VIDEO TRANSCRIPTION

San Diego Planning Commission Hearing

Reference: March 12, 2015

Demian & Zobal Statements: 2:25:20 - 2:29:02

Source Video:

https://sandiego.granicus.com/player/clip/6357?view_id=8 &redirect=true&h=2960bc06c9c4df0de2b5cf8a58c693da

Transcribed by:

NATALIE FAGAN

1	DAVID DEMIAN: And so we come to the question
2	of this specific application for in this case, the
3	hearing officer was instructed by staff not to consider
4	other relevant applications. That is incorrect. Those
5	applications are relevant. We all know they're
6	relevant. That hearing officer must make a decision
7	including those facts presented to him at that hearing.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two, the applicants' backgrounds are relevant to his decision. At the hearing the transcript shows the hearing officer was instructed not to consider the background of the competing -- of the applicant. Today, the staff's report suggests that they investigated the hearing -- the applicant's background. So which is it? A decision must be made by that hearing officer on those points.

Last, the community planning group recommendations are going to have to be taken into account as to what is best for the safety and welfare of their respective communities, and that's going to be coming next. And the only way to do that is to hear the applicant's all together. And we're not in a vacuum here. There are four applicants that are coming to a hearing officer hearing next month. We know who all the applicants are. They should be heard together. can be heard together. So we're asking for the planning

- commission to exercise it's authority and to do that.
- I appreciate your listening to me. I apologize
- for going over time. Thank you very much.
- 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. Thank you,
- 5 sir. Next individual speaker I have is Benjamin
- 6 Zobal.
- 7 BENJAMIN ZOBAL: Hello. My name is Benjamin
- 8 Zobal. I'm at twenty nine -- or 3928 Eleanor Street.
- 9 I'm here to inform you that I was asked to file a CEQA
- 10 appeals against other medical marijuana consumer
- collective for the purpose of slowing down other MMC
- applications. The people that asked me to file these
- appeals did so in order to obtain one license in the
- sports arena area.
- 15 When I was asked to do this I didn't think much
- about it, and I agreed. Since then, I have had some of
- these people I appealed reach out to me and explain how
- much this has affected them. I did not realize that
- there are several good people trying to get license and
- 20 have risked a lot to do so. I now regret filing my
- 21 appeals against these good people. I'm not comfortable
- saying who asked me to file these appeals, but I hope
- the city does what is right and approves the best
- 24 applications on the merits -- on merit and not on
- first-come-first-serve-basis. Thank you for your time.

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing electronically-recorded
5	proceedings were transcribed by me to the best of my
6	ability.
7	I further certify I am neither financially
8	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of
9	any attorney or party to this action.
LO	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto subscribe my
L 1	name this 21st day of July, 2023.
L 2	
L3	
L 4 L5	natalie Jagan
	Natalie Fagar
L6	CSR NO. 13993
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	Diego 1:8	M	shows 2:9	2
ability 4:6		March 1:10	sir 3:5	2:25:20 1:11
	E		slowing 3:11	
account 2:18	Eleanor 3:8	marijuana 3:10	Source 1:14	2:29:02 1:11
action 4:8,9	electronically-rec	medical 3:10	speaker 3:4,5	2015 1:10
agreed 3:16	4:4	merit 3:24	specific 2:2	2023 4:11
apologize 3:2		merits 3:24		21st 4:11
appealed 3:17	employee 4:8	MMC 3:11	sports 3:14	
appeals 3:10,13,21	exercise 3:1	month 2:23	staff 2:3	3
3:22	explain 3:17		staff's 2:12	3928 3:8
applicant 2:11		N	State 4:3	
applicant's 2:13,21	F	name 3:7 4:11	Statements 1:11	
applicants 2:22,24	facts 2:7	Natalie 1:20 4:15	Street 3:8	
applicants' 2:8	Fagan 1:20 4:15	neither 4:7	subscribe 4:10	
application 2:2	file 3:9,12,22	nine 3:8	suggests 2:12	
	filing 3:20	IIIIe 5.6		
applications 2:4,5	financially 4:7	0	T	
3:12,24	first-come-first-se		taken 2:17	
appreciate 3:2	3:25	obtain 3:13	Thank 3:3,4,25	
approves 3:23		officer 2:3,6,10,14	think 3:15	
area 3:14	foregoing 4:4	2:23		
arena 3:14	four 2:22	order 3:13	time 3:3,25	
asked 3:9,12,15,22	further 4:7		Today 2:11	
asking 2:25		P	transcribed 1:20	
attorney 4:9	G	party 4:9	4:5	
authority 3:1	going 2:17,19 3:3	people 3:12,17,19	transcript 2:9	
authority 5.1	good 3:19,21	3:21	TRANSCRIPTI	
B	group 2:16	•	1:6	
	group z.ro	planning 1:8 2:16	trying 3:19	
background 2:11		2:25	twenty 3:8	
2:13	hear 2:20	points 2:15	Two 2:8	
backgrounds 2:8		presented 2:7	1 WO 2.0	
Benjamin 3:5,7,7	heard 2:24,25	proceedings 4:5	U	
best 2:18 3:23 4:5	hearing 1:8 2:3,6,7	purpose 3:11		
	2:9,10,13,14,23		undersigned 4:2	
C	2:23	Q	UNIDENTIFIED	
California 4:3	Hello 3:7	question 2:1	3:4	
case 2:2	hereunto 4:10	question 2.1		
CEQA 3:9	hope 3:22	R	V	
CERTIFICATE	https://sandiego.g	reach 3:17	vacuum 2:21	
4:1	1:15		Video 1:6,14	
		realize 3:18		
Certified 4:2		recommendations		
certify 4:3,7	including 2:7	2:17	way 2:20	
city 3:23		redirect=true&h		
collective 3:11	incorrect 2:4	1:16	we're 2:21,25	
come 2:1	individual 3:5	Reference 1:10	welfare 2:18	
comfortable 3:21	inform 3:9	regret 3:20	WHEREOF 4:10	
coming 2:20,22	instructed 2:3,10	relative 4:8	WITNESS 4:10	
commission 1:8 3:1	interested 4:8	relevant 2:4,5,6,8		
communities 2:19	investigated 2:12	report 2:12	X	
community 2:16		Reporter 4:3		
competing 2:11	J	REPORTER'S 4:1	Y	
consider 2:3,10	July 4:11	respective 2:19		
consumer 3:10			Z	
	K	right 3:4,23	Zobal 1:11 3:6,7,8	
CSR 4:16	know 2:5,23	risked 3:20	200411.11 3.0,7,0	
	KHUW 4.3,43	-	0	
<u>D</u>		S	- U	
DAVID 2:1		safety 2:18	1	
day 4:11	license 3:13,19	San 1:8		
decision 2:6,9,14	listening 3:2	saying 3:22	12 1:10	
	lot 3:20	Shorthand 4:2	13993 4:16	1