```
1
    SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2
           COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION
3
    LARRY GERACI, an individual, CASE NO:
4
                  Plaintiff, 37-2017-00010073-
5
                                CU-BC-CTL
    vs.
6
    DARRYL COTTON, an individual,
7
    and DOES 1 through 10,
    inclusive,
8
                Defendants.
9
      _____/
10
11
    AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS
12
            /
13
14
15
16
    VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ABHAY SCHWEITZER
17
               TAKEN AT LEMON GROVE, CALIFORNIA
                    JANUARY 30, 2019
18
19
20
21
22
    REPORTED BY: JACQUELINE STEARMAN, CSR NO. 9373
23
24
25
```

1(1)

1	On Wednesday, January 30, 2019, commencing at the	1	I N D E X
2	hour of 10:07 a.m. at 7880 Broadway, in the City of Lemon	2	EXAMINATION PAGE
3	Grove, County of San Diego, State of California, before	3	BY MR. AUSTIN 4
4	me, Jacqueline Stearman, Certified Shorthand Reporter in	4	
5	and for the State of California, personally appeared:	5	
6		6	
7	ABHAY SCHWEITZER, called by the Defendant, who, being by me first sworn, was	7	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
8 9	thereupon examined as a witness in said cause.	8	DEFENDANT'S PAGE
10	and cause.	9	Exhibit 1 String of various e-mails 17
11	A P P E A R A N C E S	10	Exhibit 2 San Diego form DS 190 dated 20
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND CROSS-DEFENDANT:		October 31st, 2016
13	FERRIS & BRITTON	11	
	BY: SCOTT H. TOOTHACRE, ESQ.		Exhibit 3 Ownership Disclosure Statement DS318 22
14	501 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 1450	12	
	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 (619) 233-3131		Exhibit 4 String of various e-mails 23
15	FOR THE DEFENDANT AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT:	13	E-1:1:4:4.5 Commented of DCD-multitle modeling 21
16 17	JACOB P. AUSTIN	1.4	Exhibit 5 Screen shot of DSD website regarding 31
Ξ,	ATTORNEY AT LAW	14	6220 application
18	1455 FRAZEE ROAD, SUITE 500	15 16	Exhibit 6String of various e-mails37Exhibit 7Declaration40
	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108	17	Exhibit / Declaration 40
19	(619) 357-6850	18	
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT OF COUNSEL:	19	
21	THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW FLORES	20	Witness Signature Page 63
0.0	BY: ANDREW FLORES, ESQ.	21	Reporter Certificate Page 64
22	7880 BROADWAY LEMON GROVE, CALIFORNIA 91945	22	
23	(619) 356-1556	23	
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: JAVAN E. HEARD, CLVS	24	
25		25	
	Daga 1		Daga 2
	Page 2		Page 3
1	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that.
1 _2	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M.	1 2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.
	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that.
2	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M.	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.
2 3	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is	2 3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in
2 3 4	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019.	2 3 4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in
2 3 4 5	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and	2 3 4 5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness.
2 3 4 5 6	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack	2 3 4 5 6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I
2 3 6 7 8 9	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant Cotton. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court reporter may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP. MR. AUSTIN: I might not ask questions on this right away, but if you want to refer to it for any answers, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant Cotton. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court reporter may now swear in or affirm the deponent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP. MR. AUSTIN: I might not ask questions on this right away, but if you want to refer to it for any answers, we can just go through it that way, and maybe I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant Cotton. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court reporter may now swear in or affirm the deponent. MR. TOOTHACRE: May we have the the other attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP. MR. AUSTIN: I might not ask questions on this right away, but if you want to refer to it for any answers, we can just go through it that way, and maybe I have questions from there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant Cotton. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court reporter may now swear in or affirm the deponent. MR. TOOTHACRE: May we have the the other attorney appear appearance on the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP. MR. AUSTIN: I might not ask questions on this right away, but if you want to refer to it for any answers, we can just go through it that way, and maybe I have questions from there. MR. TOOTHACRE: You can take a look at it on the break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant Cotton. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court reporter may now swear in or affirm the deponent. MR. TOOTHACRE: May we have the the other attorney appear appearance on the record? MR. FLORES: Yes. Andrew Flores, of counsel for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP. MR. AUSTIN: I might not ask questions on this right away, but if you want to refer to it for any answers, we can just go through it that way, and maybe I have questions from there. MR. TOOTHACRE: You can take a look at it on the break and decide what you want to do with it, if anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2019 10:07 A.M. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Time on the record is 10:07 a.m. Today's date is January 30th, 2019. My name is Javan Heard of Peterson Reporting Video and Litigation Services. The court reporter today is Jack Jacqueline Stearman of Peterson Reporting located at 530 B Street, Suite 350, San Diego, California 92101 This begins the videotaped deposition of Abhay Schweitzer testifying in the matter of Larry Geraci versus Darryl Cotton held in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego Central Case No. 37-2017-000100173 taken at 7880 Broadway. Counsel, please identify yourselves and state whom you represent. MR. TOOTHACRE: Scott Toothacre on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. AUSTIN: Jacob Austin on behalf of Defendant Cotton. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The court reporter may now swear in or affirm the deponent. MR. TOOTHACRE: May we have the the other attorney appear appearance on the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sorry about that. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may now swear in the witness. ABHAY SCHWEITZER, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Jacob, before we get started, I was going to make an additional production of documents that came in after the last production from Mr. Schweitzer. It's Bates marked Techne 004933 through 004964. I don't know if you want to question him on that today, but I brought a copy for you, a copy for the reporter, if you did want to mark one as an exhibit, and of course a copy for myself. And I understand these were documents that were submitted on the appeal of the CUP. MR. AUSTIN: I might not ask questions on this right away, but if you want to refer to it for any answers, we can just go through it that way, and maybe I have questions from there. MR. TOOTHACRE: You can take a look at it on the break

Page 4

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 2 (2 - 5)

Page 5

1	MR. TOOTHACRE: Sure.	1	A. I believe I am.
2		2	Q. There isn't anything that would prevent you from
3	EXAMINATION	3	
4	BY MR. AUSTIN:	4	A. Excuse me?
5	Q. All right. My name is Jacob Austin. I'm	5	Q. There's nothing all right. All right.
6	representing Defendant and Cross-Complainant Darryl Cotton	6	There's nothing that would prevent you from giving me your
7	in this matter.	7	full attention, correct?
8	Are you familiar with the case Larry Geraci versus	8	A. We're moving back to our house today after
9	Darryl Cotton?	9	fumigation, so if there's no emergencies as a result of
10	A. I'm familiar that there is a case.	10	that
11	Q. And even though you're not a party to this case,	11	Q. Okay.
12	I'm going to ask you some questions about some things you	12	A I should be able to give you my full
13	may be a witness to or have personal knowledge of and will	13	attention.
14	be pertinent to the lawsuit. You understand that?	14	Q. Excellent. You aren't taking any medications
15	A. I do.	15	that would prevent you from answering any of my questions?
16	Q. Okay. Do you understand that you're under oath	16	A. I'm not.
17	and that being under oath means you're sworn to tell the	17	Q. You'll let me know if you don't understand any of
18	truth?	18	my questions, correct?
19	A. I do.	19	A. I will.
20	Q. You understand that your responses here have the	20	Q. Perfect. If you need to take a break at any
21	same force as in a courtroom with a judge and jury?	21	time, just let me know, and we can give you as much time
22	A. I do.	22	as you need.
23	Q. Have you ever had a deposition taken before?	23	A. Thank you.
24	A. I have not.	24	Q. All right. What is
25	Q. Are you prepared to answer my questions today?	25	A. Can I make a request?
1	Page 6	1	Page 7 A. I myself do not.
1 2	A. It's quite cold in here. Can you turn the	1 2	Q. Does Techne operate under any professional
	temperature up a little bit?		licenses?
3 4	Q. Yes, that can be done. What is your full name?	4	A. It does not.
- 5	A. Abhay Caran Schweitzer.	5	Q. What does Techne do?
6	Q. Have you ever used any other names or aliases?	6	A. Techne provides design services. We also do our
7	A. Yes, I have.		own development projects.
8	MR. TOOTHACRE: Jacob, you might want to have him tell	8	Q. How many CUP applications, or what or
	that for Jacqueline, that middle name.		marijuana outlet, or what were previously known as MCCs,
9 10	BY MR. AUSTIN:	10	how many of those CUP applications have you worked with?
	Q. Yes. Could you spell your middle name, please?	11	A. Under Techne? I mean
11 12	A. C-a-r-a-n.	12	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.		BY MR. AUSTIN:
	BY MR. AUSTIN:	14	Q. Has Techne processed any Conditional Use Permits
14 15	Q. Thank you. What other aliases have you used?	15	for marijuana outlets?
16	A. Abhay Santos.	16	A. In which in which city?
10	Q. Is that it? Okay.	17	Q. In in San Diego County.
18	A. That is it.	18	A. In San Diego County proper?
	Q. Okay. Thank you. Where do you work?	19	Q. Yes.
19 20	A. I own a company.	20	A. San Diego County doesn't have a process for
20 21	O. And what is the name of that company?	20	Condition Use applications for any cannabis.
21 22	A. Ideal Environment, LLC dba Techne.	21	Q. Right. I was talking about within the County of
22	Q. And how long have you owned this company?	22	San Diego. So within San Diego city or possibly Lemon
23 24	A. Approximately since 2010.	23	Grove city, any of the neighboring cities that are within
24 25	Q. Do you have any professional licenses?	24	San Diego.
20	2. 20 you nave any protessional necuses:	25	Jun Dirgo.
	D 0	1	Deco

Page 8

Page 9

1	A. We have processed multiple applications within	1	A. That's correct.
1 2	the cities and the County of San Diego.	2	Q. Okay. Thank you. Have you spoken to anyone
	Q. How many applications do you think Techne has		other than counsel that is present here for Mr. Geraci
3 4	worked on?	4	about this case?
5	A. Which types of applications?	5	A. Yes, I have.
6	Q. For either what is now currently a marijuana	6	Q. What specifically have you discussed about this
7	outlet or what was formally an MMCC.		case?
	A. Within the same jurisdiction you just described?		MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
8 9	Q. Within San Diego jurisdictions.	8	BY MR. AUSTIN:
	A. I don't have an exact number. I'd have to look		
10	at our records.	10	Q. Who have you had discussions with regarding this case?
11	Q. An estimate is fair.	12	A. I informed my staff that I would be at the
12 13	A. But I think it would be somewhere between 15 and	13	-
14			deposition today for a legal matter related to the project
	Q. 15 and 20? Of those applications, do you know	14	we worked on. I also informed my wife that I would be at the deposition today regarding a case related to a project
15	how many have been approved?	15	I worked on.
16 17	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.	17	Q. Have you reviewed any documents pertinent to the
18	THE WITNESS: Without me looking at my record, I	18	court case like anything that has been filed within the
	couldn't give you a number on exactly how many have gone	19	court?
19	through the entire process, gotten to hearing and been		A. I've reviewed my Declarations.
20		20	-
21 22	approved. BY MR. AUSTIN:		Q. So other than your Declarations, you haven't seen any other court documents related to the case?
22	Q. Okay. But Techne has had applications for CUPs	23	A. I don't recall reviewing any other documents.
23	for marijuana outlets that have made it through the	24	Q. Have you ever met with Mr. Geraci's counsel prior
24			to meeting Mr. Toothacre this morning?
25	icaring process and approval.	25	to incetting with rootilacte tins morning.
	Page 10		Page 11
1	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. You mean Gina	1	Declarations regarding this case?
1 2	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. You mean Gina Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein?	1 2	Declarations regarding this case? A. I have read what I believe is the website that
		2	
2	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein?	2	A. I have read what I believe is the website that
2 3	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN:	2 3	A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I
2 3 4	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael	2 3 4	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted?
2 3 4 5	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein?BY MR. AUSTIN:Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met MichaelWeinstein?	2 3 4 5	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a
2 3 4 5 6	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no. I'm just modifying some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no, no. I'm just modifying some questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't know. How would you describe it? Are there multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no. I'm just modifying some questions. Have you ever posted any information about the lawsuit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't know. How would you describe it? Are there multiple attachments, or is it just, like, one stream of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no. I'm just modifying some questions. Have you ever posted any information about the lawsuit on any website, your company website or any other? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't know. How would you describe it? Are there multiple attachments, or is it just, like, one stream of never-ending information? How would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no, no. I'm just modifying some questions. Have you ever posted any information about the lawsuit on any website, your company website or any other? A. I don't recall ever posting anything related to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't know. How would you describe it? Are there multiple attachments, or is it just, like, one stream of never-ending information? How would you A. Are you referring to the portion of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no, no. I'm just modifying some questions. Have you ever posted any information about the lawsuit on any website, your company website or any other? A. I don't recall ever posting anything related to this lawsuit anywhere. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't know. How would you describe it? Are there multiple attachments, or is it just, like, one stream of never-ending information? How would you A. Are you referring to the portion of that website
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Austin? Myself? Mike Weinstein? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes. Have you have you ever met Michael Weinstein? A. Yes, I have. Q. And did you have any discussions outside the scope of your Declarations? MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. That's attorney-client privilege. MR. LOPEZ: Are you saying that Mr. Weinstein is his his attorney? MR. TOOTHACRE: We represent Mr. Schweitzer for purposes of this deposition, and things that he may have discussed with our other clients are also under joint privilege. THE WITNESS: Are you still waiting on me? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. No, no, no, no. I'm just modifying some questions. Have you ever posted any information about the lawsuit on any website, your company website or any other? A. I don't recall ever posting anything related to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I have read what I believe is the website that Mr. Darryl Cotton created and I've read the content that I believe it was him who published. Q. On Mr. Cotton's website, does it appear that a significant amount of court documents are posted? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I know I've seen his website, and he basically posts every single thing that gets filed. Have you reviewed his website thoroughly? A. I would not say thoroughly, no. Q. But you've been on it a few times? A. I've been on it maybe two or three times. Q. Can you describe his website and the pages you looked the at? A. Describe it visually? Q. What you gathered from from looking at it. Like, what reading through it, is it just I don't know. How would you describe it? Are there multiple attachments, or is it just, like, one stream of never-ending information? How would you A. Are you referring to the portion of that

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 4 (10 - 13)

1	A. Understood. What I observed was text and	1	my record. Multiple projects.
2	there there was what appeared to be multiple	2	Q. So a significant amount. Would you say more than
3	attachments. Links to attachments. I should clarify.	3	10?
4	Q. Okay. Links to attachments. And what was your	4	A. I believe more than 10.
5	purpose for going on that website?	5	Q. Okay. And the majority of those projects, were
6	A. The purpose was to double-check my Declarations	6	they to seek approval for Condition Use Permits in
7	against what was filed and also to get a better	7	marijuana outlets or MMCCs?
8	understanding of if there were any personal accusations	8	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to "majority." You can just
9	against myself or my staff from Mr. Darryl Cotton.	9	ask him how many were for MMCCs.
10	Q. Are you familiar with attorney Gina Austin?	10	BY MR. AUSTIN:
11	A. Yes, I am.	11	Q. Right. How many of the projects you worked on
12	Q. How do you know Gina Austin?	12	with Gina Austin would you say were for marijuana
13	A. Do you want to know how I met her?	13	dispensaries outlets or MMCCs?
14	Q. We can start there.	14	A. In San Diego or throughout the state?
15	A. Okay. I met Gina Austin, I believe, in 2014.	15	Q. Throughout the state.
16	She was another consultant on a project which we were a	16	A. I would estimate somewhere between eight and
17	consultant on.	17	maybe 15. But without looking at my record, I can't give
18	Q. Was that a project related to an MMCC?	18	you a certain number.
19	A. I believe it was.	19	Q. Okay.
20	Q. How many projects are you worked on with Gina	20	MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to caution you
21	Austin?	21	Mr. Schweitzer, he Mr. Austin's entitled to your best
22	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time.	22	estimates, but he's nobody here wants you to guess. So
23	BY MR. AUSTIN:	23	if you have an estimate like you just gave, that's fine.
24	Q. Since 2014.	24	But if it's a pure guess, don't go there, okay?
25	A. That's hard for me to estimate without looking at	25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	Dama 14		Dama 15
	Page 14		Page 15
1	Page 14 BY MR_AUSTIN	1	Page 15 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
1 _2	BY MR. AUSTIN:	1	A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
2	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not	2	A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and
2 3	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved?		A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
2 3 4	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not.	2 3 4	A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous.BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under	2 3 4 5	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed?	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and
2 3 4 5	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. 	2 3 4 5	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. How do you know Rebecca Barry. Or how 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob? BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. How do you know Rebecca Barry? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are are these e-mails between you and Larry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. How do you know Rebecca Barry. Or how how were you introduced to Rebecca Barry? A. I don't believe I was introduced with Rebecca 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are are these e-mails between you and Larry Geraci?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. How do you know Rebecca Barry? A. I don't believe I was introduced with Rebecca Barry. I think I may have met her once at Mr. Geraci's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are are these e-mails between you and Larry Geraci? A. They appear to be so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. How do you know Rebecca Barry? A. I don't believe I was introduced with Rebecca Barry? A. I don't believe I was met her once at Mr. Geraci's business. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are are these e-mails between you and Larry Geraci? A. They appear to be so. Q. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. So of of those projects, your you're not certain how many have been approved? A. I'm not. Q. Are how many of them are currently under review or being processed? A. I'd have to look at my records to give you that. Q. Did Gina Austin introduce you to Mr. Geraci for the 6176 Federal Boulevard property? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did Larry Geraci himself hire you for that project? A. Our contract is with Rebecca Barry. We understand that she's an agent of Mr. Geraci. Q. Okay. So you know Larry Geraci strictly through this application? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. How do you know Rebecca Barry. Or how how were you introduced to Rebecca Barry? A. I don't believe I was introduced with Rebecca Barry. I think I may have met her once at Mr. Geraci's business. Q. Were you paid by Larry or Rebecca? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to object. It's vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. So here I have marked as Exhibit 4 an e-mail from you to Larry and MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you marking this as Exhibit 4 to the deposition? MR. LOPEZ: For identification. MR. TOOTHACRE: You gonna start with four? MR. AUSTIN: Yeah, I could I could switch the order, I guess. That would make sense make this one. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's mark it as Exhibit 1. MR. AUSTIN: Yeah. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification) MR. TOOTHACRE: And can you identify it for the record, Jacob? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are are these e-mails between you and Larry Geraci? A. They appear to be so. Q. And MR. TOOTHACRE: Let me just for the record,

Page 16

Page 17 5 (14 - 17)

1	Mr. Schweitzer, have you ever seen that document	1	was?
2	before?	2	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
3		3	BY MR. AUSTIN:
4	THE WITNESS: It looks like an e-mail I sent	4	Q. Did you find it odd that Mr. Geraci was asking
5	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay	5	you to submit this project under someone else's name?
6	THE WITNESS: to Larry.	6	A. I did not.
7	MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.	7	Q. Is this a common practice within the within
8	BY MR. AUSTIN:	8	your field?
9	Q. And in this e-mail, you discuss the services	9	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. No foundation.
10	contract between and Mr. Geraci, correct?	10	BY MR. AUSTIN:
11	A. What I state here is that I'm pointing out some	11	Q. When you are applying for these applications, is
12	key points to that contract, but the contract itself is	12	it common in your experience for people to use agents to
13	attached.	13	submit these applications under their own under
14	Q. Right. And Mr. Geraci is asking that Miss	14	different names?
15	Barry's name be on everything within these e-mails,	15	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Vague and ambiguous
16	correct, regarding the application?	16	and assumes facts not in evidence.
17	A. No, that's not my understanding.	17	BY MR. AUSTIN:
18	Q. What is your understanding of these e-mails?	18	Q. Did you ask Mr. Geraci why he wanted Miss Barry
19	A. My understanding from this e-mail, or the series	19	to be on the application and have everything under her
20	of e-mails, is that Mr. Geraci was asking that the	20	name?
21	agreement be resubmitted under the name of Rebecca Barry.	21	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts.
22	Q. Okay. Thank you. At the time, did you know who	22	MR. AUSTIN: Well, I asked if he
23	Rebecca Barry was?	23	MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm not arguing. He can answer.
24	A. Did I know her personally?	24	THE WITNESS: I'd like to get clarity on what you're
25	Q. Or did you know who she was or what her capacity	25	asking. Are we still discussing this e-mail?
20	Q. Of the you know who she was of what her capacity	25	usking. The we said discussing this e main.
	Page 18		Page 19
1	BY MR. AUSTIN:	1	BY MR. AUSTIN:
2	Q. Not strictly, but what I what I'm trying to	2	Q. Do you recognize this document?
3	ask is, did you ask Mr. Geraci why he wanted Barry to have	3	A. It looks familiar to me.
		3	
4	everything under her name?	4	Q. Are these standard forms within the application
4 5	everything under her name? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous.		Q. Are these standard forms within the application process?
		4	process?
5	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous.	4 5	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for
5 6	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I	4 5 6 7	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation.
5 6 7	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's	4 5 6	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are
5 6 7 8 9	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it.	4 5 6 7 8 9	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right.
5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied. MR. AUSTIN: All right. I have another exhibit. So	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can. We are required to submit all of the forms that the City</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied. MR. AUSTIN: All right. I have another exhibit. So this will be exhibit	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can. We are required to submit all of the forms that the City determines are required at the time of that approval.</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied. MR. AUSTIN: All right. I have another exhibit. So this will be exhibit MR. LOPEZ: Two.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can. We are required to submit all of the forms that the City determines are required at the time of that approval. BY MR. AUSTIN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied. MR. AUSTIN: All right. I have another exhibit. So this will be exhibit MR. LOPEZ: Two. MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 2, for the record, this is San	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can. We are required to submit all of the forms that the City determines are required at the time of that approval. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you believe that to be one of those forms?</pre>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied. MR. AUSTIN: All right. I have another exhibit. So this will be exhibit MR. LOPEZ: Two. MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 2, for the record, this is San Diego form DS 190 dated October 31st, 2016.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can. We are required to submit all of the forms that the City determines are required at the time of that approval. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you believe that to be one of those forms? A. Yes, I do.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts and vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Your I can answer the question's quite broad. I can answer specifically. In this case, I did not find it odd at all, neither did I inquire about it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Okay. A. In the case of what's mentioned in this e-mail. Q. Right. And as far as the 6176 Federal Boulevard property in general, did you did you ask why Barry was to be described as the owner? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. THE WITNESS: It's not my understanding that Rebecca Barry was to be described as the owner of the property, if that's what you implied. MR. AUSTIN: All right. I have another exhibit. So this will be exhibit MR. LOPEZ: Two. MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 2, for the record, this is San	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. Calls for speculation. MR. AUSTIN: Are MR. LOPEZ: All right. (Counsel confer outside the hearing of the reporter.) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you typically have these forms submitted within the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Are these mandatory forms for the application process? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I'm going to answer as best as I can. We are required to submit all of the forms that the City determines are required at the time of that approval. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you believe that to be one of those forms?</pre>

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 6 (18 - 21)

USTIN: And marked as Exhibit 3 is an Ownership re Statement DS318. dant's Exhibit 3 was marked for identification) AUSTIN: you recognize any names on that document? o. d you help prepare this document? on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my build that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication? OOTHACRE: Attorney-client work product. Don't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. THE WITNESS: May I have another water? THE REPORTER: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 4, I have four pages of e-mail chains starting from Rebecca Barry on October 31st, 2016, ending with an e-mail from you on October 26, 2016. And on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize this document?
dant's Exhibit 3 was marked for identification) AUSTIN: you recognize any names on that document? o. d you help prepare this document? on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my build that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE REPORTER: Sure. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 4, I have four pages of e-mail chains starting from Rebecca Barry on October 31st, 2016, ending with an e-mail from you on October 26, 2016. And on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
AUSTIN: you recognize any names on that document? b. d you help prepare this document? on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my build that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 4, I have four pages of e-mail chains starting from Rebecca Barry on October 31st, 2016, ending with an e-mail from you on October 26, 2016. And on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
you recognize any names on that document? b. d you help prepare this document? on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my build that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. AUSTIN: So Exhibit 4, I have four pages of e-mail chains starting from Rebecca Barry on October 31st, 2016, ending with an e-mail from you on October 26, 2016. And on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
 b. d you help prepare this document? on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my build that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication? 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 chains starting from Rebecca Barry on October 31st, 2016, ending with an e-mail from you on October 26, 2016. And on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
d you help prepare this document? on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my ould that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ending with an e-mail from you on October 26, 2016. And on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
on't recall if myself personally prepared this t. I believe this document was prepared by my ould that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	12 13 14 15 16 17	on the third page there is an e-mail from Gina Austin. (Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification) BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
t. I believe this document was prepared by my ould that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	13 14 15 16 17	(Defendant's Exhibit 4 was marked for identification)BY MR. AUSTIN:Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
ould that be the same for Exhibit 2? Would the e prepared that document? on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	14 15 16 17	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
e prepared that document? on't recall. I Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	15 16 17	Q. Do you recognize these e-mails? Do you recognize
e prepared that document? on't recall. I Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	16 17	
on't recall. d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?	17	
d Gina Austin help Techne in the preparation of ication?		A. They appear to be e-mails that I sent between
ication?		myself and Rebecca Barry and Gina Austin.
	19	Q. And Gina Austin was cc-ed on your e-mails with
	20	Rebecca?
at. You're instructed not to answer.	21	A. Are you asking on all my e-mails?
AUSTIN:	22	Q. In in this document, this exhibit.
d did did Miss Austin request that you	23	A. It appears so.
Request that you forward copies of documents	24	Q. On the third page, October 27, 2016, at
ibmitting them?		
-		
Page 22		Page 23
on completing the submission package? I am under	1	Mrs. Austin?
ession it's getting submitted on Friday. I would	2	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
eview all the documents prior to submittal. PDF	3	MR. LOPEZ: I think even though he objects, you
		still have to answer the question.
 this is do you recall receiving that 		THE WITNESS: I wasn't sure if you were going to
		rephrase the question.
		I do recall interacting with Miss Austin on this
		particular project and I do believe through e-mail as
		well, yes.
		BY MR. AUSTIN:
		Q. And you did you did show her your submissions?
		You did send her PDF PDF or other means of production
• •		of what was being submitted?
-		A. I don't recall that specifically.
		MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
		BY MR. AUSTIN:
I.		Q. Do you have any reason to believe that this
		e-mail might not be authentic in that it might be a
		reproduction? A. Are you asking me to speculate on it?
you recan sending documents for Mis. Austin to		
		MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.
OOTUACEE, Vague and ambiguous		BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. That's not the question. The question is, do you
OOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.		O , That Shot the question. The duestion is, no volu
AUSTIN:		
· ·	23 24 25	have any reason to believe it's a reproduction? A. I don't myself, no.
	this is do you recall receiving that OOTHACRE: I'm going to object that there's no n for this being from Gina Austin, and I'll just that. AUSTIN: es does that e-mail do you recognize that one that you sent, or that you received? I'm at do you recognize that e-mail as one that ved or responded to? u don't ink that this is a reproduction. I don't t e-mail in and of itself without looking at ed document. you recall sending documents for Ms. Austin to OOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.	4this is do you recall receiving that56OOTHACRE: I'm going to object that there's no7n for this being from Gina Austin, and I'll just8t that.9AUSTIN:10es does that e-mail do you recognize that11one that you sent, or that you received? I'm12at do you recognize that e-mail as one that13ved or responded to?14.15u don't16ink that this is a reproduction. I don't17t e-mail in and of itself without looking at18ed document.19you recall sending documents for Ms. Austin to20212021OOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.22

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 7 (22 - 25)

1	Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you know someone named	1	BY MR. AUSTIN:
2	Aaron Magagna?	2	Q. Oh, no, I'm going to rephrase.
3	A. I do.	3	Are you aware of Mr. Magagna's CUP application that is
4	MR. AUSTIN: And for the court reporter his last name	4	pending on 6220 Federal Boulevard?
5	is spelled M-a-g-a-g-n-a.	5	A. I'm aware that there's an application on that
6	BY MR. AUSTIN:	6	property that's been approved.
7	Q. How do you know Aaron Magagna?	7	Q. Did you attend the public hearing on October 17,
8	A. Aaron Magagna	8	2018 I'm sorry.
9	Q. Or Magagna.	9	Did you attend the public hearing on October 17th,
10	A was introduced to me through a friend in	10	2018 regarding the approval of the CUP on 6220 Federal
11	common.	11	Boulevard?
12	Q. Who was that friend?	12	A. If what you're referring to is the Planning
13	A. His name is Rakesh Goyal.	13	Commission Hearing
14	MR. TOOTHACRE: Can you spell that for the court	14	Q. Yes.
15	reporter, please?	15	A and assuming that your date is correct, yes.
16	THE WITNESS: I believe the name is spelled	16	Q. Did you oppose the approval of that CUP on 6220?
17	R-a-k-e-s-h, last name G-o-y-a-l.	17	A. Did I personally oppose or did my firm oppose?
18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.	18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, vague and ambiguous.
19	BY MR. AUSTIN:	19	BY MR. AUSTIN:
20	Q. Are you aware of Mr. Magagna's application that	20	Q. Did you personally make an opposition at that
21	is competing with Mr. Cotton and Mr. Geraci's property?		hearing?
22	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Vague	22	A. My firm opposed that project. I was part of
23	and ambiguous.		that.
24	THE WITNESS: Are you waiting for me, or are you going	24	Q. Okay. Who in your firm attended that hearing?
	to rephrase it?	25	A. Certainly me. There may have been one more
25		25	A. Certainty net. There may have been one more
	Page 26		Page 27
1			
1	person, but I don't recall what staff I took.	1	BY MR. AUSTIN:
1 2	Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that	1 2	BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Would the term would the correct terminology
	•		
2	Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that	2	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology
2 3	Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing?	2 3	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the
2 3 4	Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing?A. I did.	2 3 4	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should
2 3 4 5	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was 	2 3 4 5	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? 	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 being located near a children's day care center? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I believe, by somebody else at the hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 being located near a children's day care center? A. I don't know what you mean by "one of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I believe, by somebody else at the hearing. Q. Yes, think that's what I was trying to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 being located near a children's day care center? A. I don't know what you mean by "one of the objections." It's not a term that we use in processing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I believe, by somebody else at the hearing. Q. Yes, think that's what I was trying to ask earlier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 being located near a children's day care center? A. I don't know what you mean by "one of the objections." It's not a term that we use in processing these projects. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I believe, by somebody else at the hearing. Q. Yes, think that's what I was trying to ask earlier. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 being located near a children's day care center? A. I don't know what you mean by "one of the objections." It's not a term that we use in processing these projects. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let me interpose a vague and ambiguous 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I believe, by somebody else at the hearing. Q. Yes, think that's what I was trying to ask earlier. A. Okay. Q. Did you ever investigate that issue to see if it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Did you make a public comment personally at that hearing? A. I did. Q. And what was it that you said? I mean, what was the purpose of your comment? A. I can I can explain to you a brief summary of what I spoke publicly in that, is that what you'd like to hear? Q. Yes, a brief summary would be fine. A. The document that Mr. Toothacre submitted is an in-depth analysis which we prepared which was the basis of what I spoke of that day. I spoke in summary of that. And from my recollection, I also answered some of the questions that the planning commissioners had related to the information I had provided. Q. Was was one of the objections related to 6220 being located near a children's day care center? A. I don't know what you mean by "one of the objections." It's not a term that we use in processing these projects. MR. TOOTHACRE: Let me interpose a vague and ambiguous objection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Would the term would the correct terminology be an opposition or so when when someone at the public hearing has an issue, a reason why approval should not be granted, what what would you call that? What would the terminology be? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. If it's not an objection, what A. I'm happy to comment on what I spoke of and the document I prepared, but I'm not sure that I fully understand your question. Q. Well, within this document, I haven't been able to fully review all of it, but isn't to your recollection, is there concern of there being a day care center that is too close to the 6220 property? A. I don't know if a day care center was mentioned in that document. I know that it was brought up, I believe, by somebody else at the hearing. Q. Yes, think that's what I was trying to ask earlier. A. Okay. Q. Did you ever investigate that issue to see if it was the case?

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

Page 29 8 (26 - 29)

1	BY MR. AUSTIN:	1	Q. Did you ever have a chance to review the plans
2	Q. After hearing about the day care center, did you		for the CUP at 6220?
3	want to check to see if that was the case, if it was	3	A. I did review plans that we obtained through the
4	within a designated area?		City of San Diego's report to the Planning Commission
5	A. Yes.	5	
6	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection	6	Conditional Use Permit that they were applying for.
7	THE WITNESS: I did want to do that.	7	Q. All right. Is your firm assisting Mr. Magagna in
8	BY MR. AUSTIN:	8	
9	Q. Yes. And did you do so?	9	A. No.
10	A. I did not do so personally.	10	MR. AUSTIN: So what exhibit are we on?
11	Q. Did someone in your firm? Did you have anyone	11	MR. LOPEZ: What exhibit are we on, five?
12	look?	12	THE REPORTER: Five.
13	A. Yes.	13	MR. AUSTIN: So I would like to present Exhibit 5
14	Q. What was the outcome?	14	which is a screen shot of the DSD website with regards to
15	A. From my recollection, we determined that that was	15	the 6220 application. It's this one.
16	not a qualifying sensitive use that needed any specified	16	(Defendant's Exhibit 5 was marked for identification)
17	separation.	17	BY MR. AUSTIN:
18	Q. Have you ever worked with a company called	18	Q. So in this screen shot, it indicates that Techne
19	Pacific Custom Pools?	19	is involved do you recognize this document?
20	A. I'm sorry.	20	MR. TOOTHACRE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
21	Q. Do you know if you've ever worked with a company	21	evidence. No foundation for this document. And I'm going
22	called Pacific Custom Pools?	22	to disagree with the representation of the way it's been
23	A. That name doesn't sound familiar.	23	characterized. But other than that, if you can answer the
24	Q. Do you know a Bruno Vasquez?	24	question.
25	A. The name doesn't sound familiar.	25	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
	Page 30		Page 31
		1 1	It's material and an it's hand farming to fail and
1			It's not numbered, so it's hard for me to follow.
2	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just	2	Q. The first page.
2 3	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD	2 3	Q. The first page.A. Is this the page?
2 3 4	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website.	2 3 4	Q. The first page.A. Is this the page?Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says
2 3 4 5	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list	2 3 4 5	Q. The first page.A. Is this the page?Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the website where you can search for an approval will give you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application? A. Absolutely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the website where you can search for an approval will give you some information about that project. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application? A. Absolutely not. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time. Misconstrues the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the website where you can search for an approval will give you some information about that project. Q. Yes. Okay. Within this portion of the website, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application? A. Absolutely not. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time. Misconstrues the document. That's that's fine. Go ahead. Go ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the website where you can search for an approval will give you some information about that project. Q. Yes. Okay. Within this portion of the website, do you see the section where it says "Customer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application? A. Absolutely not. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time. Misconstrues the document. That's that's fine. Go ahead. Go ahead and answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the website where you can search for an approval will give you some information about that project. Q. Yes. Okay. Within this portion of the website, do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application? A. Absolutely not. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time. Misconstrues the document. That's that's fine. Go ahead. Go ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Are you familiar with let's see. You've just mentioned how you had reviewed documents through the DSD website. Are you familiar this website and how they list people, firms and individuals related to various projects? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: All right. MR. TOOTHACRE: He can answer, if he understands. THE WITNESS: I can give you my understanding of what this what appears to be generated from a particular section of the Development Services website and what its purpose is. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah, if you could do that. A. Would you like that? Q. Yeah, that would be perfect. A. DSD stands for Development Services Department, in this case San Diego. This particular portion of the website where you can search for an approval will give you some information about that project. Q. Yes. Okay. Within this portion of the website, do you see the section where it says "Customer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. The first page. A. Is this the page? Q. Yes. Do you see the section where it says "Customer Information"? A. I do. Q. And do you recognize the name Carlos Gonzalez? A. I do. Q. He works with your Techne? A. He's an employee of my firm. Q. Yes. And, also, if you go down a few lines, do you see your name? A. I do. Q. And going back to Mr. Gonzalez, your employee they're an employee of Techne. It says his role is an agent. Do you see that? Do you see that section? A. I do see it indicated that way. Q. So is Techne, in fact, an agent of Mr. Magagna's CUP application? A. Absolutely not. MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague as to time. Misconstrues the document. That's that's fine. Go ahead. Go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not.

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 9 (30 - 33)

1	BY MR. AUSTIN:	1	can't be within 1,000 feet, and they specify, all that's
2	Q. Is there do you know of any reason why Techne	2	measured, of another approved marijuana outlet.
3	would be listed as an agent?	3	Given that's my understanding that Mr. Magagna's
4	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. If you do	4	project is approved and there is no more room for any more
5	know, you can answer.	5	appeals, that would be detrimental to Mr. Geraci's
6	THE WITNESS: I can speculate. Would you like me to?	6	project.
7	MR. TOOTHACRE: No, don't guess if you don't know the	7	BY MR. AUSTIN:
8	answer.	8	Q. So if Techne were assisting in both applications,
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	9	that would seem like a conflict of interest?
10	BY MR. AUSTIN:	10	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous, but
11	Q. So you don't know why your name and one of your	11	THE WITNESS: That absolutely, I would agree with
12	employee's name, names, is listed within the customer	12	that.
13	information?	13	BY MR. AUSTIN:
14	A. I would speculate if I told you, and I'm not	14	Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you know Neil Dutta?
15	going to speculate. So the answer to that is no.	15	MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm sorry, the name one more time?
16	Q. So, to your knowledge, has Techne in any capacity	16	MR. AUSTIN: Neil Dutta, last name, D-u-t-t-a.
17	aided Mr. Magagna in his application?	17	THE WITNESS: I do.
18	A. Absolutely not.	18	BY MR. AUSTIN:
19	Q. Would it be adverse to Mr. Cotton and Mr.	19	Q. And how do you know Mr. Dutta.
20	Geraci's application on 6176 if the if Mr. Magagna's	20	A. I know him through Mr. Geraci.
21	CUP application went through?	21	Q. Yes.
22	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. He can answer.	22	A. Let me rephrase that.
23	MR. AUSTIN: All right.	23	I don't recall if it was Mr. Geraci or Mr. Jim Bartell
24	THE WITNESS: The City of San Diego has a regulation	24	who introduced me, but I do know Neil through this
25	through the Municipal Code that MOs, marijuana outlets,	25	project.
20	anough are mainteipar coue that most, manjuana outers,	25	project.
20		2.5	
	Page 34		Page 35
1	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project	1	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci.
1 2	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to?	1 2	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a
1 2 3	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana	1 2 3	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion.
1 2 3 4	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard.	1 2 3 4	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to
1 2 3 4 5	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression that I have.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression that I have. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. I'll direct your attention to what will now be labeled as Exhibit 6 which is an e-mail
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: O. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: O. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression that I have. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. I'll direct your attention to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta ever directed you to do anything on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression that I have. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. I'll direct your attention to what will now be labeled as Exhibit 6 which is an e-mail to Larry from Jim from you dated October 10, 2016 as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta ever directed you to do anything on the application?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression that I have. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. I'll direct your attention to what will now be labeled as Exhibit 6 which is an e-mail to Larry from Jim from you dated October 10, 2016 as well which is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 34 MR. TOOTHACRE: And just for clarity, which project are you referring to? THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's application for a marijuana outlet on Federal Boulevard. MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is Mr. Dutta a partner of Geraci in this application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta assisted in the application process for Mr. Geraci? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has Mr. Dutta ever directed you to do anything on the application? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 35 application process? I mean authority from Mr. Geraci. MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. Also calls for a legal conclusion. THE WITNESS: It seems like you're asking me to speculate on that. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. All right. Well, were you ever given the impression that Mr. Dutta could be a decision-maker along with Mr. Geraci and Miss Barry? MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts not in evidence. Calls for a legal conclusion. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer that? MR. TOOTHACRE: You can, if you like. It's THE WITNESS: I don't that's not the impression that I have. MR. AUSTIN: Okay. I'll direct your attention to what will now be labeled as Exhibit 6 which is an e-mail to Larry from Jim from you dated October 10, 2016 as well which is MR. TOOTHACRE: Counsel do you have a copy,

- 24 Q. To your knowledge, would Mr. Dutta have any
- 25 authority in making any directions or decisions in the
- he 25 /// Page 36

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

24 (Defendant's Exhibit 6 was marked for identification)

Page 37 10 (34 - 37)

1	BY MR. AUSTIN:	1	BY MR. AUSTIN:
2	Q. Here, I have an e-mail dated October 7, 2016. Do	2	Q. This is an e-mail that you sent with both of
3	you remember this e-mail?		their names, slash and/or.
4	A. I don't remember by recollection this particular	4	A. I believe so.
5	e-mail. I do remember discussing this issue.	5	Q. Is there a reason why that is? Do you recall why
6	Q. All right. All right. In this e-mail, you ask	6	you would have phrased your e-mail that way?
7	for Larry or Neil to make sure that access can be granted.	7	A. From my recollection, it appears that Neil may
8	So, basically, it looks as if you're treating Larry or		have been in charge with facilitating access to the
9	Neil as if they have, you know, decision-making authority.	9	property.
10	MR. TOOTHACRE: Assumes facts. No foundation. I	10	MR. AUSTIN: Okay. All right. I would like to ask you
11	object to the characterization as asked by counsel. Was	11	some questions about the some of the Declarations you
12	that your intent in writing to Neil and Mr. Geraci or	12	have submitted in this case. Are we on seven?
13	THE WITNESS: No.	13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Seven.
14	MR. TOOTHACRE: What was your intent in writing that		BY MR. AUSTIN:
	to both of them?	15	Q. You've mentioned you've had occasion to review
16	THE WITNESS: I would have to speculate.	16	the Declarations that you have previously submitted,
17	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.	17	correct?
18	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that Neil was not my	18	A. That's correct.
19		19	Q. All right. Are are these true and correct
20	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.	20	copies of the Declarations that you have submitted, you
21	BY MR. AUSTIN:	21	have signed? You have signed and have been submitted?
22	Q. If if that's the case, how did Neil get	22	MR. LOPEZ: Let's let's take them one at a time.
23	involved in any of the e-mails?	23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, please.
24	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. Vague and	24	MR. TOOTHACRE: So seven is what's the date on
25	ambiguous.	25	seven so we have the correct one?
	Page 38		Page 39
1	MR. AUSTIN: Seven's dated March 23rd, 27 or	1	A. It appears that that's my signature.
2	MR. TOOTHACRE: February 27	2	Q. Okay. Thank you. And on Page 3, if you go to
3	MR. AUSTIN: I think it was executed February 27.	3	Paragraph 11, Line 14, you mention there's only one major
4	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. That's what I have.	4	issue left. And is that in reference to approve a
5	(Defendant's Exhibit 7 was marked for identification)	5	potential approval for a CUP application at that time?
6	BY MR. AUSTIN:	6	A. I believe what I'm discussing here is the
7	Q. Do you recognize this document?	7	application getting to the stage where staff comments are
8	A. Let me read through it.	8	cleared. And when I mentioned this one major issue to be
9	Q. Yes, of course.	9	resolved, it's regarding that phase of the application,
10	MR. TOOTHACRE: Any document you're given, Mr.	10	not the approval of the application.
	Schweitzer, take as much time as you want to review it.	11	Q. Not the overall, just a phase. Okay. Had
12	NT 1 1 4 4 1 1 1 1 1	1.1.0	
	Nobody wants you to guess. We want you to be review it	12	
13	and be able to give full test your full testimony,	13	A. Yes, it has.
13 14	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't	13 14	A. Yes, it has.Q. When was it resolved?
13 14 15	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed.	13 14 15	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are
13 14 15 16	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	13 14 15 16	A. Yes, it has.Q. When was it resolved?MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about?
13 14 15 16 17	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off	13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced
13 14 15 16 17 18	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it	13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does get warm, let me know. I can turn it back on.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me? MR. TOOTHACRE: What what is the issue?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does get warm, let me know. I can turn it back on. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me? MR. TOOTHACRE: What what is the issue? THE WITNESS: This is regarding the street dedication.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does get warm, let me know. I can turn it back on. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe this is a true copy of it.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me? MR. TOOTHACRE: What what is the issue? THE WITNESS: This is regarding the street dedication. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does get warm, let me know. I can turn it back on. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe this is a true copy of it. BY MR. AUSTIN:	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me? MR. TOOTHACRE: What what is the issue? THE WITNESS: This is regarding the street dedication. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does get warm, let me know. I can turn it back on. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe this is a true copy of it. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. May I direct your attention to the Page 5, the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me? MR. TOOTHACRE: What what is the issue? THE WITNESS: This is regarding the street dedication. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? BY MR. AUSTIN:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and be able to give full test your full testimony, accurate testimony, about it. So take your time. Don't feel rushed. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MR. LOPEZ: Just so everybody knows, I did turn off the air conditioning. So if anybody does get warm, it does kind of get hot because of the windows, so if it does get warm, let me know. I can turn it back on. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe this is a true copy of it. BY MR. AUSTIN:	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, it has. Q. When was it resolved? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. What issue are we talking about? MR. LOPEZ: I think it's the issue that's referenced in the THE WITNESS: Are you asking me? MR. TOOTHACRE: What what is the issue? THE WITNESS: This is regarding the street dedication. MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

Page 40

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 11 (38 - 41)

Page 41

1	resolved.	1	Q. For the for that issue to be resolved, you had
2	Do you recall approximately when that issue was	2	estimated it would be completed in April, but but now
3	resolved?	3	you say it didn't actually get completed until the end of
4	A. Approximately late last year.	4	the year, correct?
5	Q. Late last year. Late 2018?	5	A. By "completed," do you mean cleared at staff
6	A. That's correct.		level?
7	Q. Would you estimate it was in October? November?	7	Q. Cleared at staff level.
8	December?	8	A. That's correct.
9	A. I'd have to look at my records to give you any	9	Q. Do you know why it took a lot longer?
10	better time frame than I just gave you.	10	A. I don't know why. You'd have to ask City staff.
11	Q. Also, on Page 3, Paragraph 12, Lines 26 through	11	Q. Understand. Okay. Is that somewhat unusual for
	27	12	the City of San Diego?
13	A. Yes.	13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.
14	Q you mention that, "It is currently my best	14	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not.
	estimate on the issue being cleared by April 16th, 2018."	15	BY MR. AUSTIN:
16	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous as to what the	16	Q. In your experience, have you had delays like that
	issue is.	17	happen before?
	BY MR. AUSTIN:	18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
18 19	Q. Is that the street dedication issue that that	19	BY MR. AUSTIN:
19 20	is being referenced?	20	Q. For issues being cleared sometimes taking
	A. I believe so.	20	significantly longer than anticipated?
21 22		21	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. You can answer.
	Q. So your you estimated it would be completed in April, but it took until the end of the year; is that	22	THE WITNESS: We have frequently had unexpected delays
	correct?		
		24	and timelines in the City of San Diego while processing
25	A. What's the question?	25	our projects. That is very common.
	Page 42		Page 43
1	BY MR. AUSTIN:	1	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection.
2	Q. Do you take that into account when you're making	2	THE WITNESS: I use my best judgment.
3	your estimates, your time estimates, for various issues	3	BY MR. AUSTIN:
4	being resolved?	4	Q. Yes.
5	A. Do I take into account the volatility of the	5	A. With the information I have available.
6	City's review process?	6	Q. Are you still working on the 6176 property?
7	Q. Yes.	7	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
8	A. Yes.	8	BY MR. AUSTIN:
9	Q. And you have you've already told us that	9	Q. Are you still working on that CUP application?
10	you've done a significant number of these applications, so	10	A. Are you asking myself as an individual or my
11	would you say that your time estimates are generally	11	firm?
12	pretty accurate?	12	Q. Or Techne.
13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.	13	A. What do you mean by "working on" it?
14	THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?	14	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
15	BY MR. AUSTIN:	15	BY MR. AUSTIN:
16	Q. When you make time estimates and timelines of	16	Q. Are you still seeking is Techne still seeking
17	when you think various things will be approved or issues	17	approval of that CUP application?
18	being cleared, do you feel that your estimates are	18	THE WITNESS: Is that covered, or am I allowed to
19	generally accurate?	19	comment on that?
20	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection.	20	MR. TOOTHACRE: Which which which application is
21	THE WITNESS: No, because it's an estimate. By	21	that?
22	nature, it's not accurate.	22	THE WITNESS: Mr. Geraci's.
23	BY MR. AUSTIN:	23	MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's go off the record. I want to
24	Q. But you do try to be as close and accurate as	24	confer with my client.
25	possible within reason, correct?	25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time off the record is 11:18 a.m.
	Page 44		Page 45

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

12 (42 - 45)

1	(Recess taken)	1	A. Of that project.
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time back on the record is	2	Q. Okay. So who is is Techne seeking the appeal?
3	11:29 a.m. Counsel, you may proceed.	3	A. The process has run its course. The hearing
4	MR. AUSTIN: All right. Thank you.	4	which you mentioned previously
5	BY MR. AUSTIN:	5	Q. Uh-huh.
6	Q. To your knowledge, is the 6176 CUP application	6	A was the hearing where the decision was made on
7	still being processed for Federal Boulevard?	7	this appeal. So, unfortunately, there's nothing more to
8	A. What I can answer is that we are not seeking	8	do on this appeal.
9	approval of that project, but I don't know exactly what	9	Q. Okay. So this supplemental information, do
10	you mean by is it still being processed.	10	you do you think that could change the City's mind? Or
11	Q. Right. And why are you no longer seeking	11	is that the goal of the supplemental information?
12	approval?	12	A. Are you asking what is the goal of this document?
13	A. It's not possible to have it approved.	13	Q. Yes, sir.
14	Q. So is the 6220 project 100 percent approved and	14	A. We produced this document in order to give it, as
15	complete?	15	indicated here, to the planning commissioners, planning
16	A. That's my understanding, that the Conditional Use	16	commissioners being the decision-makers for the appeal of
17	Permit is approved. I don't know what you mean by	17	the project in question.
18	"complete."	18	Q. Okay. Do you know the status of what the
19	Q. Okay. I was talking about the CUP. And I was	19	planning commissioners are doing with that property?
20	I was given a document by Mr. Toothacre. It appears to be	20	A. I don't believe the planning commissioners are
21	an appeal of that approval. Is that is that what this	21	doing anything with that property.
22	is?	22	Q. As far as
23	A. No, this is supplemental information that we	23	MR. TOOTHACRE: Let's it's vague. Which property
24	submitted in relation to an appeal.	24	are you talking about?
25	Q. Okay.	25	MR. AUSTIN: This is the 6220 property.
	Page 46	-	Page 47
1	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.	1	Q. And the result of that was approval by the
2	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's.	2	Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing?
2 3	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of	2 3	Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal.
2 3 4	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal?	2 3 4	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean
2 3 4 5	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN:	2 3 4 5	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana
2 3 4 5 6	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and that hearing was in October. Is is that not correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and that hearing was in October. Is is that not correct? A. The hearing for the Planning Commission 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay. A. The CUP for Mr. Magagna on the project that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and that hearing was in October. Is is that not correct? A. The hearing for the Planning Commission Q. Oh, for the Planning Commission. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay. A. The CUP for Mr. Magagna on the project that we just discussed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and that hearing was in October. Is is that not correct? A. The hearing for the Planning Commission Q. Oh, for the Planning Commission. A in relation to the appeal of what the City of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay. A. The CUP for Mr. Magagna on the project that we just discussed. Q. And the Planning Commission has denied the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and that hearing was in October. Is is that not correct? A. The hearing for the Planning Commission Q. Oh, for the Planning Commission. A in relation to the appeal of what the City of San Diego identifies as Project 598124 I believe was on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay. A. The CUP for Mr. Magagna on the project that we just discussed. Q. And the Planning Commission has denied the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. MR. AUSTIN: Mr. Magagna's. THE WITNESS: Are you asking me what the decision of the Planning Commission was in relation to the appeal? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Has has a final final decision been made? A. Yes. Q. Yes. So so Mr. Geraci's property is okay. Well, if that's the case, then is the supplemental information that was provided, is that is that futile? Could A. Yeah, I'm struggling to understand your questioning. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah, I think you're misunderstanding. I believe this was submitted in connection with his presentation at the commission. THE WITNESS: That's correct. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Well, I see that this is dated in December and that hearing was in October. Is is that not correct? A. The hearing for the Planning Commission Q. Oh, for the Planning Commission. A in relation to the appeal of what the City of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And the result of that was approval by the Planning Commission or that on that hearing? A. The Planning Commission denied the appeal. Q. They denied the appeal. Okay. So does that mean the 6220 property is green-lighted to become a marijuana outlet? Are there any more hurdles? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. MR. AUSTIN: And compound. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: If you can be more clear, I'll try to answer, but I'm not sure what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. I'm just trying to ask if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet is coming into fruition? Is is Mr. Magagna going to own a marijuana outlet on that property? MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objection. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Is is it okay. So the CUP is approved, you say, right? A. That's my understanding. Q. Okay. A. The CUP for Mr. Magagna on the project that we just discussed. Q. And the Planning Commission has denied the

Page 48

Page 49

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 13 (46 - 49)

		I	
1	Q. Okay. What further hurdles are there for Mr.	1	company who has done work for us and for our clients for a
2	Magagna's marijuana outlet, are there any?	2	number of years
3	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.	3	MR. TOOTHACRE: Can you spell Barbara Harris for the
4	THE WITNESS: You have to ask him.	4	court reporter, please?
5	BY MR. AUSTIN:	5	MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
6	Q. Okay. All right. So if you're not 100 percent	6	THE WITNESS: I can try.
7	sure Mr. Magagna can have the marijuana outlet there, why	7	MR. AUSTIN: I I can do it.
8	is the 6176 property not being pursued any longer?	8	MR. TOOTHACRE: Sure.
9	A. I think understand. I'm going to restate the	9	MR. AUSTIN: B-a-r-b-a-r-a, Harris, H-a-r-r-i-s
10	question. Can you confirm that that's what you're asking?	10	Permitting.
11	I think what you're trying to ask me is how do I know	11	MR. TOOTHACRE: Thank you.
12	that his CUP was granted and what influence that has in us	12	BY MR. AUSTIN:
13	not pursuing the approval of Mr. Geraci's project. Is	13	Q. To your knowledge, is Barbara Harris Permitting
14	that correct?	14	now listed as the agent for Mr. Geraci's 6176 process?
15	Q. Yes, sir.	15	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation
16	A. We know that the CUP was granted. Because that	16	THE WITNESS: You're asking me to speculate on how the
17	CUP is granted, we can no longer meet the requirements to	17	City categorizes people.
18	have the CUP we're applying for to be granted. That's why	18	BY MR. AUSTIN:
19	we're no longer seeking approval.	19	Q. Oh.
20	Q. Okay. So all right. That makes sense. So are	20	A. And I can't do that.
21	you familiar with Barbara Harris Permitting?	21	Q. Understood. Do you know if Barbara Harris
22	A. Yes.	22	Permitting is still working on that application, or has
23	Q. How are you familiar with with Barbara Harris	23	all work ceased?
24	Permitting?	24	A. No to my knowledge. To my knowledge Barbara
25	A. Barbara Harris Permitting is a permit processing	25	Harris Permitting is not working on that project.
	Page 50		Page 51
1	Q. So because of the approval of this competing CUP,	1	A. Are you asking me to describe how the City closes
2	you believe all work on Mr. Geraci's property has ceased?	2	out a project or the scope of our work in relation to
3	A. That's not correct.	3	that?
4	Q. Are is to your knowledge, is someone still	4	Q. The scope of your work in relation.
5	hoping to open a marijuana outlet there if possible?	5	A. Mainly coordinating between the City and the
б	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation.	6	applicant and the financially responsible party to pay any
7	THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, no.	7	past due invoices and to formally request that the
8	BY MR. AUSTIN:	8	application be withdrawn.
9	Q. To your knowledge. What work, to your knowledge,	9	Q. If it was discovered that Mr. Magagna's approval
10	would be being done for 6176?	10	of his CUP was obtained through fraud, how would that
11	A. By who?	11	affect the winding down process on Mr. Geraci's property?
12	Q. Anyone.	12	MR. TOOTHACRE: Calls for speculation. Calls for a
13	A. I can't speculate to somebody else's work. If	13	legal conclusion. Assumes facts not in evidence. Vague
14	you can be more specific, I'll try to answer your	14	and ambiguous. If you understand the question, you can
15	questions as best as I can.	15	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
16	MR. TOOTHACRE: Are you aware of anybody performing	16	BY MR. AUSTIN:
17	any work on behalf of that property currently?	17	Q. All right. If if Mr. Magagna's CUP
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	application is to ultimately or not not just the CUP
19	BY MR. AUSTIN:	19	application, but if his marijuana outlet project is
20	Q. Can you describe that?	20	ultimately a failure and if you had any reason to believe
21	A. I believe that the City of San Diego is going	21	that it wouldn't go through, how would that affect the
22	through the process to close out that project, and myself	22	winding down process on Mr. Geraci's property?
23	and staff and my firm are providing them the information	23	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections.
24	they need to do that.	24	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to speculate?
25	Q. What does that entail?	25	MR. TOOTHACRE: No.

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 14 (50 - 53)

1 2	MR. LOPEZ: To your knowledge. BY MR. AUSTIN:	1 2	Q. Were were the issues raised in your supplemental document were the issues raised in that
3	Q. To your knowledge, in that in that scenario,	3	also raised at the public hearing? Are there
4	if Mr. Magagna's marijuana outlet could not go through	4	A. By "the public hearing," do you mean the hearing
5	it if how would you deal with that in relation to	5	officer hearing for Mr. Magagna's application?
6	Mr. Geraci's process if you if you didn't think you had	6	Q. Yes.
7	to finish the winding down process?	7	A. I'll have to speak from memory and about what we
8	MR. TOOTHACRE: Same objections. And additional,	8	typically do. Is that what you want to hear?
9	incomplete hypothetical	9	Q. Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: I'm going to state as best as I can	10	A. Typically, we would not provide all of the
11	understand question.	11	information, or, as is commonly said, show our cards at
12	I think what you're asking is in the hypothetical	12	the first opportunity we have to appeal a project. We
13	situation that somehow Mr. Magagna's CUP was revoked, if	13	would wait until further down the line to produce a more
14	and how that may affect the winding down process of	14	comprehensive argument, but we would set the foundation
15	Mr. Geraci's CUP. Is that correct?	15	for that argument at an early stage.
16	BY MR. AUSTIN:	16	Q. So this is the comprehensive document?
17	Q. Yes.	17	A. I would categorize it as that, yes.
18	A. I have no idea.	18	Q. And that appeal is completely closed? There's
19	Q. In this document that was provided by	19	there's no reopening that appeal, to your knowledge?
20	Mr. Toothacre, the supplemental information for the appeal	20	A. That's a legal question. To my knowledge,
21	on the approval of the 6220 property, are there any pieces	21	there's no more room to appeal that project.
22	of information or arguments there as to why the that	22	Q. Okay.
23	approval should be denied that were not raised at the	23	A. In the Development Services Department.
24	October public hearings?	24	Q. Gotcha. Earlier we discussed Mr. Jim Bartell.
25	A. Can you be more specific?	25	How many how many projects have you worked on with
	Page 54		Page 55
1	Mr. Bartell?	1	point.
1 2	Mr. Bartell? A. A number of projects.	1 2	point. Would it be possible for you to provide a
			-
2	A. A number of projects.	2	Would it be possible for you to provide a
2 3	A. A number of projects.Q. Do you have a rough estimate?	2 3	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you
2 3 4	A. A number of projects.Q. Do you have a rough estimate?A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to	2 3 4	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell?
2 3 4 5	A. A number of projects.Q. Do you have a rough estimate?A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you.	2 3 4 5	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you
2 3 4 5 6	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More 	2 3 4 5 6	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: I'm giving you the best answer that I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I when I asked how you met Mr. Magagna, I thought you said you were introduced by Mr. Bartell.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate. I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: I'm giving you the best answer that I can and you keep asking. And unfortunately this is as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I when I asked how you met Mr. Magagna, I thought you said you were introduced by Mr. Bartell. A. That's incorrect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate. I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: I'm giving you the best answer that I can and you keep asking. And unfortunately this is as accurate I can be based on my recollection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I when I asked how you met Mr. Magagna, I thought you said you were introduced by Mr. Bartell. A. That's incorrect. MR. TOOTHACRE: Misstates testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate, I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: I'm giving you the best answer that I can and you keep asking. And unfortunately this is as accurate I can be based on my recollection. BY MR. AUSTIN: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I when I asked how you met Mr. Magagna, I thought you said you were introduced by Mr. Bartell. A. That's incorrect. MR. TOOTHACRE: Misstates testimony. BY MR. AUSTIN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. A number of projects. Q. Do you have a rough estimate? A. I don't. I would have to look at my records to give that you. Q. Okay. Would you say it's more than 10? More than A. I'm not going to speculate. Q. So you're not sure if it was under 20, over 20? A. I'm not sure of the number of projects that I've worked on with Mr. Bartell over the years. Q. Right. You are entitled to a good faith estimate. I know we said, like, we don't want just a random guess, but if you had just just a good faith estimate. I think that would be A. My good faith guess, without looking at my records, would be over 20 projects. Q. But less than 30 perhaps? A. I can't speculate on that again. MR. TOOTHACRE: Yeah. THE WITNESS: I'm giving you the best answer that I can and you keep asking. And unfortunately this is as accurate I can be based on my recollection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Would it be possible for you to provide a comprehensive list in the future of the projects you worked on with Mr. Bartell? MR. TOOTHACRE: Well, if a if a if you if you issue a proper subpoena he would provide that information, but he's not a party to this litigation, so MR. AUSTIN: I was just trying to find out if that information exists. THE WITNESS: Is the question, does the information exist on which projects my firm has worked with Mr. Jim Bartell's firm on? BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yes, sir. A. That information does exist. Q. Okay. Thank you. And you say Mr. Bartell introduced you to Mr. Magagna? A. That's not what I said. Q. Oh, that's not what you said? Because when I when I asked how you met Mr. Magagna, I thought you said you were introduced by Mr. Bartell. A. That's incorrect. MR. TOOTHACRE: Misstates testimony.

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 15 (54 - 57)

A. Rakesh Goyal.		
	2	BY MR. AUSTIN:
Q. Oh, Rakesh Goyal. I do apologize for that.	3	Q. When would at what date no.
Has Mr. Magagna tried to enlist your services or the	4	To your knowledge, when did when is the last time
services of Techne at any point?	5	Techne did any work on seeking approval of the 6176
A. Absolutely not.	6	project?
Q. Do you have any ownership interest in	7	A. During the hearing which this document was
Mr. Magagna's 6220 project?	8	discussed at and which you mentioned earlier.
A. Absolutely not.	9	Q. So that was the final the final piece of work
Q. How long did you work on the project at 6176?	10	Techne did?
MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.	11	A. That's a different question.
BY MR. AUSTIN:	12	Q. For for
Q. How long did Techne	13	MR. TOOTHACRE: Misstates testimony.
MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous as to are you	14	BY MR. AUSTIN:
asking how many months, how many hours he has in it? And	15	Q. Okay. So roughly that's when Techne concluded
if you can be more specific as to what you're asking.	16	pursuing the project at the submission or hearing the
BY MR. AUSTIN:	17	results of the appeal?
Q. Yeah. Do you know when Techne began working on	18	MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.
the 7176 project, roughly?	19	THE WITNESS: I'm really not sure what you're asking.
A. I believe in 2016.	20	I'm sorry.
Q. Yes. And when did Techne cease work for seeking	21	MR. AUSTIN: All right. We can skip that.
approval on that project?	22	MR. LOPEZ: So wait. Is there is there a
A. When did we cease work?	23	difference between I think the determination you're
MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to say vague and ambiguous.	24	making is work is still approval, right? So there's a
MR. AUSTIN: I'm sorry.	25	difference between approval and working on the project,
-		Page 59
		MR. AUSTIN: All right. I think I think we're done
-	2	here as far as my questions go. If Mr. Toothacre has
		anything?
		MR. TOOTHACRE: No, no follow-up.
	-	MR. AUSTIN: All right.
		MR. TOOTHACRE: Want to propose a stipulation?
-		MR. AUSTIN: Yes.
		MR. LOPEZ: Did you lose it?
		MR. AUSTIN: Yeah.
		MR. TOOTHACRE: I propose we relieve the court
project. Is that is that is that fair to say?		reporter of her statutory duties under the code, that she
THE WITNESS: I would think that's fair to say.	12	prepare a transcript and that transcript shall be
MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his question was, when	13	forwarded to me. I will give it to Mr. Schweitzer for his
	14	review and correction. How long do you need to make any
	15	corrections?
for seeking approval.	16	THE WITNESS: 72 hours.
THE WITNESS: When we were instructed to do so.	17	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay. Within a within a week. We
BY MR. AUSTIN:	18	will notify counsel of any corrections that Mr. Schweitzer
Q. What was that date?	19	has made. We will maintain the original.
A. Approximately one to two weeks ago.	20	If it's lost or destroyed or not available for any
Q. January of this year?	21	reason, a substitute can be used in its place at trial, a
A. Yes, January of this year.	22	certified copy. Anything else? So stipulated?
Q. Do you know how long the CUP process took for	23	MR. AUSTIN: So stipulated.
Q. Do you know now long the COF process took lon		
6220 to be approved?	24	MR. TOOTHACRE: Okay.
	A. Absolutely not. Q. Do you have any ownership interest in Mr. Magagna's 6220 project? A. Absolutely not. Q. How long did you work on the project at 6176? MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. How long did Techne MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous as to are you asking how many months, how many hours he has in it? And if you can be more specific as to what you're asking. BY MR. AUSTIN: Q. Yeah. Do you know when Techne began working on the 7176 project, roughly? A. I believe in 2016. Q. Yes. And when did Techne cease work for seeking approval on that project? A. When did we cease work? MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to say vague and ambiguous. MR. TOOTHACRE: Not typically, but MR. TOOTHACRE: Not typically, but MR. TOOTHACRE: But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to answer his questions? MR. TOOTHACRE: But go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: So there's a difference between seeking approval or that you did - or Techne did a seeking approval work that you did - or Techne did at seeking approval of the CUP, or or terminating that that project. Is that is that fair to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his question was, when did you when did you cease seeking approval of the WITNESS: I would think that's fair to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think hay fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think hay fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think hay fue to say. MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think hay fue to say. MR. AUSTIN: Q. What was that date? A. Approximately one to two weeks ago.	A. Absolutely not.6Q. Do you have any ownership interest in7Mr. Magagna's 6220 project?8A. Absolutely not.9Q. How long did you work on the project at 6176?10MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous.11BY MR. AUSTIN:12Q. How long did Techne13MR. TOOTHACRE: Vague and ambiguous as to are you14asking how many months, how many hours he has in it? And15if you can be more specific as to what you're asking.16BY MR. AUSTIN:77Q. Yeah. Do you know when Techne began working on18the 7176 project, roughly?19A. Ibelieve in 2016.20Q. Yes. And when did Techne cease work for seeking21approval on that project?22A. When did we cease work?23MR. TOOTHACRE: I'm going to say vague and ambiguous.24MR. TOOTHACRE: Not typically, but3MR. TOOTHACRE: Not typically, but3MR. TOOTHACRE: So there's a difference between seeking7approval work that you did or Techne did at seeking8approval work that you did or Techne did at seeking8approval of the CUP, and then there was work that was done9in winding up the CUP, or or terminating that that10project. Is that is that fair to say?11THE WITNESS: I would think that's fair to say.12MR. LOPE: Okay. So I think his question was, when13did youwhen did you cease seeking approval of the14

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services 16 (58 - 61)

1	deposition, media number one. We're going off the record.	1	I, ABHAY SCHWEITZER, declare under penalty of
2	The time is 11:50 a.m.	2	perjury that the foregoing is my deposition under oath;
3		3	that these are the questions asked of me and my answers
4		4	thereto; that I have read my deposition and have made any
5		5	necessary corrections, additions or changes that I deem
б		6	necessary.
7		7	Dated this day of
8		8	2019.
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	ABHAY SCHWEITZER
14		14	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 62		Page 63
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)SS:		
2	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)		
3			
4	I do hereby certify:		
5	That the foregoing deposition was taken before me		
б	at the time and place therein set forth at which time the		
7	witness was put under oath by me;		
8	That the testimony of the witness and all		
9	objections made at the time of the examination were		
10	recorded stenographically by me were thereafter		
11	transcribed under my direction and supervision and that		
12			
13	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor		
14	related to any party to said action, nor anywise		
15			
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this		
17	28th day of February, 2019.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	JACQUELINE STEARMAN, CSR NO. 9373		
23			
24 25			
25			
		1	

Page 64

	1	i	i	1
WORD INDEX	3 3:10 22:5, 7	7880 2:2, 22	ago 60:20	49:10 52:14
	41:2 42:11	4:13	agree 35:11	56:21 60:2, 5
< 0 >	30 1:18 2:1 4:1		agreement 18:21	answered 28:15
004933 5:12	56:18	< 9 >	ahead 33:22, 22	answering 7:15
004964 5:13	30th 4:4	91945 2:22	60:5	answers 5:20
	31 3:10	92101 2:14 4:8	aided 34:17	63: <i>3</i>
<1>	31st 3:10 20:24	92108 2:18	air 40:18	anticipated 43:21
1 1:7 3:9 17: <i>13</i> ,	23:10	9373 1:22 64:22	aliases 8:6, 15	anybody 40:18
15, 24	350 4:8		All 6:5 7:5, 5,	52:16
1,000 35:1	356-1556 2:23	< A >	24 20:8, 20 21:9,	anywise 64:14
10 1:7 15:3, 4	357-6850 2:19	a.m 2:2 4:2, 4	20 23:21 24:3	apologize 56:25
37:18 56:6	37 3:15	23:25 45:25	29:14 31:7 32:8	58: <i>3</i>
10:07 2:2 4:2, 4	37-2017-00010017	46:3 62:2	34:23 35:1 37:7	appeal 5:18
100 46:14 50:6	3 4:12	Aaron 26:2, 7, 8	38:6, 6 39:10, 19	46:21, 24 47:2, 7,
11 41: <i>3</i>		ABHAY 1:16	46:4 50:6, 20	8, 16 48:4, 23
11:18 45:25	37-2017-00010073	2:7 4:9 5:6 8:5,	51:23 52:2	49:3, 4, 24 54:20
11:29 23:25 46:3	1:5	16 17:25 23:25	53:17 55:10	55:12, 18, 19, 21
11:50 62:2		63:1, 13	59:21 61:1,5	59:17
12 42:11	< 4 >	able 7:12 29:13	64:8	appeals 35:5
14 41: <i>3</i>	4 3: <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> 17: <i>5</i> , <i>7</i>	40:13	allowed 45:18	appear 4:23
1450 2:14	23:3, 9, 13	about 5:1 6:12	60:2	13:5 17:21
1455 2:18	40 3:16	9:22 11:4,6	along 37:8	23:17
15 10:13, 15		12:21 20:8 30:2	already 41:25	appearance 4:23
15:17	< 5 >	32:21 39:11	44:9	appeared 2:5
16th 42:15	5 3:10 31:13, 16	40:14 41:16	also 9:6 11:14	14:2
17 3:9 27:7	40:24	46:19 47:24	12:15 14:7	appears 17:24
17th 27:9	500 2:18	55:7	28:15 33:11	23:4, 23 32:11
190 3:10 20:24	501 2:14	Absolutely 33:20,	37:2 42:11 55:3	39:7 41:1 46:20
	530 4:8	24 34:18 35:11	ambiguous 9:12	applicant 53:6
< 2 >	598124 48:24	43:14 58:6, 9	10:17 11:8 12:1	application 3:14
2 3:10 20:23, 25	5th 17:25 48:25	access 38:7 39:8	17:3 19:2, 15	16: <i>16</i> 18: <i>16</i>
22:15		account 44:2, 5	20:5 21:6, 14, 18	19: <i>1</i> 9 21: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i> ,
20 3:10 10:14,	< 6 >	accurate 40:14	24:22 25:2, 15	16 22:19 26:20
15 56:9, 9, 17	6 3:15 37:17, 24	44:12, 19, 22, 24	26:23 27:18	27:3, 5 31:15
2010 8:24	6176 16:9 20:13	56:23	28:23 29:7, 25	33:19 34:17, 20,
2014 14:15, 24	34:20 45:6 46:6	accusations 14:8	32:7 34:22	21 36:3, 9, 13, 19,
2016 3:10 17:25	50:8 51: <i>14</i>	action 64:14	35:10 36:20	22 37:1 41:5, 7,
20:24 23:10, 11,	52:10 58:10	actually 43:3	38:25 41:15	9, 10 45:9, 17, 20
24 37:18 38:2	59:5	additional 5:10	42:16 43:18	46:6 51:22 53:8,
58:20	619 2:15, 19, 23	54:8	44:13 45:7, 14	18, 19 55:5
2018 27:8, 10	6220 3:14 27:4,	additions 63:5	49:7 53:14	applications 9:8,
42:5, 15 48:25	10, 16 28:18	adverse 34:19	58:11, 14, 24	10, 21 10:1, 3, 5,
2019 1:18 2:1	29:16 31:2, 8, 15	affect 53:11, 21	59:18	15, 23 19:11, 13
4:1,4 63:8	46:14 47:25	54:14	amount 13:6	35:8 44:10
64:17	49:5 54:21 58:8	Affidavits 12:25	15:2	applying 19:11
22 3:10	60:24	affirm 4:21	analysis 28:12	31:6 50:18
23 3:10	63 3:20	after 5:11 7:8	ANDREW 2:21,	approval 10:25
233-3131 2:15	64 3:21	30:2	21 4:24	15:6 21:21
23rd 40:1	6th 48:25	again 56:19	answer 6:25	27:10, 16 29:4
26 23:11 42:11		against 14:7, 9	19:23 20:6, 7	32:20 41:5, 10
27 23:24 40:1, 2,	< 7 >	agent 16:14	21:19 22:21, 21	45:17 46:9, 12,
3 42:12	7 3:16 38:2	33:16, 18 34:3	23:2 25:4 31:23	<i>21</i> 49: <i>1</i> 50: <i>13</i> ,
28th 64:17	40:5	51:14	32:9 33:23 34:5,	19 52:1 53:9
	7176 58:19	agents 19:12	8, 15, 22 37:12	54:21, 23 58:22
< 3 >	72 61: <i>16</i>		43:22 46:8	

59:5, 24, 25 60:8,	3, 18 13:8 14:10,	19:18 20:3, 14,	18, 22 4:1, 8, 11	characterized
9, 14, 16	12, 15, 21, 23	18 22:3 23:10,	64:1	31:23
approve 41:4	15:10, 12 16:1, 8	18 37:9	call 29:5	charge 39:8
approved 10:16,	17:4, 11, 14, 18	Barry's 18:15	called 2:8 30:18,	check 30:3
21 16:3 27:6	18:8 19: <i>3</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>17</i> ,	Bartell 35:23	22	children's 28:19
35:2, 4 44:17	22 20:1, 10, 20,	55:24 56:1,11	Calls 19:9 21:6	cities 9:24 10:2
46:13, 14, 17	23 21:1, 8, 11, 15,	57:4, 16, 21	25:21 34:4	City 2:2 9:16,
49:17 60:24	22 22:5, 8, 18, 22,	Bartell's 57:12	36:10 37:2, 10,	23, 24 21:20
Approximately	23 23:3, 9, 12, 14,	based 56:23	11 38:24 43:13	31:4 34:24
8:24 42:2, 4	<i>18</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>25</i> 24:8,	basically 13:9	50:3 51:15 52:6	43:10, 12, 24
60:20	10, 20, 23, 24	38:8	53:12, 12	48:2 <i>3</i> 51: <i>17</i>
April 42:15, 23	25:1, 7, 10, 16, 22	basis 28:12	cannabis 9:21	52:21 53:1, 5
43:2	26:4, 6, 19 27:1,	Bates 5:12	capacity 18:25	City's 44:6
area 30:4	19 29:1, 8 30:1,	began 58:18	34:16	47:10
arguing 19:23	8 31:10, 13, 17	begins 4:9	Caran 8:5	clarification 60:4
argument 55:14,	32:1, 8, 14 34:1,	behalf 4:16, 18	C-a-r-a-n 8:12	clarify 14:3
15	10, 23 35:7, 13,	52:17	cards 55:11	clarity 19:24
arguments 54:22	16, 18 36:6, 7, 12,	belaboring 56:25,	care 28:19	36:1
asked 19:22	17, 23 37:6, 16,	25	29:15, 17 30:2	clear 49:9
38:11 57:20	22 38:1, 21 39:1,	believe 7:1 13:2,	Carlos 33:7	cleared 41:8
63:3	10, 14 40:1, 3, 6,	4 14:15, 19 15:4	CASE 1:4 4:12	42:15 43:5, 7, 20
asking 18:14, 20	23 41:24 42:18	16:10, 20 21:23	6:8, 10, 11 11:4,	44:18
19:4, 25 23:21	43:15, 19 44:1,	22:13 25:8, 17,	7, 11, 15, 18, 22	client 38:19
25:20 37:4	15, 23 45:3, 8, 15	24 26:16 29:19	13:1 20:7, 12	45:24
41:19 45:10	46:4, 5 47:25	31:5 39:4 40:22	29:24 30:3	clients 12:15
47:12 48:3	48:2, 5, 18 49:8,	41:6 42:21	32:19 38:22	51:1
49:10 50:10	11, 16 50:5 51:5,	47:20 48:15, 24	39:12 48:9	close 29:16
51:16 53:1	7, 9, 12, 18 52:8,	52:2, 21 53:20	categorize 55:17	44:24 52:22
54:12 56:22	19 53:16 54:2,	58:20	categorizes 51:17	closed 55:18
58:15, 16 59:19	16 56:24 57:8,	best 15:21	cause 2:9	closes 53:1
assisted 36:13	13, 24 58:12, 17,	21:19 42:14	caution 15:20	CLVS 2:24
assisting 31:7	25 59:2, 14, 21	45:2 52:15	cc-ed 23:19	Code 34:25
35:8	60: <i>18</i> 61: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>7</i> ,	54:10 56:21	cease 58:21, 23	61: <i>11</i>
assumes 19:16,	9,23	better 14:7 42:10	60: <i>14</i> ceased 51:2 <i>3</i>	cold 8:2
21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10	Austin's 15:21 authentic 25:18	42:10 bit 8:3	52:2	coming 49:13
38:10 53:13				commencing 2:1
assuming 27:15	authority 36:25 37:1 38:9	Boulevard 16:9 20:13 27:4, 11	center 28:19 29:16, 17 30:2	comment 28:2, 6 29:10 45:19
attached 18:13	available 45:5	36:4 46:7	CENTRAL 1:2	comments 41:7
attachments	61:20	break 5:23 7:20	4:12	Commission
13:21 14:3, 3, 4	aware 26:20	brief 28:7, 10	certain 15:18	27:13 31:4 48:4,
attend 27:7, 9	27:3, 5 36:11, 16	BRITTON 2:13	16: <i>3</i>	16, 21, 22 49:2, 3,
attended 27:24	52:16	broad 20:7	Certainly 27:25	10, 21, 22 49.2, 5, 23
attention 7:3, 7,	52.10	Broadway 2:2,	Certificate 3:21	commissioners
<i>13</i> 37:16 40:24	< B >	14, 22 4:13	Certified 2:4	28:16 47:15, 16,
ATTORNEY	back 7:8 24:25	brought 5:15	61:22	<i>19,20 19,20</i>
2:17 4:22 12:12	33:14 40:20	29:18	certify 64:4, 13	common 19:7,
14:10	46:2	Bruno 30:24	chain 23:3	12 26:11 43:25
attorney-client	Barbara 50:21,	business 16:22	chains 23:10	commonly 55:11
12:9 22:20	23, 25 51:3, <i>13</i> ,	22:2	chance 31:1	company 8:20,
AUSTIN 2:17	21, 24		change 47:10	<i>21, 23</i> 12:22
3:3 4:18, 18, 25	B-a-r-b-a-r-a	< C >	changes 63:5	30:18, 21 51:1
5:19, 25 6:4, 5	51:9	CALIFORNIA	characterization	competing 26:21
8:10, 14 9:13	Barry 16:13, 18,	1:1, 17 2:3, 5, 14,	38:11	52:1
10:22 11:9 12:2,	<i>19,21</i> 18:2 <i>1</i> ,23			
		I	I	

complete 46:15,	COUNSEL 2:20	37:18 38:2 40:1	determination	20 32:3
18	4:14, 24 11:3, 24	48:19 63:7	59:23	doing 47:19, 21
completed 42:22	21:10 37:20, 21	day 28:13, 19	determined	double-check
43:2, 3	38:11 46:3	29:15, 17 30:2	30:15	14:6
completed, 43:5	61:18 64:13	63:7 64:17	determines 21:21	DS 3:10 20:24
completely 55:18	COUNTY 1:2	dba 8:22	detrimental 35:5	DS318 3:10 22:6
completing 24:1	2:3 4:12 9:17,	deal 54:5	development 9:7	DSD 3:10 31:14
compound 49:8	18, 20, 22 10:2	December 42:8	32:12, 18 55:23	32:3, 18
comprehensive	64:2	48:19, 25	DIEGO 1:2 2:3,	due 53:7
55:14, 16 57:3	course 5:17	decide 5:24	14, 18 3:10 4:1,	duly 5:7
concern 29:15	40:9 47:3	decision 47:6	8, 12 9:17, 18, 20,	duties 61:11
concluded 59:15	COURT 1:1	48:3, 6	23, 23, 25 10:2, 9	Dutta 35:14, 16,
concludes 61:25	4:6, 11, 20 5:3	decision-maker	15:14 20:24	<i>19</i> 36:8, <i>13</i> , <i>18</i> ,
conclusion 37:3,	11:18, 19, 22	37:8	32:19 34:24	24 37:8
<i>11</i> 53: <i>13</i>	13:6 26:4, 14	decision-makers	43:12, 24 48:24	D-u-t-t-a 35:16
Condition 9:21	51:4 61:10	47:16	52:21 64:2	D -u-t-t-a 55.10
15:6	courtroom 6:21	decision-making	Diego's 31:4	< E >
Conditional 9:14	covered 45:18	38:9	difference 59:23,	earlier 29:21
31:6 46:16	created 13:3	decisions 36:25	25 60:7	55:24 59:8
conditioning	CROSS-ACTION	Declaration 3:16	different 19:14	early 55:15
40: <i>18</i>	S 1:11	Declaration 5.10	59:11	eight 15:16
confer 21:10	CROSS-COMPL	11:20, 21 12:8	direct 37:16	either 10:6
45:24	AINANT 2:16,	13:1 14:6 39:11,	40:24	else's 12:25
confirm 50:10	20 6:6	16,20	directed 36:18	19:5 52:13
conflict 35:9	CROSS-DEFEND	declare 63:1	directing 36:21	e-mail 17:6
connection 48:15	ANT 2:12	dedication 41:21,	direction 64:11	18:4, 9, 19 19:25
consultant 14:16,	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{AN1} & 2.12 \\ \mathbf{CSR} & 1:22 & 64:22 \end{array}$	25 42:19	direction 36:25	20:12 23:9, 11,
17 consultant 14.10,	CU-BC-CTL 1:5	deem 63:5	disagree 31:22	$12 \ 24:6, 11, 12, 12$
content 13:3	CU-BC-CTL 1.5 CUP 5:18 9:8,	Defendant 2:8,	Disclosure 3:10	12 24.0, 11, 12, 13, 18 25:8, 18
contract 16:13	10 27:3, 10, 16	16, 20 4:18 6:6	22:6	37:17 38:2, 3, 5,
18:10, 12, 12	31:2, 8 33:19	Defendants 1:7	discovered 53:9	6 39:2, 6
coordinating	34:21 41:5 45:9,	DEFENDANT'S	discuss 18:9	e-mails 3:9, 10,
53:5	17 46:6, 19	3:8 17:15 20:25	discussed 11:6	<i>15</i> 17: <i>19</i> , <i>24</i>
copies 22:24	49:17, 21 50:12,	22:7 23:13	12:15 49:22	13 17.19, 24 18:15, 18, 20
39:20	<i>16, 17, 18</i> 52:1	31:16 37:24	55:24 59:8	23:4, 15, 17, 19,
copy 5:15, 15, 17	53:10, 17, 18	40:5	discussing 19:25	21 24:25 38:23
37:20 40:22	54:13, 15 60:9,	delays 43:16, 23	38:5 41:6	emergencies 7:9
61:22	10, 23	denied 49:3, 4,	discussions	employee 33:10,
correct 7:7, 18	CUPs 10:23	<i>23</i> 54:23	11:10 12:7	<i>14, 15</i>
11:1 16:17	currently 10:6			
18:10, 16 27:15	16:5 42:14	Department 32:18 55:23	dispensaries 15:13	employee's 34:12 enlist 58:4
29:2 39:17, 18,	52:17	deponent 4:21	DIVISION 1:2	entail 52:25
19, 25 42:6, 24	Custom 30:19, 22	DEPOSITION	document 18:1	entire 10:20
43:4, 8 44:25	Custom 30.19, 22 Customer 32:23	1:16 4:9 6:23	21:2 22: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>11</i> ,	entitled 15:21
· · · ·			13, 13, 16 $23:16,$	
48:17, 20 49:25	33:5 34:12	11:13, 15 12:14		56:12 Environment
50: <i>14</i> 52: <i>3</i> 54: <i>15</i>	< D >	17:8 62: <i>1</i> 63:2, 4 64:5	22 24:19 28:11 29:11, 13, 18	Environment 8:22
correction 61:14	DARRYL 1:5	describe 13:15,	31:19, 21 33:22	ESQ 2:13, 21
correction 01:14	4:11 6:6, 9 13:3		40:7, 10 46:20	_
	4:11 6:0, 9 13:5	17,20 52:20 53:1		estimate 10:12
61:15, 18 63:5			47:12, 14 54:19	14:25 15:16, 23
COTTON 1:5	date 4:4 27:15	described 10:8	55:2, 16 59:7 documents 5:10	42:7, 15 44:21
4:11, 19 6:6, 9 13:3 14:9 26:21	39:24 59:3 60:19	20:15, 18 design 0:6	documents 5:10,	56: <i>3</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> estimated 42:22
		design 9:6	18 11:17, 22, 23	
	dotod 3.1/1	docionotod 200/		
34: <i>19</i> Cotton's 13:5	dated 3:10 17:25 20:24	designated 30:4 destroyed 61:20	13:6 22:24 24:3,	43:2

estimates 15:22	feel 40:15 44:18	further 50:1	54:10 56:8	hypothetical
44:3, 3, 11, 16, 18	feet 35:1	55:13 64:13	58:24 62:1	54:9, 12
everybody 40:17	FERRIS 2:13	futile 48:10	gonna 17:10	,
evidence 19:16	field 19:8	future 57:3	Gonzalez 33:7,	<i></i>
26:22 31:21	filed 11:18		14	idea 54:18
37:10 53:13	13:10 14:7	< G >	Good 4:3 56:12,	Ideal 8:22
exact 10:10	final 48:6, 6	gathered 13:18	14,16	identification
exactly 10:19	59:9,9	general 20:14	Gotcha 55:24	17:9, 15 20:25
46:9	financially 53:6	generally 44:11,	gotten 10:20	22:7 23:13
EXAMINATION	find 19:4 20:8	19	Goyal 26:13	31:16 37:24
3:2 6:3 64:9	57:8	generated 32:11	58:2, 3	40:5
examined 2:9	fine 15:23 24:4	Geraci 4:10 6:8	G-o-y-a-l 26:17	identifies 48:24
Excellent 7:14	28:10 33:22	11:3 16:8, 11, 14,	granted 29:5	identify 4:14
Excuse 7:4	finish 54:7	15 17:20, 24	38:7 50:12, 16,	17:16
executed 40:3	firm 22:14, 16	18:10, 14, 20	17, 18	implied 20:19
Exhibit 3:9, 10,	27:17, 22, 24	19:4, 18 20:3	green-lighted	impression 24:2
10, 10, 10, 15, 16	30:11 31:7	35:20, 23 36:8,	49:5	37:8, 14
5: <i>16</i> 17: <i>5</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>13</i> ,	33:10 45:11	14 37:1,9 38:12	GROVE 1:17	inclusive 1:7
15, 24 20:20, 21,	52:23 57:11, 12	GERACI,an 1:4	2:3, 22 9:24	incomplete 54:9
23, 25 22:5, 7, 15	firms 32:6	Geraci's 11:24	guess 15:22, 24	incorrect 57:22
23:3, 9, 13, 22	first 2:8 5:7	16:21 26:21	17:12 34:7	in-depth 28:12
31:10, 11, 13, 16	33:2 55:12	34:20 35:5 36:3	40:12 56:14, 16	INDEX 3:7
32:25 37:17, 24	five 31:11, 12	45:22 48:8		indicated 22:3
40:5	FLORES 2:21,	50:13 51:14	< H >	33:17 47:15
EXHIBITS 3:7	21 4:24, 24	52:2 53:11, 22	happen 43:17	indicates 31:18
exist 57:11, 15	follow 33:1	54:6, 15	happy 29:10	individual 1:4, 5
exists 57:9	follows 5:7	getting 24:2	hard 14:25 33:1	21:25 45:10
experience 19:12	follow-up 61:4	41:7	Harris 50:21, 23,	individuals
43:16	force 6:21	Gina 12:1	25 51:3, 9, 13, 21,	16:24 32:6
explain 28:7	foregoing 63:2	14:10, 12, 15, 20	25	influence 50:12
_	64: <i>5</i> , <i>12</i>	15:12 16:8	H-a-r-r-i-s 51:9	information
< F >	form 3:10 20:24	22:18 23:12, 18,	hear 28:9 55:8	12:21 13:22
facilitating 39:8	formally 10:7	19, 25 24:8	HEARD 2:24	28:16 32:21, 24
	÷		4:5	22.5 24.12 45.5
fact 33:18	53:7	give 7:12, 21		33:5 34:13 45:5
facts 19:16, 21	53:7 forms 21:4, 12,	10:19 15:17	hearing 10:20,	46:23 47:9, 11
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9,	46:23 47:9,11 48:10 52:23
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10,20 40:13 42:9	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7,9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12,
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11,	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14,	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7,9, 13,21,24 28:3 29:4,19 30:2 47:3,6 48:20,21 49:2 55:3,4,5 59:7,16 hearing, 55:4	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7,9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7,9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22 61:2	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2 friend 26:10, 12	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12 going 5:10 6:12	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19 hour 2:2	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15 interpose 28:23
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22 61:2 February 40:2, 3	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2 friend 26:10, 12 fruition 49:13	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12 going 5:10 6:12 14:5 15:20 17:2	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19 hour 2:2 hours 58:15	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15 interpose 28:23 introduce 16:8
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22 61:2 February 40:2, 3 64:17	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2 friend 26:10, 12 fruition 49:13 full 7:3, 7, 12	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12 going 5:10 6:12 14:5 15:20 17:2 21:19 24:7 25:5	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19 hour 2:2 hours 58:15 61:16	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15 interpose 28:23 introduce 16:8 introduced
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22 61:2 February 40:2, 3 64:17 Federal 16:9	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2 friend 26:10, 12 fruition 49:13 full 7:3, 7, 12 8:4 40:13, 13	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12 going 5:10 6:12 14:5 15:20 17:2 21:19 24:7 25:5 26:24 27:2	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19 hour 2:2 hours 58:15 61:16 house 7:8	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15 interpose 28:23 introduce 16:8 introduced 16:19, 20 26:10
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22 61:2 February 40:2, 3 64:17 Federal 16:9 20:13 27:4, 10	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2 friend 26:10, 12 fruition 49:13 full 7:3, 7, 12 8:4 40:13, 13 fully 29:11, 14	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12 going 5:10 6:12 14:5 15:20 17:2 21:19 24:7 25:5 26:24 27:2 31:21 33:14	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19 hour 2:2 hours 58:15 61:16 house 7:8 hurdles 49:6	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15 interpose 28:23 introduce 16:8 introduced 16:19, 20 26:10 35:24 57:17, 21,
facts 19:16, 21 20:5 26:22 31:20 37:10 38:10 53:13 failure 53:20 fair 10:12 60:11, 12 faith 56:12, 14, 16 familiar 6:8, 10 14:10 21:3 30:23, 25 32:2, 5 50:21, 23 far 20:13 47:22 61:2 February 40:2, 3 64:17 Federal 16:9	53:7 forms 21:4, 12, 16, 20, 23 forth 24:25 64:6 forward 22:24 forwarded 61:13 foundation 19:9 24:8 31:21 38:10 55:14 four 17:10 23:9 frame 42:10 fraud 53:10 FRAZEE 2:18 frequently 43:23 Friday 24:2 friend 26:10, 12 fruition 49:13 full 7:3, 7, 12 8:4 40:13, 13	10:19 15:17 16:7 32:10, 20 40:13 42:9 47:14 56:5 61:13 Given 35:3 37:7 40:10 46:20 giving 7:6 56:21 go 5:21 15:24 33:11, 22, 22 41:2 45:23 53:21 54:4 60:5 61:2 goal 47:11, 12 going 5:10 6:12 14:5 15:20 17:2 21:19 24:7 25:5 26:24 27:2	hearing 10:20, 25 21:10 27:7, 9, 13, 21, 24 28:3 29:4, 19 30:2 47:3, 6 48:20, 21 49:2 55:3, 4, 5 59:7, 16 hearing, 55:4 hearings 54:24 held 4:11 help 22:11, 18 hire 16:11 hoping 52:5 hot 40:19 hour 2:2 hours 58:15 61:16 house 7:8	46:23 47:9, 11 48:10 52:23 54:20, 22 55:11 57:6, 9, 10, 15 informed 11:12, 14 inquire 20:8 instructed 22:21 23:1 60:17 intent 38:12, 14 interacting 25:7 interest 35:9 58:7 interested 64:15 interpose 28:23 introduce 16:8 introduced 16:19, 20 26:10

·····		Letter 19.4 01.2		9.6
investigate 29:23	knowledge 6:13	looks 18:4 21:3	means 6:17	names 8:6
invoices 53:7	34:16 36:24	38:8	25:12	19:14 22:9
involved 31:19	46:6 51:13, 24,	LOPE 60:13	measured 35:2	34:12 39:3
38:23	24 52:4, 7, 9, 9	LOPEZ 12:11	media 62:1	nature 44:22
issue 29:4, 23	54:1, 3 55:19, 20	17:9 20:22 21:9	medications 7:14	near 28:19
38:5 41:4, 8, 12,	59:4	25:3 31:11	meet 50:17	necessary 63:5, 6
15, 17, 20, 25	known 9:9	39:22 40:17	meeting 11:25	need 7:20, 22
42:2, 15, 17, 19	knows 40:17	41:17 54:1	memory 55:7	52:24 61:14
43:1 57:6	_	59:22 60:4 61:8	mention 41:3	needed 30:16
issues 43:20	<l></l>	lose 61:8	42:14	neighboring 9:24
44:3, 17 55:1, 2	labeled 37:17	lost 61:20	mentioned 20:12	Neil 35:14, 16,
its 32:12 47:3	LARRY 1:4	lot 43:9	29:17 32:3	24 38:7, 9, 12, 18,
61:2 <i>1</i>	4:10 6:8 16:11,		39:15 41:8 47:4	22 39:7
	15, 23 17:6, 19,	< M >	59:8	neither 20:8
< J >	24 18:6 37:18	Magagna 26:2, 7,	met 11:24 12:4	64:13
Jack 4:6	38:7, 8	8,9 31:7 34:17	14:13, 15 16:21	never-ending
JACOB 2:17	late 42:4, 5, 5	49:13, 21 50:7	57:20	13:22
4:18 5:9 6:5	LAW 2:17, 21	57:17, 20 58:1, 4	Michael 12:4	notify 61:18
8:8 17:17	lawsuit 6:14	M-a-g-a-g-n-a	middle 8:9, 11	November 42:7
JACQUELINE	12:21, 24 13:25	26:5	Mike 12:2	number 10:10,
1:22 2:4 4:7	leave 24:9	Magagna's	mind 47:10	19 15:18 44:10
8:9 64:22	left 41:4	26:20 27:3	Misconstrues	51:2 56:2, 10
JANUARY 1:18	legal 11:13 37:3,	33:18 34:20	33:21	62:1
2:1 4:1,4 60:21,	11 53:13 55:20	35:3 48:2 49:12	Misstates 57:23	numbered 33:1
22	LEMON 1:17	50:2 53:9, 17	59: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i>	
JAVAN 2:24	2:2, 22 9:23	54:4, 13 55:5		< 0 >
4:5	level 43:6, 7	58:8	misunderstanding	oath 6:16, 17
Jim 35:23	licenses 8:25 9:3	maintain 61:19	48:14	63:2 64:7
37:18 55:24	Line 41:3 55:13	major 41:3, 8	MMCC 10:7	object 17:2
57:11	lines 33:11	majority 15:5, 8	14:18	24:7 38:11
joint 12:15	42:11	making 36:25	MMCCs 15:7, 9,	Objection 12:9
judge 6:21	Links 14:3, 4	44:2 59:24	13	19:15 23:1
judgment 45:2	list 32:5 57:3	mandatory 21:16	modifying 12:19	28:24 29:9 30:6
jurisdiction 10:8	listed 34:3, 12	March 40:1	months 58:15	31:20 36:15
jurisdictions 10:9	51:14	marijuana 9:9,	morning 4:3	37:2 43:22
jury 6:21	Litigation 4:6	15 10:6, 24 15:7,	11:25	44:20 45:1
	57:7	12 34:25 35:2	MOs 34:25	49:15
< K >	little 8:3	36:3 49:5, 12, 14	moving 7:8	objections 20:16
keep 56:22	LLC 8:22	50:2, 7 52:5	multiple 10: <i>1</i>	28:18, 21 53:23
key 18:12	located 4:7	53:19 54:4	13:20 14:2 15: <i>1</i>	54:8 64:9
kind 40:19	28:19	mark 5:16 17:13	Municipal 34:25	objects 25:3
know 5:14 7:17,	long 8:23 58:10,	marked 5:12		observed 14:1
21 10:15 13:9,	<i>13</i> 60:23 61:14	17:5, 15 20:25	< N >	obtained 31:3
20 14:12, 13	longer 43:9, 21	22:5, 7 23:3, 13	name 4:5 6:5	53:10
16:15, 18 18:22,	46: <i>11</i> 50:8, <i>17</i> ,	31:16 37:24	8:4, 9, 11, 21	occasion 39:15
24, 25 26:1, 7	19 1-1-5-22 10 10	40:5	18:15, 21 19:5,	October 3:10
28:20 29:17, 18	look 5:23 10:10	marking 17:7	20 20:4 21:25	17:25 20:24
30:21, 24 34:2, 5, 7, 9, 11 35:14, 19,	16:7 30:12 42:9	matter 4:10 6:7	22:2 26:4, 13, 16, 17 30:23, 25	23:10, 11, 24 27:7, 9 37:18
20, 24 38:9	56:4 looked 13:16	11:13 MCCs 9:9	33:7, 12 34:11,	38:2 42:7 48:20
40:20 43:9, 10	looking 10:18	mean 9:11 12:1	12 35:15, 16	54:24
46:9, <i>17</i> 47: <i>18</i>	13:18 14:25	17:1 28:5, 20	64: <i>16</i>	odd 19:4 20:8
40:9, 17 47:18 50:11, 16 51:21	15:17 24:18	37:1 43:5 45:13	named 26:1	officer 55:5
56:13 58:18	56:16	46:10, 17 49:4		OFFICES 2:21
60:2 <i>3</i>	50.10	55:4		OFFICES 2.21
00.25		55.7		

Oh 27:2 48:22	< P >	pieces 54:21	53:11, 22 54:6, 7,	publicly 28:8
51:19 57:19	Pacific 30:19, 22	place 61:21 64:6	14 60:23	published 13:4
58:3	package 24:1	Plaintiff 1:5	processed 9:14	pure 15:24
Okay 5:9 6:16	PAGE 3:2, 8, 20,	2:12	10:1 16:6 46:7,	purpose 14:5, 6
7:11 8:17, 19	21 23:12, 24	Plaintiffs 4:17	10.1 10.0 10.7,	28:6 32:13
10:23 11:2 14:4,	32:25 33:2, 3	Planning 27:12	processing 28:21	purposes 12:14
15 15:5, 19, 24	40:24, 25 41:2	28:15 31:4	31:8 43:24	pursued 50:8
	40.24, 25 41.2 42:11		50:25	
16:15, 18 17:5		47:15, 15, 19, 20		pursuing 50:13
18:5, 22 20:11	pages 13:15	48:4, 21, 22 49:2,	produce 55:13	59:16
21:25 22:4 23:5	23:9	3,23	produced 47:14	put 64:7
26:1 27:24	paid 16:23	plans 31:1, 3, 5	product 22:20	
29:22 32:22	Paragraph 41:3	please 4:14	production 5:10,	<q></q>
35:14 36:6	42:11	8:11 26:15	11 25:12	qualifying 30:16
37:16 38:17, 20	part 27:22	39:23 51:4	professional	question 5:14
39:10 40:4, 21	particular 25:8	point 57:1 58:5	8:25 9:2	25:4, 6, 23, 23
41:2, 11, 22	32:11, 19 38:4	pointing 18:11	project 11:13, 15	29:12 31:24, 25
43:11 46:19, 25	partner 36:8	points 18:12	14:16, 18 16:12	41:23 42:25
47:2, 9, 18 48:1,	party 6:11 53:6	Pools 30:19, 22	19:5 24:24 25:8	47:17 50:10
8 49:4, 17, 20	57:7 64:14	portion 13:23	27:22 31:5	53:14, 15 54:11
50:1, 6, 20 55:22	pay 53:6	32:19, 22	32:21 35:4, 6, 25	55:20 57:10
56:6 57:16	PDF 24: <i>3</i> 25: <i>12</i> ,	possible 44:25	36:1 46:9, 14	59:11 60:6, 13
59:15 60:13	12	46:13 52:5 57:2	47:1,17 48:24	questioning
61:17, 24	penalty 63:1	possibly 9:23	49:21 50:13	48:13
once 16:21	pending 27:4	posted 12:21	51:25 52:22	questions 5:19,
open 52:5	people 19:12	13:6	53:2, 19 55:12,	22 6:12, 25 7:15,
operate 9:2	32:6 51:17	posting 12:23	21 58:8, 10, 19,	18 12:20 28:15
opportunity	percent 46:14	posts 13:10	22 59:6, 16, 25	39:11 52:15
55:12	50:6	potential 41:5	60:11, 15	60:2 61:2 63:3
oppose 27:16, 17,	Perfect 7:20	practice 19:7	projects 9:7	question's 20:6
17	32:17	preparation	14:20 15:1, 5, 11	quite 8:2 20:7
opposed 27:22	performing	22:18	16:2 28:22 32:6	1
opposition 27:20	52:16	prepare 22:11	43:25 55:25	< R >
29:3	perjury 63:2	61: <i>12</i>	56:2, 10, 17 57:3,	raised 54:23
order 17:12	Permit 31:6	prepared 6:25	11	55:1, 2, 3
47:14	46:17 50:25	22:12, 13, 16	proper 9:18	Rakesh 26:13
original 61:19	Permits 9:14	28:12 29:11	57:6	58:2, 3
outcome 30:14	15:6	present 11:3	property 16:9	R-a-k-e-s-h 26:17
64: <i>15</i>	Permitting 50:21,	31: <i>13</i>	20:14, 18 26:21	random 56:14
			27:6 29:16 39:9	
outlet 9:9 10:7 35:2 36:4 49:6,	24, 25 51:10, 13, 22, 25	presentation 48:16	45:6 47:19, 21,	read 12:25 13:2, 3 40:8 63:4
<i>13, 14</i> 50:2, 7	person 24:1	48:10 pretty 44:12	45:0 47:19, 21, 23, 25 48:8 49:5,	reading 13:19
52:5 53:19 54:4	28: <i>1</i>			really 59:19
		prevent 7:2, 6, 15	14 50:8 52:2, 17	e e
outlets 9:15	personal 6:13	previously 9:9	53:11, 22 54:21	reason 25:17, 24
10:24 15:7, 13	14:8	39:16 47:4	propose 61:6, 10	29:4 34:2 39:5
34:25	personally 2:5	printed 24:19	provide 7:3	44:25 53:20
outside 12:7	18:24 22:12	prior 11:24	55:10 57:2,6	61:21 Babaaa 16:13
21:10	27:17, 20 28:2	24:3 59:1	provided 28:17	Rebecca 16:13,
overall 41:11	30:10	privilege 12:10,	48:10 54:19	18, 19, 20, 23
owned 8:23	pertinent 6:14	16	provides 9:6	18:21, 23 20:17
owner 20:15, 18	11:17 Determine 4.5.7	proceed 46:3	providing 7:3	22:3 23:10, 18,
22:2	Peterson 4:5, 7	process 9:20	52:23	20
Ownership 3:10	phase 41:9, 11	10:20, 25 21:5,	public 27:7, 9	recall 11:23
22:5 58:7	phrased 39:6	13, 17 36:13	28:2 29:4 54:24	12:23 22:12, 17
	piece 59:9	37:1 44:6 47:3	55:3, 4	24:5, 18, 20, 25
		51:14 52:22		25:7, 14 28:1
1				

25.22.26.21	DEDODTED	25 4 55 21	4 10 4 00 17	
35:23 36:21	REPORTED	room 35:4 55:21	sent 18:4 23:17	38:24 43:13
39:5 42:2	1:22 Benerative 2.4	rough 56:3	24:12 39:2	50:3 51:15 52:6
received 24:12,	Reporter 2:4	roughly 58:19	separation 30:17	53:12
14	3:21 4:6, 20 5:3,	59:15	series 18:19	spell 8:11 26:14
receiving 24:5 Recess 46:1	<i>16</i> 21: <i>10</i> 23:7 26: <i>4</i> , <i>15</i> 31: <i>12</i>	run 47: <i>3</i> rushed 40: <i>15</i>	Services 4:6 9:6	51:3
	51:4 61: <i>11</i>	rusileu 40:15	18:9 32:12, 18	spelled 26:5, 16
recognize 21:2 22:9 23:15, 15	Reporting 4:5, 7	< S >	55:23 58:4, 5 set 55:14 64:6	spoke 28:8, <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> 29: <i>10</i>
22.9 25.15, 15 24:11, 13 31:19	represent 4:15	SAN 1:2 2:3, 14,	seven 39:12, 13,	spoken 11:2
33:7 40:7	12:13	18 3:10 4:1, 8,	24, 25	SS 64:1
recollection	representation	$13 \ 5.10 \ 4.1, 8, 12 \ 9:17, 18, 20,$	Seven's 40:1	staff 11:12 14:9
28:14 29:15	31:22	23, 23, 25 10:2, 9	Shorthand 2:4	28:1 41:7 43:5,
30:15 38:4 39:7	representing 6:6	15:14 20:23	shot 3:10 31:14,	7, 10 52:23
56:23	reproduction	31:4 32:19	18	stage 41:7 55:15
record 4:3, 23	24:17 25:19, 24	34:24 43:12, 24	show 25:11	standard 21:4
10:18 15:1, 17	request 7:25	48:24 52:21	55:11	stands 32:18
17:17, 23 20:23	22:2 <i>3</i> , 2 <i>4</i> 53:7	64:2	Signature 3:20	start 14:14
45:23, 25 46:2	required 21:20,	Santos 8:16	22:2 40:25 41:1	17:10
62:1 64:12	21	saying 12:11	signed 22:1	started 5:9, 25
recorded 64:10	requirements	says 32:23 33:4,	39:21, 21	starting 23:10
records 10:11	50: <i>17</i>	15	significant 13:6	STATE 1: <i>1</i> 2: <i>3</i> ,
16:7 42:9 56:4,	resolved 41:9, 12,	scenario 54:3	15:2 44:10	5 4:14 15:14, 15
17	14 42:1,3 43:1	SCHWEITZER	significantly	18:11 54:10
refer 5:20	44:4	1:16 2:7 4:10	43:21	64:1
reference 41:4	responded 24:14	5:6, 12 8:5	single 13:10	Statement 3:10
referenced 41:17	responses 6:20	12:13 15:21	sir 47:13 50:15	22:6
42:20	responsible 53:6	17:25 18:1	57:14	status 47:18
referring 13:23	restate 50:9	40:11 61:13, 18	situation 54:13	statutory 61:11
27:12 32:25	resubmitted	63: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i>	skip 59:21	STEARMAN
36:2	18:2 <i>1</i>	scope 12:8 53:2,	slash 39:3	1:22 2:4 4:7
regarding 3:10	result 7:9 49:1	4	somebody 29:19	64:22
11:10, 15 13:1	results 59:17	SCOTT 2:13	52:13	stenographically
18:16 27:10	review 16:6	4:16	somewhat 43:11	64:10
41:9, <i>21</i>	24:3, 21 29:14	Screen 3:10	Sorry 5:1 24:13	stipulated 61:22,
regards 24:24	31:1,3 39:15	31:14, 18	27:8 30:20	23
31:14	40:11, 12 44:6	search 32:20	35:15 49:8	stipulation 61:6
regulation 34:24	61: <i>14</i>	section 32:12, 23	57:25 58:25	stream 13:21
RELATED 1:11	reviewed 11:17,	33:4, 16	59:20	Street 4:8 41:21,
11:13, 15, 22	20 13:11 32:3	see 21:25 22:2,	sound 30:23, 25	25 42:19
12:23 13:25	reviewing 11:23	24 29:23 30:3	speak 55:7	strictly 16:15
14:18 28:16, 18	revoked 54:13	32:2, 23 33:4, 12,	specific 44:14 52:14 54:25	20:2 String 2:0 10 15
32:6 64:14	right 5:19 6:5	16, 16, 17 48:19		String 3:9, 10, 15
relation 46:24 48:4, 23 53:2, 4	7:5, 5, 24 9:22 15:11 18:14	seek 15:6 seeking 45:16,	58:16 specifically 11:6	struggling 48:12 submission 24:1
48:4, 25 55:2, 4 54:5	20: <i>13</i> , 20 21:9	<i>16</i> 46:8, <i>11</i> 47:2	20:7 25:14	59:16
relieve 61: <i>10</i>	23:4 31:7 32:8	50:19 58:21	specified 30:16	submissions
remember 38:3,	34:23 37:7 38:6,	59:5 60:7, 8, 14,	specify 35:1	25:11
4, 5	6 39:10, 19 46:4,	16	speculate 25:20	submit 19:5, 13
reopening 55:19	<i>11</i> 49:18 50:6,	seen 11:21 13:9	34:6, <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> 37:5	21:20
repeat 31:25	20 53:17 56:12	18:1	38:16 51:16	submittal 24:3
41:23 60:6	59:21, 24 60:1	send 25:12	52:13 53:24	submitted 5:18
rephrase 25:6	61:1,5	sending 24:20, 25	56:8, 19	21:12 24:2
26:25 27:2	ROAD 2:18	sense 17:12	speculation 19:9	25:13 28:11
35:22	role 33:15	50:20	21:7 25:21 34:4	39:12, 16, 20, 21
report 31:4		sensitive 30:16	36:10 37:11	, , , ,
-		1	1	1

46:24 48:15	testimony 40:13,	14:22 15:8, 20		VIDEOTAPED
submitting 22:25	14 57:23 59:1,	17:2, 7, 10, 13, 16,	< U >	1:16 4:9 61:25
subpoena 57:6	13 64:8	23 18:5, 7 19:2,	Uh-huh 47:5	visually 13:17
subscribed 64:16	text 14:1	9, 15, 21, 23 20:5,	ultimately 53:18,	volatility 44:5
substitute 61:21	Thank 4:20 5:2	16 21:6, 14, 18	20	vs 1:5
SUITE 2:14, 18	7:23 8:13, 15, 19	22:20 23:1 24:7,	understand 5:17	
4:8	11:2 15:25 18:7,	22 25:2, 15, 21	6:14, 16, 20 7:17	< W >
summary 28:7,	22 22:4 23:8	26:14, 18, 22	16:14 29:12	wait 55:13 59:22
10, 14	26:1, 18 35:14	27:18 28:11, 23	43:11 48:12	waiting 12:17
SUPERIOR 1:1	36:5, 6 40:16	29:7, 25 30:6	50:9 53:14, 15	26:24
4:11	41:2, 22 46:4	31:20 32:7,9	54:11	want 5:14, 16, 20,
supervision	51:11 57:16	33:21 34:4, 7, 22	understanding	24 8:8 14:13
64:11	Thanks 23:25	35:10, 15 36:1, 5,	14:8 18:17, 18,	30:3, 7 37:12
supplemental	37:23	10, 15, 20 37:2,	19 20:17 32:10	40:11, 12 45:23
46:23 47:9, 11	thereof 64:15	10, 13, 20, 23	35:3 38:18	53:24 55:8
48:9 54:20 55:2	thereto 63:4	38:10, 14, 17, 20,	46:16 49:19	56:13 61:6
Sure 6:1 17:1	thing 13:10	24 39:13, 24	understands 32:9	wanted 19:18
23:7 25:5 29:11	things 6:12	40:2, 4, 10, 21	Understood 14:1	20:3
38:7 49:10 50:7	12:14 44:17	41:15, 20, 22	51:21	wants 15:22
51:8 56:9, 10	think 10:3, 13	42:16 43:13, 18,	unexpected 43:23	40:12
59:19	16:21 24:17	22 44:13, 20	unfortunately	warm 40:18, 20
swear 4:21 5:3	25:3 29:20 40:3	45:1, 7, 14, 20, 23	47:7 56:22	water 23:6
switch 17:11	41:17 44:17	46:20 47:23	unusual 43:11	way 5:21 31:22
sworn 2:8 5:7	47:10 48:14	48:1, 14 49:7, 15	Use 9:14, 21	33:17 39:6
6:17	50:9, 11 54:6, 12	50:3 51:3, 8, 11,	15:6 19:12	website 3:10
	56:15 59:23	15 52:6, 16	28:21 30:16	12:22, 22 13:2, 5,
< T >	60: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> 61: <i>1</i> , <i>1</i>	53:12, 23, 25	31:6 45:2 46:16	9, 11, 15, 24 14:5
take 5:23 7:20	third 23:12, 24	54:8, 20 56:20		31:14 32:4, 5, 12,
39:22 40:11, 14	thoroughly	57:5, 23 58:11,	< V >	20, 22
44:2, 5	13:11, 12	14, 24 59:1, 13,	Vague 9:12	Wednesday 2:1
TAKEN 1:17	thought 57:20	18 60:3, 5 61:2,	10:17 11:8 12:1	4:1
4:13 6:23 46:1	three 13:14	4, 6, 10, 17, 24	13:7 14:22 15:8	week 61:17
64:5	Time 4: <i>3</i> 7:21,	transcribed	17:2 19:2, 15	weeks 60:20
talking 9:22	21 14:22 18:22	64:11	20:5 21:6, 14, 18	Weinstein 12:2,
41:16 46:19	21:21 33:21	transcript 61:12,	24:22 25:2, 15	5,11
47:24	35:15 39:22	12	26:22 27:18	Well 19:22 25:9
Techne 5:12	40:11, 14 41:5	treating 38:8	28:23 29:7, 25	29:13 37:7, 19
8:22 9:2, 5, 6, 11,	42:10 44:3, 11,	trial 61:21	32:7 33:21	48:9, 19 57:5
14 10:3, 23	16 45:25 46:2	tried 58:4	34:22 35:10	went 34:21
22:18 31:18	59:4 62:2 64:6,	true 39:19	36:20 38:24	We're 7:8
33:9, 15, 18 34:2,	6,9	40:22 64:12	41:15 42:16	50:18, 19 61:1
16 35:8 45:12,	timelines 43:24	truth 6:18	43:18 44:13	62:1
16 47:2 58:5, 13,	44:16	try 44:24 49:9	45:7, 14 47:23	WEST 2:14
18, 21 59:5, 10,	times 13:13, 14	51:6 52:14	49:7 53:13	WHEREOF
15 60:8	today 4:6 5:15	trying 20:2	58:11, 14, 24	64:16
tell 6:17 8:8	6:25 7:8 11: <i>13</i> ,	29:20 49:12	59:18	wife 11:14
temperature 8:3	15	50:11 57:8	various 3:9, 10,	winding 53:11,
term 28:21 29:2	Today's 4:4	turn 8:2 40:17,	15 32:6 44:3, 17	22 54:7, 14
terminating	told 34:14 44:9	20	Vasquez 30:24	60:10
60:10	TOOTHACRE	two 13:14 20:22	versus 4:10 6:8	windows 40:19
terminology	2:13 4:16, 16, 22	60:20	Video 4:5	withdrawn 53:8
29:2, 6	5:2, 9, 23 6:1	types 10:5	VIDEOGRAPHE	witness 2:9
test 40:13	8:8, 13 9:12	typically 21:12	R 2:24 4:3, 20	3:20 5:4 6:13
testified 5:7	10:17 11:8, 25	55:8, 10 60:3	5:1, 3 45:25	10:18 12:17
testifying 4:10	12:1, 9, 13 13:7		46:2 61:25	15:25 18:4, 6
		1	1	

19:24 20:6, 17	1	l .		
21:19 23:6, 8				
25:5 26:16, 24				
30:7 31:25				
32:10 33:24				
34:6, 9, 24 35:11,				
17 36:3, 11, 16,				
21 37:4, 12, 14				
38:13, 16, 18				
39:23 40:16, 22				
41:19, 21, 23				
43:14, 23 44:14,				
21 45:2, 18, 22				
48:3, 17 49:9				
50:4 51:6, 16				
52:7, 18 53:15,				
24 54:10 56:21				
57:10 59:19				
60:2, 6, 7, 12, 17				
61:16 64:7, 8, 16				
work 8:19				
22:20 51:1, 23				
52:2, 9, 13, 17				
53:2, 4 58:10, 21,				
23 59:5, 9, 24				
60:8, 9, 15, 15				
worked 9:10				
10:4 11:14, 16				
14:20 15:11				
30:18, 21 55:25				
56:11 57:4, 11				
working 45:6, 9,				
13 51:22, 25				
58:18 59:25				
works 33:9				
writes 23:25				
writing 38:12, 14				
< Y >				
Yeah 17:11, 14				
27:18 32:15, 17				
39:23 48:12, 14				
56:20 58:18				
61:9				
year 42:4, 5, 23				
43:4 60:21, 22				
years 51:2 56:11				
Juin 51.2 50.11				
1				