

## ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 NORTH GRAND AVENUE EAST, P.O. BOX 19276, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62794-9276 • (217) 782-3397 BRUCE RAUNER, GOVERNOR ALEC MESSINA, DIRECTOR

**MEMORANDUM** 

SRP/Tech LPC No. 0990555005

Date:

October 2, 2018

Black Brothers Company

To:

Cleanup Objectives Review and Evaluation Group (CORE)

Jeff Guy, Voluntary Site Remediation Unit Neelu Lowder, Manager, Voluntary Site Remediation Unit

IEPA - DIVISION OF RECORDS MANAGEMENT

RELEASABLE

Subject:

0990555005/LaSalle County

Mendota/Black Brothers Company

Site Remediation Program/Technical Reports

NOV **08** 2018

REVIEWER: JMR

## Recommendations Requested from CORE

The attached memorandum is presented to CORE to request concurrence with a Tier 3 evaluation developed in general accordance with 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 IAC) Section 742.935 to support development of Tier 3 remediation objectives for groundwater and soil gas. The objective of this Tier 3 evaluation is to negate the requirement for an institutional control with respect to existing/future building construction at the Site – as related to the indoor inhalation expósure route.

## **Background Information**

This Tier 3 evaluation was performed using an alternative vapor transport model and proposes the use of a mass flux vapor transport model proposed by Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff (LDN). The mathematical and technical information for the proposed model are provided as part of this Tier 3 evaluation. The calculated Tier 3 objectives are independent of building slab or basement structures. The calculations utilize conservative assumptions and default input values that are consistent with the most recent USEPA RSL values and TACO residential scenario assumptions.

Tier 3 soil gas and groundwater objectives were calculated for the volatile chemicals listed in TACO (Appendix A, Table J) that are identified as site contaminants of concern (COCs). The information is provided in the June 2018 Revised Site Investigation Report/Remediation Objectives Report/Remedial Action Plan (received August 7, 2018/Log No. 18-67167).

The calculated Tier 3 soil gas and groundwater remediation objectives proposed in the abovereferenced document are summarized on Table P-1 attached. It should be noted that the U.S. EPA J&E model was recently updated to include a dirt floor scenario. As a result, the Illinois EPA calculated different results for most of the COCs (see Table P-1), which are the proposed Tier 3 objectives. For soil gas, 'depth to source' (D<sub>soucre</sub>) is 4.5 feet. For groundwater D<sub>soucre</sub> is 6 feet.

## Site Description

The former manufactured gas plant (MGP) is in the City of Mendota, LaSalle County, Illinois, located at the southeast corner of 5th Street and 9th Avenue. The former MGP was constructed in 1875 and operated until 1941. Coal carbonization was the only process known to have been used at the MGP and reported production levels reportedly ranged from 3,200,000 cubic feet per year to more than 22,000,000 cubic feet per year. None of the former MGP buildings or above-grade structures currently remain, but the exact date of demolition of those structures is unknown. The site consists of the former MGP property (approximately 0.65 area), a portion of the adjacent BBC property and adjacent City of Mendota property – for a total of 1.3 acres.

The site is currently covered by an asphalt parking lot, as well as a small one-story brick building which is currently used as a parking garage for Black Brothers Company (BBC) vehicles. Landscaping and grassy areas are present along portions of the north and west boundaries of the site. In 1966, BBC purchased the former MGP property from Northern Illinois Gas. BBC produces equipment associated with laminating and roll coating. The property surrounding the former MGP to the east and south has always been zoned for industrial uses and over time has all been purchased by BBC.

The former MGP is bound to the north by City-owned parkway with Mendota Creek, then 5th Street, and then residential properties alongside a service business district, successively to the north of the former MGP property. To the south is BBC property consisting of the continuation of the asphalt parking lot, as well as BBC's two-story brick office and manufacturing building, with the BNSF Railway Company tracks, successively to the south. To the east is BBC property consisting of the continuation of the asphalt parking lot, with BBC buildings currently used as a warehouse and for manufacturing located immediately east of the asphalt lot. Beyond the BBC property to the east is the Buckman Iron & Metal Company, Inc., which is a metal recycling center. The area immediately west of the former MGP is City-owned parkway, 9th Avenue, then residential properties and a small asphalt parking lot located to the southwest.

#### **RECs**

Major features historically located on the site included the following: retorts and purifiers, two (2) gas holders, two (2) crude oil tanks, coal piles, a tar well, and other MGP apparatus such as the tar/oil separator and miscellaneous small buildings.

## **Investigation Summary**

Site investigations were conducted in three phases from 2011 through 2013. Prior to remediation, investigation activities included excavation of nine (9) test pits, advancement of 31 soil borings and 196 soil probes, as well as the installation of four (4) shallow groundwater monitoring wells, seven (7) soil gas probes and two (2) sub-slab soil gas probes. A total of 247 soil samples, seven (7) groundwater samples, seven (7) soil gas samples and two (2) sub-slab soil gas samples were collected and submitted for chemical analyses. Four (4) quarters of groundwater sampling, which included the collection of 16 groundwater samples, were conducted.

Soil and groundwater samples were analyzed for the focused list of MGP constituents, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), pH, and/or fraction of organic carbon (f<sub>oc</sub>). In addition to environmental samples, 10 soil samples were collected for geotechnical testing.

Soil borings and soil probes were advanced at depths ranging from 3 feet bgs to 70 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil samples were collected both inside and outside historic structures and historical features. In addition, a grid-based, random sampling scheme was generated for soil sampling. Regarding the groundwater investigation, the well screen intervals were approximately 5-15 feet bgs. Soil gas sampling was also performed at from depths between 3-5 feet bgs at seven (7) locations.

## **Proposed Remediation**

Excavation of contaminated soil is proposed throughout the entire site to a maximum depth of 28 feet bgs. Confirmation groundwater and/or soil gas samples will be collected upon completion of remedial activities to demonstrate compliance with the proposed Tier 3 remediation objectives to evaluate the indoor inhalation exposure route.

## **Summary**

The documentation provided in this memorandum includes a Tier 3 evaluation using the LDN model including supporting information. Post-remediation groundwater and/or soil gas data will be compared to the proposed Tier 3 objectives to evaluate the indoor inhalation exposure route.

## Attachments:

Supporting information from June 2018 Revised Site Investigation Report/Remediation Objectives Report/Remedial Action Plan (received August 7, 2018/Log No. 18-67167)

0990555005/LaSalle County Mendota/Black Brothers Company Site Remediation Program/Technical Reports

## Recommendation:

Notes:

The Remediation Applicant (RA) is requesting approval of Tier 3 soil gas and groundwater remediation objectives to evaluate the indoor inhalation exposure route at the Black Brothers Company. The indoor inhalation exposure route was evaluated using the Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff (LDN) model (Tier 3 alternate model); post-remediation groundwater and/or soil gas data will be compared to the proposed Tier 3 objectives.

	Concur with action or resolution proposed by the project manager												
	Concur with action or resolution proposed by the project manager with the following conditions:												
	Reject action or resolution proposed by the project manager												
	Request the following information before issuing a final CORE recommendation:												
Section Mana Unit Manager Project Manag	Clerkonogr Date 10/15/18												

## 9.3.1 Development of Tier 3 Remediation Objectives

The objective of this Tier 3 evaluation is to develop remediation objectives for groundwater and soil gas for the indoor inhalation exposure route that do not require the implementation of an institutional control. The Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff (1992) model for vapor intrusion was used to calculate Tier 3 remediation objectives for groundwater and soil gas. This is a mass flux vapor model that conservatively assumes that no concrete slab or basement floor and walls are present to inhibit the advection of soil gas into the building. A detailed presentation of the model selection, transport mechanisms and calculations of Tier 3 remediation objectives is presented in Appendix P. Tier 3 indoor inhalation remediation objectives for residential receptors in buildings constructed with no concrete slab (i.e., earthen floor) were developed for each of the focused list of MGP compounds and analytes that appear on the TACO indoor inhalation volatiles list (TACO, Appendix A, Table J): benzene, ethylbenzene, styrene, toluene, xylenes, 2-methylphenol, 2-methylnaphthalene, naphthalene, phenol and mercury.

As outlined in the TACO Tier 3 requirements (35 IAC 742.935 (c) and (e)), the following site-specific information is presented to support development of Tier 3 remediation objectives for groundwater and soil gas.

#### Scaled map of the area, showing all buildings and man-made pathways (current and planned)

The layout of the Site is depicted on Figure 2. Currently, the Site has a one-story brick garage building and other BBC buildings are adjacent to the Site. Potential manmade preferential pathways currently include buried utility trenches and remnants of below ground structures related to the former MGP operations. Remedial actions will include excavation of soil to depths ranging from three feet bgs to 28 feet bgs, to meet unrestricted residential remediation objectives. In the process of excavation, these manmade preferential pathways will be removed, with the exception of several utilities in the western parkway and the storm sewer that runs along BBC buildings.

#### Current extent and modeled migration of contamination

The extent of COCs in soil is well-defined and is described in this Revised SI/ROR/RAP. To meet the soil remediation objectives, remedial action (consisting of soil removal) is planned. The extent of COCs in groundwater is also well-defined and is described in this Revised SI/ROR/RAP for the Site. The soil remedial actions proposed for the Site are expected to result in reduced constituent concentrations in the upper water-bearing unit.

## Geology, including soil types and parameters and the thickness of the capillary fringe

Section 3 presents an evaluation of the geology of the Site based on soil probes and soil borings advanced during investigations. In summary, the geologic materials generally present on the Site are: fill; silty clay; silty sand; clay till; and sand. The Tier 3 indoor inhalation remediation objectives were calculated using TACO default soil and capillary fringe parameters (TACO, Appendix C, Table M) that were used by Illinois EPA to develop Tier 1 indoor inhalation remediation objectives. These values are appropriate and conservative for each soil type present at the Site.

## Depth to groundwater (including seasonal variation) and flow direction

Section 3 presents an overview of the hydrogeologic conditions at the Site. The upper water-bearing unit flow in general is to the north toward the Mendota Creek. Some seasonal and year-to-year variation in depth to groundwater has been observed. The shallowest depth to water measured at the Site was 5.17 feet bgs in the round of water table sampling in November 2011. The Tier 3 indoor inhalation exposure route groundwater remediation objectives with a water table at 5 feet bgs will be used for the Site.

## Location of soil gas sampling points and discussion of soil gas sampling procedures

As discussed, for current site use seven soil gas locations were installed between 3 to 5 feet bgs beneath the one-story brick building and adjacent to current BBC office and manufacturing buildings. The soil gas locations are presented in Figure 10. The soil gas probes were sampled for chemical analysis which included; benzene, 2-methylnaphthalene, naphthalene and mercury.

### Results and locations of groundwater sampling events

Water table samples were collected from the seven locations positioned across the Site. Two sampling locations were located inside and beneath the one-story brick building in the northwest corner of the former MGP, and five sampling locations were located outside and adjacent to the BBC buildings. These water table locations are shown in Figure 9. In addition, groundwater samples from the Site were also collected from the four shallow monitoring wells that were all screened at the water table and their locations are presented in Figure 8.

## Mathematical and technical justification for the model proposed, and demonstration that the model was correctly applied

Appendix P presents the mathematical and technical justification for use of the Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff (1992) model. It also presents rationale for the model selected and the inputs used, and addresses the transport mechanisms included in the model.

## 9.3.2 Application of Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Remediation Objectives

Based on the evaluation of the site-specific conditions, the Tier 3 indoor inhalation residential groundwater and soil gas remediation objectives were calculated in Appendix P and are acceptable for use at the Site. These Tier 3 remediation objectives are appropriate for evaluation of a potential future building with an earthen crawl space or earthen floor. Post-remediation samples of groundwater and/or soil gas will be collected for evaluating the indoor inhalation exposure route, as further described in Section 12. Post-remediation samples will be analyzed for all the focused list of MGP constituents that are volatile and screened using the established Tier 3 indoor inhalation remediation objectives.

#### APPENDIX P

# Calculation of Tier 3 Soil Gas and Groundwater Remediation Objectives for the Indoor Inhalation Exposure Pathway

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Tier 1 remediation objectives (ROs) for the indoor inhalation exposure route presented in TACO, Appendix B, Tables H and I (35 IAC 742) were developed using the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) model (J&E) for subsurface vapor intrusion into buildings. This model includes analytical solutions for phase partitioning and attenuation of constituent vapor concentrations based on physical processes, including diffusion through subsurface soil and advection of soil gas into indoor air.

The TACO Tier 1 default model parameters used for calculation of soil gas and groundwater ROs assume that current or future buildings have a full concrete slab-on-grade that is a minimum of 10 centimeters thick, or have full concrete basement floor and walls. Consequently, TACO specifies that use of the Tier 1 ROs for the indoor inhalation exposure route requires the implementation of an institutional control that ensures current or future buildings on the subject property will be constructed to meet these assumed criteria. Additionally, TACO presents Tier 1 remediation objectives for "diffusion only" and "diffusion and advection" scenarios. The "diffusion only" scenario is less conservative, and requires implementation of an additional institutional control requiring any current or future building to be greater than 5 feet vertically and horizontally from contamination.

The objective of this Tier 3 evaluation is to develop ROs for soil gas and groundwater for the indoor inhalation exposure route that do not require the implementation of an institutional control. A mass flux vapor model was used to simulate conditions where the full concrete slab-on-grade or the full concrete basement floor and walls specified in Tier 1 TACO assumptions are absent. Furthermore, this Tier 3 evaluation uses the more conservative "diffusion and advection" scenario. Therefore, the resultant Tier 3 ROs developed do not require the building to be greater than 5 feet away from the contaminants, and they allow the Site to be evaluated using either soil gas or groundwater sample analytical results. As shown in Table P-1, Tier 3 ROs for residential receptors in buildings constructed with no concrete slab (i.e., earthen floor) were calculated for soil gas and for two groundwater scenarios.

#### 2.0 MODEL SELECTION

Three mass flux transport models were outlined in a paper by Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff (1992). One of these models (hereafter referred to as the LDN Model), which describes transport from a planar source and, like the J&E Model, includes diffusion and advection transport mechanisms, was selected to calculate the Tier 3 ROs. Unlike the J&E model, which assumes there is attenuation of soil gas constituent concentrations across a building concrete slab or basement floor and walls, the LDN model

conservatively assumes that the entire flux of constituents arriving at the zone near the building is transported into the building. Therefore, the LDN Model, as described in the 1992 paper, is appropriate to evaluate the scenario where no concrete slab or no basement with concrete floor and walls are present to limit vapor transport into the building (e.g. an earthen floor). The LDN Model is also appropriate for evaluation of other building foundation construction types that may provide little resistance to vapor transport like stone foundations, partial concrete floors, or crawl spaces.

## 3.0 TRANSPORT MECHANISMS: DIFFUSION AND ADVECTION

As required in 35 IAC 742.935(c), this Tier 3 evaluation using the LDN Model accounts for diffusive transport from the contaminant source to areas near the building (i.e., the zone of building pressure influence), and then advective transport from the zone of advective influence into indoor air, as summarized below:

- Diffusive transport through soils (i.e., total effective diffusion coefficient,  $D_T^{eff}$ ): The LDN Model accounts for diffusive transport using the same relationship for diffusion through soils that is used in published mass flux models and by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and Illinois EPA to assess diffusive transport through soils. This relationship for calculation of  $D_T^{eff}$ , is consistent with TACO Equations J&E9a and J&E11. The diffusion-related input assumptions used in the TACO Tier 1 default model have been used as input assumptions in the LDN Model.
- Advective transport: The LDN Model accounts for advective transport by conservatively assuming that constituents in soil gas are "swept into the building as fast as they arrive at the zone of influence" rather than by using a specific input value for the advective flow rate of soil gas into the building (Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff 1992). This assumption is equivalent to assuming a high soil gas advection rate from soil into the building (i.e., assuming an infinite value for the volumetric flow rate of soil gas into the enclosed space [Qsoil]).

The Tier 3 ROs are more conservative than the Tier 1 "diffusion and advection" ROs because the assumption for advective transport that all constituents in soil gas are swept into the building is more conservative than the Tier 1 assumption of an empirically established advective flow rate  $(Q_{soil} = 83.33 \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec})$ . The Tier 3 ROs should not be compared to the Tier 1 "diffusion only" ROs, which assume that constituents only enter the building by diffusing across the slab and no advective migration occurs at all  $(Q_{soil} = 0 \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec})$ .

Because the LDN Model assumes that there are no transport limitations due to the presence of a concrete slab, and instead all subsurface constituents in soil gas are swept into the building, the overall rate of movement of soil gas to indoor air is limited by the rate of diffusion in soil (i.e., diffusion-limited conditions for constituent transport).

#### 4.0 INPUT VALUES

As shown in Table P-2, the input parameters to the LDN Model were based on TACO default physical setting input values for Tier 1 ROs for parameters that are not related to the slab; however, the following parameters are not included in the LDN Model because no slab is present:

- Area of total cracks (A<sub>crack</sub>);
- Slab thickness (L<sub>crack</sub>);
- Air-filled porosity for soil in cracks  $(\theta_{a,crack})$ ;
- Total porosity for soil in cracks  $(\theta_{T,crack})$ ;
- Water-filled porosity for soil in cracks ( $\theta_{w,crack}$ ); and
- Floor-wall seam gap (w).

Additionally, as previously discussed, no value was needed for the volumetric flow rate of soil gas into the enclosed space ( $Q_{soil}$ ), because the LDN Model assumes that all constituents in soil gas beneath the building floor are swept into the building. This is similar to assuming an infinite value for  $Q_{soil}$ .

Tier 3 ROs for residential receptors were calculated for soil gas and for two groundwater scenarios. For calculation of the Tier 3 soil gas ROs, the TACO default distance from ground surface to top of contamination (D<sub>source</sub>) of 5 feet was used. Two sets of groundwater ROs were calculated. One set used the TACO default D<sub>source</sub> value of 10 feet, and to maintain conservatism for sites with water tables less than 10 feet bgs, a second set of Tier 3 groundwater ROs was calculated using a D<sub>source</sub> value of 5 feet.

As recommended by Illinois EPA, the chemical-specific physical parameters and toxicity parameters used for the calculations were the values in the USEPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) – Generic Tables (June 2017).

## 5.0 CALCULATED TIER 3 REMEDIATION OBJECTIVES

As listed in Table P-1, ROs were calculated for constituents that are listed on the focused list of MGP compounds and analytes that appears on the TACO Indoor Inhalation Volatile Chemicals List (35 IAC 742, Appendix A, Table J). Risk-based carcinogenic or non-carcinogenic indoor air target concentrations (or both) were calculated as appropriate based on the toxicological effects of each chemical, using the same equations and exposure scenario input values as specified in TACO for Tier 1 and Tier 2 applications. For chemicals exhibiting both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic effects, the minimum of the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic target concentrations was selected as the target concentration in indoor air, RO indoor air.

Using the input parameters presented in Table P-2, the LDN Model was used to calculate the vapor intrusion attenuation factors,  $\alpha$ , for soil gas and groundwater source scenarios. Because the attenuation factor is the ratio of the concentration in indoor air to the concentration in soil gas, the attenuation factors

were then used to calculate residential and industrial/commercial remediation objectives for soil gas as follows:

$$RO_{soil\ gas} = \frac{RO_{indoor\ air}}{\alpha}$$

The attenuation factors were used similarly to calculate groundwater remediation objectives, with the additional use of the Henry's Law equilibrium constant at the system temperature (H'<sub>TS</sub>) and a unit conversion from liters (L) to cubic meters (m³) to calculate partitioning between the groundwater phase and the soil gas phase:

$$RO_{gw} = \frac{RO_{indoor\,air}}{\alpha \times H'_{TS} \times 1000 \frac{L}{m^3}}$$

Table P-3 present the calculations for soil gas ROs. Table P-4 present the calculations for groundwater ROs using a  $D_{\text{source}}$  input value of 5 feet; these remediation objectives are applicable for sites at which the water table is equal to or greater than 5 feet but less than 10 feet bgs. Table P-5 present the calculations for groundwater remediation objectives using a  $D_{\text{source}}$  input value of 10 feet; these remediation objectives are applicable for sites at which the water table is equal to or greater than 10 feet bgs. Table P-1 summarizes the Tier 3 ROs that were calculated for soil gas and groundwater.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The LDN Model was used to develop Tier 3 ROs for soil gas and groundwater for the indoor inhalation exposure route for buildings without a concrete slab on grade or full concrete basement floor and walls (i.e. an earthen floor). The resultant Tier 3 ROs facilitate closure of a site without the use of institutional controls.

#### 7.0 REFERENCES

- Illinois Administrative Code (IAC), 2004. Title 35: Environmental Protection, Subtitle G: Waste Disposal, Chapter I: Pollution Control Board, Subchapter f: Risk Based Cleanup Objectives, Part 740, Site Remediation Program.
- IAC, 2013. Title 35: Environmental Protection, Subtitle G: Waste Disposal, Chapter I: Pollution Control Board, Subchapter f: Risk Based Cleanup Objectives, Part 742, Tiered Approach to Corrective Action Objectives.
- Johnson, P.C. and Ettinger, R.A., 1991. Heuristic model for predicting the intrusion rate of contaminant vapors in buildings. *Environmental Science and Technology* vol. 25, pages 1445-1452.

- Little, J.C.; Daisey, J.M.; and Nazaroff, W.W., 1992. Transport of subsurface contaminants into buildings: an exposure pathway for volatile organics. *Environmental Science and Technology* vol. 26, pages 2058-2066.
- USEPA, 2004. User's Guide for Evaluating Subsurface Vapor Intrusion into Buildings.
- USEPA, 2016. Risk Assessment » Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) Generic Tables (June 2017),
  Internet Address: https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables-june-2017
  Accessed June 2017.

Files Accessed: (1) Summary Table, Excel format, (2) Chemical Specific Parameters, Excel format, and (3) User's Guide, HTML format.

\* \* \* \* \*

Table P-1 Summary of Residential Remediation Objectives Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route Tier 3 Groundwater ROs Tier 3 Groundwater ROs Tier 3 Soil Gas ROs (D<sub>source</sub> = 5 feet) Water Table at 5 feet bgs (D<sub>source</sub> = 5 feet) Water Table at 10 feet bgs (D<sub>source</sub> = 10 feet) Residential Residential Residential Compound/Analyte (mg/L) (mg/L) (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) 0.097 0.103 Benzene 0.23 0.0572 0.10 Ethylbenzene 0.93 0.36 0.37 230 960 Styrene 960 310 310 530 Toluene 4,400 530 530 23 30 26 30 Xylenes, Total 100 Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) 530 75 2-Methylnaphthalene 410 25 81 14 2-Methylphenol 310 11,900 26,000 4,700 Phenol 49 5,600 14,000 0.019 0.077 0.055 0.065 Naphthalene 0.056 Mercury 0.67 0.07 0.060 0.047 0.060 Mercury

Notes

<sup>1)</sup> bgs - below ground surface; mg/m³ - milligrams per cubic meter; mg/L - milligrams per liter

<sup>2)</sup> ROs shown in italics are based on the solubility limit of the chemical because no inhalation toxicity criteria were available.

Table P-2 Input Values for Residential Calculations Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route											
Symbol	Parameter	Units	Residential Tier 3 Input V	alues							
Symbol	Parameter	Units	Equation/Reference	Input Value							
			Building-Related Parameters								
A <sub>B</sub>	Surface area of enclosed space at or below grade	cm²	$A_B = (L_B \times W_B)$ (same as TACO Equation J&E12a)	1.000 x 10 <sup>6</sup>							
ER	Air exchange rate	exchanges per hour	TACO Appendix C, Table M	0.53							
H <sub>B</sub>	Height of building	cm	TACO Appendix C, Table M	_ 244							
L <sub>B</sub>	Length of building	cm	TACO Appendix C, Table M	1,000							
Lf	Distance from ground surface to bottom of floor	cm	TACO Appendix C, Table M	10*							
$Q_{bldg}$	Building ventilation rate	cm³/s	$Q_{bldg} = \left(\frac{L_B \times W_B \times H_B \times ER}{3600 \frac{sec}{hr}}\right)$ (same as TACO Equation J&E13)	3.59 x 10⁴							
· W <sub>B</sub>	Width of building	cm	TACO Appendix C, Table M	1,000							

Nota:

<sup>1) \* -</sup> Although the Tier 3 model assumes no slab is present, setting L<sub>F</sub> = 10 cm is conservative (shortens the diffusion path length) and results in the appropriate value for L<sub>T</sub> to maintain consistency with Tier 1 for non-building-related parameters (see page 2 of this table).

## Table P-2 (Continued) Input Values for Residential Calculations Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route

Symbol	Parameter	Units	Residential Tier 3 Input Val	ues		
Symbol	Parameter	Onns	Equation/Reference	Input Value		
			Exposure Parameters			
AT <sub>c</sub>	Averaging time for carcinogens	year	TACO Appendix C, Table M	70		
AT <sub>nc</sub>	Averaging time for noncarcinogens	year	TACO Appendix C, Table M	30		
ED	Exposure duration	year	TACO Appendix C, Table M	30		
EF	Exposure frequency	day/year	TACO Appendix C, Table M	350		
RfC	Reference concentration	µg/m³	USEPA RSL Tables <sup>(1)</sup>	Chemical Specific		
RO <sub>Indoor elf</sub> carc	Indoor Air Target Concentration for carcinogenic chemicals	mg/m³	$RO_{indoor atr} = \frac{TR \times AT_c \times 365 \frac{days}{yr}}{ED \times EF \times URF \times 1000 \frac{\mu g}{mg}}$ (same as TACO Equation J&E1)	Calculated value		
RO <sub>indoor air</sub>	Indoor Air Target Concentration for non-carcinogenic chemicals	mg/m³	$RO_{indoor\ air} = \frac{THQ \times AT_{nc} \times 365 \frac{days}{yr} \times RfC}{ED \times EF}$ (same as TACO Equation J&E2)	Calculated value		
RO <sub>gw-calc</sub>	Groundwater remediation objective	mg/L	$RO_{gw} = rac{RO_{indoorgan}}{lpha  imes H'_{TS}  imes 1000 rac{L}{m^2}}$ (derived from TACO Equations J&E4 and J&E6)	Calculated value		
RO <sub>sg-celc</sub>	Soil gas remediation objective	mg/m³	$RO_{coil\ par} = rac{RO_{(radocr\ ofr}}{c}$ (same as TACO Equation J&E4)	Calculated value		
THQ	Target hazard quotient	unitless	TACO Appendix C, Table M	1		
TR.	Target risk	unitless	TACO Appendix C, Table M	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>		
URF	Unit risk factor	(µg/m³) <sup>-1</sup>	USEPA RSL Tables <sup>(1)</sup>	Chemical Specific		

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;USEPA RSL Tables" refers to Regional Screening Level (RSL) Chemical-specific Parameters Supporting Table June 2017 and Regional Screening Level Summary Table June 2017 published by USEPA, https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables-june-2017

#### Table P-2 (Continued) Input Values for Residential Calculations **Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route Residential Tier 3** Symbol **Parameter** Units Equation/Reference Input Value Attenuation and Partitioning Parameters D, Diffusivity in air cm<sup>2</sup>/s USEPA RSL Tables(1) **Chemical Specific** Effective diffusion coefficient for $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{soil}}^{\mathsf{eff}}$ cm<sup>2</sup>/s Calculated Value the soil layer (same as TACO Equation J&E11) Effective diffusion coefficient for D<sub>capp</sub> eff cm<sup>2</sup>/s Calculated Value the capillary fringe Soil Gas Model: 152.4 Distance from ground surface to D<sub>source</sub> Site Specific Value cm Groundwater Model (5ft): 152.4 top of contamination Groundwater Model (10ft): 304.8 Total overall effective diffusion $D_{\tau}^{\,eff}$ cm<sup>2</sup>/s Calculated Value coefficient (same as TACO Equation J&E9a) D, Diffusivity in water cm<sup>2</sup>/s USEPA RSL Tables(1) **Chemical Specific** Dimensionless Henry's law H'<sub>TS</sub> unitless TACO Appendix C Table E Chemical Specific constant at 13°C

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;USEPA RSL Tables" refers to Regional Screening Level (RSL) Chemical-specific Parameters Supporting Table June 2017 and Regional Screening Level Summary Table June 2017 published by USEPA, https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables-june-2017

## Table P-2 (Continued) Input Values for Residential Calculations Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route

Symbol Parameter		l la la	Residential Tier 3							
Symbol	Parameter	Units	. Equation/Reference	Input Value						
		Attenuat	ion and Partitioning Parameters (Continued)							
L <sub>capp</sub>	Thickness of capillary fringe	cm	TACO Appendix C, Table M	Soil Gas Model: NA Groundwater Models: 37.5						
			$L_{soil} + L_{capp} = L_T$ therefore $L_{soil} = L_T - L_{capp}$	Soil Gas Model: NA						
L <sub>soil</sub>	Thickness of vadose zone soil	cm	(based on TACO Equation J&E9b: $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}L_{i}=L_{T_{i}}$ )	Groundwater Model (5ft): 104.9 Groundwater Model (10ft): 257.3						
L <sub>T</sub>	Distance from bottom of floor to top of contamination	cm	$L_T = D_{source} - L_F$ (same as TACO Equation J&E10)	Soil Gas Model: 142.4 Groundwater Model (5ft): 142.4 Groundwater Model (10ft): 294.8						
n	Total number of layers of different types of soil vapors migrate through from source to building	unitless	TACO Appendix C, Table M	Soil Gas Model: 1  Groundwater Models: 2 (vadose zone and capillary fringe)						
S	Solubility in water	mg/L	USEPA RSL Tables <sup>(1)</sup>	Chemical Specific						
а	Attenuation factor	unitless	$lpha = rac{A_{bldg}  imes D_T^{eff}}{Q_{bldg}  imes L_T}$ Little, Daisey, and Nazaroff (1992): equation 5, steady state form	Calculated Value						
$\theta_{a,soil}$	Air-filled soil porosity	cm³/cm³	TACO Appendix C, Table M	0.28						
Ө <sub>ө,серр</sub>	Air-filled porosity of capillary fringe	cm³/cm³	TACO Appendix C, Table M (Capillary Fringe = $0.1 \times \theta_{T,i}$ )	0.043						
θ <sub>τ,i</sub>	Total porosity of soil layer i	cm³/cm³	TACO Appendix C, Table M	0.43						
θ <sub>w,soil</sub>	Water-filled soil porosity	cm³/cm³	TACO Appendix C, Table M	0.15						
θ <sub>w,capp</sub>	Water-filled porosity of capillary fringe	cm³/cm³	TACO Appendix C, Table M (Capillary Fringe = 0.9 x θ <sub>τ,i</sub> )	0.387						

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;USEPA RSL Tables" refers to Regional Screening Level (RSL) Chemical-specific Parameters Supporting Table June 2017 and Regional Screening Level Summary Table June 2017 published by USEPA, https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables-june-2017

	Table P-2 (Continued) Input Values for Residential Calculations Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route											
Symbol	Parameter	Units	Residential Tier 3	<u> </u>								
Symbol	Faranteter	Onits	Equation/Reference	Input Value								
			Vapor Saturation Paremeters									
C <sub>v</sub> sat	Vapor saturation limit	mg/m³	$C_v^{sau} = \frac{P \times MW}{R \times T} \times 10^6$ (same as TACO Equation J&E5)	Calculated Value								
VP	Vapor pressure	atm	USEPA RSL Tables <sup>(1)</sup> (with unit conversion from mm Hg to atm)	Chemical Specific								
MW	Molecular Weight	g/mol	USEPA RSL Tables <sup>(1)</sup>	Chemical Specific								
R/	Ideal gas constant	L-atm/K-mol	TACO Appendix C, Table M	0.08206								
Т	Temperature	К	USEPA RSL Tables <sup>(1)</sup>	298								

<sup>(1) &</sup>quot;USEPA RSL Tables" refers to Regional Screening Level (RSL) Chemical-specific Parameters Supporting Table June 2017 and Regional Screening Level Summary Table June 2017 published by USEPA, https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables-june-2017

#### Table P-5 Calculation of Rosidontial Groundwater Romodiation Objectives Water Table at 10 feet bgs ( $D_{\rm cours} \simeq 10$ feet) Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route

					-	L	ittle, Dalsey, 8		2)		Calculation of		Calculated	Solubility	Selocted
		Chemie	al-Specific Pa	rameters			Model Ca	lculations		Indoor Ai	r Target Conce	entrations	Tior 3 RO	Limit	Tler 3 RO
	D,	D.	H <sub>18</sub>	URF	RIC	D <b>*</b> "	D <sub>capp</sub> etf	Dr <sup>ef</sup>	α	RO <sub>mber at</sub> can	RO <sub>mber sy</sub> "	RO <sub>indoor et</sub>	RO <sub>cercuto</sub>	s	RO
Compound/Analyto	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(unitless)	(µg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(unitless)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
•			_			Volatile Orga	nic Compounds	(VOCs)		•					
Benzene	9.0E-02	1.0E-05	1.34E-01	7.8E-06	3.0E-02_	7.0E-03	3.1E-05	2.4E-04	2.3E-05	3.1E-04	3.1E-02	3.1E-04	0.103	1.8E+03	0.103
Elhylbenzene	6.8E-02	8.5E-08	1.64E-01	2.5E-06	1.0E+00	5.3E-03	2.2E-05	1.7E-04	1.6E-05	9.7E-04	1.0E+00	9.7E-04	0.37	1.7E+02	· 0.37
Styrene	7.1E-02	8.8E-06	5.43E-03	NA	1.0E+00	5.6E-03	3.8E-04	2.0E-03	1.9E-04	NC	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	1,000	3.1E+02	310
Toluene	7.8E-02	9.2E-06	1.49E-01	NA	5.0E+00	6.1E-03	2.6E-05	2.0E-04	1.9E-05	NC	5.2E+00	5.2E+00	1,900	5.3E+02	530
Kylenes, Total	6.9E-02	8.5E-06	2.71E-01	NA	1.0E-01	5.3E-03	1.8E-05	1.4E-04	1.3E-05	NC	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	30	1.1E+02	30
						Semivolatile On	anic Compoun	ds (SVOCs)							
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.2E-02	7.8E-06	6.95E-03	NA	NA	4.1E-03	2.6E-04	1.4E-03	1.4E-04	NC	NC	NC NC	NC	2.5E+01	25
2-Methylphenol	7.3E-02	9.3E-06	2.00E-05	NA NA	6.0E-01	1.0E-02	1.1E-01	1.2E-02	1.1E-03	NC	6.3E-01	6.3E-01	29,000	2.6E+04	26,000
Phenol	8.3E-02	1.0E-05	6.67E-06	NA	2.0E-01	2.2E-02	3,5E-01	2.5E-02	2.3E-03	NC	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	14,000	8.3E+04	14,000
Vaphthalene	6.0E-02	8.4E-06	8.29E-03	3.4E-05	3.0E-03	4.7E-03	2.4E-04	1.4E-03	1.3E-04	7.2E-05	3.1E-03	7.2E-05	0.065	3.1E+01	0.065
•							Mercury								
Mercury	3.1E-02	6.3E-08	1.59E-01	NA	3.0E-04	2.4E-03	1.4E-05	1.0E-04	9.8E-06	NC	3.1E-04	3.1E-04	0.200	6.0E-02	0.060

1) Chemical-specific parameters are from the USEPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) Summary Table, revised June 2017.
2) Dimensionless Henry's Law Constants (H<sub>T3</sub>) are the values contained in TACO Appendix C, Table E for a system temperature (TS) of 13°C.
3) Chemicals shown are the SRP target compounds and analytes that appear on the TACO indoor inhabition volatile chemicals list (TACO, Appendix A, Table J).

4) NA - Toxicity information is not available for this chemical for the inhalation route of exposure.

5) carc - carcinogenic

6) nc - non-carcinogenic

7) NC - Not calculated because toxicity Information is not available for the Inhatation route of exposure.

6) RO<sub>indoor at</sub> is the lesser of the calculated carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic ROs for Indoor air.

9) Solubility limits are from the USEPA RSLs Summary Table, revised June 2017.

10) Selected Tier 3 RO is the lesser of the calculated Tier 3 RO and the solubility limit. If no toxicity criteria were available for the inhalation route of exposure, the solubility limit was selected as the Tier 3 RO.

in the same manner as used in Tier 1 (TACO, Appendix B, Table H, Footnola f). ROs based on the solubility limit are shown in *Italics*.

11)\* • Although a URF is provided in the USEPA RSLs Summary Table, chemical does not meet the statutory definition of a carcinogen as specified in the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (Chapter 415 of the Illinois Compiled Statutes (415 ILCS 5/58.2)).

#### Table P-3 Calculation of Rosidential Soil Gas Remediation Objectives D<sub>cource</sub> = 5 foot

Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route

		Chemical-Specific Parameters							Little, Daisey, & Nazarotf (1992) Model Calculations			Calculation of Indoor Air Target Concentrations			Vapor Saturation Limit	Solected Tior 3 RO
Compound/Analyto	D, (cm²/sec)	D <sub>w</sub> (cm²/sec)	H'15 (unilless)	MW (g/mole)	VP (mm Hg)	URF (µg/m³)	RfC (mp/m³)	D <sub>est</sub> er (cm²/sec)	O <sub>T</sub> en (cm²/sec)	a (unitless)	RO <sub>edan es</sub> caro (mg/m³)	RO <sub>ressor es</sub> ** (mg/m³)	RO <sub>retor es</sub> (mg/m³)	RO <sub>speak</sub> (mg/m³)	(mb/m <sub>3</sub> )	RO <sub>mages</sub> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Compoundation	(4	(4	(0000)	(8.14.0)	(//5////8/	(μβ///)		Proprie Compounds		(0.0000)	(	(110/111)	(1149111)	()	(11 (11 (11 )	(
Benzene	9.0E-02	1.0E-05	1.34E-01	7.8E+01	9.5E+01	7.8E-06	3.0E-02	7.0E-03	7.0É-03	1.4E-03	3.1E-04	3.1E+02	3.1E-04	0.23 4 *	4.0E+05	0.23
Ethylbenzana .	6.8E-02	8.5E-06	1.64E-01	1.1E+02	9.6E+00	2.5E-06	1.0E+00	5.3E-03	5.3E-03	1.0E-03	9.7E-04	1.0E+00	9.7E-04	0.93	5.7E+04	0.93
Styrene	7.1E-02	8.8E-06	5.48E-03	1.0E+02	6.4E+00	NA	1.0E+00	5.6E-03	5.6E-03	1.1E-03	NC	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	960	3.4E+04	960
Toluene	7.8E-02	9.2E-06	1.49E-01	9.2E+01	2.8E+01	NA	5.0E+00	6.1E-03	6.1E-03	1.2E-03	NC	5.2E+00	5.2E+00	4,400	1.4E+05	4,400
Xylenes, Total	6.9E-02	8.5E-06	2.71E-01	1.1E+02	8.0E+00	NA	1.0E-01	5.3E-03	5.3E-03	1.0E-03	NC	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	100	4.7E+04	100
				_		_	Semivolatile	Organic Compound	is (SVOCs)							
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.2E-02	7.8E-06	6.95E-03	1.4E+02	5.5E-02	NA	NA	4.1E-03	4.1E-03	8.0E-04	NC	NC	NC	NC	4.1E+02	410
2-Methylphenol	7.3E-02	9.3E-06	2.00E-05	1.1E+02	3.0E-01	NA	6.0E-01	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	2.0E-03	NC	6.3E-01	6.3E-01	310	1.8E+03	310
Phenol	8.3E-02	1.0E-05	6.67E+06	9.4E+01	3.5E-01	NA NA	2.0E-01	2.2E-02	2.2E-02	4.2E-03	NC	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	49	1.8E+03	49
Naphthalene	6.0E-02	8.4E-06	8.29E-03	1.3E+02	8.5E-02	3.4E+05	3.0E-03	4.7E-03	4.7E-03	9.3E-04	7.2E-05	3.1E-03	7.2E-05	0.077	5.9E+02	0.077
		, and the second	, and the second	, and the second				Mercury								
Mercury	3.1E-02	6.3E-06	1.59E-01	2.0E+02	2.0E-03	NA_	3.0E-04	2.4E-03	2.4E-03	4.7E-04	NC	3.1E-04	3.1E-04	0.67	2.2E+01	0.67

Notes:

1) Chemical-specific parameters are from the USEPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) Summary Table, revised June 2017.
2) Dimensionless Henry's Lew Constants (H<sub>Ts</sub>) are the values contained in TACO Appendix C, Table E for a system temperature (TS) of 13°C.

3) Chemicals shown are the SRP target compounds and analytes that appear on the TACO indoor inhalation votatile chemicals list (TACO, Appendix A, Teble J).

NA - Toxicity Information is not available for this chemical for the inhalation route of exposure.
 For the soil gas model, D<sub>1</sub><sup>ed</sup> = D<sub>col</sub><sup>ed</sup> because only one layer is modeled in the system.

6) carc - carcinogenic

7) nc - non-carcinogenic

8) NC - Not calculated because toxicity information is not available for the inhalation route of exposure.

9) RO room is the lesser of the calculated carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic ROs for indoor air.

10) Vapor saturation limits are calculated as described in Table P-2.

10) selected fier 3 RO is the lesser of the calculated fier 3 RO, and the vapor saturation limit. If no toxicity criteria were avoilable for the inhalation route of exposure, the vapor saturation limit was selected as the Tier 3 RO, in the same manner as used in Tier 1 (TACO, Appendix B, Table H, Footnote I). ROs based on the vapor saturation limit are shown in itelics.

12) \* Although a URF is provided in the USEPA RSLs Summary Table, chemical does not meet the statutory definition of a carcinogen as specified in the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (Chapter 415 of the Illinois Compiled Statutes [415 ILCS 5/58.2]).

#### Table P-4 Calculation of Residential Groundwater Remediation Objectives Water Table at S feet bgs (D. = 5 feet) Tier 3 Indoor Inhalation Exposure Route

						ittle, Dalsoy, 8	Nazaroff (199	2}		Calculation of		Calculated	Solubility	Selected
	Chomic	cal-Specific Pa	rametors			Medel_Ca	Iculations		Indoor Ai	r Target Conce	ntrations	Tior 3 RO	Limit	Tier 3 RO
D,	٥	Н₁,	URF	RfC	D <sub>ect</sub> er	D <sub>Capp</sub>	O <sub>1</sub> ee	- 0	RO <sub>ledoor ea</sub> rcerc	RO <sub>reton as</sub> **	RO <sub>relow all</sub>	RO <sub>g=cate</sub>	s	RO <sub>provincenter</sub>
(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(unitless)	(µg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(unilless)	(mb/m <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
					Volatile Orga	nic Compounds	(VOCs)			·		-		
9.0E-02	1.0E-05	1.34E-01	7.8E-06	3.0E-02	7.0E-03	3.1E-05	1.2E-04	2.3E-05	3.1E-04	3.1E-02	3.1E-04	0.102	1.8E+03	0.10
6.8E-02	8.5E-08	1.64E-01	2.5E-06	1.0E+00	5.3E-03	2.2E-05	8.3E-05	1.6E-05	9.7E-04	1.0E+00	9.7E-04	0.38	1.7E+02	0.38
7.1E-02	8.8E-06	5.48E-03	NA.	1.0E+00	5.6E-03	3.8E+04	1,2E-03	2.4E-04	NC	1.0E+00	1.0E+00	810	3.1E+02	310
7.8E-02	9.2E-06	1.49E-01	NA	5.0E+00	6.1E-03	2.6E-05	9.8E-05	1.9E-05	NC	5.2E+00	5.2E+00	1,800	5.3E+02	530
6.9E-02	8.5E-06	2.71E-01	NA	1.0E-01	5.3E-03	1.8E-05	6.6E-05	1.3E-05	NC	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	30	1.1E+02	30
					emivotatile Org	anic Compound	is (SVOCs)							
5.2E-02	7.8E-06	6.95E-03	NA	NA NA	4.1E-03	2.6E-04	8.5E+04	1.7E-04	NC	NC	NC	NC	2.5E+01	25
7.3E-02	9.3E-06	2.00E-05	NA	6.0E-01	1.0E-02	1.1E-01	1.3E-02	2.6E-03	NC	6.3E-01	6.3E-01	11,900	2.6E+04	11,900
8.3E-02	1.0E-05	6.67E-08	NA	2.0E-01	2.2E-02	3.5E-01	2.9E-02	5.6E+03	NC	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	5,600	8.3E+04	5,600
6.0E-02	8.4E-06	8.29É-03	3.4E-05	3.0E-03	4.7E-03	2.4E-04	8.0E-04	1.6E-04	7.2E-05	3.1E-03	7.2E-05	0.055	3.1E+01	0.055
						Mercury								
3.1E-02	6.3E-08	1.59E-01	NA	3.0E-04	2.4E-03	1.4E-05	5.1E-05	1.0E-05	NC	3.1E-04	3.1E-04	0.20	6.0E-02	0.060
	9.0E-02 9.8E-02 9.8E-02 7.1E-02 7.8E-02 6.9E-02 5.2E-02 7.3E-02 8.3E-02 6.0E-02	D, (cm²/sec) (cm²/sec)  9.0E-02 1.0E-05 6.8E-02 8.5E-06 7.1E-02 9.2E-06 6.9E-02 9.5E-06 7.9E-02 9.5E-06 6.9E-02 8.5E-06 7.3E-02 9.5E-06 8.3E-02 1.0E-05 6.0E-02 8.4E-06	D, (cm²/sec) (cm²/sec) (unitless)  9.0E-02 1.0E-05 1.34E-01 6.8E-02 6.5E-08 1.84E-01 7.1E-02 8.8E-09 5.48E-03 7.8E-02 9.2E-06 1.49E-01 6.9E-02 8.5E-06 2.7TE-01 5.2E-02 7.8E-06 6.95E-03 7.3E-02 9.3E-09 2.00E-05 8.3E-02 1.0E-05 6.67E-08 6.0E-02 6.4E-06 8.29E-03	(cm²/sec) (cm²/sec) (unidas) (ug/m²)	D, (cm²/sec)         D, (cm²/sec)         H <sub>18</sub> . (unildas)         URF (up/m²)         RIC (mp/m²)           9.0E-02         1.0E-05         1.34E-01         7.8E-08         3.0E-02           6.8E-02         8.5E-06         1.68E-01         2.5E-08         1.0E-00           7.1E-02         9.8E-09         5.48E-03         NA         1.0E-00           7.8E-02         9.2E-06         1.49E-01         NA         5.0E-00           6.9E-02         8.5E-06         2.71E-01         NA         1.0E-01           5.2E-02         7.8E-06         6.9SE-03         NA         NA         NA           7.3E-02         9.3E-09         2.00E-05         NA         NA         0.0E-01           8.3E-02         1.0E-05         6.67E-08         NA         2.0E-01           8.3E-02         1.0E-05         6.67E-08         NA         2.0E-01           6.0E-02         8.4E-06         8.29E-03         3.4E-05         3.0E-03	Chamical-Spacific Parameters   Display   Chamical-Spacific Parameters   Display   Card   Ca	Chamical-Spacific Parameters	Chamical-Specific Parameters	D <sub>1</sub>	Chamical-Specific Parameters	Chamical-Specific Parameters   Medol Calculations   Indeer Air Target Concern	Chamical-Specific Parameters   Model Calculations   Indeer Air Target Concentrations   D, C(cm <sup>2</sup> /sec)   D, C(cm <sup>2</sup> /sec)   (unillass)   (up/m <sup>2</sup> )   (up/m <sup>2</sup> )   (up/m <sup>2</sup> )   (up/m <sup>2</sup> )   D, C(cm <sup>2</sup> /sec)   (unillass)   (up/m <sup>2</sup> )   (up/m	Chamical-Specific Parameters   Medel Calculations   Indoor Air Target Concentrations   Tier 3 RO	Chamical-Specific Parameters   Model Calculations   Index Air Target Concentrations   Tior 3 RO   Limit

1) Chemical-specific parameters are from the USEPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) Summary Table, revised June 2017.

2) Dimensionless Henry's Law Constants (H'19) are the values contained in TACO Appendix C. Table E for a system temperature (TS) of 13°C.

3) Chemicals shown are the focused list of MGP compounds and analytes that appear on the TACO indoor inhalation votatile chemicals list (TACO, Appendix A, Table J).

4) NA - Toxicity information is not available for this chemical for the inhalation route of exposure.

5) carc - carcinogenic

f) non-non-carcinoganic

7) NC - Not calcutated because toxicity information is not available for the inhalation route of exposure.

8) RO<sub>domor six</sub> is the lesser of the calcutated carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic ROs for Indoor eir.

9) Solubility limits are from the USEPA RSLs Summary Table, revised June 2017.

10) Selected Tier 3 RO is the lesser of the catculated Tier 3 RO and the solubility limit. If no toxicity criteria were available for the Inhalation route of exposure, the solubility limit was selected as the Tier 3 RO.

in the same manner as used in Tier 1 (TACO, Appendix B, Table H, Footnote f). ROs based on the solubility limit are shown in italics.

11) \*\* - No Dimensiontess Henry's Law constant (H<sub>TS</sub>) is provided within TACO for Total Xylenes at 13°C. The value used for the Dimensiontess Henry's Law Constant (HTS) is the value contained in TACO for total xylenes at 25°C, which results in a more conservative groundwater remediation objective for the Indoor Inhalation exposure route.