 118:6

٧

Vague 26:19 28:6,13 35:17 45:15 49:7 53:13 61:27

value 34:15,21,24 35:6,7 36:13 55:17 56:4 71:25 83:27 84:2 96:1 138:7 153:2,11

values 54:26

variables 93:6

various 13:23

vast 63:25

vehicles 13:6

vendor 58:25

vent 67:23,24

venture 36:14,25,27 41:6 44:10 56:24,25 57:1,13 61:5 65:19, 22,25,28 66:3,6,9 77:16,22,23 78:15, 17,20,22,28 79:1,7, 9,12,15,19,27 81:21, 25,27 86:18 88:3 92:24 104:7 106:13 122:14,15,18,26 124:3,7,8,13,19 130:24,26 152:4 167:16 171:25 172:25 173:6 174:6, 11

ventured 58:19

ventures 86:14

verbal 157:27

verbatim 136:6 143:25

verdict 8:7,11,22,25 67:10 74:13,20,26 75:4 81:18 82:10,15 93:9 96:26 98:4,5,20 107:12 119:3 121:17,26 178:25 179:6,13

verify 157:9

**version** 135:17 161:7 162:9

versus 88:24 119:1

vice 11:28

video 110:17

Vietnam 11:13

view 81:23 85:27 97:11 99:4 105:23 107:1 126:9 155:27

viewed 173:7

void 148:20

volume 63:25

vote 67:26

W

wait 61:21 165:11 172:8 174:21

waive 181:25 182:6

waived 181:27,28

want 6:22 8:10 9:16 19:7 31:2 43:12 54:7 64:7 68:10 69:7

Index: try-want

73:25 76:15 85:21 94:2 99:23 100:2,4 101:18 102:14 106:11 108:3,8,24 115:16 116:8 120:12 121:16 129:17 131:19 146:4 149:21 151:21 166:17 168:3,5,15,17,18,21 171:20,23 178:10 179:10,14,17 180:21,24,27

wanted 27:15 43:1, 15,18 51:12 57:16, 18 58:12 59:7 102:4, 12 105:25 110:11 170:20

wants 168:7

Washington 11:20

wasn't 27:1 39:24 42:24 48:2,11 53:3 64:7 78:3,5,12 79:22 80:20 105:20 171:26 172:1

wasting 62:12

way 8:17 20:21 35:2
41:4 48:22 55:26
73:25 87:13 92:16
102:18,22 109:10
116:28 117:1 118:21
120:16 123:27
124:12 125:11
128:1,6 129:4
141:13 145:28
155:19 163:19
164:10,25 168:24,27
170:13 172:7 176:22
182:4

we'll 6:5,11,21 8:20, 21,22,26 9:24 33:13 63:17 64:14,20 68:28 69:18 81:3 84:14 97:26 98:3,8 107:11 117:1 121:18 125:3 147:10,18,21 155:21 162:28 174:12 182:6

we're 8:12 10:1,8,9 37:8,13,21 54:9 63:11,12,14,15 64:24,25 68:1,16 77:2 96:22 97:5,21, 27 107:28 115:6 116:2 118:24 120:4, 13 121:5 125:16 128:16,26 129:25 130:2 135:22 136:22 139:21 145:24 152:12 153:24,25 154:11 155:9,13,20 162:27 163:1 164:4, 26.27 169:24 176:4 178:21

we've 6:15 8:20 46:17 59:16 64:23 84:20 155:18 170:17 176:17 179:17 182:12

wearing 40:10

web 23:12

**website** 43:9 48:17, 24 49:5,12,18,27 52:25

Wednesday 67:1

week 34:1

weigh 98:23 106:26

weighing 97:1

weight 82:1,2

weightier 106:25

**Weinstein** 5:7 6:8 7:4,8,21,24 8:15 9:18 33:5 34:26

35:17 38:25 43:25 44:17,22 45:9,15 46:2,10,23 47:25 48:7 49:7,21,28 50:20 51:1,18 52:9 53:13 54:4,11,12,15 56:7,9,12,15 57:12 59:13,16,20,26 60:1, 3,23 61:12,15,27 62:16,20,21,28 63:9 64:5,18 65:1,17 66:18,22,24 68:25 69:10,14 70:12,23 71:8 73:20 74:25 75:7 76:13,15,20 77:25,28 80:13,16 82:4,21,28 84:24,27 87:24,27 88:4 91:15, 22,25 92:2,5,11,13, 28 94:8,18 95:7,10, 21,25 96:15,17 98:9 99:28 101:14,17 102:1,16,20,24,27 103:2,4,9,27 108:14, 17 109:4,13,19 110:3,7,12,17 111:1, 15 112:18,27 113:8, 15,22 114:8,11,14, 16,19 115:3,12,18, 26 116:16,21,26 117:3,23,26 118:1, 10,16,19 119:9,11, 20,26 120:1 121:2, 10,16,19,22,25 122:3,9 123:3,9,15, 18,22 124:2,16,22, 27 125:3,6,17,20 126:15,27 127:2,6,8, 21,24,28 128:9,13, 16 129:3,14,17 130:20 131:8,12,15 132:5,9,13,15 133:6, 13 134:2,6 135:6,14, 17,21 136:15 137:1,

17 138:25 139:10,

15,24 140:4,9,14,18 141:4,11,16 142:23 143:13,28 144:19 145:5,17 146:1,4,9, 23 147:1,3,7,10,17, 22,25 148:14,25 149:24 150:4,25,27 151:7,21 152:10,15, 19,21,24,27 153:8 154:8 155:2,8 156:27 157:5,15 158:7,13 159:4,7,18 160:12,19,24 161:3, 10,14,18,24,26 162:2,4,6,16,20 163:4,7,19 164:2,7 165:4,9,12,26 166:7, 24,26 167:26 168:2, 5,11,22,25 169:3,6, 11,21 170:5,10,14, 22,26 171:2,5,14,21 173:2,7,10,20,23,28 174:4,9,13,16 175:7, 25 176:1 177:1,4,9, 15,19,23,25,28 178:14,16 179:3,21, 23 181:16,23,27 182:9

welcome 5:19

well-taken 133:19

went 25:4 40:18 53:26 101:4

weren't 24:15 41:20 58:13 117:3,16

**whatsoever** 43:5 58:9 60:10 108:23

**whichever** 20:1 96:10 149:9,10

wholesaler 59:2

willfully 112:2

**willing** 72:11

Index: wanted-willing

# **Transcript of Proceedings**

willingness 95:13

win 43:24 94:23

## Geraci vs. Cotton, et al.

102:11 window 41:24 wish 68:13 withdraw 85:2 134:28 166:22 167:26 168:3,4 169:15 171:2,4,5,17 withdrawing 130:16 166:21 withdrawn 130:19 witness 7:2 10:4,8, 11,27 12:13 28:7,18 33:7,14,23 34:19 35:1 45:21 46:4,26 47:27 48:9 49:10 53:15,17,20 57:9 61:17,22 62:1,4 64:3,28 65:8 74:19 91:27 102:28 112:4, 7 114:16,23 115:13, 17 116:27 153:2 162:19 180:17,22 witnesses 5:17 10:7 67:6 114:4 116:28 117:1 witnesses' 91:23 Wohlfeil 5:2 67:24 wondering 66:28 107:13 word 53:8 65:22 66:3. 6,9 124:16 125:26 142:23 178:5 worded 134:27 wording 146:7 words 28:15 56:25 65:19 78:21 79:8,9, 11 126:22 131:6

140:21,25 181:25 work 11:10 22:26 40:27 47:12 79:2 80:7,27 129:8 159:20 worked 11:15 15:4 17:28 29:11 102:22 working 36:5,8,20 37:28 42:21 45:7 52:2 57:25 80:2,12 100:17 103:11,16 104:26 137:21 157:24 works 35:3 130:28 world 12:2 66:28 **worry** 73:5 worth 35:1 wouldn't 7:25 27:3 28:27 46:20 55:5,26 59:8 92:16 101:23, 27 104:8 129:28 136:3 180:28 **Wow** 159:22 wrap 7:27,28 writing 36:3 44:11 45:14,23 53:23,28 61:6 62:7 73:6 74:21 75:15,20 77:5 78:6,

12 81:10,26 100:16 103:18 104:8,11,13, 15,27 105:22 125:14 126:18 128:23 137:26,27 138:1,5, 13

writings 90:13

written 50:27 54:21 73:22 74:8.27 75:9 78:6 79:11 86:2 88:14,18 105:18 119:25 120:7,11,24

122:18 125:9,12 126:22 128:4,18,23, 24 129:6,24 130:25 133:7 137:24 138:9 144:17 145:26 171:28

wrong 137:8 wrote 60:6,19,21 124:12

Υ

yeah 17:27 28:1 40:18 77:2 85:3 90:3 117:13 121:4,16 123:22 125:11 126:25 130:7 131:8 132:28 134:28 135:28 140:6 141:27 146:11 148:17 152:1 158:15 163:21 166:22 169:13 170:15 172:21,26 173:18 175:24 176:13

44:3 47:15,17 70:20 years 11:12,13,16,28 12:3,18 26:17,18 35:4 44:5 46:1,8 66:13 89:10 91:9

year 26:27 34:5 35:5

148:7 153:19,20 156:3

81:2,5 108:2 young 112:5 172:11

yesterday 8:10 28:24

Ζ

**zillion** 167:23 **zoning** 15:16,20,27 16:3,5,16,24 17:11 18:19 25:15 26:6 31:22 42:9,12 52:2

Index: willingness-zoning