1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
2	HARINDER K. KAPUR	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General JOSHUA B. EISENBERG	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL J. YUN (SBN 292587)	
5	ETHAN A. TURNER (SBN 294891) GREGORY M. CRIBBS (SBN 175642)	
6	Deputy Attorneys General 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
7	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 321-5793	
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Per Government Code § 6103, State of California is exempt from filing fee
9	Department of Cannabis Control	
10	OUDEDIOD COUDE OF TH	TE CTATE OF CALLEODNIA
11		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
13	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT –	CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE
14		1
15	DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS	Case No. 20CHCV00560
16	CONTROL,	DECLARATION OF DEPUTY
17	Plaintiff,	ATTORNEY GENERAL MICHAEL YUN IN SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENT OF
18	V.	CANNABIS CONTROL'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
19	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE	Date: December 5, 2022
20	MARKETING, LLC, MORE	Time: 08:30 A.M. Dept: F49
21	AGENCY, INC., RUBEN KACHIAN a.k.a. RUBEN CROSS, ARUTYUN	Judge: The Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler
22	BARSAMYAN, KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A. TOROYAN,	Trial Date: January 30, 2023
23	and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020
24	Defendants.	RESERVATION NO. 590950777530
25		1
26	///	
27	///	
28		
		1

2

3

9

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before the Courts of the State of California. I am one of the Deputy Attorneys General (hereinafter, "DAG") assigned to represent Plaintiff Department of Cannabis Control (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "DCC") in the above-entitled matter. I have personal knowledge of the information set forth herein below, based on my own personal knowledge and belief that the following evidence, declarations, exhibits and writings are true and correct. If called as a witness in this proceeding, I could truthfully testify to the following:
- As part of my assignment to this case as counsel for Plaintiff, I have reviewed and am 2. familiar with the following documents:
 - The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's Request for Admission to Defendant Ruben Kachian aka Ruben Cross, Set One," propounded by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 1.
 - The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's Request for Admission to Defendant Vertical Bliss, Inc., Set One," propounded by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 2.
 - The document entitled "Vertical Bliss, Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Set One," provided by then counsel of record Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey & Ellis, LLP (hereinafter, "BGR") on November 1, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 3.
 - The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's Request for Admission to Defendant Kushy Punch, Inc., Set One," propounded by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 4.

- e. The document entitled "Kushy Punch, Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Set One," provided by BGR on November 1, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 5.
- f. The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's Request for Admission to Defendant Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, Set One," propounded by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 6.
- g. The document entitled "Conglomerate Marketing, LLC's Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Set One," provided by BGR on November 1, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 7.
- h. The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's Request for Admission to Defendant More Agency, Inc., Set One," propounded by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 8.
- i. The document entitled "More Agency, Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Set One," provided by BGR on November 1, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 9.
- j. The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's Request for Admission to Defendant **Arutyun Barsamyan**, Set One," propounded by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 10.
- k. The document entitled "Arutyun Barsamyan's Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Set One," provided by BGR on November 1, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 11.
- The document entitled "Plaintiff California Department of Public Health's
 Request for Admission to Defendant Mike A. Toroyan, Set One," propounded
 by Plaintiff on June 14, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this
 declaration as Exhibit 12.

- m. The document entitled "Mike A. Toroyan's Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, Set One," provided by BGR on November 1, 2021. A true and correct copy is attached to this declaration as Exhibit 13.
- 3. On February 4, 2022, I caused to be served on Margarita Salazar (hereinafter, "Salazar"), counsel for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan, , the Notice of Motion, Motion and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Compel Further Responses to Interrogatories, Set One, Requests for Production, Set One, and Motion for Court to Deem Requests for Admissions, Set One Admitted (hereinafter, "Motions to Compel Further Responses") as to each of those six Defendants.¹
- 4. On February 4, 2022, this Office filed with this Court the Motion to Compel Further Responses as to Defendant Kushy Punch, Inc.
- 5. On February 7, 2022, this Office filed with this Court the Motions to Compel Further Responses as to Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan.
- 6. On March 16, 2022, the Motion to Compel Further Responses as to Defendant Vertical Bliss, Inc. was called for hearing in this Court. Salazar appeared by telephone and did not oppose Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses orally or in writing. The Court took the matter under submission, adopted its tentative ruling, and issued its final order in the form of a minute order. A true and correct copy of the Court Order, dated March 16, 2022 is attached hereto as Exhibit 14.
- 7. On May 26, 2022, five Motions to Compel Further Responses from Defendants Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan were called for hearing in this Court. Neither Salazar nor any of the five Defendants appeared in court. The motions were unopposed. The Court took the matters under

¹ See "Declaration of Service" attached to each of the six Motions to Compel Further Responses filed with this Court on February 4, 2022, as to Defendant Kushy Punch, Inc. and on February 7, 2022, as to Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan, which are part of this Court's record.

submission, adopted its tentative ruling, and issued its final order as to each motion in the form of a minute order. A true and correct copy of the Court Order, dated May 26, 2022 is attached hereto as Exhibit 15.

8. As of the date of this declaration, Salazar and all Defendants named in DCC's Motion for Summary Judgment have failed to provide the Court ordered further responses, including Plaintiff's Requests for Admissions. Neither have Defendants and Salazar provided any justification for the failure to provide further responses in violation of the Court's discovery orders.

This declaration is executed under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California this 21st day of September, 2022, at Los Angeles, California.

Michael J. Yun

1	ROB BONTA		
	Attorney General of California		
2	HARINDER KAPUR Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	ETHAN A. TURNER		
	Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 294891 PATRICK BOYNE		
5	Deputy Attorney General		
	State Bar No. 279667		
6	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
7	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
8	Telephone: (916) 210-7898 E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov		
٥	E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of	f .	
10	Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control	•	
$\lfloor 1 \rfloor$	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES	
	MODTH VALLEY DISTRICT	CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE	
13	NORTH VALLET DISTRICT -	CHAIS WORTH COURTHOUSE	
14			
15		1	
	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560	
16	PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA	
17	CANNADIS CONTROL,	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S	
	Plaintiffs,	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO	
18	X 7	DEFENDANT RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, SET ONE	
9	v.	ROBEN CROSS, SET ONE	
	ATEROPE CALL DATES AND ACTIONS	D	
20	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE	Dept: F49 Judge: Hon. Stephen P. Pfahler	
21	MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY,		
,	INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN	Trial Date: TBD	
22	CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN, KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A.	Action Filed: September 23, 2020	
23	TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,		
24	inclusive,		
24	Defendants.		
25		· ·	
26			
-0			
27		·	
28			
- [1	

PROPOUNDED BY:

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

RESPONSES BY:

RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS

SET:

ONE

Plaintiff California Department of Public Health requests that Defendant Ruben Kachian aka Ruben Cross answer under oath, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.010, et seq., the following Requests for Admission:

DEFINITIONS

- 1. "YOU" refers to Responding Party.
- 2. "OWNER" refers to; (1) person with an aggregate ownership interest of 20 percent or more in the person required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE or a licensee, unless the interest is solely a security, lien, or encumbrance; (2) the chief executive officer of a nonprofit or other entity; (3) a member of the board of directors of a nonprofit; (4) the trustee(s) and all persons who have control of the trust and/or the commercial cannabis business that is held in trust; (5) an individual entitled to a share of at least 20 percent of the profits of the commercial cannabis business; or (6) an individual who will be participating in the direction, control, or management of the person required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, including a general partner of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a partnership, a nonmember manager or managing member of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a limited liability company, and an officer or director of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a corporation, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (al), Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, section 5003, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, section 40102, subdivision (a).
- 3. "SUPERVISOR" refers to an individual having authority, in the interest of the party required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, to hire, transfer, suspend, lay off, recall, promote, discharge, assign, reward, or discipline other employees, or responsibility to direct them or to adjust their grievances, or effectively to recommend such action, if, in connection with the foregoing, the exercise of that authority is not of a merely routine or clerical

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
2	Admit that YOU were the Sole Director of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23
3	2018 to October 2, 2019.
4	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
5	Admit that YOU were the Chief Executive Officer of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period
6	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
8	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23,
9	2018 to October 2, 2019.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
11	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23
12	2018 to October 2, 2019.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
14	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the
15	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
16	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
17	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,
18	2018 to October 2, 2019.
19	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
20	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,
21	2018 to October 2, 2019.
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:
23	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the
24	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:
26	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23,
27	2018 to October 2, 2019.
28	

ĺ			
1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:		
2	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23		
3	2018 to October 2, 2019.		
4	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:		
5	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of More Agency, Inc. in the		
6	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:		
8	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Conglomerate Marketing, LLC in the period from		
9	April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:		
11	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Conglomerate Marketing, LLC in the period		
12	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:		
14	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Conglomerate Marketing,		
15	LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
16			
17 .	Dated: June 14, 2021 Respectfully Submitted,		
18	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
19	HARINDER KAPUR Senior Assistant Attorney General		
20			
21	Patrick Boyne		
22	PATRICK BOYNE		
23	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiffs California		
24	Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control		
25			
26	SA2020800072 RFA Kachian.docx		
27			
28			

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 14, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

K. Oleynik

Declarant

Signature

SA2020800072

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER KAPUR	
	Senior Assistant Attorney General	
3	ETHAN A. TURNER Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 294891 PATRICK BOYNE	
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279667	
6	1300 I Street, Suite 125	
7	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
8	Telephone: (916) 210-7898 E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov	
	E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department o Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control	T .
10	-	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
13	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT -	CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE
14		
15		· 1
16	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560
	CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA
17	Plaintiffs,	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO
18	v.	DEFENDANT VERTICAL BLISS, INC., SET ONE
19		Dept: F49
20 .	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY	Judge: Hon. Stephen P. Pfahler
21	PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY,	Trial Date: TBD
22	INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020
23	KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A. TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,	
	inclusive,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		1
		1

1	PROPOUNDED BY:	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH	
2	RESPONSES BY:	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.	
3	SET	ONE	
4			
5	Plaintiff California I	Department of Public Health requests that Defendant Vertical Bliss,	
6	Inc. answer under oath, purs	uant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.010, et seq., the	
7	following Requests for Admission:		
8		DEFINITIONS	
9	1. "YOU" refers to F	Responding Party, its agents, employees, and anyone else acting on its	
0	behalf.		
.1	2. "PREMISES" ref	ers to 8415 Canoga Avenue and 8427 Canoga Avenue, Canoga Park,	
.2	CA 91304.		
.3	3. "COMMERCIAI	CANNABIS LICENSE" refers to a state license issued pursuant to	
4	Division 10 of the Business	and Professions Code by the California Department of Public	
.5	Health's Manufactured Can	nabis Safety Branch or the Bureau of Cannabis Control permitting a	
.6	licensee to engage in COMN	MERCIAL CANNABIS ACTIVITY at a particular location or	
7	premises as identified in Bu	siness and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (y), and	
8	encompasses "A-License" a	nd "M-license," as identified in Business and Professions Code	
9	section 26001, subdivisions	(a) and (ae).	
20	4. "COMMERCIAL	CANNABIS ACTIVITY" refers to the cultivation, possession,	
21	manufacture, distribution, pr	rocessing, storing, laboratory testing, packaging, labeling,	
23	transportation, delivery, or s	ale of CANNABIS and CANNABIS PRODUCTS, as identified in	
.3 24	Business and Professions Co	ode section 26001, subdivision (k).	
25	5. "CANNABIS" re	fers to all parts of the plant Cannabis sativa Linnaeus, Cannabis	
26	indica, or Cannabis ruderalis	s, whether growing or not; the seeds thereof; the resin, whether crude	
27	or purified, extracted from a	ny part of the plant; and every compound, manufacture, salt,	
, /	derivative, mixture, or prepa	ration of the plant, its seeds, or resin; and also the separated resin,	

whether crude or purified, obtained from cannabis, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (f).

- 6. "CANNABIS PRODUCT(S)" refers to CANNABIS that has undergone a process whereby the plant material has been transformed into a concentrate, including, but not limited to, concentrated cannabis, or an edible or topical product containing cannabis or concentrated cannabis or other ingredients, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (i), Health and Safety Code, section 11018.1, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, section 40100, subdivision (j).
- 7. "COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY" refers to compounding, blending, extracting, infusing, or otherwise making or preparing a CANNABIS PRODUCT; the production, preparation, propagation, or compounding of CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS either directly or indirectly or by extraction methods, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis at a fixed location that packages or repackages CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCT or labels or relabels its container; to all aspects of the extraction process, infusion process, and packaging and labeling processes, including processing, preparing, holding, and storing of CANNABIS PRODUCTS; and also includes any processing, preparing, holding, or storing of components and ingredients of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivisions (ag) and (ah), and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivisions (dd) and (ee).
- 8. "COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY refers to the procurement, sale, and transport of CANNABIS and CANNABIS PRODUCTS between parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (r) and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (p).
- 9. "GROSS REVENUE" refers to the gross sales of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, and the revenue received from MANUFACTURING, packaging, labeling or otherwise handling CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS for parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE; and for a party engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING

1	COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period
2	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
3	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:
4	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
5	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,
6	2019.
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
8	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
9	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
10	October 2, 2019.
11	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
12	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
13	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
14	October 2, 2019.
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
16	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
17	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
18	October 2, 2019.
19	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
20	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
21	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
22	October 2, 2019.
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
24	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
25	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
26	October 2, 2019.
27	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
28	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
	4

1	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
2	October 2, 2019.		
3	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:		
4	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS		
5	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
6	October 2, 2019.		
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:		
8	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
9	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,		
10	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.		
11	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:		
12	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
13	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,		
14	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.		
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:		
16	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
17	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,		
18	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.		
19	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:		
20	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
21	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April		
22	22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.		
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:		
24	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
25	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,		
26	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.		
27	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:		
28	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		

1	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,		
2	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.		
3	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:		
4	Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in		
5	COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the		
6	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:		
8	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
9	at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019		
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:		
11	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
12	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
13	October 2, 2019.		
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:		
15	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
16	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
17	October 2, 2019.		
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:		
19	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
20	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
21	October 2, 2019.		
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:		
23	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
24	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
25	October 2, 2019.		
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:		
27	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
28	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

1	October 2, 2019.	
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:	
3	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY	
4	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to	
5	October 2, 2019.	
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:	
7	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY	
8	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October	
9	2, 2019.	
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:	
11	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL	
12	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April	
13	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.	
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:	
15	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL	
16	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April	
17	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.	
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:	
19	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL	
20	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April	
21	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.	
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31	
23	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL	
24	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April	
25	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.	
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:	
27	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL	
20 l	DISTRIBUTOR CANNARIS ACTIVITY at to as from the PREMISES in the naried from Anni	

1	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$20,000,000.		
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:		
3	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
4	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
5	April 22, 2019 was more than \$30,000,000.		
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:		
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
8	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
9	April 22, 2019 was more than \$50,000,000.		
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:		
11	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL		
12	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
13	April 22, 2019 was more than \$70,000,000.		
14			
15	Dated: June 14, 2021 Respectfully Submitted,		
16	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
17	HARINDER KAPUR Senior Assistant Attorney General		
18			
19	Patrick Boyns		
20	PATRICK BOYNE		
21	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiffs California		
22	Attorneys for Fiathlifts Cattfornia Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control		
23	of Canadols Control		
24	SA2020800072 RFA Vertical Bliss.docx		
25	RFA vertical Bijss.docx		
26			
27			
28			

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT VERTICAL BLISS, INC., SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 14, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

K. Oleynik

Declarant

Signature

SA2020800072

1 2	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899)	
	iwang@bgrfirm.com	
3	David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com	
4	801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017	
5	Telephone: (213) 725-9800 Facsimile: (213) 725-9808	
6	Attorneys for Defendants	
7	Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc.,	
8	Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
11		
12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC	Case No. 20CHCV00560
13	HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	Assigned for All Purposes to:
14	Plaintiffs,	Hon. Stephen Pfahler
15	VS.	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR
16	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH,	ADMISSION, SET ONE
	INC.; CONGLOMERATE MARKETING,	Judge: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
17	LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS; ARUTYUN	Action Filed: September 23, 2020
18	BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE A. TOROYAN; and DOES 1 through 30,	Trial Date: June 13, 2022
19	inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1844394.2

PROPOUNDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

RESPONDING PARTY: VERTICAL BLISS, INC.

SET NO.: ONE

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Responding Party's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, Responding Party's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.
- 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Propounding Party's requests for admissions, Responding Party does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Responding Party makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests for admissions, and responses thereto, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action.
- 3. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).
- 4. Counsel for Responding Party recently filed a motion to withdraw, and will be filing a subsequent motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this action for Responding Party. Counsel has not obtained any substantive answers to these RFAs, and consequently, no substantive responses can be produced at this time. Responding Party reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to these requests, and may assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, document request, and request for admission to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Rules and Orders of the Court.

1844394.2 -2- Case No. 20CHCV00560

2.

3.

6

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

4.

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27

28

26

2019.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

VERTICAL BLISS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE

Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission

Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission

to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege,

attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by

Responding Party occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is readily or more

accessible to Propounding Party from Propounding Party's own files; from documents or

information in Propounding Party's possession, custody, or control; or from documents or

such request for admission would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily

Responding Party in this action, all correspondence between the Responding Party and

requests of Propounding Party. All such information will not be produced.

information that Propounding Party previously produced to Responding Party. Responding to

expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests and interrogatory is substantially the

same or less for Propounding Party as for Responding Party. This objection encompasses, but is

not limited to, documents and answers to discovery previously produced by Propounding Party to

Propounding Party, all other information provided by Propounding Party to Responding Party, and

To the extent any of Propounding Party's requests for admissions seek answers that

all information produced by Responding Party to Propounding Party in response to discovery

include expert material, Responding Party objects to any such interrogatory as premature and

expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such

requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

Admit that YOU owned the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,

response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Court.

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that YOU leased the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that YOU operated a business at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period

2

3

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

given the broad scope of the request.

23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and

evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and

evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, at some point in the period from April

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and

evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and

evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and

evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and

4 5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

20

21

22

24

27

7

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

16

17

18

19

23

25

26

28

evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, at some point in the period from

April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1844394.2 -7- Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 527 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1844394.2 -9- Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1844394.2 -14- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$20,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$30,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$50,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1844394.2 -16- Case No. 20CHCV00560

1	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable	particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence	
2	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and		
3	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and		
4	evidence protected from disclosure by oth	er privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent	
5	given the broad scope of the request.		
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:		
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENUI	E YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR	
8	CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISE	ES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019	
9	was more than \$70,000,000.		
10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:		
11	Responding Party objects to this re	equest on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,	
12	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence		
13	protected from disclosure by the attorney	work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and	
14	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and		
15	evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent		
16	given the broad scope of the request.		
17			
18	DATED: November 1, 2021	Respectfully submitted,	
19		BROWNE GEORGE ROSS	
20		O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang	
21		David J. Carroll	
22			
23		By: /s/ David J. Carroll	
24		David J. Carroll Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy	
25	III	Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A.	
26		Toroyan	
27			

[ROB BONTA .	
	Attorney General of California	
	HARINDER KAPUR	
۱	Senior Assistant Attorney General ETHAN A. TURNER	•
	Deputy Attorney General	
	State Bar No. 294891	
.	PATRICK BOYNE	•
•	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279667	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125	
	P.O. Box 944255	
′ ∥	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
	Telephone: (916) 210-7898 E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov	
	E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov	
' ∥	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of	f .
	Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	COLINITY OF	LOS ANGELES
	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT -	CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE
Ì		
.		
:] .
	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560
	PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA
.	CANNADIS CONTROL,	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S
	Plaintiffs,	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO
		DEFENDANT KUSHY PUNCH, INC.,
I	V.	SET ONE
		Dept: F49
	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY	Judge: Hon. Stephen P. Pfahler
	PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE	The state of the s
	MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY, INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN	Trial Date: TBD Action Filed: September 23, 2020
	CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN,	Action Fried, September 23, 2020
	KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A.	
	TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,	
.	inclusive,	
	Defendants.	
; ∥		
	•	
۱		

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

section 26001, subdivision (f).

- 6. "CANNABIS PRODUCT(S)" refers to CANNABIS that has undergone a process whereby the plant material has been transformed into a concentrate, including, but not limited to, concentrated cannabis, or an edible or topical product containing cannabis or concentrated cannabis or other ingredients, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (i), Health and Safety Code section 11018.1, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (j).
- 7. "COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY" refers to compounding, blending, extracting, infusing, or otherwise making or preparing a CANNABIS PRODUCT; the production, preparation, propagation, or compounding of CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS either directly or indirectly or by extraction methods, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis at a fixed location that packages or repackages CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCT or labels or relabels its container; to all aspects of the extraction process, infusion process, and packaging and labeling processes, including processing, preparing, holding, and storing of CANNABIS PRODUCTS; and also includes any processing, preparing, holding, or storing of components and ingredients of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivisions (ag) and (ah), and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivisions (dd) and (ee).
- 8. "COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY refers to the procurement, sale, and transport of CANNABIS and CANNABIS PRODUCTS between parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (r) and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (p).
- 9. "GROSS REVENUE" refers to the gross sales of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, and the revenue received from MANUFACTURING, packaging, labeling or otherwise handling CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS for parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE; and for a party engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY that also engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS

1	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:
3	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
4	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,
5	2019.
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
7	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
8	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
9	October 2, 2019.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
11	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
12	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
13	October 2, 2019.
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
15	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
16	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
17	October 2, 2019.
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
19	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
20	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
21	October 2, 2019.
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
23	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
24	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
25	October 2, 2019.
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
27	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
28	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to

1	October 2, 2019.
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
3	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
4	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
5	October 2, 2019.
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
8	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
9	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:
11	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
12	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
13	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:
15	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
16	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
17	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:
19	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
20	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April
21	22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:
23	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
24	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
25	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:
27	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
28	MANUFACTURING CANNARIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23

1	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.		
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:		
3	Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in		
4	COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the		
5	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:		
7	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
8	at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.		
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:		
10	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
11	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
12	October 2, 2019.		
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:		
14	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
15	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
16	October 2, 2019.		
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:		
18	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
19	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
20	October 2, 2019.		
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:		
22	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
23	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
24	October 2, 2019.		
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:		
26	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY		
27	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to		
28	October 2, 2019.		

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:
2	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
3	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
4	October 2, 2019.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:
6	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
7	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October
8	2, 2019.
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:
10	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
11	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
12	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:
14	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
15	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
16	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:
18	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
19	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
20	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31
22	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
23	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
24	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:
26	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
27	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
, ,	22 2018 to April 22 2010 was more than \$20,000,000

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT KUSHY PUNCH, INC, SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury u	under the laws	of the State of Cali	fornia and the	United Sta	tes
of America the foregoing is true ar	nd correct and	that this declaration	was executed	d on June 14	4,
2021, at Sacramento, California.			1	1	

K. Oleynik	
Declarant	Signature

SA2020800072

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899) iwang@bgrfirm.com David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com 801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 725-9800 Facsimile: (213) 725-9808 Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency,	
8	Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
11		
12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC	Case No. 20CHCV00560
13	HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	Assigned for All Purposes to:
14	Plaintiffs,	Hon. Stephen Pfahler
15	VS.	DEFENDANT KUSHY PUNCH, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S
16	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH,	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE
17	INC.; CONGLOMERATE MARKETING,	Judge: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
	LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS; ARUTYUN	Action Filed: September 23, 2020
18	BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE A. TOROYAN; and DOES 1 through 30,	Trial Date: June 13, 2022
	inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1843466.2

1 PROPOUNDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH 2 **RESPONDING PARTY:** KUSHY PUNCH, INC. 3 SET NO.: **ONE** PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 4 5 1. Responding Party's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances 6 relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, 7 and are not a waiver of, Responding Party's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial. 8 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Propounding Party's 9 requests for admissions, Responding Party does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right 10 to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this 11 action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, 12 competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Responding Party makes the responses 13 and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests for admissions, and responses thereto, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action. 15 3. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or 16 correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or 17 privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 18 4. Counsel for Responding Party recently filed a motion to withdraw, and will be 19 filing a subsequent motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this action for Responding Party. 20 Counsel has not obtained any substantive answers to these RFAs, and consequently, no 21 substantive responses can be produced at this time. Responding Party reserves the right to 22 supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to these requests, and may assert 23 additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 24 **GENERAL OBJECTIONS** 25 1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, document request, and request for admission to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery 27 obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the

applicable Rules and Orders of the Court.

28

1843466.2

Case No. 20CHCV00560

- 2. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by Responding Party occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.
- 3. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is readily or more accessible to Propounding Party from Propounding Party's own files; from documents or information in Propounding Party's possession, custody, or control; or from documents or information that Propounding Party previously produced to Responding Party. Responding to such request for admission would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests and interrogatory is substantially the same or less for Propounding Party as for Responding Party. This objection encompasses, but is not limited to, documents and answers to discovery previously produced by Propounding Party to Responding Party in this action, all correspondence between the Responding Party and Propounding Party, all other information provided by Propounding Party to Responding Party, and all information produced by Responding Party to Propounding Party in response to discovery requests of Propounding Party. All such information will not be produced.
- 4. To the extent any of Propounding Party's requests for admissions seek answers that include expert material, Responding Party objects to any such interrogatory as premature and expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Court.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that YOU owned the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

Case No. 20CHCV00560

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request. **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Admit that YOU leased the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that YOU operated a business at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period 1843466.2 Case No. 20CHCV00560 from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1843466.2

-5
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to

October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1843466.2

-7
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1843466.2

29
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

1843466.2 -11- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least .300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

-12- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1843466.2

-14
Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$20,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$30,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$50,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1843466.2 -16- Case No. 20CHCV00560

1	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable	e particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence	
2	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and		
3	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and		
4	evidence protected from disclosure by oth	her privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent	
5	given the broad scope of the request.		
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35	:	
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENU	TE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR	
8	CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMIS	ES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019	
9	was more than \$70,000,000.		
10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADM	MISSION NO. 35:	
11	Responding Party objects to this 1	request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,	
12	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence		
13	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and		
14	evidence protected from disclosure by the	e attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and	
15	evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent		
16	given the broad scope of the request.		
17			
18	DATED: November 1, 2021	Respectfully submitted,	
19		BROWNE GEORGE ROSS	
20		O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang	
21		David J. Carroll	
22			
23		By: /s/ David J. Carroll	
24		David J. Carroll Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy	
25		Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A.	
26		Toroyan	
27			
28			

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER KAPUR		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ETHAN A. TURNER		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 294891		
5	PATRICK BOYNE Deputy Attorney General		
6	State Bar No. 279667 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
7	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	•	
8	Telephone: (916) 210-7898 E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov		
9	E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of	f	
10	Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
13	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT -	CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE	
14			
15		G AL ANGITCHIONES	
16	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560	
17	CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S	
18	Plaintiffs,	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT CONGLOMERATE	
19	V.	MARKETING, LLC, SET ONE	
20	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY	Dept: F49 Judge: Hon. Stephen P. Pfahler	
21	PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY,	Trial Date: TBD	
22	INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020	
23	KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A. TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,		
24	inclusive,		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			

section 26001, subdivision (f).

6. "CANNABIS PRODUCT(S)" refers to CANNABIS that has undergone a process whereby the plant material has been transformed into a concentrate, including, but not limited to, concentrated cannabis, or an edible or topical product containing cannabis or concentrated cannabis or other ingredients, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (i), Health and Safety Code section 11018.1, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (j).

7. "COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY" refers to compounding, blending, extracting, infusing, or otherwise making or preparing a CANNABIS PRODUCT; the production, preparation, propagation, or compounding of CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS either directly or indirectly or by extraction methods, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis at a fixed location that packages or repackages CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCT or labels or relabels its container; to all aspects of the extraction process, infusion process, and packaging and labeling processes, including processing, preparing, holding, and storing of CANNABIS PRODUCTS; and also includes any processing, preparing, holding, or storing of components and ingredients of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivisions (ag) and (ah), and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivisions (dd) and (ee).

- 8. "COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY refers to the procurement, sale, and transport of CANNABIS and CANNABIS PRODUCTS between parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (r) and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (p).
- 9. "GROSS REVENUE" refers to the gross sales of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, and the revenue received from MANUFACTURING, packaging, labeling or otherwise handling CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS for parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE; and for a party engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY that also engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY that sells or transfers CANNABIS PRODUCTS manufactured on premises in a non-

1	arm's length transaction, the gross sales or revenue for such transactions shall be based on the
2	product's fair market value if it were to be sold in an arm's length transaction at wholesale, as
3	identified in Cal. Code Regs. tit. 17 section 40152.
4	10. "MANUFACTURING" refers to compounding, blending, extracting, infusing, or
5	otherwise making or preparing a CANNABIS PRODUCT; the production, preparation,
6	propagation, or compounding of CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS either directly or
7	indirectly or by extraction methods, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a
8	combination of extraction and chemical synthesis at a fixed location that packages or repackages
9	CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCT or labels or relabels its container; to all aspects of the
0	extraction process, infusion process, and packaging and labeling processes, including processing,
1	preparing, holding, and storing of CANNABIS PRODUCTS; and also includes any processing,
2	preparing, holding, or storing of components and ingredients of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, as
.3	identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivisions (ag) and (ah), and Cal.
4	Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivisions (dd) and (ee).
.5	
.6	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:
.8	Admit that YOU owned the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,
9	2019.
20	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:
21	Admit that YOU leased the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,
22	2019.
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:
24	Admit that YOU operated a business at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018
25	to October 2, 2019.
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:
27	Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in
2	COMMERCIAL MANUEACTURING CANNARIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period

1	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:
3	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
4	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,
5	2019.
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
7	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
8	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
9	October 2, 2019.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
11	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
12	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
13	October 2, 2019.
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
15	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
16	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
17	October 2, 2019.
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
19	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
20	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
21	October 2, 2019.
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
23	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
24	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
25	October 2, 2019.
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
27	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
28	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 senarate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to

1	October 2, 2019.
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
3	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
4	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
5	October 2, 2019.
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
8	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
9	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:
11	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
12	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
13	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:
15	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
16	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
17	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:
19	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
20	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April
21	22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:
23	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
24	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
25	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:
27	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
28	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:
3	Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in
4	COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the
5	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:
7	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
8	at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:
10	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
11	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
12	October 2, 2019.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:
14	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
15	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
16	October 2, 2019.
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:
18	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
19	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
20	October 2, 2019.
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:
22	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
23	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
24	October 2, 2019.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:
26	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
27	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
28	October 2, 2019.

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:	•
2	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU re	eceived from COMMERCIAL
3	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the Pl	REMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to
4	April 22, 2019 was more than \$30,000,000.	
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:	
6	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU re	eceived from COMMERCIAL
7	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the Pl	REMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to
8	April 22, 2019 was more than \$50,000,000.	
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:	
10	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU re	eceived from COMMERCIAL
11	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the Pl	REMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to
12	April 22, 2019 was more than \$70,000,000.	
13		
14		D (0.11 0.1 to 1
15	Dated: June 14, 2021	Respectfully Submitted,
16	i I	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
17	,	HARINDER KAPUR Senior Assistant Attorney General
18		0+1/2
19).	Patrick Boyne
20):	PATRICK BOYNE
21		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiffs California
22		Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control
23		
24	SA2020800072 RFA Conglomerate Marketing.docx	
25		
26	i	
27		
28		

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC, SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 14, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

K. Oleynik	
Declarant	Signature

SA2020800072

- 1		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899) iwang@bgrfirm.com David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com 801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 725-9800 Facsimile: (213) 725-9808 Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency,	
8	Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF 1	LOS ANGELES
11		
12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS	Case No. 20CHCV00560
13	CONTROL,	Assigned for All Purposes to:
14	Plaintiffs,	Hon. Stephen Pfahler
15	VS.	DEFENDANT CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR
16	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH, INC.; CONGLOMERATE MARKETING,	ADMISSION, SET ONE
17	LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS; ARUTYUN	Judge: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
18 19	BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE A. TOROYAN; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020 Trial Date: June 13, 2022
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		

1843244.2

1 PROPOUNDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH 2 **RESPONDING PARTY:** CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC 3 SET NO.: ONE PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 4 5 1. Responding Party's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances 6 relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, 7 and are not a waiver of, Responding Party's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial. 8 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Propounding Party's 9 requests for admissions, Responding Party does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right 10 to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this 11 action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, 12 competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Responding Party makes the responses 13 and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests for admissions, and responses thereto, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action. 15 3. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or 16 correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or 17 privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 18 4. Counsel for Responding Party recently filed a motion to withdraw, and will be 19 filing a subsequent motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this action for Responding Party. 20 Counsel has not obtained any substantive answers to these RFAs, and consequently, no 21 substantive responses can be produced at this time. Responding Party reserves the right to 22 supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to these requests, and may assert 23 additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 24 **GENERAL OBJECTIONS** 25 1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, document request, and request for admission to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery 27 obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Rules and Orders of the Court. 28

Case No. 20CHCV00560

1843244.2

2.

3.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19 4.

20

21 22

24 25

23

26

27

28

2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, 1843244.2 Case No. 20CHCV00560

Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission

Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission

as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is readily or more

accessible to Propounding Party from Propounding Party's own files; from documents or

information in Propounding Party's possession, custody, or control; or from documents or

such request for admission would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily

Responding Party in this action, all correspondence between the Responding Party and

requests of Propounding Party. All such information will not be produced.

information that Propounding Party previously produced to Responding Party. Responding to

expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests and interrogatory is substantially the

same or less for Propounding Party as for Responding Party. This objection encompasses, but is

not limited to, documents and answers to discovery previously produced by Propounding Party to

Propounding Party, all other information provided by Propounding Party to Responding Party, and

To the extent any of Propounding Party's requests for admissions seek answers that

all information produced by Responding Party to Propounding Party in response to discovery

include expert material, Responding Party objects to any such interrogatory as premature and

expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such

requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental

Admit that YOU owned the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,

response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Court.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence 2 protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and 3 evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent 4 5 given the broad scope of the request. **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:** 6 7 Admit that YOU leased the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019. 8 9 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:** 10 Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Admit that YOU operated a business at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in

COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period

1843244.2

-4
Case No. 20CHCV00560

1 | from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1843244.2

-5
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to

October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1843244.2

-7
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1843244.2

29
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

1843244.2 -11- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1843244.2

-12
Case No. 20CHCV00560

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

28

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

-14- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$20,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$30,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$50,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1843244.2 -16- Case No. 20CHCV00560

1	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable	e particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence
2	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and	
3	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and	
4	evidence protected from disclosure by otl	her privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent
5	given the broad scope of the request.	
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:	
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENU	TE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR
8	CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019	
9	was more than \$70,000,000.	
10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADM	MISSION NO. 35:
11	Responding Party objects to this 1	request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,
12	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence	
13	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and	
14	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and	
15	evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent	
16	given the broad scope of the request.	
17		
18	DATED: November 1, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
19		BROWNE GEORGE ROSS
20		O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang
21		David J. Carroll
22		
23		By: /s/ David J. Carroll
24		David J. Carroll Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy
25		Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A.
26		Toroyan
27		
28		

		·
1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER KAPUR Sonion Assistant Attorney Conord	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ETHAN A. TURNER Deputy Attorney General	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 294891 PATRICK BOYNE	
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279667	
6	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
7	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7898	
8	E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control	f ·
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES
13	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT - (CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE
14		
15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560
16	PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA
17	Plaintiffs,	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO
18	v.	DEFENDANT MORE AGENCY, INC., SET ONE
19 20	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY	Dept: F49 Judge: Hon. Stephen P. Pfahler
21	PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY,	Trial Date: TBD
22	INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020
23	KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A. TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,	
24	inclusive,	
25	Defendants.	
26		•
27		
28		
"		1

section 26001, subdivision (f).

- 6. "CANNABIS PRODUCT(S)" refers to CANNABIS that has undergone a process whereby the plant material has been transformed into a concentrate, including, but not limited to, concentrated cannabis, or an edible or topical product containing cannabis or concentrated cannabis or other ingredients, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (i), Health and Safety Code section 11018.1, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (j).
- 7. "COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY" refers to compounding, blending, extracting, infusing, or otherwise making or preparing a CANNABIS PRODUCT; the production, preparation, propagation, or compounding of CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS either directly or indirectly or by extraction methods, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis at a fixed location that packages or repackages CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCT or labels or relabels its container; to all aspects of the extraction process, infusion process, and packaging and labeling processes, including processing, preparing, holding, and storing of CANNABIS PRODUCTS; and also includes any processing, preparing, holding, or storing of components and ingredients of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivisions (ag) and (ah), and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivisions (dd) and (ee).
- 8. "COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY refers to the procurement, sale, and transport of CANNABIS and CANNABIS PRODUCTS between parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (r) and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17 section 40100, subdivision (p).
- 9. "GROSS REVENUE" refers to the gross sales of CANNABIS PRODUCTS, and the revenue received from MANUFACTURING, packaging, labeling or otherwise handling CANNABIS or CANNABIS PRODUCTS for parties required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE; and for a party engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY that also engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY that sells or transfers CANNABIS PRODUCTS manufactured on premises in a non-

	·
1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:
2	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
-3	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2,
4 .	2019.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
6	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
7	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
8	October 2, 2019.
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
10	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
11	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
12	October 2, 2019.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
14	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
15	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
16	October 2, 2019.
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
18	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
19	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
20	October 2, 2019.
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
22	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
23	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
24	October 2, 2019.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
26	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
27	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
28	October 2, 2019.

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
2	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS
3	ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
4	October 2, 2019.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:
6	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
7	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
8	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:
10	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
11	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
12	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:
14	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
15	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
16	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:
18	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
19	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April
20	22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:
22	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
23	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
24	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:
26	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
27	MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23,
28	2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:
2	Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in
3	COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the
4	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:
6	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
7	at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
8	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:
9	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
10	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
11	October 2, 2019.
12	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:
13	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
14	at, to, or from PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
15	October 2, 2019.
16	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:
17	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
18	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
19	October 2, 2019.
20	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:
21	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
22	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
23	October 2, 2019.
24	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:
25	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
26	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
27	October 2, 2019.
28	

	·
1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:
2	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
3	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to
4	October 2, 2019.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:
6	Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY
7	at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October
8	2, 2019.
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:
10	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
11	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
12	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:
14	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
15	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
16	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:
18	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
19	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
20	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31
22	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
23	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
24	23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:
26	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL
27	DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April
28	23 2018 to April 22 2019 was more than \$20,000,000

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT MORE AGENCY, INC., SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 14, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

K. Oleynik

Declarant

Signature

SA20208000.72

BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899) iwang@bgrfirm.com David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com 801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 725-9800 Facsimile: (213) 725-9808 Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency,	
	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
	200 M OLLES
	C N 20CHCV005C0
HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS	Case No. 20CHCV00560
	Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT MORE AGENCY, INC.'S
VS.	RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE
VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH, INC · CONGLOMER ATE MARKETING	Judge: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN	
BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE	Action Filed: September 23, 2020 Trial Date: June 13, 2022
A. TOROYAN; and DOES I through 30, inclusive,	
Defendants.	
	O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899) iwang@bgrfirm.com David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com 801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 725-9800 Facsimile: (213) 725-9808 Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL, Plaintiffs, vs. VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH, INC.; CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS; ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE A. TOROYAN; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive,

1844322.2

1 PROPOUNDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH 2 **RESPONDING PARTY:** MORE AGENCY, INC. 3 SET NO.: **ONE** PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 4 5 1. Responding Party's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances 6 relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, 7 and are not a waiver of, Responding Party's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial. 8 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Propounding Party's 9 requests for admissions, Responding Party does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right 10 to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this 11 action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, 12 competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Responding Party makes the responses 13 and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests for admissions, and responses thereto, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action. 15 3. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or 16 correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or 17 privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 18 4. Counsel for Responding Party recently filed a motion to withdraw, and will be 19 filing a subsequent motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this action for Responding Party. 20 Counsel has not obtained any substantive answers to these RFAs, and consequently, no 21 substantive responses can be produced at this time. Responding Party reserves the right to 22 supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to these requests, and may assert 23 additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 24 **GENERAL OBJECTIONS** 25 1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, document request, and request for admission to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery 27 obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Rules and Orders of the Court. 28

1844322.2

Case No. 20CHCV00560

- 2. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by Responding Party occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.
- 3. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is readily or more accessible to Propounding Party from Propounding Party's own files; from documents or information in Propounding Party's possession, custody, or control; or from documents or information that Propounding Party previously produced to Responding Party. Responding to such request for admission would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests and interrogatory is substantially the same or less for Propounding Party as for Responding Party. This objection encompasses, but is not limited to, documents and answers to discovery previously produced by Propounding Party to Responding Party in this action, all correspondence between the Responding Party and Propounding Party, all other information provided by Propounding Party to Responding Party, and all information produced by Responding Party to Propounding Party in response to discovery requests of Propounding Party. All such information will not be produced.
- 4. To the extent any of Propounding Party's requests for admissions seek answers that include expert material, Responding Party objects to any such interrogatory as premature and expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Court.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that YOU owned the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

Case No. 20CHCV00560

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request. **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Admit that YOU leased the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that YOU operated a business at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period 1844322.2 Case No. 20CHCV00560 from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, at some point in the period from April
23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1844322.2

Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, at some point in the period from

April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS

ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, at some point in the period from

April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1844322.2

27
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES, on at least 527 separate days, at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$100,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$1,500,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$3,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent

1844322.2

29
Case No. 20CHCV00560

given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$5,000,001.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL MANUFACTURING CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 exceeded \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Admit that YOU did not have a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE to engage in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES at some point in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 50 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

1844322.2 -11- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from PREMISES, on at least 100 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 200 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 300 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

-12
Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 400 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on at least 500 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Admit that YOU engaged in COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES, on 527 separate days, in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$1,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$2,500,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$5,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 30:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$10,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 31:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at, to, or from the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$20,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 32:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$30,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 33:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019 was more than \$50,000,000.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 34:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1844322.2

-16
Case No. 20CHCV00560

1	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence		
2	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and		
3	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and		
4	evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent		
5	given the broad scope of the request.		
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:		
7	Admit that the GROSS REVENUE YOU received from COMMERCIAL DISTRIBUTOR		
8	CANNABIS ACTIVITY at the PREMISES in the period from April 23, 2018 to April 22, 2019		
9	was more than \$70,000,000.		
10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 35:		
11	Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,		
12	unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence		
13	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and		
14	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and		
15	evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent		
16	given the broad scope of the request.		
17			
18	DATED: November 1, 2021	Respectfully submitted,	
19		BROWNE GEORGE ROSS	
20		O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang	
21		David J. Carroll	
22			
23		By: /s/ David J. Carroll	
24		David J. Carroll Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy	
25		Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A.	
26		Toroyan	
27			
28			

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER KAPUR		
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ETHAN A. TURNER Denvity Attorney General		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 294891 PATRICK BOYNE		
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279667		
6	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
.7	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7898		
8	E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control	f	
10	There is an		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
13	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT -	CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE	
14			
15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560	
16	PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA	
17	Plaintiffs,	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO	
18	v.	DEFENDANT ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN, SET ONE	
19 20	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY	Dept: F49	
21	PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY,	Judge: Hon. Stephen P. Pfahler	
22	INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN,	Trial Date: TBD Action Filed: September 23, 2020	
23	KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A. TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,	riction i nod. September 23, 2020	
24	inclusive,		
25	Defendants.		
26		ı	
27	•		
28			

RESPONSES BY:

ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN

SET:

ONE

Plaintiff California Department of Public Health requests that Defendant Arutyun

Barsamyan answer under oath, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.010, et seq., the
following Requests for Admission:

DEFINITIONS

- 1. "YOU" refers to Responding Party.
- 2. "OWNER" refers to; (1) person with an aggregate ownership interest of 20 percent or more in the person required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE or a licensee, unless the interest is solely a security, lien, or encumbrance; (2) the chief executive officer of a nonprofit or other entity; (3) a member of the board of directors of a nonprofit; (4) the trustee(s) and all persons who have control of the trust and/or the commercial cannabis business that is held in trust; (5) an individual entitled to a share of at least 20 percent of the profits of the commercial cannabis business; or (6) an individual who will be participating in the direction, control, or management of the person required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, including a general partner of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a partnership, a nonmember manager or managing member of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a limited liability company, and an officer or director of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a corporation, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (al), Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, section 5003, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, section 40102, subdivision (a).
- 3. "SUPERVISOR" refers to an individual having authority, in the interest of the party required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, to hire, transfer, suspend, lay off, recall, promote, discharge, assign, reward, or discipline other employees, or responsibility to direct them or to adjust their grievances, or effectively to recommend such action, if, in connection with the foregoing, the exercise of that authority is not of a merely routine or clerical nature, but requires the use of independent judgment, as identified in Business and Professions

1	Code section 26051.5 subdivision (a)(5)(C).	
2	4. "FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER" refers to persons that hold an ownership interest	
3	of less than 20 percent in a commercial cannabis business, and are not otherwise specified as	
4	OWNERS, but not; (1) a bank or financial institution whose interest constitutes a loan; (2)	
5	persons whose only ownership interest in the commercial cannabis business is through an interest	
6	in a diversified mutual fund, blind trust, or similar instrument; (3) persons whose only financial	
7	interest is a security interest, lien, or encumbrance on the property that will be used by the	
8	commercial cannabis business; or (4) persons who hold a share of stock that is less than 5 percent	
9	of the total shares in a publicly traded company, as identified in Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, section	
10	40102, subdivision (a) and (b).	
11	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION	
12	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:	
13	Admit that YOU were the Chief Executive Officer of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period	
14	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.	
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:	
16	Admit that YOU were the Secretary of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,	
17	2018 to October 2, 2019.	
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:	
19	Admit that YOU were the Chief Financial Officer of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from	
20	April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.	
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:	
22	Admit that YOU were the Sole Director of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,	
23	2018 to October 2, 2019.	
24	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:	
25	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23,	
26	2018 to October 2, 2019.	
27	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:	
28	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23,	

1	2018 to October 2, 2019.
2	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
3	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the
4	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
6	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,
7	2018 to October 2, 2019.
8	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
9	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,
10	2018 to October 2, 2019.
11	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
12	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the
13	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
15	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23,
16	2018 to October 2, 2019.
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
18	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23,
19	2018 to October 2, 2019.
20	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:
21	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of More Agency, Inc. in the
22	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:
24	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period
25	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:
27	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period
28	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN, SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 14, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

K. Oleynik

Declarant

Signature

SA2020800072

1 2	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899) iwang@bgrfirm.com David L. Carrell (State Bar No. 201665)	
3	David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com 801 S. Figueron Street, Suite 2000	
5	801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 725-9800	
6	Facsimile: (213) 725-9808	
7	Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc.,	
8	Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11		
12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS	Case No. 20CHCV00560
13	CONTROL,	Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
14	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT ARUTYUN
15	VS.	BARSAMYAN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR
16	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH, INC.; CONGLOMERATE MARKETING,	ADMISSION, SET ONE
17 18	LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS; ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN, VEVIN HALLODAN, MIKE	Judge: Hon. Stephen Pfahler Action Filad: Sontomber 22, 2020
19	BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE A. TOROYAN; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020 Trial Date: June 13, 2022
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1842933.2

1 PROPOUNDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH 2 **RESPONDING PARTY:** ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN 3 SET NO.: **ONE** PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 4 5 1. Responding Party's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances 6 relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, 7 and are not a waiver of, Responding Party's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial. 8 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Propounding Party's 9 requests for admissions, Responding Party does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right 10 to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this 11 action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, 12 competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Responding Party makes the responses 13 and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests for admissions, and responses thereto, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action. 15 3. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or 16 correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or 17 privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 18 4. Counsel for Responding Party recently filed a motion to withdraw, and will be 19 filing a subsequent motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this action for Responding Party. 20 Counsel has not obtained any substantive answers to these RFAs, and consequently, no 21 substantive responses can be produced at this time. Responding Party reserves the right to 22 supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to these requests, and may assert 23 additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s). 24 **GENERAL OBJECTIONS** 25 1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, document request, and request for admission to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery 27 obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Rules and Orders of the Court. 28

Case No. 20CHCV00560

1842933.2

- 2. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by Responding Party occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.
- 3. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is readily or more accessible to Propounding Party from Propounding Party's own files; from documents or information in Propounding Party's possession, custody, or control; or from documents or information that Propounding Party previously produced to Responding Party. Responding to such request for admission would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests and interrogatory is substantially the same or less for Propounding Party as for Responding Party. This objection encompasses, but is not limited to, documents and answers to discovery previously produced by Propounding Party to Responding Party in this action, all correspondence between the Responding Party and Propounding Party, all other information provided by Propounding Party to Responding Party, and all information produced by Responding Party to Propounding Party in response to discovery requests of Propounding Party. All such information will not be produced.
- 4. To the extent any of Propounding Party's requests for admissions seek answers that include expert material, Responding Party objects to any such interrogatory as premature and expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Court.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that YOU were the Chief Executive Officer of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

-3
Case No. 20CHCV00560

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that YOU were the Secretary of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that YOU were the Chief Financial Officer of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

1842933.2 -4- Case No. 20CHCV00560

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that YOU were the Sole Director of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

1842933.2

Case No. 20CHCV00560

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23 24

26 27

28

25

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

1842933.2

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

Case No. 20CHCV00560

5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22 23

24 25 26

27

28

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

1842933.2

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Conglomerate Marketing, LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

1842933.2

-9
Case No. 20CHCV00560

1	protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and		
2	2 evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client	evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and	
3	3 evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party	s Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may	
4	4 seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by	by other privileges or doctrines that are	
5	5 not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.	not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.	
6	6		
7	7 DATED: November 1, 2021 Respectfully su	ıbmitted,	
8	BRO WILL GE		
9	9 O'BRIEN AND Ivy A. W	NAGUEY & ELLIS LLP	
10	David J.	Carroll	
11	11		
12		David J. Carroll	
13	1 3 11	avid J. Carroll Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy	
14	Punch, Inc., Co	onglomerate Marketing LLC, More	
15		Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A.	
16	16		
17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		

		'
1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California HARINDER KAPUR	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General ETHAN A. TURNER	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 294891	
5	PATRICK BOYNE Deputy Attorney General	•
6	State Bar No. 279667 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
	P.O. Box 944255	
7	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7898	
8	E-mail: Ethan.Turner@doj.ca.gov E-mail: Patrick.Boyne@doj.ca.gov	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control	
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
13	NORTH VALLEY DISTRICT - CHATSWORTH COURTHOUSE	
14		
15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	Case No. 20CHCV00560
16	PUBLIC HEALTH AND BUREAU OF	
17	CANNABIS CONTROL,	PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S
18	Plaintiffs,	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT MIKE A. TOROYAN, SET
19	v.	ONE
20	VERTICAL BLISS, INC., KUSHY	Dept: F49 Judge: Hon, Stephen P. Pfahler
21	PUNCH, INC., CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC, MORE AGENCY,	Trial Date: TBD
22	INC., RUBEN KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS, ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN,	Action Filed: September 23, 2020
23	KEVIN HALLORAN, MIKE A. TOROYAN, and DOES 1 through 30,	,
	inclusive,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

2 RESPONSES BY:

MIKE A. TOROYAN

SET

ONE

Plaintiff California Department of Public Health requests that Defendant Mike A. Toroyan answer under oath, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.010, et seq., the following Requests for Admission:

DEFINITIONS

8 1. "YOU"

1. "YOU" refers to Responding Party.

2. "OWNER" refers to; (1) person with an aggregate ownership interest of 20 percent or more in the person required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE or a licensee, unless the interest is solely a security, lien, or encumbrance; (2) the chief executive officer of a nonprofit or other entity; (3) a member of the board of directors of a nonprofit; (4) the trustee(s) and all persons who have control of the trust and/or the commercial cannabis business that is held in trust; (5) an individual entitled to a share of at least 20 percent of the profits of the commercial cannabis business; or (6) an individual who will be participating in the direction, control, or management of the person required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, including a general partner of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a partnership, a non-member manager or managing member of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a limited liability company, and an officer or director of a commercial cannabis business that is organized as a corporation, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 26001, subdivision (al), Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, section 5003, and Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, section 40102, subdivision (a).

3. "SUPERVISOR" refers to an individual having authority, in the interest of the party required to hold a COMMERCIAL CANNABIS LICENSE, to hire, transfer, suspend, lay off, recall, promote, discharge, assign, reward, or discipline other employees, or responsibility to direct them or to adjust their grievances, or effectively to recommend such action, if, in connection with the foregoing, the exercise of that authority is not of a merely routine or clerical nature, but requires the use of independent judgment, as identified in Business and Professions

	·
1	Code section 26051.5 subdivision (a)(5)(C).
2	4. "FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER" refers to persons that hold an ownership interest
3	of less than 20 percent in a commercial cannabis business, and are not otherwise specified as
4	OWNERS, but not; (1) a bank or financial institution whose interest constitutes a loan; (2)
5	persons whose only ownership interest in the commercial cannabis business is through an interest
6	in a diversified mutual fund, blind trust, or similar instrument; (3) persons whose only financial
7	interest is a security interest, lien, or encumbrance on the property that will be used by the
8	commercial cannabis business; or (4) persons who hold a share of stock that is less than 5 percent
9	of the total shares in a publicly traded company, as identified in Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, section
.0	40102, subdivision (a) and (b).
.1	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION
.2	
.3	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:
.4	Admit that YOU were the General Manager of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April
.5	23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
.6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:
.7	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23,
.8	2018 to October 2, 2019.
9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:
20	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23,
21	2018 to October 2, 2019.
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:
23	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the
24	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:
6	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,
27	2018 to October 2, 2019.
ا يور	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
2	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23,
3	2018 to October 2, 2019.
4	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
5	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the
6	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
8	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23,
9	2018 to October 2, 2019.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
11	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23,
12	2018 to October 2, 2019.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
14	Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of More Agency, Inc. in the
15	period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
16	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
17	Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period
18	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
19	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
20	Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period
21	from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.
22	
23	<i>///</i>
24	///
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26	
27	
28	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On June 14, 2021, I served the attached PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO DEFENDANT MIKE A. TOROYAN, SET ONE by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Ivy A. Wang David J. Carroll Browne, George, Ross, O'Brien, Annaguey, and Ellis LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 14, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

K. Oleynik

Declarant

Signature

SA2020800072

1	BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP	
2	Ivy A. Wang (State Bar No. 224899) iwang@bgrfirm.com	
3	David J. Carroll (State Bar No. 291665) dcarroll@bgrfirm.com	
4	801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90017	
5	Telephone: (213) 725-9800 Facsimile: (213) 725-9808	
6	Attorneys for Defendants	
7	Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy Punch, Inc.,	
8	Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
11		
12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH; and BUREAU OF CANNABIS	Case No. 20CHCV00560
13	CONTROL,	Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
14	Plaintiffs,	1
15	vs.	DEFENDANT MIKE A. TOROYAN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR A DMISSION SET ONE
16	VERTICAL BLISS, INC.; KUSHY PUNCH,	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE
17	INC.; CONGLOMERATE MARKETING, LLC; MORE AGENCY, INC.; RUBEN	Judge: Hon. Stephen Pfahler
18	KACHIAN aka RUBEN CROSS; ARUTYUN BARSAMYAN; KEVIN HALLORAN; MIKE	Action Filed: September 23, 2020 Trial Date: June 13, 2022
19	A. TOROYAN; and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		

1843536.2

PROPOUNDING PARTY: CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

RESPONDING PARTY: MIKE A. TOROYAN

SET NO.: ONE

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Responding Party's investigation and development of all facts and circumstances relating to this action is ongoing. These responses and objections are made without prejudice to, and are not a waiver of, Responding Party's right to rely on other facts or documents at trial.
- 2. By making the accompanying responses and objections to Propounding Party's requests for admissions, Responding Party does not waive, and hereby expressly reserves, its right to assert any and all objections as to the admissibility of such responses into evidence in this action, or in any other proceedings, on any and all grounds including, but not limited to, competency, relevancy, materiality, and privilege. Further, Responding Party makes the responses and objections herein without in any way implying that it considers the requests for admissions, and responses thereto, to be relevant or material to the subject matter of this action.
- 3. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all of the responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).
- 4. Counsel for Responding Party recently filed a motion to withdraw, and will be filing a subsequent motion to withdraw as counsel of record in this action for Responding Party. Counsel has not obtained any substantive answers to these RFAs, and consequently, no substantive responses can be produced at this time. Responding Party reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to these requests, and may assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, document request, and request for admission to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Rules and Orders of the Court.

843536.2 -2- Case No. 20CHCV00560

2. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission to the extent that it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege. Should any such disclosure by Responding Party occur, it is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege.

- 3. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and request for admission as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information that is readily or more accessible to Propounding Party from Propounding Party's own files; from documents or information in Propounding Party's possession, custody, or control; or from documents or information that Propounding Party previously produced to Responding Party. Responding to such request for admission would be oppressive, unduly burdensome, and unnecessarily expensive, and the burden of responding to such requests and interrogatory is substantially the same or less for Propounding Party as for Responding Party. This objection encompasses, but is not limited to, documents and answers to discovery previously produced by Propounding Party to Responding Party in this action, all correspondence between the Responding Party and Propounding Party, all other information provided by Propounding Party to Responding Party, and all information produced by Responding Party to Propounding Party in response to discovery requests of Propounding Party. All such information will not be produced.
- 4. To the extent any of Propounding Party's requests for admissions seek answers that include expert material, Responding Party objects to any such interrogatory as premature and expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any or all responses to such requests, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s) in accordance with the time period for exchanging expert reports set by the Court.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that YOU were the General Manager of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad,

1843536.2 -3- Case No. 20CHCV00560

1

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

13

15

16 17

18

20

21

19

22

24 25 26

27

unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Vertical Bliss, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

843536.2 -5- Case No. 20CHCV00560

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Kushy Punch, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of More Agency, Inc. in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that YOU were an OWNER of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence

1843536.2 -7- Case No. 20CHCV00560

protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that YOU were a SUPERVISOR of Conglomerate Management, LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Admit that YOU were a FINANCIAL INTEREST HOLDER of Conglomerate Marketing, LLC in the period from April 23, 2018 to October 2, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Responding Party objects to this request on the following grounds: (1) it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and lacks reasonable particularity; (2) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney work product doctrine; (3) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege; (4) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by Responding Party's Fifth-Amendment privilege; (5) it may seek documents and evidence protected from disclosure by other privileges or doctrines that are not readily apparent given the broad scope of the request.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

1		
2	DATED: November 1, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
3		BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP
4		Ivy A. Wang
5		David J. Carroll
6		
7		By: /s/ David J. Carroll David J. Carroll
8		Attorneys for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc., Kushy
9		Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing LLC, More Agency, Inc., Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A.
10 11		Toroyan
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. March 16, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler CSR: None Judicial Assistant: Adrina Chebishyan ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): ETHAN A. TURNER (Telephonic) by Michael Yun

For Defendant(s): Margarita Salazar (Telephonic)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses

The matter is called for hearing.

The Court reads and considers the moving papers in support of Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses.

After oral argument, the Court takes the matter under submission.

LATER:

The Court adopts its tentative ruling as its final ruling as follows:

COMPEL

MOVING PARTY: Plaintiff, California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control

RESPONDING PARTY: Unopposed/Defendant, Vertical Bliss

RULING: Granted

Plaintiff California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control moves to compel further responses to Form Interrogatories (set one), Request for Production of Documents (set one), and Request for Admissions (set one) from Defendant Vertical Bliss. Plaintiff served Defendant on June 14, 2021. [Declaration of Ethan Turner, ¶ 2, Exhibits 1-3.] Following a number of extensions, responses consisting of objections were served on November 1, 2021. [Id., Ex. 9-11.] The subject motion comes after numerous extensions for supplemental responses.

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. March 16, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler CSR: None Judicial Assistant: Adrina Chebishyan ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

All discovery contain the same objections: overbroad and burdensome, attorney client and work product privilege, and a reservation of unstated objections.

The burdensome objections lack merit. Objecting parties must file evidence detailing the amount of work involved, in order to support objections based upon burden and oppression. (West Pico Furniture Co. v. Sup. Ct. (1961) 56 Cal.2d 407, 417.) "[S]ome burden is inherent in all demands for discovery. The objection of burden is valid only when that burden is demonstrated to result in injustice." (Id. at p. 418.) The overbroad objection also lacks merit. (Obregon v. Superior Court (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 424, 431.)

The attorney-client privilege attaches to a confidential communication between the attorney and the client and bars discovery of the communication irrespective of whether it includes unprivileged material." (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 734.) The transmission of information between third parties and counsel also maintain attorney client privilege protection, if the communication is in further interest of the client. (Evid. Code, § 952.) "Once that party establishes facts necessary to support a prima facie claim of privilege, the communication is presumed to have been made in confidence and the opponent of the claim of privilege has the burden of proof to establish the communication was not confidential or that the privilege does not for other reasons apply." (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court, supra, 47 Cal.4th at p. 733.)

The work product privilege applies where the sought after documents contains Defendant's "impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal research or theories," the information is protected by the work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030(c).) "An objecting party may be entitled to protection if it can make a preliminary or foundational showing that answering the interrogatory would reveal the attorney's tactics, impressions, or evaluation of the case, or would result in opposing counsel taking undue advantage of the attorney's industry or efforts"].) (Coito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 502.) Notes, statements, and impressions of the case are protected by the work product doctrine. A list of potential witnesses is not work product. (Coito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 495; Nacht & Lewis Architects, Inc. v. Superior Court (1996) 47 Cal.App.4th 214, 217–218.)

The burden of establishing that a particular matter is privileged is on the party asserting the privilege." (American Airlines, Inc. v. Superior Court (2003) 114 Cal.App.4th 881, 887.) The responses provide no support for the privilege objections.

The privilege objections and lack of opposition to the motion supports a finding of meritless

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. March 16, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler CSR: None Judicial Assistant: Adrina Chebishyan ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

objections, even if Defendant preserved their right to object with timely responses. Regardless, if Defendant is actually withholding any documents on the basis of privilege, Defendant is ordered to produce a privilege log. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2031.240, subd. (c).) Defendant are additionally ordered to produce further responses in compliance with Code of Civil Procedure sections 2030.220, (subd. a-b), 2031.220-230, and 2033.220 within 20 days of this order.

Sanctions in the amount of \$250 joint and severally imposed against both counsel and defendant Vertical Bliss, and payable within 30 days of this order. (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 2030.300, subd. (d), 2031.310, subd. (h), and 2033.290, subd. (d).)

Trial date of 01-30-2023 remains set.

The Court notes that the following future hearings are all to be heard on 05/26/2022, with the oppositions and replies to be filed as the original hearing dates set:

Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses scheduled for 05/19/2022, Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses scheduled for 05/23/2022, Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses scheduled for 05/24/2022, Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses scheduled for 05/25/2022,

Clerk is to give notice.

Certificate of Mailing is attached.

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FILED** COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Superior Court of California Chatsworth Courthouse County of Los Angeles 9425 Penfield Avenue, Chatsworth, CA 91311 03/16/2022 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH et al A. Chebishyan Deputy DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: VERTICAL BLISS, INC. et al. CASE NUMBER: **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 20CHCV00560

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Minute Order (Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses) of 03/16/2022 upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Chatsworth, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

California Department of Cannabis Control

California Department of Cannabis Control (Plaintiff - Substituted/Consolidated)

Margarita Salazar Law Offices of Margarita Salazar, APLC 470 Third Avenue Chula Vista, CA 91910

lan Andrew Stewart Wilson Elser 555 S Flower St Ste 2900 Los Angeles, CA 90071

ETHAN A. TURNER Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244

Dated: 03/17/2022

Ivy A Wang BROWNE GEORGE ROSS O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: A. Chebishyan Deputy Clerk

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. May 26, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler CSR: None Judicial Assistant: Adrina Chebishyan ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): ETHAN A. TURNER (Telephonic) by Michael Yun

For Defendant(s): No Appearances

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses; Hearing on Motion to Compel Discovery (not "Further Discovery") to Request for Production, Set One - Ruben Kachian; Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses; Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses; Hearing on Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses

The matters are called for hearing.

The Court reads and considers the moving papers in support of the motion.

After Court and Counsel confer, and Court takes the matters under submission:

LATER:

The Court rules as follows:

COMPEL

MOVING PARTY: Plaintiff, California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control

RESPONDING PARTY: Unopposed/Defendants, Kushy Punch, Inc., et al.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Motion to Compel Further Responses to Form Interrogatories (set one), Request for Production of Documents (set one), and Request for Admissions (set one)

RULING: Granted

Plaintiff California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis Control brings a series

Minute Order

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. May 26, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler CSR: None Judicial Assistant: Adrina Chebishyan ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

of motions to compel further responses to Form Interrogatories (set one), Request for Production of Documents, and Request for Admissions (set one) from Defendants Kushy Punch, Inc., Vertical Bliss, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, More Agency, Inc., Artyun Barsamyan, and Mike Toroyan.

Plaintiff served Defendants on June 14, 2021. [Declaration of Ethan Turner, ¶ 2, Exhibits 1-3.] Following a number of extensions, responses consisting of objections were served on November 1, 2021. [Id., Ex. 9-11.] The subject motion comes after numerous extensions for supplemental responses. All discovery responses contain the same objections: overbroad and burdensome, attorney client and work product privilege, and a reservation of unstated objections.

The burdensome objections lack merit. Objecting parties must file evidence detailing the amount of work involved, in order to support objections based upon burden and oppression. (West Pico Furniture Co. v. Sup. Ct. (1961) 56 Cal.2d 407, 417.) "[S]ome burden is inherent in all demands for discovery. The objection of burden is valid only when that burden is demonstrated to result in injustice." (Id. at p. 418.) The overbroad objection also lacks merit. (Obregon v. Superior Court (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 424, 431.)

The attorney-client privilege attaches to a confidential communication between the attorney and the client and bars discovery of the communication irrespective of whether it includes unprivileged material." (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 734.) The transmission of information between third parties and counsel also maintain attorney client privilege protection, if the communication is in further interest of the client. (Evid. Code, § 952.) "Once that party establishes facts necessary to support a prima facie claim of privilege, the communication is presumed to have been made in confidence and the opponent of the claim of privilege has the burden of proof to establish the communication was not confidential or that the privilege does not for other reasons apply." (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court, supra, 47 Cal.4th at p. 733.)

The work product privilege applies where the sought after documents contains Defendant's "impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal research or theories," the information is protected by the work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030(c).) "An objecting party may be entitled to protection if it can make a preliminary or foundational showing that answering the interrogatory would reveal the attorney's tactics, impressions, or evaluation of the case, or would result in opposing counsel taking undue advantage of the attorney's industry or efforts"].) (Coito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 502.) Notes, statements, and impressions of the case are protected by the work product doctrine. A list of potential witnesses is not work product. (Coito

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. May 26, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. Pfahler CSR: None Judicial Assistant: Adrina Chebishyan ERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 495; Nacht & Lewis Architects, Inc. v. Superior Court (1996) 47 Cal.App.4th 214, 217–218.)

The burden of establishing that a particular matter is privileged is on the party asserting the privilege." (American Airlines, Inc. v. Superior Court (2003) 114 Cal.App.4th 881, 887.) The responses provide no support for the privilege objections. Regardless, if Defendants are actually withholding any documents on the basis of privilege, Defendants are ordered to produce a privilege log. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2031.240, subd. (c).) Defendants are additionally ordered to produce further responses in compliance with Code of Civil Procedure sections 2030.220, (subd. a-b), 2031.220-230, and 2033.220 within 20 days of this order.

The objections and lack of opposition to the motion otherwise supports a finding of meritless objections to the challenged items. Defendants are additionally ordered to produce further responses in compliance with Code of Civil Procedure sections 2030.220, (subd. a-b), 2031.220-230, and 2033.220 within 20 days of this order.

Sanctions in the amount of \$250 per defendant joint and severally imposed against each individual defendants Kushy Punch, Inc, Vertical Bliss, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing, LLC, More Agency, Inc., Artyun Barsamyan, and Mike Toroyan, and their respective attorneys of record. Payable within 30 days of this order. (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 2030.300, subd. (d), 2031.310, subd. (h), and 2033.290, subd. (d).) Total sanctions of \$1,500—six defendants @\$250/motion.

Motions to compel set for June 7 and 10, 2022.

COMPEL

MOVING PARTY: Plaintiff, California Department of Public Health and Bureau of Cannabis

Control

RESPONDING PARTY: Unopposed/Defendant, Ruben Kachian aka Ruben Cross

RELIEF REOUESTED

Motion to Compel Responses to Request for Production of Documents (set one)

RULING: Off-Calendar

The motion was filed and served on May 5, 2022, which is exactly 15 court days from the date of the hearing. (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 12, 12a, 12b, 12c, 1005, subd. (b).) The motion is therefore

Minute Order Page 3 of 4

Civil Division

North Valley District, Chatsworth Courthouse, Department F49

20CHCV00560 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, et al. vs VERTICAL BLISS, INC., et al. May 26, 2022 8:30 AM

Judge: Honorable Stephen P. PfahlerCSR: NoneJudicial Assistant: Adrina ChebishyanERM: None

Courtroom Assistant: Patricia Aranda Deputy Sheriff: None

untimely.

Motion to compel set for June 7, 2022.

Clerk is to give notice.

Certificate of Mailing is attached.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. Mail and E-Mail

Case Name: California Department of Public Health, et al. v. Vertical Bliss, Inc., et al.

Case No.: **20CHCV00560**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On <u>September 21, 2022</u>, I served the attached <u>DECLARATION OF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL MICHAEL YUN IN SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</u> by transmitting a true copy via electronic mail. In addition, I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, in the internal mail system of the Office of the Attorney General, addressed as follows:

Party	Address
Margarita Salazar, Esq.	Margarita Salazar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendants Vertical Bliss, Inc.,	Law Offices of Margarita Salazar
Kushy Punch, Inc., Conglomerate Marketing,	470 Third Avenue, Ste. 9
LLC., More Agency, Inc., Ruben Kachian,	Chula Vista, CA 91910-4663
Arutyun Barsamyan, and Mike A. Toroyan	E-mail Address:
	margarita@msalazarlaw.com
Ian Stewart	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman &
Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP	Dicker LLP
Attorney for Defendant Kevin Halloran	555 South Flower St. Ste. 2900
	Los Angeles, Ca 90071
	E-mail Address:
	ian.stewart@wilsonelser.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on **September 21, 2022**, at San Diego, California.

Cecilia Apodaca	Cealialista
Declarant	Signature
SA2020800072 / Yun Dec pos.docx	