## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO:	🗵 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🗆 U.S. MAGI	STRATE JUDGE: The Hon. Cynthia Bashant
FRO	M: J. Rinehart , Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: August 21, 2020
CAS	E NO. 18-cv-00325-BAS-DEB	DOC FILED BY: Darryl Cotton
CAS	E TITLE: Cotton v. Geraci et al	
	CUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff Pro Se Dara osition to Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for	ryl Cotton's Reply to Defendant Michael Weinstein's Appointment of Counsel
	Upon the submission of the attached documents	ment(s), the following discrepancies are noted:
Civ	.L. Rule 5.1 - Missing time and date on moti	on and/or supporting documentation;
Plaintiff's reply brief is 11.5 pages long, which exceeds the page limits set by the local rules. All further briefs <u>must</u> comply with the page limit requirements.		
Plair	ntiff must not email filings to the Court's efil	e e-mail address.
		Date Forwarded: August 25, 2020
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED:	
$\boxtimes$	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Reject	ted documents to be returned to pro se or inn	nate? $\square$ Yes. Court copy retained by chambers $\square$
Reject	Any further failure to comply with the L	nate?  Yes. Court copy retained by chambers  cocal Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to  r Criminal Local Rule 57.1.
Reject Date:	Any further failure to comply with the L Civil Local Rule 83.1 o	ocal Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to