| 1 2 3 4 5 | | ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 06/24/2024 at 02:06:00 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By E- Filing,Deputy Clerk RT OF CALIFORNIA EGO, CENTRAL DIVISION |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| 6 7 | DARRYL COTTON, an individual, | Case No.: 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL |
| 8 9 | Plaintiff v. | NOTICE OF ERRATA RE PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE VOID JUDGMENT |
| 10 11 | LAWRENCE (A/K/A LARRY) GERACI, an individual | Hearing Date: July 12, 2024 Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m. |
| 12 13 | Defendant. | Judge: Honorable James Mangione Courtroom: C-75 Related Case: 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL |
| 13 | | |

To all Parties and their Respective Attorneys of Record: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that as a result of an inadvertent error, Plaintiff, Darryl Cotton's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Void Judgment, filed on June 24, 2024, contains an error. Beginning at page 8, line 25, the Reply quotes the Final Statement of Reasons and Updated Informative Digest published by the then-called Bureau of Cannabis Control (BCC), California Code of Regulations Title 16, Division 42 Medicinal and Adult-Use Cannabis Regulation at Appendix C, which provides the regulations being promulgated by the BCC, now called the Department of Cannabis Control (DCC), and the BCC's comments to the public's comments on the regulations.

The Reply quotes just page 65 of Appendix C and states: "If an owner does not qualify for licensure under § 26057 of the Business and Professions Code, the Bureau must [emphasis added] deny that."

The sentence is incomplete and should read as follows from the DCC's response:

Code, the Bureau must deny that application for licensure." (Exhibit C (BCC Final Statement of Reasons) Appendix C, at Pg's. 65-66.) The full final Statement of Reasons can be found online at: https://151farmers.org/wpcontent/uploads/2018/07/2018-BCC-Final-Statement-of-Reasons-for-Permanent-Regulations.pdf On June 24, 2024, Plaintiff, Darryl Cotton, notified all parties of the error via OneLegal file upload to the case docket. DATED: June 24, 2024. Respectfully Submitted, Darryl Cotton, pro se Plaintiff

"If an owner does not qualify for licensure under § 26057 of the Business and Professions

Plaintiff's Notice of Errata re Opposition Reply