

6144 FEDERAL BLVD., SAN DIEGO — PUBLIC RECORDS FILE

Section 11.70 · United Rentals (North America), Inc. dba Reliable Onsite Services — Sewage Disposal Records

Date	June 22 – July 2, 2026
Agency	City of San Diego — Building & Land Use Enforcement (BLUE) / Code Enforcement
Request No.	Building & Land Use Enforcement Complaint Record CE-0541944
Status	OPEN
Description	Code-enforcement complaint that the FRAC-tank human-waste operation at 6144 Federal Blvd. is an environmental and explosion/odor hazard. The City opened a case; as of the record it remained at 'Case Opened.'

Summary

This is the City of San Diego's Building & Land Use Enforcement record for Complaint No. CE-0541944, filed June 22, 2026 concerning the FRAC-tank human-waste operation at 6144 Federal Blvd. The City opened a case. As reflected in the portal record, the case remained at the 'Case Opened' stage as of July 2, 2026, with no inspection shown.

Related records (Section 11.70): City Fire-Rescue PRA 26-6343; County DEHQ PRA 26-3709; Air Pollution Control District; City Stormwater PRA 26-6342 / 26-6563.

Public record, reproduced for transparency. This slip sheet describes the attached document; it is a factual summary, not legal argument. No violation by any party is alleged. The full document follows.

Submit a Building and Land Use Enforcement (BLUE) [Request for Investigation](#).

[Home](#) [DSD Permits](#) [Building & Land Use Enforcement](#) [Short Term Rental](#) [Cannabis](#)

+ Private Property Investigation Request

Search for an Existing Investigation

Record CE-0541944:

Complaint

Record Status: New

Record Info

Location

6144 Federal Bl
San Diego CA 92114 *

Investigation Details

Case Description:

There is a FRAC tank which is the main holding tank for United Rentals human waste processing from all their porta-potties. The stench and toxic odors that emanate from this are an environmental and explosive hazard. This tank is located within 4 feet of my building and hidden by numerous light towers, IBC totes and porta-potties in front and along it. This entire operation is supposed to be in a Class 1 Div 2 hazard location environment. It is clearly not and as such is a danger to my, my property and surrounding properties.

More Details

Analysis — What this record shows

City of San Diego Building & Land Use Enforcement · Complaint CE-0541944 · filed June 22, 2026

This section explains what the attached record shows and why it matters. It concerns how a public agency has handled a code-enforcement complaint. It does not allege that any private party has violated the law.

The record in brief

On June 22, 2026, a Building and Land Use Enforcement Request for Investigation was filed through the City's online portal, and the City opened Record CE-0541944 (Complaint) for 6144 Federal Blvd. The complaint's own description reads, in part:

"There is a FRAC tank which is the main holding tank for United Rentals human waste processing from all their porta-potties. The stench and toxic odors that emanate from this are an environmental and explosive hazard. This tank is located within 4 feet of my building... This entire operation is supposed to be in a Class 1 Div 2 hazard location environment. It is clearly not..."

The portal shows a four-stage track — Case Opened → Prep Research → Investigator Action → Closed. As of July 2, 2026 — nine working days after filing — the record remained at "Case Opened," with Record Status "New," no assigned priority shown, and no indication that an inspection had occurred.

The City's own priority framework

The City's Building & Land Use Enforcement Division publishes a three-tier priority framework and states that it "responds swiftly to address serious private property violations." Priority I — imminent health and safety hazards — are to be inspected within one business day, and the City's enumerated examples include dangerous structures, live exposed electrical wires, and "leaking sewage." Priority II (significant code violations) is five business days; Priority III is twenty. A complaint alleging a health, safety, and explosion/odor hazard from stored human waste falls within the Priority I category — and, at the least favorable reading, Priority II. On the City's own timetable that means an inspection within one to five business days. Nine working days at "Case Opened," with no inspection shown, exceeds both.

The other side

There is a fair reading in the City's favor. Unlike several other agencies, the City did not disclaim jurisdiction or refer the matter away — it opened a case, which is the correct first step. The classification of the hazard (building/zoning versus fire or hazardous-materials) is genuinely the City's call, and if the Division concludes the core hazard belongs to Fire-Rescue or the County, that is a defensible judgment, provided it is made and communicated. The City's own page also notes FY2026 budget cuts affecting enforcement, and "Case Opened" is an early stage — the absence of a public status change is not proof that nothing has happened internally.

Bottom line

The City accepted the complaint rather than referring it elsewhere, but as of this record the case had sat at "Case Opened" past the City's own inspection targets, with no inspection shown. Whether and when the complaint is inspected is the open question this record leaves.

Prepared for public understanding from the attached public record. The priority framework is from the City's published 'Priority of Cases' page; the classification of any complaint is the City's to make. Commentary concerns the handling of a public agency; no violation by any private party is alleged.