1	DOUGLAS JAFFE, ESQ. Bar No. 170354	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California,
2	LAW OFFICES OF DOÙGLAS JAFFE 501 West Broadway, Suite 800	County of San Diego
3	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 400-4945	03/01/2021 at 06:42:00 PM Clerk of the Superior Court
4	Facsimile: (619) 400-4810	By Gen Dieu, Deputy Clerk
5	Attorneys for Defendants Salam Razuki; RM Property Holdings, LLC;	
6	Sunrise Property Investments, LLC; and Super 5 Consulting Group, LLC	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL	
10		
11	CHRIS HAKIM,) Case No.: 37-2020-00045859-CU-BC-CTL
12	Plaintiff,) NOTICE OF HEARING OF DEMURRER
13	vs.) AND DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT
14	NINUS MALAN, et. al.,	DATE: July 2, 2021 TIME: 11:00 a.m.
15	Defendants.	DEPT: 69 JUDGE: Hon. Katherine Bacal
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	·)
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 2, 2021, at 11:00 a.m. in Department 69 of the	
22	Superior Court For The County Of San Diego, Central Division, 330 West Broadway, San	
23	Diego, California 92101, Defendants Salam Razuki; RM Property Holdings, LLC; Sunrise	
24	Property Investments, LLC; and Super 5 Consulting Group, LLC (erroneously sued as Super 5	
25	Highway Consulting Group, LLC) will and hereby do generally and specially demurrer to the	
26	Complaint pursuant to C.C.P. sections 430.10,	430.30, and 430.50.
27		
28		1
	Notice Of Hearing Of Demu	rrer And Demurrer To Complaint
	11	

This demurrer is based upon this notice, the demurrer below, the accompanying memorandum, and all records and pleadings on file with the Court in this action, and any oral and documentary evidence that may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

Defendants Salam Razuki; RM Property Holdings, LLC; Sunrise Property Investments, LLC; and Super 5 Consulting Group, LLC (erroneously sued as Super 5 Highway Consulting Group, LLC) demur to the Complaint as follows:

DEMURRER TO THE ENTIRE COMPLAINT

The pleading does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(e)), the pleading is uncertain (C.C.P. section 430.10(f)), the court has no jurisdiction of the subject of the cause of action alleged in the pleading (C.C.P. section 430.10(a)), and/or there is another action pending between the same parties on the same cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(c)).

1

DEMURRER TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

The pleading does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(e)), the pleading is uncertain (C.C.P. section 430.10(f)), the court has no jurisdiction of the subject of the cause of action alleged in the pleading (C.C.P. section 430.10(a)), and/or there is another action pending between the same parties on the same cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(c)).

DEMURRER TO THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

The pleading does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(e)), the pleading is uncertain (C.C.P. section 430.10(f)), the court has no jurisdiction of the subject of the cause of action alleged in the pleading (C.C.P. section 430.10(a)), and/or there is another action pending between the same parties on the same cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(c)).

DEMURRER TO THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

The pleading does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action (C.C.P. section 430.10(e)), the pleading is uncertain (C.C.P. section 430.10(f)), the court has no

1	jurisdiction of the subject of the cause of action alleged in the pleading (C.C.P. section		
2	430.10(a)), and/or there is another action pending between the same parties on the same cause of		
3	action (C.C.P. section 430.10(2)).	
4			
5	Dated: March 1, 2021	LAW OFFICES DOUGLAS JAFFE	
6		BY: <u>/S/ DOUGLAS JAFFE</u>	
7		Douglas Jaffe, Esq.	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		3	
	Notice	Of Hearing Of Demurrer And Demurrer To Complaint	