In The Superior Court Of The State Of California
In And For The County Of San Diego
Department 73 Hon; 5. JOEL WOHLFEIL, Judge

LARRY GERACI,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.

DARRYL COTTON

Defendants.

Defendants.

Reporter's Transcript
JULY 13, 2018

## Appearances:

For the Plaintiff: Michael Weinstein, Esq.

Ferris & Britton

501 W. Broadway, #1450

San Diego, California 92101

For the Defendant: Jacob Austin, Esq.

1455 Frazee Road, #500

San Diego, California 92108

Darla Kmety, RPR, CSR 12956 Official Court Reporter San Diego Superior Court San Diego, California 92101

- JULY 13, 2018; San Diego, California; 9:15 A.M.
- 3 THE COURT: Item 7. Geraci versus Cotton.
- 4 Ending 10073.
- 5 MR. WEINSTEIN: Good morning, your Honor.
- 6 Michael Weinstein for plaintiff, Larry Geraci. We're
- 7 submitting. Just time to reply.
- 8 MR. AUSTIN: Good morning, your Honor. Jacob
- 9 Austin on behalf of Mr. Cotton.
- THE COURT: Good morning to each of you two.
- 11 Interesting motion, particularly combined with your
- 12 request for judicial notice. Is there anything else that
- 13 you'd like to add?
- MR. AUSTIN: Well, I would like an explanation.
- <sup>15</sup> So Mr. Geraci, the plaintiff in this case, he submitted
- 16 the declaration admitting essentially that --
- THE COURT: It's the "essentially" part that I
- 18 don't agree with. You make those same comments in your
- 19 paper. There's four separate causes of action.
- MR. AUSTIN: Right.
- 21 THE COURT: The court wasn't persuaded that even
- 22 if I were to grant the request to take judicial notice of
- 23 a declaration granted of a party opponent, it's still not
- 24 dispositive of the entire complaint. And that's what your
- 25 motion is directed to, isn't it --
- MR. AUSTIN: Well --
- 27 THE COURT: -- in it's entirety?
- 28 MR. AUSTIN: Because all four causes of action

- are premised on a breach of contract, so if there's not an
- integrated contract, according to plaintiff himself, I
- 3 feel that all four causes of actions fail.
- 4 THE COURT: Not so sure if I agree with that
- 5 entire analysis.
- Anything else, counsel?
- 7 MR. AUSTIN: Well, I was just wondering if you
- 8 could explain to me, if you believe as a matter of law,
- 9 the three-sentence contracts that plaintiff claims is an
- 10 integrated contract. If you believe that to actually be a
- 11 fully integrated contract.
- 12 THE COURT: You know, we've been down this road
- 13 so many times, counsel. I've explained and reexplained
- 14 the court's interpretation of your position. I don't know
- what more to say.
- 16 Is there anything else, counsel?
- 17 CO COUNSEL: Your Honor, if I may, I'm co
- 18 counsel on behalf of Mr. Cotton.
- Your Honor, the only thing we really want
- 20 clarification is the matter whether or not the court deems
- 21 the contract an integrated contract or not.
- THE COURT: Again, we've addressed that in
- 23 multiple motions. I'm not going to go back over it again
- 24 at this point in time.
- 25 Anything else, counsel?
- 26 CO COUNSEL: That's it.
- 27 THE COURT: All right. So the court confirms
- 28 the court's tentative ruling. Makes it an order of the

```
1
     court and directs that plaintiff's counsel serve notice.
2
     Thank you very much.
 3
 4
                [End of proceeding.]
 5
 6
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
2	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO					
3						
4						
5	I, Darla Kmety, Court-Approved Official Pro Tem					
6	Reporter for the Superior Court of the State of					
7	California, in and for the County of San Diego, do hereby					
8	certify:					
9						
10	That as such reporter, I reported in machine					
11	shorthand the proceedings held in the foregoing case;					
12						
13	That my notes were transcribed into typewriting					
14	under my direction and the proceedings held on					
15	July 13, 2018, contained within pages 1 through 4, are a					
16	true and correct transcription.					
17						
18						
19	This Day 2nd of August 2018					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	Darla Kmety, CSR 12956					
26						
27						
28						

A.M 1:1 action 1:19,28 actions 2:3 add 1:13 addressed 2:22 admitting 1:16 agree 1:18 2:4	Defendant 1:19 Defendants 1:9 Department 1:3 Diego 1:2,17,20,24	Larry 1:5,6	1:1 4:2,7 separate 1:19	<b>2 2018</b> 1:12,1 4:15,19
action 1:19,28 actions 2:3 add 1:13 addressed 2:22 admitting 1:16 agree 1:18 2:4	<b>Department</b> 1:3		_	<b>2018</b> 1:12.1 4:15.19
action 1:17,28 actions 2:3 add 1:13 addressed 2:22 admitting 1:16 agree 1:18 2:4		1 0.0		
add 1:13 addressed 2:22 admitting 1:16 agree 1:18 2:4	Diego 1:2,17,20,24	law 2:8	serve 3:1 shorthand 4:11	<b>2nd</b> 4:19
addressed 2:22 admitting 1:16 agree 1:18 2:4	1.24 1 4.2 7			
admitting 1:16	1:24,1 4:2,7	M	State 1:1 4:1,6	3
agree 1:18 2:4	directed 1:25	machine 4:10	submitted 1:15	
	direction 4:14	matter 2:8,20	submitting 1:7	4
	directs 3:1	Michael 1:15,6	<b>Superior</b> 1:1,24 4:6	<b>4</b> 4:15
analysis 2:5	dispositive 1:24	morning 1:5,8,10	sure 2:4	
Appearances 1:14	E	motion 1:11,25		5
August 4:19		motions 2:23		<b>5</b> 1:3
Ausun 1.17.0.7.14	entire 1:24 2:5	multiple 2:23	take 1:22	<b>500</b> 1:19
	entirety 1:27		Tem 4:5	<b>501</b> 1:16
	Esq 1:15,19	N	tentative 2:28	
	essentially 1:16,17	<b>notes</b> 4:13	Thank 3:2	6
	explain 2:8	notice 1:12,22 3:1	thing 2:19	
	explained 2:13		three-sentence 2:9	7
<b>believe</b> 2:8,10	explanation 1:14	0	time 1:7 2:24	<b>7</b> 1:3
breach 2:1		<b>O0o</b> 1:2	times 2:13	<b>73</b> 1:3
Britton 1:16	F	<b>Official</b> 1:23 4:5	transcribed 4:13	
Broadway 1:16	<b>fail</b> 2:3	opponent 1:23	Transcript 1:11	8
	feel 2:3	order 2:28	transcription 4:16	
	Ferris 1:16		<b>true</b> 4:16	9
	foregoing 4:11	P	two 1:10	<b>9:15</b> 1:1
1:20,24,1 4:1,7	four 1:19,28 2:3	pages 4:15	typewriting 4:13	<b>92101</b> 1:17,24
case 1:7.15 4:11	Frazee 1:19	paper 1:19		<b>92108</b> 1:20
causes 1:19,28 2:3	<b>fully</b> 2:11	part 1:17	U	<b>72100</b> 1.20
certify 4:8		particularly 1:11		
claims 2:9	G	party 1:23	V	
clarification 2:20	Geraci 1:5,3,6,15	persuaded 1:21	versus 1:3	
combined 1:11	<b>go</b> 2:23	plaintiff 1:6,15,6,15	vs 1:7	
comments 1:18	<b>going</b> 2:23	2:2,9		
complaint 1:24	Good 1:5,8,10	plaintiff's 3:1	W	
confirms 2:27	<b>grant</b> 1:22	point 2:24	<b>W</b> 1:16	
contained 4:15	granted 1:23	position 2:14	want 2:19	
contract 2:1,2,10,11		premised 2:1	wasn't 1:21	
2:21,21	H	Pro 4:5	We're 1:6	
contracts 2:9	held 4:11,14	proceeding 3:5	we've 2:12,22	
Conti acts 2.7	<b>Hon</b> 1:3	proceedings 4:11,14	<b>Weinstein</b> 1:15,5,6	
COLLECT T.10	<b>Honor</b> 1:5,8 2:17,19	proceedings 4.11,14	WOHLFEIL 1:3	
counsel 2:6,13,16		Q	wondering 2:7	
2:17,18,25,26 3:1	I			
County 1:2 4:2,7	integrated 2:2,10	R	X	
court 1:1,23,24,3,10	2:11,21	really 2:19		
1:17,21,21,27 2:4	Interesting 1:11	reany 2:19 reexplained 2:13	Y	
	interpretation 2:14	reexplained 2:13 reply 1:7		
	Item 1:3		$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	
court's 2:14,28		reported 4:10		
Court-Approved	J	reporter 1:23 4:6 4:10	0	
4:5	<b>Jacob</b> 1:19,8	4:10 <b>Reporter's</b> 1:11		
	JOEL 1:3		1	
	Judge 1:3	request 1:12,22	<b>1</b> 4:15	
	judicial 1:12,22	right 1:20 2:27	<b>10073</b> 1:4	
	July 1:12,1 4:15	road 1:19 2:12	<b>12956</b> 1:23 4:25	
Daria 1.23 1.3,23	July 1.12,1 1.13	<b>RPR</b> 1:23	<b>13</b> 1:12,1 4:15	
<b>DARRYL</b> 1:8	K	ruling 2:28	<b>13</b> 1.12,1 4.13 <b>1450</b> 1:16	
<b>Day</b> 4:19	Kmety 1:23 4:5,25		<b>1455</b> 1:19	
acciaration 1.10,23	know 2:12,14	<u>S</u>	1700 1.17	
deems 2:20	MIUW 2.12,17	San 1:2,17,20,24,24		