## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY**

TO:  $\boxtimes$  U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE /  $\square$  U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE: The Hon. Cynthia Bashant

FROM: S. Dunbar, Deputy Cle	erk	RECEIVED DATE: July 14, 2020
CASE NO. 18-cv-00325-BAS-	DEB	DOC FILED BY: Darryl Cotton
CASE TITLE: Cotton v. Geraci et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Judicial Notice		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
FRCvP 11(a) – Missing signature on filing		
LR 5.1(j) – Improper title; Missing name, address, telephone		
Date Forwarded: _July 16, 2020		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
	F THE JUDGE	/ MAGISTRATE JUDGE
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:  ☑ The document is to be filed	d nunc pro tunc to do	
The document is to be filed  The document is NOT to be serve a copy of this order of	I nunc pro tunc to do not be filed. But instead on all parties.	ate received.
The document is to be filed  The document is NOT to be serve a copy of this order of the composition of the	d nunc pro tunc to date filed. But instead on all parties.  The property of th	nte received. REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk
The document is to be filed  The document is NOT to be serve a copy of this order of the composition of the	d nunc pro tunc to defiled. But instead on all parties.  ed to pro se or inmate comply with the Local Rule 83.1 or 0	ate received.  REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk  re?   Yes. Court copy retained by chambers  ral Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to