ROA 49 3 pgs

ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

09/25/2017 at 03:50:00 PM Clerk of the Superior Court

Clerk of the Superior Court By Richard Day, Deputy Clerk

FERRIS & BRITTON
A Professional Corporation
Michael R. Weinstein (SBN 106464)
Scott H. Toothacre (SBN 146530)
501 West Broadway, Suite 1450
San Diego, California 92101
Telephone: (619) 233-3131
Fax: (619) 232-9316
mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com
stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant LARRY GERACI and Cross-Defendant REBECCA BERRY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION

LARRY GERACI, an individual,

Plaintiff,

V.

DARRYL COTTON, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 37-2017-00010073-CU-BC-CTL

Judge: Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil C-73

CROSS-DEFENDANT REBECCA BERRY'S ANSWER TO CROSS-COMPLAINANT DARRYL COTTON'S UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT

[IMAGED FILE]

DARRYL COTTON, an individual,

Cross-Complainant,

٧.

LARRY GERACI, an individual, REBECCA BERRY, an individual, and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,

Cross-Defendants.

Complaint Filed:

March 21, 2017 May 11, 2018

Trial Date: May 11, 2

Cross-Defendant REBECCA BERRY answers Cross-Complainant DARRYL COTTON's unverified Second Amended Cross-Complaint, dated August 25, 2017, as follows:

GENERAL DENIAL

Under the provisions of section 431.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, this answering Cross-Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each and every and all allegations in

1

the Second Amended Cross-Complaint, and the whole thereof, including each and every purported cause of action contained therein, and denies that Cross-Complainant has sustained damages as alleged by reason of any alleged act, breach, or omission on the party of this answering Cross-Defendant.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

For a further and separate answer to the Second Amended Cross-Complaint, and by way of affirmative defenses, this answering Cross-Defendant alleges as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

Cross-Complainant's sole purported cause of action against this answering Cross-Defendant – the fifth cause of action for declaratory relief— fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering Cross-Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Lack of Contractual Privity)

Cross-Complainant lacks contractual privity with this answering Cross-Defendant and, therefore, is not entitled to an order of declaratory relief as it relates to any contract alleged in the Second Amended Cross-Complaint.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reservation of Right to Assert Further Defense)

This answering Cross-Defendant currently has insufficient information upon which to form a belief as to the existence of additional and as yet unstated affirmative defenses. This answering Cross-Defendant reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery discloses the existence of said affirmative defenses.

WHEREFORE, Cross- Defendant REBECCA BERRY prays as follows:

 That the Second Amended Cross-Complaint be dismissed and Cross-Complainant take nothing against this answering Cross-Defendant; and

28 //

111

24

25

26

27

1 2		2.	Sucl	n other a	nd further relie	lief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
3	Dated: September 25, 2017					FERRIS & BRITTON, A Professional Corporation	
4 5			¥	Ÿ.	(8) (4) (4)	By: Michael R. Wensten	
6					2	Michael R. Weinstein Scott H. Toothacre	
7 8			E R			Attorneys for Plaintiff and Cross-Defendant LARRY GERACI and Cross-Defendant REBECCA BERRY	3
,9						REDUCCA DERICT	
10			31		ig.	<i>y</i> .	
11							
12							
13							
14	æ					×	
15						go t	
16							
17							
18					e a		
19							
20			-				
21 22	2 1						9
23			U#				
24	i.				**		•
25						e e	
26					w N	φ'	
27				*	s 	.c.	2
28					w)		*
- 11							