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SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT 75 HON. JAMES MANGIONE, JUDGE
THE CLERK: Your Honor, this matter is being reported.

MR. CROSBY: Good morning, Your Honor.
James Crosby for defendant Geraci.
THE COURT: Welcome.
MR. SCHUBE: Good morning, Your Honor.
MR. COTTON: Good morning.
MR. SCHUBE: Good morning, Your Honor. Evan Schube on behalf of Mr. Cotton.

THE COURT: Okay. You can have a seat, Mr. Cotton.

MR. COTTON: Thank you, sir.
All right. Go ahead, Counsel.
MR. SCHUBE: Your Honor, I'd like to address the tentative ruling first.

And the tentative states that a direct attack for final judgment is permitted by way of an independent equitable action when the complaining party is prevented from presenting his claim of defense in the action.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, it sounds like you're reading from something, $A$; $B$, we have a court reporter, and so, please slow down either way.

MR. SCHUBE: Sure.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SCHUBE: Okay. So the illegality issue was

Peterson Reporting Video \& Litigation Services
raised in Cotton 1, and it was raised in the motion for new trial, but the Court found that -- that that argument was waived, but in the Supreme Court decision of Lewis \& Queen, it's 48 Cal.2d 141, Supreme Court specifically stated that the issue of illegality cannot be waived.

And so, the issue of the illegality was never actually decided on the merits of the evidence presented.

And then in case Rose v. -- I will butcher the last party, $F-U-Q-U-A, 200$ Cal.App 2d 719, the Court said denial of motion to vacate a judgment on the grounds did not bar inequitable action to set aside the judgment on the same grounds.

So we think here that the -- that the tentative ruling, we should not be prevented, or I should say we should be allowed to continue. And I'm looking at the first paragraph of your tentative ruling.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Crosby -- I'm sorry, sir. Go ahead.
MR. SCHUBE: I was going to say if you'd like me to get into the issue of illegality, I would like to, but at least -- I know that's the first hurdle of the Court. So --

THE COURT: Well, let's start with the first hurdle.

Go ahead, Mr. Crosby.
MR. CROSBY: This whole case is a fundamental
misunderstanding of how the law works. If you go try something and you lose, you don't get to come back two years later and say that it was all for nothing and it was void and I can attack the judgment. There are final judgments in cases that are litigated.

And the arguments Counsel has made are all the same arguments that are made in both of their moving papers, so there is nothing new.

But I think the one thing that they've not addressed and never answered is how an erroneous call by a trial judge on an illegality defense to a contract action can morph into a void judgment.

A illegality defense is a contract defense. If you lose, you lose; if you win, you win.

If the Court made an error in the first case, it doesn't mean that the contract is just as a matter of, you know, divine intervention an illegal contract and therefore void.

So there is no law cited anywhere in all of these filings, all of the filings in the federal court, all these filings that they've made, every time they make this argument, that establishes that erroneous -allegedly erroneous call by the trial judge leads to a void judgment. And that's still not been answered and it's the crux of the whole thing.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Schube, back to you, sir.
MR. SCHUBE: Sure, Your Honor. I can't speak
to what's been argued in other cases. I can't speak to; what the motion for new trial argued and what's before the Court right now, which is that the parties plainly entered into a contract that stated there's for the purpose of a marijuana dispensary and at that time Mr. Geraci had had -- had been sanctioned in the California Business Professions Code expressly stated that -- that he could not own or operate a marijuana dispensary for a period of three years.

And, of course, in their motion for new trial, I'm not sure if you looked at it or not, but it's also -- there's this component that his interest in the property was not -- was not disclosed.

It was clear in the general application that -that his purpose, entire purpose in this was to own and operate a marijuana dispensary, but he failed to disclose it in violation of several San Diego municipal codes.

So when you tack on the non-disclosures for the -- for the San Diego Municipal Code, plus the California Business and Professions Code, the entire purpose of that contract is illegal. He wasn't allowed to operate a marijuana dispensary, but that's what the very purpose of the contract was.

THE COURT: All right. Very well.
The Court is going to adopt its tentative ruling in this matter. And in this case, it does not appear that the complaining party did not have an
opportunity to present its case in the court and protect himself from any fraud attempted by, in this case, the defendant.

The plaintiff was not precluded from presenting his illegality argument in court. There was a trial. There was a motion for a new trial. There was an appeal that was dismissed. So under these facts, the Court will again adopt its tentative. And that will be the order of the Court.

MR. CROSBY: Your Honor, may I request that the -- that the corresponding lawsuit be dismissed as well? There was a lawsuit filed and then this motion was filed. They say the exact same thing. The exact same thing.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from
Counsel. I don't have it before me, Mr. Crosby. Let me hear from plaintiff counsel. Do you agree to dismiss your lawsuit?

MR. SCHUBE: Your Honor, I will have to talk to my client about that. I'm not -- I'm not prepared to agree to it at this juncture. Certainly if I can reach out to my client and then reach out to opposing counsel and we can agree to dismiss it, or I think the opposing counsel can go ahead and, you know, move to have it dismissed after. And -- but I'm not prepared at this point to --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. CROSBY: What's going to happen is we'll
file a motion for judgment on the pleadings. The Court will be duty-bound to dismiss the case based upon the law of the case that has been established on this motion, on the exact same issue.

So I would implore Counsel to consider dismissing the action, retaining all their rights on appeal, if that's what they want to do, or reconsideration or whatever the heck else they're going to do on this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, your position's been known. You let in this case plaintiff's counsel know your position and hopefully the two of you can work it out.

MR. CROSBY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE CLERK: Your Honor, as to the ex parte application for the pro hac vice --

THE COURT: The ex parte application on the pro hac vice will be -- I'm granting the pro hac vice application --

MR. CROSBY: And --
THE COURT: -- as it's tentatively --
MR. CROSBY: I guess I do want to make clear for the record that there were some issues about whether the Supreme Court had vetted the pro hac vice candidate. I want to make it clear that I've waived any defects with respect to that, and I had no objection to Mr . Schube appearing today, and we permanently waive any claim or argument that that was inappropriate.

THE COURT: Thank you.
THE CLERK: Mr. Schube, can you please provide your Bar number?

THE COURT: Mr. Schube, what is your Bar
number?

MR. SCHUBE: 028849.
THE CLERK: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. CROSBY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You're welcome.
(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 9:36 a.m.)
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