



Darryl Cotton &lt;indagrodarryl@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: 6176 and 6220 (APN: 543-020-0400) Federal Blvd CUP Applications**

---

joe auksel &lt;customerservice@jjhconstruction.com&gt;

Wed, Sep 16, 2020 at 8:36 AM

To: Darryl Cotton &lt;indagrodarryl@gmail.com&gt;, aaron magagna &lt;aaronmagagna@gmail.com&gt;

Cc: michael@m2a.io, CAMarengo@m2a.io, Terry Strom &lt;Terry@strompermit.com&gt;, Andrew Flores &lt;afloreslaw@gmail.com&gt;, zoe villaroman &lt;zoe.g.villaroman@gmail.com&gt;

Darryl

If you believe that JJH Construction has done something unlawful I suggest you contact your lawyer to discuss your options. Outside of that, please discontinue any further correspondence with JJH Construction in the matters you have stated in this and the previous email. Thank you in advance for your consideration in this matter -

J.J.H. Construction, Inc  
542 15th Street, San Diego CA 92101  
Office: 619-269-1951  
License #929802

CustomerService@JJHConstruction.com (reaches all office staff)

*The only one who can satisfy the human heart... is The One who created it!*

\*\*\*\*\* CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE \*\*\*\*\*

THIS E-MAIL, INCLUDING ANY FILES WITH IT, MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY AND/OR PRIVILEGED INFORMATION FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S). ANY REVIEW, USE, DISTRIBUTION, COPY OR DISCLOSURE BY OTHERS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT (OR AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE INFORMATION FOR THE RECIPIENT), PLEASE CONTACT THE SENDER AND DELETE ALL COPIES OF THIS MESSAGE.

On Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 4:32 PM Darryl Cotton &lt;indagrodarryl@gmail.com&gt; wrote:

Hi Michael,

We have never met nor have we ever spoke. You provided the architectural services as the architect of record to Ahbay Scheitzer of Techne who was working for Larry Geraci to ostensibly secure a license for a Cannabis Dispensary Conditional Use Permit at the 6176 property I own. You may not realize it but we were unsuccessful in getting that CUP because a competing CUP at 6220 Federal Blvd. beat Schewitzer/Geraci to the finish line and got the CUP approval first.

Michael, earlier today I have put the prime contractor on notice as to what is currently being litigated and what will be expanded in the near future to include the architectural services you provided

Schweitzer, who also has a stake (% ownership) in the 6220 CUP. I have attached a copy of that email and included Joshua Hamilton of JJH Construction (CSLB No 929802) in this email. I have also had previous communication with Terry Strom. of Strom Permitting Services who early on in the 6220 CUP application Terry was listed as DSD POINT OF CONTACT for that project. What concerned me back then was that Strom quickly became a FORMER POINT OF CONTACT and it appeared from that point on that Aaron Magagna, who holds no professional architectural or engineering licenses whatsoever was taking on this project CUP application entirely on his own. Aaron Magagna did in 7 months what a licensed architectural and design team could not do in two years. Having been a contractor and developer for over 20 years in this city, that is where I call bull shit!

Michael I want you to understand that it took going to a state court trial to find out what Schweitzer was doing to you and me with the 6176 Architectural services you provided for Geraci. Section 13.2 of Canna-Greed will detail specifically what went on in the processing of the 6220 CUP. These are chronological events and I would strongly encourage you to review them.

<https://151farmers.org/2017/10/23/canna-greed-stay-awake-stay-aware-my-story/>

Once you've done that you might want to peruse the DSD handling of the 6176 CUP which is detailed in Section 13.3 in the Canna-Greed story.

Now I would like you to see how Schweitzer spent his time and money on the 6176 design services that used not just you but TWO OTHER Architects, on a 1600 sq-ft project that took over 2 years to process with DSD and it NEVER EVEN GOT A COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP MEETING!!!

This is a January 15, 2020 comparison I did between the 6176 and the 6220 CUP architectural and DSD services processing of the two competing CUPS. Within it you will see the architectural fees paid as line item expenses by TECHNE. Now that this case is being heard in a federal court under, among other charges, antitrust activities that the City of San Diego and others are involved in, the conspiracy between the parties becomes of ever increasing importance.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/DSD-Project-Comparisons-5-1.pdf>

Trial Exhibit 147 (See Canna-Greed Section 11.4 for all the Trial Exhibits) is the TECHNE Expense Summary that will support the data described in the previous project comparison.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/147.pdf>

Michael like I told Joshua earlier. I'm currently in federal court pursuing my rights in Cotton v Geraci @ <https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/05-13-20-Amended-Complaint-Conformed.pdf>

Then we have the parallel federal case to mine which is Flores v Austin @ <https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/07-09-20-First-Amended-Complaint.pdf>

And finally I have a flowchart with all working hyperlinks that on page two shows the FBI involvement in our cases involving a long and growing list of defendants to a wide variety of defendants that now include murder for hire charges.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Geraci-Flowcharts-Combined-11.pdf>

Michael as a builder and an architect I don't want to believe either you or Joshua went into this with your eyes wide open as to what this was eventually going to become. **You had no idea** that the 9th circuit decision in the Flores matter is now being petitioned in the United States Supreme Court as a Sua Sponte matter. **You had no way of knowing** that I have done this development work with the city and recognized what it was I was seeing and would not back down but instead I would assemble the evidence that would eventually expose this entire process for what it is! That being said: **there is no way you or even I could have known what this was going to become. But here we are.**

I want to believe that when you first started the architectural work for Schweitzer/Geraci it was under an agreement that you would provide signed, stamped and dated drawings that in a good faith effort would have been a normal approval process. Keep in mind that under a subpoena we'll see that services agreement. Michael, once you review the information in this email I'm confident that both you and Mr. Marengo, your partner at MarengoMorton Architects will agree **that nothing about the 6220 CUP process was normal. And to top it ALL off** the City of San Diego opened ANOTHER CUP number and made Magagna go through the entire process again under 644432.

[https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Project-ID\\_644432\\_Federal-Blvd-M.O.-1.pdf](https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Project-ID_644432_Federal-Blvd-M.O.-1.pdf)

Michael, as I told Joshua in his attached email if you are working with any of the named defendants in either mine or the Flores matter you should really think about how you're going to respond to this email. If you come clean with what you know, I will promise to not name you in the federal complaint. I have cc'd Flores to see if he would agree to the same conditions but I can't speak for him. If I do not hear from you by 2:00 pm PST on 09/18/20 I will assume you have decided that these issues can be explained away and plan my case accordingly.

Sincerely,

Darryl Cotton



Darryl Cotton &lt;indagrodarryl@gmail.com&gt;

---

**RE: 6176 and 6220 (APN: 543-020-0400) Federal Blvd CUP Applications**

---

**Michael Morton** <Michael@m2a.io>

Wed, Sep 16, 2020 at 11:53 AM

To: Darryl Cotton &lt;indagrodarryl@gmail.com&gt;, Claude Anthony Marengo &lt;CAMarengo@m2a.io&gt;

Cc: "customerservice@jjhconstruction.com" &lt;customerservice@jjhconstruction.com&gt;, Terry Strom &lt;Terry@strompermit.com&gt;, Andrew Flores &lt;afloreslaw@gmail.com&gt;, zoe villaroman &lt;zoe.g.villaroman@gmail.com&gt;

September 16, 2020

Mr. Cotton,

As the project you referred to was also eliminated from the CUP by the permitted project.

I have no other or further information that I can provide.

Please remove me and my company from any further emails on this subject.

Michael Morton

---

**From:** Darryl Cotton <indagrodarryl@gmail.com>**Sent:** Tuesday, September 15, 2020 4:32 PM**To:** Michael Morton <Michael@m2a.io>; Claude Anthony Marengo <CAMarengo@m2a.io>**Cc:** customerservice@jjhconstruction.com; Terry Strom <Terry@strompermit.com>; Andrew Flores <afloreslaw@gmail.com>; zoe villaroman <zoe.g.villaroman@gmail.com>**Subject:** 6176 and 6220 (APN: 543-020-0400) Federal Blvd CUP Applications

Hi Michael,

We have never met nor have we ever spoke. You provided the architectural services as the architect of record to Ahbay Scheitzer of Techne who was working for Larry Geraci to ostensibly secure a license for a Cannabis Dispensary Conditional Use Permit at the 6176 property I own. You may not realize it but we were unsuccessful in getting that CUP because a competing CUP at 6220 Federal Blvd. beat Schweitzer/Geraci to the finish line and got the CUP approval first.

Michael, earlier today I have put the prime contractor on notice as to what is currently being litigated and what will be expanded in the near future to include the architectural services you provided Schweitzer, who also has a stake (% ownership) in the 6220 CUP. I have attached a copy of that email and included Joshua Hamilton of JJH Construction (CSLB No 929802) in this email. I have also had previous communication with Terry Strom. of Strom Permitting Services who early on in the 6220 CUP application Terry was listed as DSD POINT OF CONTACT for that project. What concerned me back then was that Strom quickly became a FORMER POINT OF CONTACT and it appeared from that point on that Aaron

Magagna, who holds no professional architectural or engineering licenses whatsoever was taking on this project CUP application entirely on his own. Aaron Magagna did in 7 months what a licensed architectural and design team could not do in two years. Having been a contractor and developer for over 20 years in this city, that is where I call bull shit!

Michael I want you to understand that it took going to a state court trial to find out what Schweitzer was doing to you and me with the 6176 Architectural services you provided for Geraci. Section 13.2 of Canna-Greed will detail specifically what went on in the processing of the 6220 CUP. These are chronological events and I would strongly encourage you to review them.

<https://151farmers.org/2017/10/23/canna-greed-stay-awake-stay-aware-my-story/>

Once you've done that you might want to peruse the DSD handling of the 6176 CUP which is detailed in Section 13.3 in the Canna-Greed story.

Now I would like you to see how Schweitzer spent his time and money on the 6176 design services that used not just you but TWO OTHER Architects, on a 1600 sq-ft project that took over 2 years to process with DSD and it NEVER EVEN GOT A COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP MEETING!!!

This is a January 15, 2020 comparison I did between the 6176 and the 6220 CUP architectural and DSD services processing of the two competing CUPS. Within it you will see the architectural fees paid as line item expenses by TECHNE. Now that this case is being heard in a federal court under, among other charges, antitrust activities that the City of San Diego and others are involved in, the conspiracy between the parties becomes of ever increasing importance.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/DSD-Project-Comparisons-5-1.pdf>

Trial Exhibit 147 (See Canna-Greed Section 11.4 for all the Trial Exhibits) is the TECHNE Expense Summary that will support the data described in the previous project comparison.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/147.pdf>

Michael like I told Joshua earlier. I'm currently in federal court pursuing my rights in Cotton v Geraci @ <https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/05-13-20-Amended-Complaint-Conformed.pdf>

Then we have the parallel federal case to mine which is Flores v Austin @ <https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/07-09-20-First-Amended-Complaint.pdf>

And finally I have a flowchart with all working hyperlinks that on page two shows the FBI involvement in our cases involving a long and growing list of defendants to a wide variety of defendants that now include

murder for hire charges.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Geraci-Flowcharts-Combined-11.pdf>

Michael as a builder and an architect I don't want to believe either you or Joshua went into this with your eyes wide open as to what this was eventually going to become. **You had no idea** that the 9th circuit decision in the Flores matter is now being petitioned in the United States Supreme Court as a Sua Sponte matter. **You had no way of knowing** that I have done this development work with the city and recognized what it was I was seeing and would not back down but instead I would assemble the evidence that would eventually expose this entire process for what it is! That being said: **there is no way you or even I could have known what this was going to become. But here we are.**

I want to believe that when you first started the architectural work for Schweitzer/Geraci it was under an agreement that you would provide signed, stamped and dated drawings that in a good faith effort would have been a normal approval process. Keep in mind that under a subpoena we'll see that services agreement. Michael, once you review the information in this email I'm confident that both you and Mr. Marengo, your partner at MarengoMorton Architects will agree **that nothing about the 6220 CUP process was normal. And to top it ALL off** the City of San Diego opened ANOTHER CUP number and made Magagna go through the entire process again under 644432.

[https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Project-ID\\_644432\\_Federal-Blvd-M.O.-1.pdf](https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Project-ID_644432_Federal-Blvd-M.O.-1.pdf)

Michael, as I told Joshua in his attached email if you are working with any of the named defendants in either mine or the Flores matter you should really think about how you're going to respond to this email. If you come clean with what you know, I will promise to not name you in the federal complaint. I have cc'd Flores to see if he would agree to the same conditions but I can't speak for him. If I do not hear from you by 2:00 pm PST on 09/18/20 I will assume you have decided that these issues can be explained away and plan my case accordingly.

Sincerely,

Darryl Cotton



Darryl Cotton &lt;indagrodarryl@gmail.com&gt;

**Re: 6176 and 6220 (APN: 543-020-0400) Federal Blvd CUP Applications**

1 message

**Darryl Cotton** <indagrodarryl@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 16, 2020 at 3:49 PM

To: Michael Morton &lt;Michael@m2a.io&gt;, "Tirandazi, Firouzeh" &lt;FTirandazi@sandiego.gov&gt;, dsdprojectinfo@sandiego.gov

Cc: Claude Anthony Marengo &lt;CAMarengo@m2a.io&gt;, "customerservice@jjhconstruction.com" &lt;customerservice@jjhconstruction.com&gt;, Terry Strom &lt;Terry@strompermit.com&gt;, Andrew Flores &lt;afloreslaw@gmail.com&gt;, zoe villaroman &lt;zoe.g.villaroman@gmail.com&gt;, Jake Austin &lt;jacobaustrinesq@gmail.com&gt;, Joe Hurtado &lt;j.hurtado1@gmail.com&gt;

Firouzeh,

This is to inform you and DSD that the the 6220 Federal Blvd., (APN 543-020-0400) CUP that was originally processed under Project No 598124 and then was reissued under Project No 644432 at the same address is being constructed with a different address shown mounted on the construction site. That address on the construction site sign is 6302A Federal Blvd Lemon Grove, CA 91945 and would be a different APN 478-290-0500 and clearly not in the City of San Diego. Attached is an image of that site and the incorrect address sign that has been installed on the upper left corner of the construction fence.

I'm informing you as I would expect you would want to remedy this since the City of San Diego should not be performing inspection services on a property located in Lemon Grove, CA. Also I will be filing a Lis Pendens on this project and I want to make sure the City of San Diego has done their job as it is a City of San Diego construction site requirement that the project be correctly addressed with the proper APN associated with the improvements.

Thank you.

Darryl Cotton

On Wed, Sep 16, 2020 at 11:53 AM Michael Morton <[Michael@m2a.io](mailto:Michael@m2a.io)> wrote:

September 16, 2020

Mr. Cotton,

As the project you referred to was also eliminated from the CUP by the permitted project.

I have no other or further information that I can provide.

Please remove me and my company from any further emails on this subject.

Michael Morton



**From:** Darryl Cotton <[indagrodarryl@gmail.com](mailto:indagrodarryl@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 15, 2020 4:32 PM  
**To:** Michael Morton <[Michael@m2a.io](mailto:Michael@m2a.io)>; Claude Anthony Marengo <[CAMarengo@m2a.io](mailto:CAMarengo@m2a.io)>  
**Cc:** [customerservice@jjhconstruction.com](mailto:customerservice@jjhconstruction.com); Terry Strom <[Terry@strompermit.com](mailto:Terry@strompermit.com)>; Andrew Flores <[afloreslaw@gmail.com](mailto:afloreslaw@gmail.com)>; zoe villaroman <[zoe.g.villaroman@gmail.com](mailto:zoe.g.villaroman@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** 6176 and 6220 (APN: 543-020-0400) Federal Blvd CUP Applications

Hi Michael,

We have never met nor have we ever spoke. You provided the architectural services as the architect of record to Ahbay Scheitzer of Techne who was working for Larry Geraci to ostensibly secure a license for a Cannabis Dispensary Conditional Use Permit at the 6176 property I own. You may not realize it but we were unsuccessful in getting that CUP because a competing CUP at 6220 Federal Blvd. beat Schweitzer/Geraci to the finish line and got the CUP approval first.

Michael, earlier today I have put the prime contractor on notice as to what is currently being litigated and what will be expanded in the near future to include the architectural services you provided Schweitzer, who also has a stake (% ownership) in the 6220 CUP. I have attached a copy of that email and included Joshua Hamilton of JJH Construction (CSLB No 929802) in this email. I have also had previous communication with Terry Strom. of Strom Permitting Services who early on in the 6220 CUP application Terry was listed as DSD POINT OF CONTACT for that project. What concerned me back then was that Strom quickly became a FORMER POINT OF CONTACT and it appeared from that point on that Aaron Magagna, who holds no professional architectural or engineering licenses whatsoever was taking on this project CUP application entirely on his own. Aaron Magagna did in 7 months what a licensed architectural and design team could not do in two years. Having been a contractor and developer for over 20 years in this city, that is where I call bull shit!

Michael I want you to understand that it took going to a state court trial to find out what Schweitzer was doing to you and me with the 6176 Architectural services you provided for Geraci. Section 13.2 of Canna-Greed will detail specifically what went on in the processing of the 6220 CUP. These are chronological events and I would strongly encourage you to review them.

<https://151farmers.org/2017/10/23/canna-greed-stay-awake-stay-aware-my-story/>

Once you've done that you might want to peruse the DSD handling of the 6176 CUP which is detailed in Section 13.3 in the Canna-Greed story.

Now I would like you to see how Schweitzer spent his time and money on the 6176 design services that used not just you but TWO OTHER Architects, on a 1600 sq-ft project that took over 2 years to process with DSD and it NEVER EVEN GOT A COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP MEETING!!!

This is a January 15, 2020 comparison I did between the 6176 and the 6220 CUP architectural and DSD services processing of the two competing CUPS. Within it you will see the architectural fees paid as line item expenses by TECHNE. Now that this case is being heard in a federal court under, among



other charges, antitrust activities that the City of San Diego and others are involved in, the conspiracy between the parties becomes of ever increasing importance.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/DSD-Project-Comparisons-5-1.pdf>

Trial Exhibit 147 (See Canna-Greed Section 11.4 for all the Trial Exhibits) is the TECHNE Expense Summary that will support the data described in the previous project comparison.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/147.pdf>

Michael like I told Joshua earlier. I'm currently in federal court pursuing my rights in Cotton v Geraci @ <https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/05-13-20-Amended-Complaint-Conformed.pdf>

Then we have the parallel federal case to mine which is Flores v Austin @ <https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/07-09-20-First-Amended-Complaint.pdf>

And finally I have a flowchart with all working hyperlinks that on page two shows the FBI involvement in our cases involving a long and growing list of defendants to a wide variety of defendants that now include murder for hire charges.

<https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Geraci-Flowcharts-Combined-11.pdf>

Michael as a builder and an architect I don't want to believe either you or Joshua went into this with your eyes wide open as to what this was eventually going to become. **You had no idea** that the 9th circuit decision in the Flores matter is now being petitioned in the United States Supreme Court as a Sua Sponte matter. **You had no way of knowing** that I have done this development work with the city and recognized what it was I was seeing and would not back down but instead I would assemble the evidence that would eventually expose this entire process for what it is! That being said: **there is no way you or even I could have known what this was going to become. But here we are.**

I want to believe that when you first started the architectural work for Schweitzer/Geraci it was under an agreement that you would provide signed, stamped and dated drawings that in a good faith effort would have been a normal approval process. Keep in mind that under a subpoena we'll see that services agreement. Michael, once you review the information in this email I'm confident that both you and Mr. Marengo, your partner at MarengoMorton Architects will agree **that nothing about the 6220 CUP process was normal. And to top it ALL off** the City of San Diego opened ANOTHER CUP number and made Magagna go through the entire process again under 644432.

[https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Project-ID\\_644432\\_Federal-Blvd-M.O.-1.pdf](https://151farmers.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Project-ID_644432_Federal-Blvd-M.O.-1.pdf)

Michael, as I told Joshua in his attached email if you are working with any of the named defendants in either mine or the Flores matter you should really think about how you're going to respond to this email. If you come clean with what you know, I will promise to not name you in the federal complaint. I have cc'd Flores to see if he would agree to the same conditions but I can't speak for him. If I do not hear from you by 2:00 pm PST on 09/18/20 I will assume you have decided that these issues can be explained away and plan my case accordingly.

Sincerely,

Darryl Cotton



**09-15-20\_ 6220 Federal Blvd .jpg**  
1811K