1	KJAR, McKENNA & STOCKALPER LL	P
2	James J. Kjar, Esq. (SBN: 94027)	
3	kjar@kmslegal.com Jon R. Schwalbach, Esq. (SBN: 281805)	
4	jschwalbach@kmslegal.com	
5	Gregory B. Emdee, Esq. (SBN: 315374) gemdee@kmslegal.com	
6	841 Apollo Street, Suite 100	
7	El Segundo, California 90245 Telephone: (424) 217-3026	
8	Facsimile: (424) 367-0400	
9		
10	Attorneys for Defendant, MICHAEL WEINSTEIN	
11		
12	UNITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COURT
13	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	DARRYL COTTON, an individual	Case No.: 3:18-cv-00325-BAS-DEB
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT MICHAEL
15	VS.	WEINSTEIN'S OPPOSITION TO
16		PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR
17	LARRY GERACI; REBECCA BERRY; GINA AUSTIN; AUSTIN	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL;
18	LEGAL GROUP; MICHAEL	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
19	WEINSTEIN; SCOTT H. TOOTHACRE; FERRIS & BRITTON;	AUTHORITIES
20	CITY OF SAN DIEGO and DOES 1-	Date: August 31, 2020
21	10, inclusive,	Time: Unknown
22	Defendants.	NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS
23		REQUESTED BY THE COURT
24		District Judge: Cynthia A. Bashant
25		Magistrate Judge: Daniel E. Butcher
26		Courtroom: 4B (4th Floor)
27		Complaint Filed: February 19, 2018
28		Trial Date: None

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Defendant, Michael Weinstein, (hereinafter "Weinstein") submits this response in opposition to Plaintiff's, Darryl Cotton (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Cotton"), ex parte motion for reconsideration to be appointed counsel. Plaintiff has already filed the same motion for appointment of counsel in this matter in the past and it was denied by the court. (Mtn. at 26:2; Dkt. Nos. 6, 7, 8, 13, 14). As such, the court should not entertain repetitive and unrelenting motions that have already been decided by this court.

2.0 LEGAL STANDARD

"[T]here is no absolute right to counsel in civil proceedings." *Hedges v. Resolution Tr. Corp.* (In re Hedges), 32 F.3d 1360, 1363 (9th Cir. 1994). Thus, federal courts do not have the authority "to make coercive appointments of counsel." *Mallard v. U.S. District Court*, 490 U.S. 296, 310 (1989); see also *United States v. \$292,888.04 in U.S. Currency*, 54 F.3d 564, 569 (9th Cir. 1995). However, the court *may* appoint counsel under section 1915(d) only under "exceptional circumstances." "A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both 'the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved.' Neither of these factors is dispositive and both must be viewed together before reaching a decision." *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir.1986) (citations omitted) (section 1983 action); See *Smith–Bey v. Hospital Adm'r*, 841 F.2d 751, 760 (7th Cir.1988) (Bivens action) (citing *Maclin v. Freake*, 650 F.2d 885, 887–88 (7th Cir.1981)).

3.0 EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES DO NOT EXIST

In determining whether exceptional circumstances exist, the court reviews the pleadings to determine the complexity of the issues, the plaintiff's ability to articulate his claims, and the likelihood of success on the merits. *Terrell v. Brewer*,



1

935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir.1991).

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

First, the record is not sufficiently developed so that the Court can determine the likelihood of success on the merits. No defendants have been served by Plaintiff in the matter.

Second, a pro se plaintiff's inability to afford an attorney, standing alone, is not enough to show exceptional circumstances. This and other hardships plaintiff may claim "are difficulties which any litigant would have in proceeding pro se; they do not indicate exceptional factors." Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335–1336 (9th Cir. 1990).

Third, Plaintiff has shown the ability to draft lengthy and detailed complaints and continues to provide elaborate and detailed statements in his ex parte motion for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. 36). Additionally, Plaintiff has drafted the present motion, has the assistance of a paralegal, and has responded to multiple motions to dismiss. (Dkt. 27, 31).

CONCLUSION 4.0

Based on the forgoing, the circumstances fail to demonstrate "exceptional circumstances" warranting the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff is entirely able to proceed upon the matter on his own merit.

Dated: August 17, 2020

KJAR, McKENNA & STOCKALPER LLP

By: /s/ Gregory B. Emdee

JAMES J. KJAR JON R. SCHWALBACH GREGORY B. EMDEE

Attorneys for Defendant Michael Weinstein

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 17, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing **DEFENDANT MICHAEL WEINSTEIN'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES** with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, Southern District of California by using the Southern District CM/ECF system.

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the USDC-Southern District of California CM/ECF system.

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 841 Apollo Street, Suite 100, El Segundo, California 90245. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at El Segundo, California. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is

deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service,

I further certify that participants in the case not registered as CM/ECF users have been mailed the above described documents by First Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within

three (3) calendar days, to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

in a sealed envelope with postage fully paid.

Darryl Cotton 6176 Federal Blvd. San Diego, CA 92114

Tel: 619-954-4447

| //.



I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on August 17, 2020 at El Segundo, California. Berta R. Howard BERTA R. HOWARD, Declarant

