

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY SAN DIEGO

DEPARTMENT 75	HON.	JAMES MANGIONE, JUDGE
)	Case No.
)	37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL
DARRYL COTTON,)	
)	
Plaintiff	,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
LAWRENCE GERACI,)	
)	
Defendant	.)	
)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA FEBRUARY 25, 2022

REPORTED BY: BRIDGET L. MASTROBATTISTA, CSR 7715 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER CERTIFIED REALTIME REPORTER OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
         FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
               TIFFANY & BOSCO, PA
               BY: EVAN P. SCHUBE, ESQ. (Appearance MSTeams)
 4
               2525 East Camelback Road
               Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9240
 5
               Т: 602.255.6000
               Email: eps@tblaw.com
 6
         FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
 7
               LAW OFFICES OF JAMES D. CROSBY
 8
               BY: JAMES D. CROSBY, ESQ..
               550 West C Street, Suite 620
 9
               San Diego, California 92101
               T: 619.450.4149
10
               Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com
11
12
          PRESENT: DARRYL COTTON
                    6176 Federal Boulevard
13
                   San Diego, California 92101
                   T: 619.954.4447
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2022, 9:20 A.M. 1 2 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 3 DEPARTMENT 75 HON. JAMES MANGIONE, JUDGE 4 THE CLERK: Your Honor, this matter is being 5 reported. 6 MR. CROSBY: Good morning, Your Honor. 7 James Crosby for defendant Geraci. 8 THE COURT: Welcome. 9 MR. SCHUBE: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 MR. COTTON: Good morning. 11 MR. SCHUBE: Good morning, Your Honor. Evan 12 Schube on behalf of Mr. Cotton. 13 THE COURT: Okay. You can have a seat, 14 Mr. Cotton. 15 MR. COTTON: Thank you, sir. 16 All right. Go ahead, Counsel. 17 MR. SCHUBE: Your Honor, I'd like to address 18 the tentative ruling first. 19 And the tentative states that a direct attack 20 for final judgment is permitted by way of an independent 21 equitable action when the complaining party is prevented 22 from presenting his claim of defense in the action. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Sir, it sounds like you're 24 reading from something, A; B, we have a court reporter, 25 and so, please slow down either way. 26 MR. SCHUBE: Sure. 27 THE COURT: Thank you. 28 MR. SCHUBE: Okay. So the illegality issue was

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

1 raised in Cotton 1, and it was raised in the motion for 2 new trial, but the Court found that -- that that 3 argument was waived, but in the Supreme Court decision 4 of Lewis & Queen, it's 48 Cal.2d 141, Supreme Court 5 specifically stated that the issue of illegality cannot 6 be waived. 7 And so, the issue of the illegality was never 8 actually decided on the merits of the evidence 9 presented. 10 And then in case Rose v. -- I will butcher the 11 last party, F-U-Q-U-A, 200 Cal.App 2d 719, the Court 12 said denial of motion to vacate a judgment on the 13 grounds did not bar inequitable action to set aside the 14 judgment on the same grounds. 15 So we think here that the -- that the tentative 16 ruling, we should not be prevented, or I should say we 17 should be allowed to continue. And I'm looking at the 18 first paragraph of your tentative ruling. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 20 Mr. Crosby -- I'm sorry, sir. Go ahead. 21 MR. SCHUBE: I was going to say if you'd like 22 me to get into the issue of illegality, I would like to, 23 but at least -- I know that's the first hurdle of the 24 Court. So --25 THE COURT: Well, let's start with the first 26 hurdle. 27 Go ahead, Mr. Crosby. 28 MR. CROSBY: This whole case is a fundamental

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

¹ misunderstanding of how the law works. If you go try ² something and you lose, you don't get to come back two ³ years later and say that it was all for nothing and it ⁴ was void and I can attack the judgment. There are final ⁵ judgments in cases that are litigated.

And the arguments Counsel has made are all the
same arguments that are made in both of their moving
papers, so there is nothing new.

⁹ But I think the one thing that they've not addressed and never answered is how an erroneous call by ¹¹ a trial judge on an illegality defense to a contract ¹² action can morph into a void judgment.

A illegality defense is a contract defense. If
 you lose, you lose; if you win, you win.

If the Court made an error in the first case, it doesn't mean that the contract is just as a matter of, you know, divine intervention an illegal contract and therefore void.

So there is no law cited anywhere in all of these filings, all of the filings in the federal court, all these filings that they've made, every time they make this argument, that establishes that erroneous -allegedly erroneous call by the trial judge leads to a void judgment. And that's still not been answered and it's the crux of the whole thing.

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Schube, back to you, sir.
MR. SCHUBE: Sure, Your Honor. I can't speak
```

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

1 to what's been argued in other cases. I can't speak to; 2 what the motion for new trial argued and what's before 3 the Court right now, which is that the parties plainly 4 entered into a contract that stated there's for the 5 purpose of a marijuana dispensary and at that time 6 Mr. Geraci had had -- had been sanctioned in the 7 California Business Professions Code expressly stated 8 that -- that he could not own or operate a marijuana 9 dispensary for a period of three years.

And, of course, in their motion for new trial, I'm not sure if you looked at it or not, but it's also -- there's this component that his interest in the property was not -- was not disclosed.

It was clear in the general application that -that his purpose, entire purpose in this was to own and operate a marijuana dispensary, but he failed to disclose it in violation of several San Diego municipal codes.

So when you tack on the non-disclosures for the -- for the San Diego Municipal Code, plus the California Business and Professions Code, the entire purpose of that contract is illegal. He wasn't allowed to operate a marijuana dispensary, but that's what the very purpose of the contract was.

25 THE COURT: All right. Very well.

The Court is going to adopt its tentative ruling in this matter. And in this case, it does not appear that the complaining party did not have an

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

1 opportunity to present its case in the court and protect 2 himself from any fraud attempted by, in this case, the 3 defendant.

4 The plaintiff was not precluded from presenting 5 his illegality argument in court. There was a trial. 6 There was a motion for a new trial. There was an appeal 7 that was dismissed. So under these facts, the Court 8 will again adopt its tentative. And that will be the 9 order of the Court.

10 MR. CROSBY: Your Honor, may I request that 11 the -- that the corresponding lawsuit be dismissed as 12 There was a lawsuit filed and then this motion well? 13 was filed. They say the exact same thing. The exact 14 same thing.

15 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from 16 I don't have it before me, Mr. Crosby. Counsel. Let me 17 hear from plaintiff counsel. Do you agree to dismiss 18 your lawsuit?

19 MR. SCHUBE: Your Honor, I will have to talk to 20 my client about that. I'm not -- I'm not prepared to 21 agree to it at this juncture. Certainly if I can reach 22 out to my client and then reach out to opposing counsel 23 and we can agree to dismiss it, or I think the opposing 24 counsel can go ahead and, you know, move to have it 25 dismissed after. And -- but I'm not prepared at this 26 point to --27

THE COURT: Okay.

28 MR. CROSBY: What's going to happen is we'll

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

1 file a motion for judgment on the pleadings. The Court 2 will be duty-bound to dismiss the case based upon the 3 law of the case that has been established on this 4 motion, on the exact same issue. 5 So I would implore Counsel to consider 6 dismissing the action, retaining all their rights on 7 appeal, if that's what they want to do, or 8 reconsideration or whatever the heck else they're going 9 to do on this case. THE COURT: Okay. Well, your position's been 10 11 You let in this case plaintiff's counsel know known. 12 your position and hopefully the two of you can work it 13 out. 14 MR. CROSBY: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE CLERK: Your Honor, as to the ex parte 16 application for the pro hac vice --17 THE COURT: The ex parte application on the pro 18 hac vice will be -- I'm granting the pro hac vice 19 application --20 MR. CROSBY: And --21 THE COURT: -- as it's tentatively --22 MR. CROSBY: I guess I do want to make clear 23 for the record that there were some issues about whether 24 the Supreme Court had vetted the pro hac vice candidate. 25 I want to make it clear that I've waived any defects 26 with respect to that, and I had no objection to 27 Mr. Schube appearing today, and we permanently waive any 28 claim or argument that that was inappropriate.

Peterson Reporting Video & Litigation Services

THE COURT: Thank you. THE CLERK: Mr. Schube, can you please provide your Bar number? THE COURT: Mr. Schube, what is your Bar number? MR. SCHUBE: 028849. THE CLERK: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. CROSBY: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: You're welcome. (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 9:36 a.m.) -000-

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) SS: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)

I, BRIDGET L. MASTROBATTISTA, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER NO. 7715, RPR, RMR, CRR, A COURT REPORTER PRO TEM OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

THAT I REPORTED IN MACHINE SHORTHAND THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE FOREGOING CAUSE;

THAT MY NOTES WERE TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING UNDER MY DIRECTION; AND THE FOREGOING PAGES, 3 to 9, CONTAIN A CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

DATED THIS 26th DAY OF February, 2022.

BRIDGET L. MASTROBATTISTA, CSR NO. 7715 RPR, RMR, CRR COURT REPORTER PRO TEM

(Government Code 69954(d): Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other party or person.)

A	Certainly 7:21	DATED 10:17	filings 5:20,20,21	judgment 3:20 4:12
a.m 3:1 9:11	CERTIFICATE	DAY 10:17	final 3:20 5:4	4:14 5:4,12,24 8:1
	10:1	decided 4:8	first 3:18 4:18,23,25	judgments 5:5
action 3:21,22 4:13	CERTIFIED 1:23	decision 4:3	5:15	juncture 7:21
5:12 8:6	10:7	defects 8:25	FOREGOING	Juncture 7.21
address 3:17	CERTIFY 10:10	defendant 1:10 3:7	10:12,14	K
addressed 5:10	cited 5:19	7:3	found 4:2	know 4:23 5:17
adopt 6:26 7:8	claim 3:22 8:28	DEFENDANTS 2:6	fraud 7:2	7:24 8:11
agree 7:17,21,23	clear 6:14 8:22,25	defense 3:22 5:11	FRIDAY 3:1	known 8:11
ahead 3:16 4:20,27	CLERK 3:4 8:15	5:13,13	fundamental 4:28	KIIUWII 0.11
7:24	9:2,7	denial 4:12	further 10:23	L
allegedly 5:23	client 7:20,22	DEPARTMENT	Turtiler 10.25	$\frac{L}{L 1:22 \ 10:7,20}$
allowed 4:17 6:22	Code 6:7,20,21	1:4 3:3	G	law 2:7 5:1,19 8:3
answered 5:10,24	10:22		general 6:14	LAWRENCE 1:9
appeal 7:6 8:7		Diego 1:2,16 2:9,13	Geraci 1:9 3:7 6:6	lawsuit 7:11,12,18
appear 6:28	codes 6:18	3:2 6:17,20 10:4,9		leads 5:23
Appearance 2:3	come 5:2	direct 3:19	go 3:16 4:20,27 5:1 7:24	
APPEARANCES	complaining 3:21	DIRECTION 10:14		let's 4:25
2:1	6:28	disclose 6:17	going 4:21 6:26	Lewis 4:4
appearing 8:27	component 6:12	disclosed 6:13	7:28 8:8	litigated 5:5
application 6:14	concluded 9:11	dismiss 7:17,23 8:2	Good 3:6,9,10,11	looked 6:11
8:16,17,19	consider 8:5	dismissed 7:7,11,25	Government 10:22	looking 4:17
argued 6:1,2	CONTAIN 10:15	dismissing 8:6	granting 8:18	lose 5:2,14,14
argument 4:3 5:22	continue 4:17	dispensary 6:5,9,16	grounds 4:13,14	M
7:5 8:28	contract 5:11,13,16	6:23	guess 8:22	
arguments 5:6,7	5:17 6:4,22,24	divine 5:17		MACHINE 10:11
Arizona 2:4	copies 10:25	duty-bound 8:2	H	MANGIONE 1:4
aside 4:13	сору 10:23,25		hac 8:16,18,18,24	3:3
attack 3:19 5:4	CORRECT 10:15	E	happen 7:28	marijuana 6:5,8,16
attempted 7:2	corresponding 7:11	East 2:4	hear 7:15,17	6:23
	Cotton 1:6 2:12	either 3:25	heck 8:8	MASTROBATTI
B	3:10,12,14,15 4:1	Email 2:5,10	HELD 10:12	1:22 10:7,20
B 3:24	counsel 3:16 5:6	entered 6:4	HON 1:4 3:3	matter 3:4 5:16
back 5:2,27	7:16,17,22,24 8:5	entire 6:15,21	Honor 3:4,6,9,11,17	6:27
bar 4:13 9:3,4	8:11	eps@tblaw.com 2:5	5:28 7:10,19 8:14	mean 5:16
based 8:2	COUNTY 1:2 10:4	equitable 3:21	8:15 9:9	MERIT 1:23
behalf 3:12	10:9	erroneous 5:10,22	hopefully 8:12	merits 4:8
BOSCO 2:3	course 6:10	5:23	hurdle 4:23,26	misunderstanding
Boulevard 2:12	court 1:1 3:8,13,23	error 5:15		5:1
BRIDGET 1:22	3:24,27 4:2,3,4,11	ESQ 2:3,8	I	morning 3:6,9,10
10:7,20	4:19,24,25 5:15	established 8:3	illegal 5:17 6:22	3:11
Business 6:7,21	5:20,26 6:3,25,26	establishes 5:22	illegality 3:28 4:5,7	morph 5:12
butcher 4:10	7:1,5,7,9,15,27	Evan 2:3 3:11	4:22 5:11,13 7:5	motion 4:1,12 6:2
	8:1,10,17,21,24	evidence 4:8	implore 8:5	6:10 7:6,12 8:1,4
С	9:1,4,8,10 10:8,9	ex 8:15,17	inappropriate 8:28	move 7:24
C 2:8	10:21,22,24	exact 7:13,13 8:4	independent 3:20	moving 5:7
Cal.2d 4:4	Crosby 2:7,8 3:6,7	exhibit 10:24	inequitable 4:13	MSTeams 2:3
Cal.App 4:11	4:20,27,28 7:10	expressly 6:7	interest 6:12	municipal 6:17,20
California 1:1,16	7:16,28 8:14,20		internal 10:24	
2:9,13 3:2 6:7,21	8:22 9:9	F	intervention 5:17	<u> </u>
10:3,10	crosby@crosbyat	F-U-Q-U-A 4:11	issue 3:28 4:5,7,22	never 4:7 5:10
call 5:10,23	2:10	facts 7:7	8:4	new 4:2 5:8 6:2,10
Camelback 2:4	CRR 10:8,21	failed 6:16	issues 8:23	7:6
candidate 8:24	crux 5:25	February 1:17 3:1		non-disclosures
case 1:5 4:10,28	CSR 1:22 10:21	10:17	J	6:19
5:15 6:27 7:1,2		federal 2:12 5:20	James 1:4 2:7,8 3:3	NOTES 10:13
8:2,3,9,11	D	fee 10:23	3:7	number 9:3,5
cases 5:5 6:1	D 2:7,8	file 8:1	judge 1:4 3:3 5:11	
CAUSE 10:12	DARRYL 1:6 2:12	filed 7:12,13	5:23	0
511051 10.12				
L	-			

abiantian 8.26		states 2.10		0.20.2.1
objection 8:26	Q	states 3:19	we'll 7:28	9:20 3:1
OFFICES 2:7	Queen 4:4	Street 2:8	welcome 3:8 9:10	9:36 9:11
OFFICIAL 1:24		Suite 2:8	West 2:8	92101 2:9,13
Okay 3:13,23,28	R	SUPERIOR 1:1	win 5:14,14	
7:27 8:10	raised 4:1,1	10:9	work 8:12	
oOo- 9:12	reach 7:21,22	Supreme 4:3,4 8:24	works 5:1	
operate 6:8,16,23	reading 3:24	sure 3:26 5:28 6:11		
opportunity 7:1		54100.200.200.11	X	
opposing 7:22,23	REALTIME 1:23	Т		
order 7:9 10:24	reconsideration 8:8	T 2:5,9,13	Y	
order 7.9 10.24	record 8:23		vears 5:3 6:9	
P	REGISTERED	tack 6:19	years 5:5 0:9	
	1:22,23	talk 7:19	Z	
P 2:3	reported 1:22 3:5	TEM 10:8,21	L	
PA 2:3	10:11	TEMPORE 1:24		
PAGES 10:14	reporter 1:22,23,23	tentative 3:18,19	0	
papers 5:8	1:24 3:24 10:7,8	4:15,18 6:26 7:8	028849 9:6	
paragraph 4:18	10:21,23	tentatively 8:21		
parte 8:15,17	REPORTER'S	Thank 3:15,27 4:19	1	
parties 6:3	1:15 10:1	5:26 8:14 9:1,7,8	1 4:1	
party 3:21 4:11		9:9	141 4:4	
6:28 10:22,25	reproduce 10:23	thereof 10:24		
paying 10:23	request 7:10	thing 5:9,25 7:13,14	2	
period 6:9	respect 8:26	think 4:15 5:9 7:23	200 4:11	
-	retaining 8:6		200 4 .11 2022 1:17 3:1 10:17	
permanently 8:27	right 3:16 4:19 5:26	three 6:9		
permitted 3:20	6:3,25 7:15	TIFFANY 2:3	25 1:17 3:1	
person 10:22,25	rights 8:6	time 5:21 6:5	2525 2:4	
Phoenix 2:4	RMR 10:8,21	today 8:27	26th 10:17	
plainly 6:3	Road 2:4	TRANSCRIBED	2d 4:11	
plaintiff 1:7 2:2 7:4	Rose 4:10	10:13		
7:17	RPR 10:8,21	transcript 1:15	3	
plaintiff's 8:11	rule 10:24	10:23	3 10:14	
pleadings 8:1		TRANSCRIPTION	37-2022-0000002	
please 3:25 9:2	ruling 3:18 4:16,18	10:15	1:5	
plus 6:20	6:27	trial 4:2 5:11,23 6:2		
point 7:26	<u> </u>	6:10 7:5,6	4	
		-	48 4:4	
portion 10:23	San 1:2,16 2:9,13	try 5:1	T.T 0T.T	
position 8:12	3:2 6:17,20 10:4,9	two 5:2 8:12	5	
position's 8:10	sanctioned 6:6	TYPEWRITING		
precluded 7:4	Schube 2:3 3:9,11	10:13	550 2:8	
prepared 7:20,25	3:12,17,26,28			
present 2:12 7:1	4:21 5:27,28 7:19	U	6	
presented 4:9	8:27 9:2,4,6	use 10:24	602.255.6000 2:5	
presenting 3:22 7:4	seat 3:13		6176 2:12	
prevented 3:21 4:16	sell 10:25	V	619.450.4149 2:9	
pro 1:24 8:16,17,18	set 4:13	v 4:10	619.954.4447 2:13	
8:24 10:8,21	SHORTHAND	vacate 4:12	620 2:8	
proceedings 1:15	10:7,11	vetted 8:24	69954(d) 10:22	
9:11 10:11,15	-	vice 8:16,18,18,24		
PROFESSIONAL	sir 3:15,23 4:20	violation 6:17	7	
1:22	5:27	void 5:4,12,18,24	719 4:11	
Professions 6:7,21	slow 3:25	volu 5.4,12,18,24 vs 1:8	75 1:4 3:3	
	sorry 4:20	v 5 1.0	7715 1:22 10:8,21	
property 6:13	sounds 3:23	W		
protect 7:1	speak 5:28 6:1		8	
provide 9:2 10:24	specifically 4:5	waive 8:27		
purchased 10:23	SS 10:3	waived 4:3,6 8:25	85016-9240 2:4	
purpose 6:5,15,15	start 4:25	want 8:7,22,25	9	
6:22,24	STATE 1:1 10:3,9	wasn't 6:22		
pursuant 10:24	stated 4:5 6:4,7	way 3:20,25	9 10:14	
	•	•	•	