

**United States District Court** 

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO: 🛛 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🗆 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE: The Hon. Todd W. Robinson

FROM: M. Barber, Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: October 29, 2020
CASE NO. 18-cv-325-TWR-DEB	DOC FILED BY: Daryl Cotton
CASE TITLE: Cotton v. Geraci et al	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Ex Parte Application	

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

Civ.L. Rule 5.2 - Missing Proof of Service; Other: Sur-Reply Other: Missing Declaration or Affidavit

Date Forwarded: November 3, 2020

## **ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

## **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

 $\boxtimes$  The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate?  $\Box$  Yes. Court copy retained by chambers  $\Box$ 

Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local Rule 57.1.

Date: November 3, 2020

CHAMBERS OF: The Honorable Todd W. Robinson

cc: All Parties

By: s/ JN