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in the City.

## Q Are you part of the team?

A So we have geographical areas, and I've kind
of moved around within that geographical area. Um, and
then for some time I did handle or manage the processing
of conditional use permit applications for marijuana,
medical marijuana consumer cooperatives at the time, and
8 then transition into marijuana outlets. And then I was
then moved into the Expedite program and had nothing to
10 do with the marijuana CUP applications. I've kind of
11 come back to that in the beginning of January of this
12 year.
Q You're back into the processing of CUP --
A Uh-huh. Correct.
Q -- for marijuana?
As well an Expedite program for the CUPs for
medical marijuana outlets or marijuana outlets?
A Could you repeat that question, please?
Q Is there a thing -- anything called an
expedited program to get a CUP for marijuana outlets
expedited?
A So we have an Expedite program, um, in the
23 City of San Diego, and there are certain criteria that
24 need to be met. It's the Affordable Housing, In-Fill
25 Development, Sustainable Development projects and
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1 certain criteria, um, that need to be met.
So, um, it depends on whether they meet the
criteria to be processed in the Expedite program.
Q Would somebody applying for a -- put in an application for a CUP for a marijuana outlet, would they 6 qualify to be in this program?

A It depends on if they meet the criteria or
not. And that's available on the City's website, the
criteria for the Affordable Housing, In-Fill Development.

MR. TOOTHACRE: And was that the same in 2017?
THE WITNESS: Um, I don't know the answer to that.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q Would this case qualify, would this particular
application for Mr. Cotton and Geraci, would they
qualify for the expedited program?
Do you know?
A I don't believe that the application was
submitted under the Expedite program, and I don't know
that they would qualify, um, at the time that the
application was deemed complete.
Q When the application was deemed complete, they would have qualified?

A No, I don't know that, because I don't -- they

1 weren't submitted under that program, I don't believe.
2 Q So do you have to submit under that particular 3 program?

A Well, you have to qualify and you would
5 submit. So if they did qualify, they probably would
6 have submitted under the program. But I know that this
7 project wasn't -- or any of those two projects were
8 being processed in the Expedite program. I don't
9 believe they qualified for it.
Q Once you've put in an application --
MR. TOOTHACRE: Those applications, were you
referring to 6220 ?
THE WITNESS: Well, those are the two that I'm
here to testify against, correct?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Let me clear that up.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q So you had mentioned the two projects.
Are you talking about the application for
Mr. Cotton and Geraci and the one for Mr. Magagna, the
6220 Federal?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Thanks.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
25 Q Is it possible, once you've submitted a CUP
-

1 application for a marijuana outlet, to then transfer
2 into the expedited program?
3 A Um, no.
4 Q So you have --
A You have to -- it's from the start, because 6 it's a different process. It's on a different process, 7 different track, because we do a mandatory initial 8 review, prior to official submit. So it's a -- a more 9 thorough, full-blown preliminary review.

We have a preliminary review process in the
City. So, um, through the Expedite program, projects --
when they want to submit through the Expedite program,
um, there's a review of the plans set and then a
4 mandatory initial review is, um, conducted where all the
5 review disciplines that would typically be involved in
6 the review of the project would be reviewing that
7 project and then providing early feedback to the
applicant so that they can decide to proceed with it or
not.
Q All right. Thank you.
Other than working for the City, do you have
any ownership interest in any businesses?
A Um, with my husband, um, we do. But he
4 handles that. It's 10 percent interest in an IT
company. I don't know the name.
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```
Q Do you hold any professional licenses?
    A Um, no.
    Q Do you process any of the CUP applications for
other projects besides the marijuana outlets?
5 MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
    Q Since 2015, when you returned, have you
processed any CUP applications for purposes other than a
marijuana outlet?
    A Yes.
    Q What percentage do you think the marijuana
outlet applications is part of your workload from 2017
to now?
    A So for from 2017, I -- so, I think 2017 until
October of 2017, I think I, um -- that was my primary
workload, um, processing those. Maybe -- maybe not
primary. }90\mathrm{ percent, I would say, of the workload,
because I have workload from my other geographic area.
19 And then I was -- then all of my projects were
transferred to other reviewers, because I was moved into
the Expedite program.
    And then as part of the Expedite program, I
have two CUP applications that qualified and submitted
under the Expedite program. But for marijuana
production facilities, not marijuana outlets.
```

MR. TOOTHACRE: Was that yes?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
3 MR. TOOTHACRE: You have to speak audibly so 4 she can get it down.
5 THE WITNESS: Okay.
6 MR. TOOTHACRE: I might remind you from time
7 to time. I'm not picking on you. People do it all the
8 time.
9 BY MS. PLASKETT:
$0 \quad$ Qo if you were in the northern part of the
1 city, when did you transfer to have cases in this area?
A I don't recall the exact date.
Q Okay. Around 2017 and '18?
A No. It was definitely -- I would say, um -- I
would say December of 2016, January 2017.
Q Okay. Is it common to move -- to change projects managers?

A Um, so things happen. It could be that, um, 9 the person that was handling those projects, um, got a promotion and left. And they were looking to who's
going to take over the projects. They picked a DPM to
take over those projects. And, therefore, the workload
of the DPM was then reassigned to others. So it's
managing the workload within the department.
5 Q And when they do the transfer, is it a group

## decision?

A It's management decision, but the -- the --
3 there's no interruption in the process.
4 Q Is there -- when there's a change, does the 5 new person have to get up to speed on these cases?
6 A Um, which cases are we speaking about?
7 Q In particular, a marijuana outlet case, such
8 as the CUP application that we have, it was transferred 9 three times, to three different DPMs.

In doing so, is there an interruption when
there is a transfer from a DPM to another DPM?
A There is no -- I mean, the DPM would have to
get up to speed. But it's not, um, that difficult to
get up to speed. It happens all the time with other 5 projects.

Q Do you have a meeting between the teams to 7 catch the new team up?

A Yeah. Not a meeting, but, I mean, it could be
9 a meeting. But, um, or just a one-on-one. Sharing the
0 highlights. And everything is documented in the system, the project tracking system.
Q I'm actually getting a little bit ahead of myself with these questions. So we'll be coming back to 4 that process. I'll try to keep this a little bit in
order.

1 So is it common to have three project managers on a CUP application for --
A It's common to, um, transfer projects based on workload needs or workload management.

Q Is it common for a DPM to have competing
licenses, completing applications for the same area?
A Yes.
Q And is it considered a conflict of interest to
be -- having two competing at the same time?
A No. DPMs are impartial. They are just
basically processing the application through the
process, or managing the application through the process.

Q What is the process, going down this same thing, when they make a change from -- like, for instance, in this case, they made a change from you to Ms. Cac.

A Uh-huh.
Q What is the process? How is that done?
A There is a -- so in the project tracking
system, we have project manager assignments. So that,
um, assignment is changed in the system. The, um, hard
copy of the project file is done -- transferred to the
4 new DPM. And at that point, there's a discussion
5 about -- this is where this project is. The assessment
letters are available online. And where we are, like,
waiting for a re-submittal, where we are at that project.

And then at that point, the new project
manager takes over managing the project or the application.
Q Is there anything in the tracking system that
shows deficiencies in paperwork? Like necessary
paperwork?
A Could you clarify that?
Q So if -- I'll move to this point, actually.
Exhibit 1 is going to be an affidavit for
Medical Consumer Cooperative for a conditional use permit, the CUP DS190.

A Uh-huh.
MS. PLASKETT: And this will be Exhibit 1.
(Exhibit 1 was marked.)
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q Are you familiar with this form?
A Yes.
Q All right. In this form, it -- can you list
who is listed as the property owner or authorized agent?
A Yes.
Q Does it list Ms. Rebecca Berry as the owner on this form?

A If I may clarify. The purpose -- yes, to
2 answer your question, yes.
Q And for the purpose of this form?
A The purpose of this form is an affidavit by
5 the applicant or the agent of the applicant, to
6 demonstrate that the radius math and radius spreadsheet
7 they have provided is correct and accurate, to the best
8 of their knowledge.
9 They do have to identify, um, sensitive uses
0 that are within a thousand feet and residential zone
that's within a hundred feet.
So this is the purpose of -- so when -- when
staff receives this, um, we don't really care about who
has signed it, because this is not important for that.
The Ownership Disclosure Statement is what staff looks
6 at, to make sure that the owner has signed the
7 application upon submittal of the application.
Q The reason I bring this up now is, would your tracking system show that there's a change in ownership
or the agent, the person that made the application? For
instance, Ms. Berry here has listed herself as an owner.
A Uh-huh.
MS. PLASKETT: And Exhibit 2 would be the 4 Ownership Disclosure Statement, the DS318.
25 (Exhibit 2 was marked.)
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## 1 BY MS. PLASKETT:

Q Does it -- in part one, am I correct to say,
3 "Please list below the owners and tenants of the above
4 referenced property. The list must include the names
5 and addresses of all -- bolded "all" -- persons who have
6 an interest in the property, recorded or otherwise, and
7 state the type of property interest (e.g. tenants who
8 benefit from the permit, all individuals who own the
9 property."
A Uh-huh.
Q Is that the correct reading of that, part of
that Part 1 paragraph?
A You're reading the paragraph, yes.
Q Thank you.
Does it also, under "Note: The applicant is
responsible for notifying the Project Development
Agreement, DDA" -- oh, I'm sorry.
"A signature from the assistant executive
director of San Diego redevelopment agency shall be
required for all parcels which a disposition agreement,
DDA has been approved or executed by the City property."
I'm sorry. I messed that up.
A That doesn't apply.
Q Please scratch that. "Note: The applicant is
responsible for notifying the project manager of any
1 changes in ownership during the time the application is
2 being processed or considered."
3 $\quad$ Is that a correct reading of the first note?

25 MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.

## BY MS. PLASKETT:

Q On Form DS318, it lists Ms. Berry as a tenant. On Exhibit 1, it lists Ms. Berry as an owner.

Is there anything in your tracking system that would alert you to this change?

A The tracking system is updated with the
application submitted. It's not an automatic alert if there's a change. If we're not notified, then we would not be making the change.

When the application was submitted, this is a requirement, with initial submittal.

MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you referring to -THE WITNESS: Exhibit 1.
Along with the Ownership Disclosure Statement. 5 And, again, for purposes of accepting an application, we look at a signed Ownership Disclosure Statement signed by the property owner, and we validate that against the Grant Deed that was provided with that. That demonstrates that
is the property owner. And that information is inputted into the system.

## BY MS. PLASKETT:

Q Okay. Thank you.
Because of the change in owner, the conflict on who owns this property between Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2, could that -- could the change in ownership

1 delay this project, or the application process?
2 A In order for staff to determine or validate
3 there's a change in ownership, we would need a signed
4 Ownership Disclosure Statement, along with a Grant Deed
5 showing who the new owner is.
$6 \quad$ At that point we would then make the necessary
7 changes.
8 Q Can a project like this be assigned a project 9 number without an initial Grant Deed showing ownership?

A The application would not be accepted if we
don't have an Ownership Disclosure Statement and the
Grant Deed. It's one of the initial submittal
requirements. Or some sort of legal form. Maybe a
title report that demonstrates who the property owner
is. Typically, it's the Grant Deed that's provided.
Q If there isn't -- Ms. Berry is the one who
7 submitted this application. And if she failed to
provide a Grant Deed with her name on it, what would
happen to her application?
A Could you repeat that question?
Q If Rebecca Berry, who is claiming that she was
an owner of this property on Exhibit 1, if she did not
present a Grant Deed with her application, what would happen to her application?
25 A Again, in order for an application to be

1 accepted, there needs to be proof of ownership and a
2 signed Ownership Disclosure Statement, which is your 3 Exhibit 2.

4 Q If there is a change of ownership going 5 through the process of this application, does the DPM 6 stop the process, pending the Grant Deed showing new 7 ownership?

A We would not know of new ownership, unless we
9 are notified of new ownership. And we need -- again,
0 proof of new ownership and revised Ownership Disclosure
Statement.
Q Does that lack of the Grant Deed or proof of the ownership, would that stop the application process?

A I guess. Just to repeat what I'm saying is
5 that, someone calling us, someone telling us there's
6 been a change in ownership, that's not sufficient proof 7 for anything.

We need actual proof that there has been a
change in ownership. And if there's a change in
ownership, there's no hesitation. They would provide
the change in ownership information or the proof. And
the -- a signed Ownership Disclosure Statement and the project would stay on track.

Q What would happen if you had two people claiming an ownership in the application?

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 2 |$\quad$ MR. TOOTHACRE: Incomplete hypothetical.

3 demonstrated who is the most current owner of the
4 property.
5 BY MS. PLASKETT:
$6 \quad$ Q And would that delay the processing of the
7 application waiting for the proof?
$8 \quad$ A We would not be waiting for the proof, I
9 guess. We have what we have in our application. We
10 assume it's legal and accurate information that's been
11 provided to us. And we continue processing the
12 application. Until we're notified we have a change of
13 ownership, here is our new Ownership Disclosure
14 Statement, and here's our new proof of new ownership.
$15 \quad$ At that point we would just merely change the
16 ownership information.
$17 \quad$ Q And if -- can there be two people claiming
18 ownership to one CUP application?
$19 \quad$ A Again, that's ownership -- we don't have an
20 ownership to a CUP application. We have an ownership to
21 the property.
$22 \quad$ Q In these forms, are all persons with an
23 ownership interest in the property mandated to be on the
24 forms for this application?
$25 \quad$ A Only the Ownership Disclosure Statement. And
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1 the document?
A It's different processes -- no.
They look at the submittal requirements. All
4 of them go through the same process. But the Expedite
5 program, they actually provide full sets of plans for 6 all the review team for initial review.
7 Q So is there anybody that actually reviews when 8 somebody puts in a CUP application for a marijuana
9 outlet, or any -- does any human being sit down and go
through the paperwork to see if there's any
inconsistency?
A I don't do submittal, so I don't know exactly
what they do. But that's done through our submittals
section within the department.
Q Do you get --
A It's a submittal completeness check, that
7 basically validates the application. For any
8 application, what are their required documents. The
submittal requirements are available on the City's
website as well, for CUP. What documents need to be
provided.
Q And so it's their task to go through these
3 documents and look for --
A Do they have a Grant Deed? Do they have this
form? Do they have that form? Do they have the correct

```
1 number sets of plans? That's what they do.
Q And is there -- is the submittal completeness,
is there a team of people or one person?
    A It's on the third floor of development
services department and multiple people are tasked with
that.
    We're getting applications in daily.
    Q I bet you are.
    A Yeah.
    Q Thank you. Thank you for explaining that,
because there are a lot of things that I don't
understand in this process. And I'm trying to
understand them. So I appreciate your candor and your
time.
            Okay. Going back from the discussion of
transferring from one project manager to another project
manager.
    Do you recall any of the team meetings
regarding this, the 6176 Federal?
    A I do not recall a team meeting.
    Q Do you recall when you transferred this case,
this application to Ms. Cac?
    A I think all of my -- again, I don't -- I'm so
4 busy, but I honestly -- whenever I transferred into the
Expedite program, at that point, those were the projects
```

1 that were transitioned. Um, I don't remember. I don't
2 remember the date. Honestly I don't.
$3 \quad$ Q Instead of the date, do you remember the
4 substance of the conversation?
5 A No. I -- no. I mean, the project file would
6 have had all of the information in it. And I basically
7 transitioned it.
Q Would I be able to look at the notes that go
9 with this file when transition is publicly available?
A Which project are we talking about?
Q The 6176 Federal.
A Okay. Mr. Cotton's?
Q Mr. Cotton's.
A So my recollection is that -- again, I wasn't
5 involved. But I know that there was another, um -- and
16 I didn't process or involve with the other application
17 at all. But I know when that was approved, um, the
18 applicant of this -- Mr. Cotton's property was asked,
19 um, that if they want to move forward to a final
20 decision, um, of denial, because they would have not
21 been in compliance with the municipal code. Or they
22 would like to withdraw the application. And I believe
23 they requested that they withdraw the application.
24 And when an application is withdrawn,
25 everything is, um -- the hard copy is destroyed. We

|  | Page 29 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 that were transitioned. Um, I don't remember. I don't remember the date. Honestly I don't. <br> Q Instead of the date, do you remember the substance of the conversation? <br> A No. I -- no. I mean, the project file would have had all of the information in it. And I basically transitioned it. <br> Q Would I be able to look at the notes that go with this file when transition is publicly available? <br> A Which project are we talking about? <br> Q The 6176 Federal. <br> A Okay. Mr. Cotton's? <br> Q Mr. Cotton's. <br> A So my recollection is that -- again, I wasn't involved. But I know that there was another, um -- and I didn't process or involve with the other application at all. But I know when that was approved, um, the applicant of this -- Mr. Cotton's property was asked, um, that if they want to move forward to a final decision, um, of denial, because they would have not been in compliance with the municipal code. Or they would like to withdraw the application. And I believe they requested that they withdraw the application. |  |

```
1 don't retain project applications that are withdrawn,
2 that don't go through the process.
Q Can you remember whether it was Ms. Berry or
4 Mr. Cotton who asked that it be withdrawn?
A I don't. I don't know who asked that it be
6 withdrawn. If -- whoever that was identified as the
7 \text { applicant typically requests that an applicant should be}
8 withdrawn. And that is common.
9 Could Mr. Cotton also withdraw the application
0 that was put in by Mr. Berry or by Ms. Berry?
    A No, because the applicant, um, was Ms. Berry.
    Q And only she can withdraw that application?
    A The applicant can.
    Q I have a question, only because of the way I
think it's on the general application.
            I had read where it said that the owner -- I
think it's here. I'm not sure what form it is. I've
got it highlighted, but what it said is that the owner
or the agent basically have the right to withdraw the
application, which is different than what you had just
said to me.
    MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous as to what
document we're discussing.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q With regards to only an applicant having the
```

1 ability to cancel or withdraw a CUP application for a
2 marijuana outlet.
Can I have a -- can I take a break? I can't
find this. I'm so sorry.
5 (Off the record.)
6 MS. PLASKETT: Back on the record, please.
7 I'm going to submit Exhibit 3, which is a general
8 application Form DS3032.
(Exhibit 3 was marked.)
BY MS. PLASKETT:
$Q$ Do you recognize this form?
A Yes.
Q And under number, I believe it's 3, it lists
4 the property owner as a lessee/tenant, a Rebecca Berry;
5 is that correct?
A Which number are we talking about?
Q 3.
A Oh, okay. Yes.
Q Under No. 4, the permit holder name, this is
0 the property owner person or entity that is granted
authority by the property owner to be responsible for
scheduling inspections, receiving notices of failed
3 inspection, permit expirations or revocation hearings,
4 and who has the right to cancel the approval, in
and who has the right to cancel the approval, in
addition to the property owner. And it lists a
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Q Under No. 4 , the permit holder name, this is
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## municipal code.

Is this the correct reading of that No. 4,
permit holder name?
A That is correct.
Q You had just stated that only the applicant can withdraw or cancel an application. This general application, No. 4, contradicts that.

It says that the property owner -- my reading
is that the property owner can also cancel withdraw.
Is that true?
A I can't speak to that. That's not how we have
interpreted that. It's whoever that has been given the
right to process the application on behalf of the
property owner.
Q So the way you're interpreting it, the City is interpreting it, it's only the applicant?

A Whoever that's been given the right to process the application.

MS. PLASKETT: I'm going to submit Exhibit 4, which is an email from Ms. Firouzeh or Ms. Tirandazi to Mr. Cotton, becky@tfcsd and brianna@bhpsonline. (Exhibit 4 was marked.)
MR. TOOTHACRE: Does that have a date on it?
MS. PLASKETT: It is dated March 21st, 2017.
//I

1 he cannot cancel this application without written
2 acknowledgment from Ms. Berry?
A Yes.
MS. PLASKETT: Exhibit No. 5 would be two
5 pages. And it's from you, Ms. Tirandazi, on
6 September 29, 2017 to Holly Gravino [sic], David
7 Demian -- Durmien, Abhay Schweitzer, Fitzgerald,
8 regarding 6176 Federal.
(Exhibit 5 was marked.)
0 BY MS. PLASKETT:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Q And can you please review this letter? } \\ \text { A } & \text { Uh-huh. } \\ \text { Q } & \text { In reading this email -- } \\ \text { A } & \text { Uh-huh. } \\ \text { Q } & \text {-- what was your intent to communicate to }\end{array}$
Mr. Cotton or Mr. Demian?
17 A I -- my recollection is that they wanted to
8 know whether or not they have the right to submit
19 another application on the same property. And so
20 development services doesn't deny anyone to submit an
21 application. And I just wanted to let them know that we
22 have another one that's in process. And explaining
23 how -- how it would be processed, and what would happen
24 once one of them is approved.
25 Q Thank you.
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## BY MS. PLASKETT:

Q Can you read this, please.
A "As a follow-up per our conversation this
morning regarding your potential interest as property
owner" --
MR. TOOTHACRE: I think she -- do you mean out loud?

THE WITNESS: Do you want me to read it out
loud or just read it to myself?
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q You can read it to yourself.
A Okay.
Q In my reading of this email to Mr. Cotton, it's -- it states that because he's not the financially responsible party for the subject application, that you
required -- or the City required written acknowledgment
from Ms. Berry, the applicant, to withdraw the CUP application.

Is that true?
A And -- yes. Ms. Berry was also the applicant,
who happened to be the financial responsible party. So
it's just a matter of use of the term.
Q Okay.
A They were both the same.
Q Does this email basically tell Mr. Cotton that
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Did under the cancellation -- excuse me, the
2 last exhibit, was Mr. -- was Ms. Berry's application
3 ever canceled? At that point in time, after receiving
4 the request for Mr. Cotton to cancel Ms. Berry's
5 application, was it canceled or did the City continue to
6 process Ms. Berry's application?
7 A I'm sorry. I wasn't even involved at that --
8 at this point when the other project was approved.
Q I'm still talking about the Cotton project, 10 the Cotton/Berry project.

A Okay.
Q When they -- when Mr. Cotton was wanting to
cancel Ms. Berry's CUP on the property, was it canceled?
A No.
Q Did the City continue working on it?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall if Mr. Cotton asked to submit
another CUP?
A I don't recall if it was Mr. Cotton or someone
0 else on his behalf or who, but I know that there was a
1 request to submit another CUP that -- um, on the same
property.
23 Q Was that request initially denied?
24 A I don't recall. I think in the beginning
5 it -- perhaps. But then as we discussed it with
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1 management and our City attorney, it was advised that we
2 cannot -- anyone can submit an application. We cannot
3 put a stop and we would -- they can submit the
4 application and move through the process.
5 So it could be that initially I may have, and
6 then per this email I said you could go ahead and 7 submit.
$8 \quad$ Q Okay. Thank you.
9 A But it's very rare. And I -- that someone
10 would be asking to submit another application on the 11 same property. So it was a new one for me.

Q I bet this case had a bunch of new ones for you.

## Do you know who Mr. Larry Geraci is?

A No.
Q Do you know anything about the lawsuit between
Mr. Geraci and Mr. Cotton?
A You know, there was some lawsuit. I don't
know if it was the same one. There was one that
involved the City as well, but I didn't really get
pulled into it.
So the high level, I know there's been some
3 lawsuits going on, but I know who -- who the parties
24 are, and I know one, I think, involved the City, but I
25 don't know if it ever went anywhere. So I don't -- I

|  |
| ---: |
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1 didn't really get pulled into those.
2 Q If -- once -- do you recall Mr. Cotton 3 contacting your office and stating that he is the owner 4 of the property?
5 A Yes.
$6 \quad$ Q And did you recall him asking to cancel?
7 A Um, at first he -- the first conversation he
8 had with me I recall was, he was surprised that he got a
9 notice on his property and he didn't know anything about
10 it. And that's how -- and accused the City of falsely
11 accepting the application. I opened up the project file
12 to find out, Oh, wow. Who -- who signed the Ownership
13 Disclosure Statement? And I found that it was
14 Mr . Cotton.
15 So I explained to him, I said, "Well, we
16 have -- you have signed an Ownership Disclosure
17 Statement. And, therefore, the application was
18 submitted because there was a signed Ownership
19 Disclosure Statement signed by you, which matches the
20 Grant Deed, demonstrating who the legal owner of the
21 property is."
22 And then he said, "Okay. Well, let me check
23 into it." So I think that there was some follow-up
24 conversations and then he said, "Oh, yeah, I remember
25 now."

I don't remember the details of that
2 conversation. And I think then later on he called and
3 he wanted to withdraw it. And I said, "Well, the
4 applicant will also need to agree to the withdrawal."
5 And we cannot have this application withdrawn.
6 And then I think from that point on, it got to the point
7 where the question as to whether he can have another --
8 can he submit his own application, and I think in the
9 beginning I may have said no, because we typically don't
0 accept applications on the same property. But I think
after consulting with our management and city attorney,
um, it was clarified that DSD cannot deny the
opportunity of someone wanting to submit an application.
And, therefore, I believe I, um, indicated
that he -- that they could submit another application.
16 I don't know who I communicated that to. I don't know
7 if it's Mr. Cotton or someone else. But I know it was
8 related to another CUP application on the property.
Q Okay. Thank you. That helps -- the narrative
really helps me, because I don't know exactly what
happened in this process.
A Uh-huh.
Q And having the ability for you to explain how
it's happening really will expedite this. So thank you.
Do you recall Mr. Cotton bringing up the name
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## Geraci?

A The name is familiar.
Q And did he -- do you remember -- recall if he
complained that Mr. Geraci's name is not on any of these forms?

A I don't recall.
Q Okay. Thank you.
Do you recall any conversations with Ms. Berry
9 regarding her interest in this application, her interest
in the property?
A No.
Q Thank you.
There was a letter from your office in 2017
that brought up the issue about the Grant Deed where --
wherein the City made a demand for a Grant Deed or said
16 they would cancel the project.
And in that letter, it stated that if the
Grant Deed wasn't produced showing the applicant or
somebody with the Grant Deed, that the project had been
canceled.
Do you recall that happening?
A No.
Q I'm not sure if it was during your tenure as
4 the DPM or if it was Ms. Cac, but you don't remember
that?
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A No, I don't recall that specifically. No
Grant Deed.
Q Would it be normal for an application to
continue if the City requests a Grant Deed and not --
and a Grant Deed is not given? Is not produced?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Incomplete hypothetical.
Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Um, so, again, the City does not
accept an application without proof of ownership and
signed Ownership Disclosure Statement.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q And if they do ask for the Grant Deed, in this case, it's my understanding that a Grant Deed was requested by the City. It wasn't provided, yet the City continued to process the application.

MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and misstates the evidence.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q My question is, why would the City continue processing an application if the Grant Deed they
mandated wasn't provided?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: I honestly don't understand
the -- it's hypothetical. I don't understand the
specifics. I couldn't speak to that.

BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q So it wasn't you that asked for the Grant Deed for Mr. Cotton?

A I believe there may have been a discussion
where he claimed that he had sold the property. There's
a new property owner. And I said, "Okay. Well, then I
would need a revised Ownership Disclosure Statement and
8 a Grant Deed to proceed with the application."
Q Do you recall ever receiving that?
A No. Not during my tenure, I did not.
Q Was it around that time it was transferred from you to Ms. Cac?

A Again, if you have the emails, you should know the dates. I don't remember the dates.

Q All right. Thank you.
Do you recall ever receiving the new Grant
Deed with a new owner's name?
A I did not.
Q Did you continue to process this application?
A Until it was transferred, yes.
Q Okay. Thank you.
Would these -- would the issue of the change
in ownership and the request for the Grant Deed have
24 slowed down processing the application?
A No. Again, we have an application and we have

1 all of the required documents to process an application.
2 And if there's a change in ownership, it's the
3 responsibility of the applicant to notify us that
4 there's a change in ownership. Um, and at that point we
5 would make sure that at the end of -- you know, on the
6 permit, that we have the correct legal information
7 identified on the permit.
8 Q Okay. Do you believe in processing this 9 application, was -- did Mr. Cotton have the same rights
0 as Ms. Berry with regards to this application?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls
for legal conclusion.
THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q If you had conflicting instructions regarding
this CUP application, would you -- would you be asking
Mr. Cotton or Ms. Berry?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: So, again, we had all of the
documents and the signatures to proceed with the
application. And the Ownership Disclosure Statement
demonstrated that the property owner had agreed to this application being submitted, and was being processed.

So that would really be a civil matter that would
have to be handled. We had the documents and continue with
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1 the processing of the application.
2 BY MS. PLASKETT:
3 Could you process this -- is it possible to
4 process a CUP application with an agent when the owner 5 objects?
6 MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections.
7 THE WITNESS: If the applicant has submitted
8 the application with the authorization of the owner, we
have everything we need to process the application.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q What would happen if the owner wanted to
withdraw the applicant's application on his property?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Same.
THE WITNESS: We would need the request to
come from the applicant, as well.
6 BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q So the owner cannot stop the application
without the applicant's approval; is that correct?
A Well, it wasn't the owner that submitted the
application. It was the owner that authorized the
application to be submitted on his behalf. So the
applicant then would have to request to withdraw the application.

Again, when you -- if you think of a big
picture, high level, those issues would have had to have



code, they have to be actively processed. And if we
2 don't have any activity in 90 days, at that point, we
would notify the applicant that, you know, you've been
4 inactive for 90 days. Please advise if you want to
proceed. Or, um, you would be requesting to withdraw
6 your application.
7 And in this case, I believe I had just talked
to Cherlyn about closing out projects, where, um -- or
following up with the applicants where projects have no
10 activity or projects that, um, we would be recommending
11 denial, because of, um, non-compliance with the
municipal code.
13 And I may have asked her to follow up with the
14 applicant and to request whether they would like to
15 continue processing the application, the final decision
16 where a staff would be recommending denial because of
within a thousand feet, another approved facility or if
they wish to withdraw the application.
Q And do you recall what was decided in this case?

A I believe it's -- it was withdrawn, because
our project tracking system shows that it was withdrawn.
Q Okay. And you wouldn't happen to know who withdrew the application?

A Not off the top of my head, no.

## Q Okay. Thank you.

When there is a transition from one DPM to another DPM, does -- is there somebody, such as a supervisor, that monitors the transition to make sure they stay on track?

A We all have supervisors.
Q And did the supervisors monitor a transition
from one DPM to another to make sure that they've
actually gotten what they're supposed to get?
A Um, we're all adults and professionals. So
we're not micromanaged.

## Q Okay.

A So as a DPM 2, you would know what -- um, what
you need to have in order to continue processing of the
application. So I think there -- it's -- make sure
that, um, it's -- for workload management purposes, that
the project files are transferred.
Q Okay. And is there anybody that monitors to make sure that it's transferred?

A No. That's -- it -- there's no reason why it
wouldn't, I guess.
Q Okay. Fair enough.
Who makes the decision to transfer certain
cases to other DPMs?
A It's a workload management. And it's by our

1 department management. Project management section.
2 Q Is there actually a department called workload
3 management?
4 A No. It's our development services department,
5 project management division.
6 So, um, there's often -- you know, due to a
7 staff person taking a leave of absence or someone
8 getting a promotion. Someone being sick, um, you know,
9 for a long period of time. Um, we have a list of what
0 everyone's workload is, and how to -- and then our, um,
1 management decides how to, um, transfer that workload to
be equitable.
In this case, though, there was a -- a
transition of me into a different area within the
department. And then Cherlyn Cac coming into the
department. And, therefore, took over most of these
projects.
Q Most of your projects?
A Uh-huh. And then John Fisher was another, um,
individual. Um, bless his heart, he passed away.

## Q I'm sorry.

A But he -- he had been sick and --
Q Hold on a second.
Have you ever seen an application be affected
time-wise when they're transferred from one DPM to
another?
Are there any delays that you know of?
A There shouldn't be.
Q In this case, a particular -- do you remember
there being any type of delays?
A Um, I don't recall, no.
Q I'm almost to the end of my questions.
MS. PLASKETT: Off the record, please.
(Off the record.)
MS. PLASKETT: Can we go back on the record,
please?
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q All right. I've got a couple I'm going to try
to get through real quick.
Do you recall about what time period in 2017
Mr. Cotton requested to put in his own CUP? Spring?
Summer? Fall?
A I don't recall at all.
Q Do you recall telling him he couldn't?
A I do. In the beginning.
Q And Mr. Cotton is claiming that he made this
request in March of 2017, and it was only after you
received the letter by his attorneys in September of
2017. Did you give him permission?

Does that sound like a correct statement?
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1 before it transitioned out.

## Q There was a request to withdraw 6176 before

you transitioned out?
A Right. By Mr. Cotton.
Q Oh, correct. Okay. But it kept being
processed after that, correct?
A It continued to be processed after that.
Q Okay. I misunderstood. Now I see what you're talking about by Mr. Cotton.

I have some of the same documents.
Were yours numbers? Are you going to be able
to recreate those?
A I don't have a number on this letter, May 19th letter.

MR. TOOTHACRE: What number are we after?
MS. PLASKETT: 8 would be the number on
this -- defense letter is 8 .
MR. TOOTHACRE: This is going to be 9 .
(Exhibit 9 was marked.)
BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
Q Firouzeh, what I've handed you is Exhibit 9, which is an email dated $3 / 14 / 2017$, from you to Becky Berry.

Do you recall this email?
A Yes.

## 1 BY MR. TOOTHACRE:

```
    Q Have you seen these before?
    A Right. This is when I must have been
4 notified. It's the day after the other email.
    Q Right.
6 A This must be after I was notified that the
7 \text { code has changed.}
Q And it indicates, "Firouzeh just called me.
9 Jeff Murphy spoke to her and we are good to go. She
0 will be sending out NOA within a week."
            Who is Jeff Murphy?
            A He was planning director --
            Q Is that --
            A -- at the time.
            Q Is that a supervisor of yours?
            A No. Planning director is, um -- so the code
team, where the code changes are made, is under the
planning department, not development services
department.
    Q Okay. So this is the ironing out of the
zoning issue?
    A I don't see my name. I don't remember seeing
these emails until the end. So the other communication
is...
    MS. PLASKETT: There's one.
```

| 1 | If we look on the second page, there's one from |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 you. |  |
|  | THE WITNESS: Yeah. Which is the same one, |
| 4 Exhibit 9. |  |
| 5 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: |  |
| 6 Q Right. |  |
| 7 Do you recall sending that email regarding the |  |
| 8 zoning issue? |  |
| 9 A I do. I do, because when I got the |  |
| 0 application, the first thing -- the use is not allowed |  |
| 1 in this zone. Why are we accepting an application? And |  |
| 2 then it was clarified that the code had changed. |  |
| 3 The staff had just not communicated that that |  |
| 4 had taken effect. |  |
|  | MR. TOOTHACRE: I'll hand you another exhibit, |
| 6 which will be No. 11. |  |
| 7 (Exhibit 11 was marked.) |  |
| 8 BY MR. TOOTHACRE: |  |
| 9 Q This is dated, March 16th, 2017. It appears |  |
| 0 to be from Mr. Cotton, and it's to you with a ce to |  |
| 1 Rebecca Berry. |  |
| Do you recall this email? |  |
| 3 A Um, yes. |  |
|  | This is when I was being, um, questioned as to |
|  | the application was accepted and he wasn't aware of |

Q Okay. And in this email, are you indicating
that you believe 6176 is improperly being processed in a
zone that is not proper for that function?
Boy, that was horrible.
A That is correct, but I was -- the day after --
basically, this zone had taken -- this zone change had
taken place and stuff had not been communicated.
And I think the day after or two days after, I
was advised that council did adopt the ordinance. And,
therefore, um, they are allowed to continue to process.
Q Do you recall if there was a conflict between
the municipal code and is there a Form 190. Proposal
190?
A Um, the information, the one with the 170.
Q 170. That's correct.
A There was a conflict, yes.
Q Okay. And so now that got resolved?
A By the revision to the ordinance.
MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. I'll mark this as 10 .
(Exhibit 10 was marked.)
THE WITNESS: Or adoption of the ordinance. A
corrected discrepancy.
MR. TOOTHACRE: This is, for the record, a
series of emails dated March 15th from Gina Austin, cc'd
25 to Jim Bartell. Abhay Schweitzer.
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1 it.
2 Q Okay. You indicate, "As requested, please
find attached the Ownership Disclosures Statement signed
by you, property owner, and Rebecca Berry, a
tenant/lessee on October 31, 2016."
A Uh-huh.
Q So he was requesting proof that he had signed
the Owner Disclosure Statement?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And did you actually send him a copy of
the disclosure statement?
A Yes.
Q Next sentence you indicate, "The project was
deemed complete on March 13, 2017, and is currently in first cycle."

What does that mean? What is deem and
complete, first of all?
A So, basically, um -- again, it's a submittal.
When an applicant wants to submit an application for
discretionary application, um, they make an appointment
with our submittal team and have the required documents
to make that submittal.
Um, there's a completeness check. I don't
know how long that takes usually. And they go through
5 the completeness check to make sure all the required
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| :--- |

1 documents and number of copies have been provided.
2 And then, um, at that point, the application
3 is accepted. Payment is made to process the CUP or the
4 permit. And that's when it's deemed complete. That
5 deemed complete date is important, um, in that any
6 regulation, um, for example, if they submit it on
certain date, based on certain regulations, and those
regulations change later on, that -- they have to be
complete and are subject to all of the regulations that
10 were in effect at the time the application was deemed
complete.

## Q Okay. Understood.

And what is the first review cycle?
A That is -- so we have review cycles.
Typically, applications are submitted, and I think it's
16 ten working days review cycle or 15 -day review cycle for
CUP applications. And staff completes the review within
a few days. The assessment letter is issued, and that
completes the first review cycle.

## Q Okay.

A When this application is resubmitted, then
it's the second review cycle.
Q Okay. And after the first review cycle, do they pull out further information that's required? Or what's the purpose of that?
$1 \quad$ A So when we review a project, sometimes they
2 don't have accurate information on plans. They're
3 missing information. Um, and the review team reviews
4 those applications to the project plans to make sure
5 that it's in compliance with the development
6 regulations, applicable policy documents.
$7 \quad$ Q And so is the applicant required to correct
8 all the deficiencies pointed out in the first review
9 cycle, and then resubmit?
$0 \quad$ A That is correct.
$1 \quad$ Q Okay. And I take it there's a second review
2 cycle, correct?
$3 \quad$ A That is correct. Usually. Unless all
4 deficiencies are addressed.
$5 \quad$ Q And that they would point out further
6 deficiencies?
$7 \quad$ A Uh-huh.
$8 \quad$ Q And then it would be for the applicant to
9 correct all those and deficiencies and resubmit again?
$0 \quad$ A Correct.
$1 \quad$ Q Who determines how many cycles there's going
2 to be?
2 A We hope that usually it doesn't go more than
4 four cycles, but with these applications, sometimes they
5 try to turn it around very fast, and they omit a lot of

A So when we review a project, sometimes they
2 don't have accurate information on plans. They're
3 missing information. Um, and the review team reviews
4 those applications to the project plans to make sure
5 that it's in compliance with the development
6 regulations, applicable policy documents.
7 Q And so is the applicant required to correct 8 all the deficiencies pointed out in the first review 9 cycle, and then resubmit?
10 A That is correct.
11 Q Okay. And I take it there's a second review
2 cycle, correct?
A That is correct. Usually. Unless all
dressed
deficiencies?
A Uh-huh.
Q And then it would be for the applicant to
correct all those and deficiencies and resubmit again?
A Correct.
to be?
We hope that usually it doesn't go more than
try to turn it around very fast, and they omit a lot of
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1 things that was already communicated to them before?
Q You mean, the applicant?
A Right.
Q Okay.
MR. TOOTHACRE: So we're up to 12?
THE REPORTER: Yes.
(Exhibit 12 was marked.)
BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
9 Q And for the record, Exhibit 12 is email from Firouzeh Tirandazi to Darryl Cotton.

Do you recall this email? It should say 12 on the bottom.
A Yes.
Q Okay. And this is -- you say it's the
follow-up conversation this morning, regarding your
potential interest as property owner, and withdrawing
the above interest to you, the application.
Did Mr. Cotton have a conversation with you
where he indicated he would like to withdraw the CUP
application?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And you say, "I just noticed that you
were not the financial responsible party for the subject
application. As such, I will also use written
acknowledgement from Ms. Rebecca Berry, the applicant,
who is the financial responsible party to withdraw the CUP application."

Do you know whether or not Ms. Berry ever gave
a written acknowledgement to withdraw that CUP
application?
A No.
Q And then does the next page go with this
exhibit? It seems to be a different email from Darryl
Cotton to you. "Firouzeh, thank you for the 2/14
Council docket."
A Yeah. "Firouzeh" -- there's a lot of
information there, that perhaps I just missed it.
"Would you be able to tell me where that can be found?"
This was, um, his inquiry about the zone
change, because he didn't -- I believe he -- what I had
provided him is the link to the council, um, agenda that
includes the ordinance that demonstrates the zone was,
um -- the zone -- that use was -- the municipal code was
revised to allow that use within that zone. His
property zone.
Q Okay. Do you have any conversations with him
about that?
A Um, I believe he was asking me about it, and I
was giving him information.
Q Okay. Did he indicate what his concern was
regarding the zone?
A Um, I don't, but I think he may have thought
that his -- the zone does not allow the use.
Q Okay. Did you find it odd that Mr. Cotton was having all these inquiries on this particular CUP when the financial responsible party was Rebecca Berry?

A It's his property, so he was asking questions.
I didn't think anything of it.
Q Was the point of contact on this project,
Abhay Schweitzer?
A I believe so.
MR. TOOTHACRE: Going on to No. 13.
(Exhibit 13 was marked.)
BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
Q This appears to be from Abhay Schweitzer to
you, correct?
A Uh-huh.
Q And I think you have to read from the back
forward.
A Right.
Q We are -- he says -- Abhay Schweitzer says,
"We are working with the property owner on this project
23 to get the notice posted, but we have not been able to
24 do it yet. Would it be possible to get an extension?"
A Right.

Q Do you recall him making that inquiry to you?
2 A I'm seeing it now, but, no, I don't recall
3 this.
4 Q Do you recall -- did he ever indicate to you
5 why they were having trouble getting the notice posted
6 on the property?
7 A Maybe at a high level that there's an issue
8 with the property owner, not the details of it.
$9 \quad$ Q Okay. And then you replied, "Per the San
0 Diego Municipal Code, the notice of application is to be
1 posted within five business days of receipt. And then
2 you cite the municipal codes; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And then, Abhay asks you, "Hi, Firouzeh, thank
you for the response. What happens if we can't post on
time or if we can't post at all?" Correct?
A Uh-huh. Correct.
Q And then you indicate it would be in violation
was the code, correct?
A It would be in violation of the code, but then
I also added, "The SDMC further states error in posting
doesn't invalidate".

## Q Does it invalidate?

A The decision on an applicant -- I mean, I
don't remember the specifics. The code does say it
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1 needs to be posted. But then again, if there's an error
2 in posting, "The posting required by this section, is
3 intended only to supplement other notice requirements of
4 this division. The decision on an application for a
5 permit, map or others matter, should not be invalidated,
6 because of any error or irregularity and the posting of 7 the notice."
$8 \quad$ Q Do you recall whether or not this inability to
9 have access to the property to do the posting, cause
some delay in the processing of the application?
A No. It would not have caused delay in
processing the application. There was also a notice,
mail notice. And the purpose of the notice is to notify
occupants and property owners within a 300 -foot radius,
that an application is in process.
MR. TOOTHACRE: I've marked as No. 14.
(Exhibit 14 was marked.)

## BY MR. TOOTHACRE:

Q This appears to be a May 8th, 2017 email from
Firouzeh Tirandazi to Mr. Cotton.
Do you recall sending this email?
A I do not recall, but it's -- it seems that I have.

Q What was your purpose in sending this to Mr. Cotton?
$1 \quad$ A $\quad$ It may have been a response to a phone call or
2 a message, asking about the status of the project and
3 when it's gonna go to hearing. I may have just --
4 rather than call him back, sent him an email.
$5 \quad$ Q Okay. And this is nothing more than saying
6 what the process is going to be?
7 A $\quad$ No. This is just explaining the process.

Q Abhay Schweitzer is on there. Darryl Cotton and Jim Bartell, correct?

A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
Q On the last page it says, "Hello Firouzeh. My name is Daniel Tames from Ene-Te Studio."

A Uh-huh.
Q "I'm trying to see if it's possible to
schedule a meeting with you and see some issues and questions that we have regards on Project No. 520606."

Is that the Geraci project, to the best of
your knowledge? Rebecca Berry project?
A That -- yes. That project number is Rebecca Berry project.

Q Okay. And he wants to have a meeting with you.

Did you end up having a meeting with him?
A I don't believe so. Typically, um, we deal directly with the point of contact that's been assigned on the project. And I don't recall. I don't remember.

Q Okay. And the next page forward. It appears
to be another email from Rodney Bruce, August 7, 2017 at
1:14 p.m. You're cc'd on it. Actually, you're cc'd on
it and it's titled to you. "Firouzeh, we are in the
process of starting a different application outside of
the one you currently have in progress for the Federal

1 Boulevard property."
2 Do you recall whether or not Rodney Bruce 3 submitted a --

A I don't remember who it was, but I recall an
5 interest in submitting another application.
6 Q Is this the same application that Mr. Cotton 7 was talking about submitting? Do you know?

A I believe so.
Q And then on the first page there's an email
from Rodney Bruce to you. "Firouzeh, how long is the
previous application allowed to stay on the City's
records without any action towards progressing the application? Would the City keep the application open infinitely?"

A Uh-huh.
Q And did you respond to that?
A I don't remember.
Q What's the answer to that question?
A How long is the previous application allowed
to stay on the City's records without any action towards
progressing the application. We do not keep an
application open until infinity. Um, granted for the
property, at what point -- if the current applicant has
not been or unable to produce a Grant Deed for the
property, at what point will the City cease to consider
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1 the validity of the application and force an application 2 closure.
3 So I don't remember the specifics of this, but 4 our standard is, um, typically, within 90 days, um, if 5 the application stays inactive -- and, again, we don't
6 proactively go after applicants saying, Hey, your 90
7 days is up. We haven't received anything, what do you
8 want to do, because we are supervisor busy.
And, um, we expect that an application to come
0 in . So if something has been sitting there for quite
sometime and hasn't, you know, progressed, we would then
reach out to them and say, What do you want to do? Do
you want to withdraw your application or when do you
expect to resubmit?
And sometimes they say, Well, we're delayed.
6 We plan to resubmit within the next two months.
Q Okay.
A But that's how it typically works. And I
don't remember specific to this, um, application.
Q Okay. Below that it says, Good afternoon.
A Uh-huh.
Q And it appears to me that this has been cut
3 and pasted into this particular email string because
there's no heading, but I believe it's you speaking.
Good afternoon, this is a follow up to the phone

```
conversation I just had with Daniel Tames.
A Okay.
    Q The Development Services Department is
currently processing a CUP application for an MCC on
site located at 6176 Federal Boulevard. Another
application for the same use on the same property cannot
be processed. A new application can be accepted if the
current application is withdrawn. A request to withdraw
the current application must come from both the property
owner and the financial responsible party associated
with the current CUP.
    A Uh-huh.
    Q Is that what you testified to earlier? That
Mr. Cotton wanted to submit a second application on the
same property, and you requested that if he was going to
do that, he had to withdraw the prior one with the
consent of Rebecca Berry?
    A That was our initial response. And then as I
had indicated previously, after following up with
management and our city attorney's office, we were
advised that we cannot deny an opportunity for someone
to submit an application.
    Q Okay. You indicate, Daniel advise that
24 ownership of the property has changed.
25 Do you recall --
```

A Yes.
Q -- Daniel Tames telling you that?
A Possibly.
Q Okay. You say prior to --
A Uh-huh.
Q -- on the current application, I will need an
updated general application, a new Ownership Disclosure
Statement and a new Grant Deed, so that way we may have
the most updated information for the project.
A Uh-huh.
Q Did you ever receive an updated Grant Deed
showing that the property had sold?
A No.
Q Okay. Is that why the first application by
Rebecca Berry continued to be processed?
A Yes.
Q You say, If, as a result of this, there's a
new financial responsible party, please provide the
Change of Responsible Party Form.
A Uh-huh.
Q Did anybody ever provide the Change of
Responsible Party Form?
A Not during the time I was managing the
4 project.
Q Okay. And now can you reiterate? If you wish

1 that's what he's asking requesting to do. I see that I
was copied on the email as well?

## Q Is that Mr. Kreg?

A Yes. I don't know what he ended up doing on this. I don't recall.

Q Do you recall whether the 602 Euclid Avenue report in the northwest corner of Federal Boulevard and 60th reports, were adequate or whether or not
Mr. Schweitzer had to obtain the geotechnical reports on the actual property at 6176 ?

A I do not recall.
Q If Mr. Schweitzer was not able to have access to the property at $\mathbf{6 1 7 6}$ for approximately three months to obtain a geological report, would that have delayed the processing of the application?

MS. PLASKETT: Objection. Improper hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question again?

MR. TOOTHACRE: Yes.

## BY MR. TOOTHACRE:

Q If Mr. Schweitzer was unable to get the geotechnical access to the $\mathbf{6 1 7 6}$ property, to conduct the geotechnical evaluations for three months, would that have delayed the processing of the application by that

## three months?

A Um, so, if a -- one of our review disciplines is requiring a technical study to complete its review, and the reviewer does not have that technical study, then that review cannot be completed until that review is submitted.
Q Okay. And you can't complete a cycle -- what is it that they resubmit, a re-submittal?

A A re-submittal.
Q Okay. You cannot complete -- strike that.
You cannot submit a re-submittal on a cycle until all of the information that is requested is complete; is that correct?

A That is correct. Although if an applicant
wishes to submit, um, they understand that they need
that document in order to have a completed review and a
complete and environmental determination made to -- but,
um, sometimes we do receive requests where, um, it's taking longer to have a technical study prepared. And then they would want to defer a submittal of that, so we don't want to hold up the review. We would allow them, but the end of the day they will need to have all the requirement documents.

Q So it's not going to be approved without that report?

```
    A That's correct. And it would -- yeah, it
would delay it.
3 MS. PLASKETT: Do you know if there was a
4 deferment in this case.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
6 MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm handing you what's been
7 \text { marked as Exhibit 18, which was already marked earlier.}
8 I just don't know what number it was, so I remarked it.
9 (Exhibit }18\mathrm{ was marked.)
O BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
    Q This is the letter from Finch Thornton &
Baird, September 22nd, 2017 to you, Development Project
Manager II.
            Do you recall this document?
            A Um, I believe -- I don't -- this is the same
    one. I mean, if it's addressed to me, I'm sure I
received it.
    Q Okay. He's requesting, one, that you remove
Ms. Berry from the project, 520606, correct?
    A Uh-huh.
    Q Was she ever removed from that project?
    A Um, no.
    Q And Request 2, that you accept the second
application from Mr. Cotton; is that correct, on Page 2?
    A Yes.
```

```
        Q And did Mr. Cotton ever submit a second
application, to your knowledge?
    A I don't know.
        Q Okay.
        A We did get a second application. I wasn't
6 involved in that --
        Q Okay.
        A -- to my recollection.
        MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Let's do 19.
            (Exhibit 19 was marked.)
BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
        Q I'm handing you Exhibit 19, sent from you to
Holly J. Glavinic and cc'd to David S. Demian.
            I don't think you've seen that one yet.
        A Uh-huh.
        Q Is this an email you sent?
        A It's -- looks like it is.
        Q And you say, "Good afternoon Mr. Demian,
        Development Services Department is in receipt of your
        correspondence dated, September 22, 2017. You may
        submit an application for a CUP for a medical marijuana
        outlet -- strike that, for marijuana outlet." may.
            Is this after talking to your supervisors,
you're deciding that you couldn't prevent anybody from
submitting an application?
```

```
A That is correct.
Q Okay.
    A It seems that the letter -- this email went
out in response to the letter that was received.
Q That's what I understood.
        The last paragraph you indicate, "Please be
advised that the City is only able to make a decision on
one of these applications. The first project deemed
ready for a decision by a hearing officer will be
scheduled for a public hearing, following any final on
one of the CUP applications submitted for the above
referenced address. The CUP applications still in
process, would be obsolete and would need to be
withdrawn;" is that correct?
    A Correct.
    Q And why is that?
    A Um, because we cannot have two marijuana
outlets on the same property. It's in violation of the
1,000-foot distance.
    Q Got it. Okay.
        MR. TOOTHACRE:And then Exhibit }20
            (Exhibit 20 was marked.)
        THE WITNESS: So this is in response. Holly
Glavinic, is a response to the email that I had
25 received, which included this letter.
```

1 Q Sure.
2 A And just to clarify. Um, I would not be able
3 to take just an ownership disclosure. I'm sorry, just
4 an application that says this is the new owner. I would
5 need proof. I need an Ownership Disclosure Statement
6 along with some legal proof that shows there's been a
7 change in ownership. And who the new legal property
8 owner is.
$9 \quad$ Q Okay. Did he --
10 A I did not -- I don't recall receiving it.
1 That's probably why the -- the ownership information
12 never changed.
Q Okay. And your response down below is saying,
"You may have misunderstood me. If the property has
changed over, feel free to provide an updated general
application Ownership Disclosure Statement and a new
Grant Deed."
A Uh-huh.
Q Was any of that provided to you?
A No.
MR. TOOTHACRE: Handing you 21.
(Exhibit 21 was marked.)
BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
Q I don't know if you sharing the light on this,
5 although you are in the ce.
.
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MR. TOOTHACRE: Correct.
THE WITNESS: Because I -- yeah.
BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
Q And that's in reference again to Exhibit 19.
A Uh-huh.
Q Yes?
A I'm sorry. Reference to?
Q Exhibit 19?
A That's correct. Yes.
Q And I'm handing you 20, if I could. Which
appears to be a May 15, 2017 email from Darryl Cotton to
you.
He says, "Hello Firouzeh, following up on our
conversation on Friday, I appreciate that you
procedurally cannot accept the updated Ownership
Disclosure Statement, reflecting Richard Martin, for the
CUP application on the property."
Do you recall Mr. Cotton attempting to provide
an updated Ownership Disclosure Statement reflecting
Richard Martin?
A Possibly. I do not recall specifically.
$Q$ And do you recall saying that you couldn't
accept that updated statement?
A So if I may add. I don't like things being
taken out of context.
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```
email took you a week for you to respond to me. After
all that time it was superfluous and did not address any
one of the five issues I had raised in my Friday" --
something is cut off, -- "fairly and impartially. DSD
is handling the 6220 and 6176 Federal Boulevard
applications. I gave you specific issues that as deputy
director, I thought you would" --
    MS. PLASKETT:Something is missing there.
    MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, something is missing
    there.
    BY MR. TOOTHACRE:
    Q "-- nothing substantively whatsoever. After
    reading my 7/27/18 email, there is no way I believe you
    can see this as a business as usual or request or
    response yet, that is evidence I've provided you within
    that email."
    Do you recall whether or not 6176 and 6220
were processed any differently from one another?
    A I wasn't involved in the other applications,
so I don't know how it was processed.
    Q Okay.
    A But I don't see a reason why it would be.
    Q Have you heard any discussions in the office
regarding that?
    A No.
```

    Q Had you heard any discussions in the office
    that Mr. Cotton was asserting that $\mathbf{6 2 2 0}$ was receiving
favoritism over 71 -- 6176?
A I don't recall.
MR. TOOTHACRE: Last one. This appears to be
the fairly lengthy email from Mr. Cotton. Subject: 6176
to Cherlyn Cac, Ken Marlbrough, Michelle Sokolowski,
Martha Blake.
Who's Martha Blake?
A She's the general project manager. I don't
know what her involvement in this is.
Q "Firouzeh Tirandazi. Chen Hoy."
Who's Chen Hoy?
A I don't know.
Q And PJ Fitzgerald. You don't know who that
is? How about Laura Black? Do you know who Laura Black
is?
A Laura Black is the, um, the deputy director
over at planning department.
Q Okay. He indicates that, "As you no doubt
have seen from the other emails I sent off yesterday,
there is an increase in concern on my part that DSD MMCC
CUP application at 6176 Federal Boulevard, Project No.
520606 and the accompanying MOCP application at 6220
Federal Boulevard," it gives a project number -- "are

1 not being processed fairly and impartially by DSD."
Do you recall any conversations in the office?
A No. I don't believe -- because I think I had
4 transitioned out and I stayed away from it. I had my
5 own projects to deal with.
6 Q Okay. He indicates, "As to your directing me
7 to seek out additional information from Ken Marlbrough, 8 unfortunately that is no longer an option for me. In an
9 email exchange I had with Mr. Marlbrough, he told me he
0 will no longer respond to my inquiries. And as of
11 today, he has not responded to my email at the beginning
of this thread."
Were you aware that Mr. Marlbrough had refused
to correspond with Mr. Cotton at any point?
A I don't recall.
Q He lists his five questions at the bottom.
A Oh, Gerry Braun is identified in this email.
He's chief of staff.
Q Of what?
A San Diego City Attorneys office. Third
paragraph.
Q Do you know if there's any discussions with
the city attorney staff and your department regarding this email?

A No. I -- I -- I don't know.
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```
Q Okay. His questions are down below, No. 1.
2 "The 6176 CUP application is currently in Cycle 7 slash
8 8, cycle review status. It took DSD five months from
10/31/16 to 3/13/17 to even get past preliminary
5 approval, whereby a project number slash manager was
assigned."
7 How is it that 6220 CUP application has
8 reached a Cycle 12 review status, when it was only
9 produced to DSD in March of 2018?
            Are you able to answer that question?
    A So, again, with the project, I believe, um,
the first one came in prior to the zone change that
allowed the application to proceed.
Q Right.
A And, therefore, perhaps submittal -- they
didn't have everything they needed, in order to deem the
application complete, and, um, start off the review.
Q Are you aware of any other causes that might
be in response to his question No. }1\mathrm{ there?
    A Yeah. I mean, it went through that process.
They were probably advised that the use is not allowed
in the zone.
    And, therefore, um, they submitted it after --
4 seems -- they were able to deem it complete after the,
um, the zone change took place to allow the use within
```

1 that zone.
Q Okay. The second question is, "With the
3 accelerated process that $\mathbf{6 2 2 0}$ CUP is being processed at,
has anyone, other than myself, contacted DSD to inquire
as to how a competing CUP has managed to get so far past
6 the 6176 CUP in less than four months."
Do you know why that CUP was processed so 8 fast?

A I don't know. I wasn't involved in the other
0 application. But the turn around times from City's
11 standpoint, would have been, um, similar. There's no
12 reason that they would be different. It's a matter of
13 how long it took from -- it's not always the -- I guess
14 the City's -- City causing the delay. Sometimes it's
15 the applicants causing the delay, because they don't
16 submit in time or they don't address all of the issues 17 when they do submit.

Q Was anybody, other than Mr. Cotton, raising
this issue that 6220 appeared to be going at a rapid
pace?
A I wasn't involved in that project, and I
don't --
Q I was just wondering if it was a topic --
A I didn't hear anything.
Q Okay. He's asking in No. 3, "What companies


1 Otherwise...
MR. TOOTHACRE: I have nothing further.

## EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q Does a CUP application for a marijuana outlet
7 require a designation of an architect or blueprints?
A Designation of architects or blueprints.
If you -- I'm sorry. What is the -- I don't
understand.
Q Does the CUP application require a designation
of an architect or to blueprints?
Like in the beginning, um, do you require an
architect be involved or blueprints be submitted?
A We have plans submitted, and they are
typically designed by a design professional whether it's
7 an engineer or an architect or -- they have to be legit,
um, drawings.
Q Would you consider Abhay Schweitzer a design professional or an architect?

MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: He has submitted drawings that
have been acceptable.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q All right. When we were -- Mr. Toothacre
asked you a question about delays regarding their
ability to get soil samples.
A Uh-huh.
Q Going back to that subject, do you recall if 5 there were any other items on the list that were also 6 holding up the project, besides the zoning issue?
7 MR. TOOTHACRE: Strike that. I mean, you 8 misspoke. You switched to the zoning issue from the 9 soils issue.
0 BY MS. PLASKETT:
Q The soils issue.
With regard to the soils issue, when they were
having -- when he was asking questions about access to
the soil and any delays it caused, do you recall if
there were other outstanding items that also need to be
resolved?
A I don't recall. It's -- I don't recall. I
mean, it would be in the assessment letters for the
project. I would have identified what outstanding items
are issues. It's too detail for me to remember.
Q Is that possible, something like the soils
issue, you had mentioned, that they could be deferred?
Do you know if they were -- the soils issue
were deferred in this particular --
A I don't remember on this particular project.

```
    Q Okay. And with regards to the planning
groups, I believe Ken somebody --
    A Marlbrough?
        MR. TOOTHACRE: Marlbrough.
        MS. PLASKETT: Marlbrough.
BY MS. PLASKETT:
    Q Is there more than one planning group for a
particular area?
    A So every -- they're recognized the planning
    groups. And each community has its own planning group.
    I forget which community is this? Encanto?
    Q I think it's Encanto.
    A Right. So Ken Marlbrough was the chair of the
San Diego planning group. Um, he's the chair of the
group. Now, there's a number of folks that sit on that
community.
    Q Okay. And could there be a different group,
you know, a block away?
    A In the different community, yes. Like, um,
    there is La Jolla Community planning group. Or, um --
    all the different -- uptown planning group.
    All the different communities within the city
    have their own recognized planning groups.
    Q Okay. I have a question regarding submittal
process.
```
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When do you get your project number? Is it
after you've been approved through the submittal
process?
A I think you're assigned a project number, um,
once you have submitted initial set of documents to go
through a completeness review.
Q Okay. All right.
MS. PLASKETT: Don't think I have anything
else.
You've been wonderful. Thank you.
MR. TOOTHACRE: I have nothing.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Done?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, we are done.
How do you want to handle it?
MS. PLASKETT: I would like to relieve the
reporter of her duties. And if you would, please send
the original transcript --
Would you like it sent directly to you, so you
could review it and make any changes necessary?
THE WITNESS: Yes. Uh-huh.
MS. PLASKETT: So we'll get your address. I
believe she's got your card, and send it directly to our
witness. And what, a week, ten days to review it and
then send it to -- back to us.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
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| geographical 9:3,4 14:7 | good 7:5 45:17,18 67:9 | handling 15:19 93: | 92:5 94:11 102:16 |
| $14: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 20,2583: 1384: 8 \\ & 88: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { happen } 15: 18 \quad 23: 19,24$ | here 7:6,8 11:14 14:17 <br> $19.2125 \cdot 1331.1752 .18$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { geological 49:16 61:8 } \\ & 63: 185: 14 \end{aligned}$ | got 15:19 21:17 31:18 | 53:19 57:23 61:18 | $77: 25 \text { 84:13 }$ |
| geology 84:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 8 ~ 39: 6 ~ 45: 20 ~ 46: 17 \\ & 46: 21 ~ 47: 18 ~ 53: 14 ~ 60: 13 ~ \end{aligned}$ | happened 34:21 39:21 | hereby 104:5,10 105:5 |
| geotechnical $84: 10,16,20$ | 66:17 68:9 89:20 102:22 | happening 39:24 40:21 | herein 105:10 |
|  | gotten 58:9 | happens 16:14 75:15 | here's 25:14 |
| GERACI 1:4 2:4 7:7,13 | Grant 22:17 23:4,9,12,15 | hard 17:22 30:25 103:8,9 | hereunto 105:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 1611: 1937: 14,17 \\ & 40: 178: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 18,2324: 6,12 \quad 27: 9 \\ & 28: 2438: 20 ~ 40: 14,15,18 \end{aligned}$ | 103:10 | herself 19:21 |
| Geraci/Cotton/Berry64:19 | 40:19 41:2,4,5,12,13,20 | has 19:14,16,21 20:21 | he's $34: 1453.1485 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 42:2,8,16,23 46:9 79:24 | $44: 7 \text { 46:4,12,20,22 }$ | 87:18 92:17 95:18 97:25 |
|  | 82:8,11 83:16 91:17 | 64:13 67:7 79:23 80:10 | 101:14 |
| Geraci's 40:4 | granted 32:20 79:22 | 80:22 81:24 83:14 91:14 | hesitation 24:20 |
| Gerry 95:17 | grantee 27:5 | 95:11 96:7 97:4,5 99:22 | Неу 80:6 |
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| J 5:10 6:8,16 88:13 |  | 102:18 | 89:7 102:19 103:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JACOB 3:10 | L | line 106:4,5 | makes 58:23 |
| January 9:11 15:15 | La 14:14 101:20 | link 73:16 | making 22:9 75:1 |
| Jeff 67:9,11 | lack 24:12 | list 18:21,24 20:3,4 59:9 | Malbrough 92:15,16 |
| $\boldsymbol{J i m} 54: 2,466: 25$ 78:2 | LARRY 1:4 2:4 37:14 $54: 1$ | 100:5 | manage 9:5 |
| jinxed 26:7 | last 7:19 36:2 56:17 78:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { listed 18:22 19:21 21:17 } \\ & 21: 20 \end{aligned}$ | managed 97:5 |
| JO 3:10 7:6 8:7 | 84:9 89:6 92:25 94:5 | lists 22:2,3 32:13,25 | management 16:2 17:4 |
| job 1:24 54:7 | later 39:2 49:10 70:8 | 95:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 139: 1158: 16,25 \\ & 59: 1,3,5,1181: 2092: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Joe 77:22,23 | Laura 94:16,18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { little } 16: 22,2445: 2162: 7 \\ & 62: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 92:24 |
| John 59:19 | law 3:10 26:15,18 |  | manager $8: 18,23,24,25$ |
| Jolla 14:14 101:20 | lawsuit 37:16,18 | LLC 27:3,12,13 | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 21 ~ 18: 5 ~ 20: 25 ~ 21: 6 \\ & 29: 16,1751: 18,1987: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| just 16:19 17:10 24:14 | lawsuits 37:23 | located 81:5 84:20 | 94:10 96:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25: 15 \text { 26:12,23 31:20 } \\ & 33: 534: 9,2235: 2157: 7 \end{aligned}$ | least 21:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { long 7:24 59:9 61:22 } 62: 9 \\ 62: 2569: 2479: 10,19 \end{gathered}$ | managers 15:17 17:1 |
| 67:8 68:13 72:22 73:12 | leave $8: 2,1159: 7$ | 97:13 | managing 15:24 17:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77: 3,7 \text { 81:1 83:25 84:2 } \\ & \text { 87:8 91:2,3 97:23 } \end{aligned}$ | left 8:14,16,19 15:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { longer 62:7,8 86:19 95:8 } \\ & 95: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 545: 1451: 156: 12 \\ & 82: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { legal 23:13 25:10 26:13 } \\ & 38: 2043: 6,1245: 791: 6 \end{aligned}$ 91:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { look } 22: 16 \text { 28:3,23 } 30: 8 \\ 64: 968: 184: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mandated } 25: 23 \text { 41:21 } \\ & 48: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep 16:24 50:13 79:13 } \\ & 79: 21 \end{aligned}$ | legally 45:6,7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { looking } 15: 20 \text { 21:16 } \\ \text { 27:25 45:19 56:22 } \end{gathered}$ | mandatory $12: 7,14$ 26:9 many 50:21 54:6 71:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ken 92:15,16 94:7 95:7 } \\ & \text { 101:2,13 } \end{aligned}$ | legit 99:1 <br> LEMON | looks 19:15 84:25 88:17 | map 76:5 |
| kept 65:5 | $\text { lengthy } 94:$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lorena 1:22 2:20 105:3 } \\ & \text { 105:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MARCH } 1: 162: 195: 9 \\ 5: 23,25 ~ 33: 24 ~ 60: 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| kind 9:3,10 | less 62:14 97: | lost 103:16 | 66:24 68:19 69:14 96:9 |
| know 10:12,18,20,25 11:6 | lessee/tenant 32:14 | lot 29:11 71:25 73:11 | marijuana 5:4 9:6,7,8,10 |
| 12:25 14:18 24:8 26:15 |  |  | 9:15,17,20 10:5 12:1 |
| 28:12 30:15,17 31:5 | let 11:15 35:21 38:22 | loud 34:7,9 | 13:4,9,11,24,25 14:9 |
| 35:18,21 36:20 37:14,16 | 56:14 103:5 |  | 16:7 26:18 27:23 28:8 |
| 37:18,19,22,23,24,25 | Let's | M | 32:2 50:23 53:14 61:6 |
| 38:9 39:16,17,20 42:13 |  |  | 61:23 62:2,4,10,17 63:3 |
| 43:5 48:8,12 49:2 50:14 | letter 5:13,16 6:4,13 | ma'am 61:10 | 88:21,22 89:17 99:6 |
| 51:10,11 53:9,24 54:10 | 35:11 40:13,17 45:25 | made 17:16 19:20 40:15 | mark 52:9 66:19 |
| 55:16 56:24 57:3,23 | 46:3 52:4,6,13,19 60:23 | 47:4,10 48:4,6,10 60:21 | mark 52.966 .19 |
| 58:13 59:6,8 60:2 61:18 | 61:2 62:22 65:13,14,17 | 67:17 70:3 86:17 104:7 | marked 18:17 19:25 32:9 |
| 62:9,12,25 63:5,7 64:16 | 70:18 84:10 87:11 89:3 |  | 33:22 35:9 45:23 47:15 |
| 64:22,25 69:24 73:3 | 89:4,25 | Magagna 11:19 51:23 | 52:10 65:19 66:20 68:17 |
| 77:14,17,18,23 79:7 | letters 18:1 | 53:6 | 72:7 74:13 76:16,17 |
| 80:11 84:14,17,18 85:4 |  | Magagna's 51:5 53:20 | 77:9 83:7 87:7,9 88:10 |
| 87:3,8 88:3 91:24 92:4,6 | level 8:25 37:22 44:25 | 62:9 | 89:22 91:22 103:23 |
| 92:12,19 93:20 94:11,14 | 75:7 | maiden 7:22 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 94: 15,1695: 22,2597: 7 \\ & 97: 998: 3,4,5,18,21 \end{aligned}$ | licenses 13:1 14:4 17:6 | maiden 7:22 | $95: 13101: 3,4,5,13$ |
| 100:23 101:18 103:5 | light 91:24 |  | Martha 94:8,9 |
| knowledge 19:8 64:14 | like $17: 1518: 1,823: 8$ | majority 14:12 | Martin 90:16,20 |
| 78:11 88:2 | 30:22 57:14 60:25 72:19 | make 17:15 19:16 23:6 | matches 38:19 |
| Kreg 85:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 25 \text { 88:17 90:24 99:13 } \\ & 100: 21 \quad 101: 19 \quad 102: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 550: 12,1958: 4,8,15 \\ & 58: 1969: 20,22,2571: 4 \end{aligned}$ | math 19:6 |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { matter 14:11 34:22 43:24 } \\ 49: 2,676: 597: 12 \end{gathered}$ | monitor 58:7 <br> monitors 58:4,18 | needed $45: 8 \quad 83: 18$ 96:16 needs 17:4 24:1 76:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 5 \text { 101:15 102:1,4 } \\ & 106: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { may } 5: 146: 7,2019: 137: 5 \\ 39: 942: 450: 1253: 12 \end{gathered}$ | months 56:17 62:18 | Neil 54:14 | numbers 65:11 |
| 53:13 57:13 65:13 74:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:16 85:13,24 86:1 } \\ & 96: 397: 6 \end{aligned}$ | never 46:17,21 91:12 | O |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76: 1977: 1,382: 8 ~ 88: 20 \\ & 88: 22 \text { 90:11,24 91:14 } \end{aligned}$ | more 12:8 26:3 52:23 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { new } 16: 5,1717: 2418: 4 \\ 23: 524: 6,8,9,1025: 13 \end{array}$ | oath 104:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { maybe } 13: 1623: 1354: 19 \\ & 62: 775: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71: 23 \text { 77:5 101:7 } \\ \text { morning } 7: 534: 445: 20 \\ 72: 1583: 1384: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 524: 6,8,9,1025: 13 \\ & 25: 1437: 11,1242: 6,16 \\ & 42: 1746: 948: 681: 7 \\ & 82: 7,8,1891: 4,7,16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { object } 26: 12 \text { 45:3 } \\ & \text { objection } 26: 2141: 22 \\ & 85: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| mean 16:12,18 27:3 30:5 | MO's 62:6 | next 52:20 69:13 73:7 | objections 43:18 44:6 |
| 34:6 56:7 69:16 72:2 | most 25:3 59:16,18 82:9 |  | objects 44:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 75: 24 \text { 83:25 84:1 87:16 } \\ & 96: 20 \text { 100:7,18 } \end{aligned}$ | move 15:16 18:11 30:19 | Niknam 7:23 | obsolete 89:13 |
|  | 37:4 | N-i-k-n-a-m 7:23 |  |
| means 98:5 | moved 8:18 9:4,9 13:20 | NOA 67:10 | obtain 84:15 85:9,14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { medical } 5: 4 \text { 9:7,17 18:13 } \\ & 27: 2388: 21 \end{aligned}$ | moving 27:25 | nods $14: 24$ | obviously 8:17 83:25 |
| meet 10:2,7 54:24 | much 14:8 | non-compliance 57:11 | October 13:15 69:5 |
| meeting $16: 16,18,19$ | multiple 29:5 | none 47:3 | $\text { odd } 74: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:20 53:12,17 78:8,14 } \\ & 78: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { municipal } 30: 2133: 1 \\ 55: 14,1756: 2557: 12 \end{array}$ | non-marijuana 14:12 | off 32:5 48:10 57:25 60:8 |
| meetings 2 | 63:22 66:12 73:18 75:10 | normal 41:3 84 | 60:9 93:4 94:21 96:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentioned 11:17 27:19 } \\ & \text { 100:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 75:12 <br> Murphy 67:9,11 | Normally 49:15 northern 14:9,13 15:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { office } 3: 1038: 3 \text { 40:13 } \\ & \text { 81:20 93:23 94:1 95:2 } \\ & 95: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| merely 25:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { must } 20: 4 \text { 26:19 53:2 67:3 } \\ & 67: 681: 9 \end{aligned}$ | northwest 84:21 85:7 | officer 89:9 |
| message 77:2 | myself 16:23 34:9 97:4 | note 20:15,24 21 | officers 27:13 |
| messed 20:22 |  | notes 30:8 | official 12:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { met } 9: 24 \text { 10:1 } 51: 2353: 8 \\ & 54: 14 \end{aligned}$ | ( N | $\begin{gathered} \text { nothing } 9: 9 \text { 77:5 93:12 } \\ 99: 2 \text { 102:11 } 105: 8 \end{gathered}$ | often 54:17 59:6 |
| Michelle 94:7 | name $7: 5,17,18,19,23$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { notice } 6: 538: 974: 2375: 5 \\ 75: 1076: 3,7,12,13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { okay } 11: 2314: 1,3,16,25 \\ 15: 5,13,1622: 2226: 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| micromanaged 58:11 | 12:25 23:18 32:19 33:3 39:25 40:2,4 42:17 | noticed 72:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 18 \text { 29:15 30:12 32:18 } \\ & 34: 12,2336: 1137: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| might 15:6 96:18 | 47:21 48:17 49:24 50:6 | notices | 38:22 39:19 40:7 42:6 |
| mind 61:3 | 54:16 61:18 67:22 78:5 | no | 42:21 43:8 45:18 46:15 |
|  | 104:10 | noticing 77:25 | 48:11 53:16,24 54:11 |
| missed 73:12 | named 105:10 | notified 22:8 24:9 25:12 | 56:1,11 57:23 58:1,12 |
| missing 71:3 93:8,9 | $7 \cdot 21 \quad 20 \cdot 4$ | $67: 4,6$ | $58: 18,2261: 564: 18$ |
| misspoke 100:8 | names 7:21 20:4 | notify 21:22 43:3 57:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:5,8 66:1,17,19 67:20 } \\ & \text { 69:2,10 70:12,20,23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| misstates 41:16 | narr | 76:13 | 71:11 72:4,14,22 73:21 |
|  | NDS 1 | notifying 20:16 | 73:25 74:4 75:9 77:5,22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { misunderstood } 65: 8 \\ & 91: 14 \end{aligned}$ | necessary 18:8 23:6 102:19 104:7,9 106:3 | $\text { now } 8: 20 \text { 13:13 } 19: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 14,2080: 17,2081: 2 \\ & 81: 23 \text { 82:4,14,25 86:7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ММСС 5:19,22,24 6:7 } \\ & 94: 22 \end{aligned}$ | need 9:24 10:1 23:3 24:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 25 ~ 65: 866: 17 ~ 75: 2 \\ & 82: 25 ~ 83: 23,24 ~ 101: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 1087: 18 ~ 88: 4,7,9 \\ & 89: 2,2091: 9,1392: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 24:18 25:2 28:20 39:4 | number $5 \cdot 36.3$ 23.9 29.1 | 93:21 94:20 95:6 96:1 |
| МОСР 94:24 | 42:7 44:9,14 45:5,16 | $32: 13,16 \text { 65:13,15,16 }$ | 97:2,25 98:5,12 101:1 |
| Monday 6:4,7,20 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 14 \text { 82:6 86:15,22 } \\ & 89: 13 \text { 91:5 100:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $70: 178: 1287: 894: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101: 17,24102: 7,12,25 \\ & 103: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
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