ROA 597 3 pzy~

FERRIS & BRITTON Clark of the Prinstlar 1 A Professional Corporation Michael R. Weinstein (SBN 106464) 2 Scott H. Toothacre (SBN 146530) JUL 0 3 2019 501 West Broadway, Suite 1450 3 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 233-3131 Fax: (619) 232-9316 By: A. TAYLOR 4 mweinstein@ferrisbritton.com 5 stoothacre@ferrisbritton.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant LARRY GERACI and Cross-Defendant REBECCA BERRY 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION 10 LARRY GERACI, an individual, Case No. 37-2017-00010073-CU-BC-CTL 11 Plaintiff, Judge: Hon. Joel R. Wohlfeil 12 ٧. CROSS-DEFENDANT REBECCA BERRY MOTION FOR NONSUIT AND 13 DARRYL COTTON, an individual; and DOES 1 SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF through 10, inclusive, POINTS AND AUTHORITES 14 Defendants. [IMAGED FILE] 15 16 DARRYL COTTON, an individual, 17 Cross-Complainant, 18 V. 19 LARRY GERACI, an individual, REBECCA BERRY, an individual, and DOES 1 20 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE, Complaint Filed: March 21, 2017 21 Cross-Defendants. Trial Date: June 28, 2019 22 23

Cross-Defendant, REBECCA BERRY, hereby moves for nonsuit at the close of Defendant/Cross-Complainant, DARRYL COTTON's, opening statement on the grounds that the facts alleged by Defendant/Cross-Complainant, even if assumed true, are not sufficient as a matter of law to prove a prima facie case that Ms. Berry made any misrepresentation to Mr. Cotton. This Motion is based on the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities below, as well as the

24

25

26

27

28

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

argument of counsel presented to the Court.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## Ţ. INTRODUCTION

Cotton has asserted two (2) causes of action against Cross-Defendant Rebecca Berry: (1) Intentional Misrepresentation; and (2) Negligent Misrepresentation. Each cause of action requires as an element that Ms. Berry made either an intentional or negligent misrepresentation of fact to Cotton. (CACI 1900, CACI 1903.) In his opening statement, Cotton has failed to attribute any misrepresentation made by Rebecca Berry. Instead, each of the alleged misrepresentations were allegedly made by Mr. Geraci. Indeed, in the Second Amended Cross-Complaint, Cotton admits that he has never even met Ms. Berry. (SAC № 12) Each of the misrepresentations in the Second Amended Cross-Complaint are alleged to have been made by Mr. Geraci. (SAC \$\infty40\$ (a)-(e) and \$\infty45(a)-(e).)

## LEGAL ARGUMENT II.

A motion for judgment of nonsuit is a motion made after the plaintiff's opening statement, or after the plaintiff has presented his or her evidence. (Code Civ. Proc., § 581c, subd. (a).) A trial court may properly grant a motion for nonsuit immediately after the completion of a party's opening statement "where it appears that counsel for [cross-complainant] has stated all the facts that he expects to prove and that these would not make a prima facie case." (Dameshghi v. Texaco Refining & Mktg. (1992) 3 Cal.App.4th 1262, 1286.) The motion concedes the truth of the facts asserted (if made after the opening statement) or shown (if made after the presentation of the plaintiff's evidence), but claims they fail as a matter of law to support the plaintiff's cause of action. (Gray v. Kircher (1987) 193 Cal.App.3d 1069, 1071.; see also Jensen v. Hewlett-Packard Co. (1993) 14 Cal.App.4th 958 [affirming nonsuit motion where defendant moved for nonsuit again after supplemental opening statement because plaintiff still failed to state prima facie libel case].)

In the instant case, Cross-Complainant's counsel, Mr. Austin, has failed to state any facts by which Ms. Berry may be liable for either intentional or negligent misrepresentation. All alleged facts made surrounding the parties' negotiation involved Mr. Cotton and Mr. Geraci alone, not Ms. Berry. Mr. Cotton will fail to establish a prima facie case for both intentional and negligent misrepresentation.

## III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

In conclusion, the court should grant Plaintiff's motion for non-suit as to the intentional and negligent misrepresentation claims alleged against Ms. Berry.

FERRIS & BRITTON A Professional Corporation

Dated: July, 3, 2019

Michael R. Weinstein

Scott H. Toothacre Attorney for Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant LARRY GERACI and Cross-Defendant REBECCA BERRY

1 2