application, which I received and reviewed on February 20, 2019 at approximately 10:00 a.m.

- 2. Defendant Malan and his counsel falsely and improperly attempt to place blame on this Court's Receiver for the partnership collapse and business failures of Defendant Malan and the myriad of other parties and partners involved in the above-entitled debacle.
- 3. My counsel and I successfully corralled all parties and counsel for a 10-hour mediation session on February 19, 2019. Notwithstanding our positive outlook for a partial resolution in this matter, it will not surprise the Court to learn that the mediation was unfruitful.
- 4. The receivership estate has no funds. I have not been paid for any of my services in this matter from October 1, 2018 to the present. My counsel has not been paid for any of his services in this matter from October 1, 2018 to the present. Forensic accountant Brian Brinig has not been paid for any of his services in this matter from October 1, 2018 to the present.
- 5. The delinquencies and debts belonging to the parties in this matter, their businesses and their properties are not new emergencies. Per my request, my counsel sent an email to all counsel on December 19, 2018 confirming that the receivership estate had no funds, the delinquencies are mounting and the parties had effectively tied the hands of the receivership by filing appeals and taking the position that the Court and Receiver were unable to modify the Receivership Order to, for example, install a new third party operator at the Balboa Dispensary after Defendant Malan's chosen operator (Far West) abandoned the dispensary and locked the doors without notice given to the Receiver in November 2018. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of my attorney Richardson Griswold's email to all counsel on December 19, 2018.
- 6. I remain in contact with the mortgage lender for the Balboa properties regarding the delinquencies. We remain hopeful we can postpone a foreclosure sale, negotiate a payment plan and/or secure a new financing agreement that would entail the mortgage lender providing additional funding to the receivership on new terms.
- 7. I am in contact with multiple interested third party operators for the Balboa Ave Dispensary. Now that the mediation proved unproductive, we plan to proceed with seeking the stipulation of the parties to install a new third party operator.

- 8. I am in contact with a receivership lender who has committed to funding \$500,000 via a Court-authorized receivership certificate. However, this outside funding would need to be granted lien priority status above the existing Balboa mortgages. This would require a noticed motion with proper notice and opportunity for opposition by the existing mortgage lender. Again, now that the mediation proved unproductive, we will proceed with exploring this route.
- 9. Defendant Malan states the commercial owner's association is not being paid and is proceeding with the revocation of the use variance at the Balboa Ave site. This is false. Plaintiff Razuki agreed to a payment plan with the commercial owner's association, and in exchange, the commercial owner's association withdrew their efforts to revoke the use variance. Defendant Malan and his counsel are well aware of this fact.
- 10. To my knowledge, Defendant Malan is represented in this matter by both the Austin Legal Group and G10 Gallupo Law. Attorney Gina Austin of Austin Legal Group recently emailed invoices addressed to "Ninus Malan General" that total \$180,225.00. Ms. Austin explained in her email that "[m]ost of the expenses relate to the various litigation matters." It is unclear whether Defendant Malan's *ex parte* application, filed by G10 Galuppo Law, is seeking payment of cocounsel Austin Legal Group's unpaid attorney's fees for their joint client Mr. Malan. In any event, as stated above and confirmed with all parties, the receivership estate has no funds—even if it did consider the \$180,225.00 to be a valid receivership expense.
- 11. Defendant Malan incorrectly asserts that "the receiver has not kept the business open." To the contrary, the Balboa Ave Dispensary is closed because Defendant Malan's chosen operator (Far West) abandoned the business with no notice in November 2018. Far West and its part-owner Justus Henkes were confirmed as the operator and accountant at the Balboa Ave Dispensary over the objection of the receiver. This was confirmed in the September 26, 2018 Preliminary Injunction/Receivership Confirmation Order. Of note, I have confirmed accountant Justus Henkes has ceased to provide any services to the Balboa Ave Dispensary or the Mira Este facility.
- 12. I am consulting with experts regarding cannabis regulatory issues, specifically related to the current closed-status of the Balboa Ave Dispensary. This Court ordered me to utilize the Austin

Legal Group as my cannabis industry consultant. As the Court will recall, I had desired to use a different industry consultant. Austin Legal Group argued in Court that it should remain as the receivership consultant. Earlier this month, attorney Gina Austin of the Austin Legal Group notified me by email that it would not assist with any cannabis consulting to the receivership given its unpaid legal bills. As this Court is aware, the receivership estate has no funds. Therefore, I will do my best to secure consulting services from industry experts without any promise of payment to such consultants.

13. I will reserve opinion as to whether this Court should increase the Plaintiff's preliminary injunction bond. The purpose of this response declaration was solely to correct false statements and mischaracterizations made by Defendant Malan and his counsel.

I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 20th day of February 2019 at San Diego, California.

Michael Essary

Court Appointed Receiver



## Richardson Griswold <rgriswold@griswoldlawca.com>

# Razuki/Malan: status of receivership

Richardson Griswold <rgriswold@griswoldlawca.com>

Wed, Dec 19, 2018 at 2:09 PM

To: James Joseph <james@elialaw.com>, Maura Griffin <MG@mauragriffinlaw.com>, Steven Elia <steve@elialaw.com>, "Salvatore J. Zimmitti" <szimmitti@nelsonhardiman.com>, Robert Fuller <rfuller@nelsonhardiman.com>, Lou Galuppo <lgaluppo@galuppolaw.com>, "Daniel T. Watts (dwatts@galuppolaw.com)" <dwatts@galuppolaw.com>, charles goria <chasgoria@gmail.com>, "Leetham, Tamara" <tamara@austinlegalgroup.com>, "Austin, Gina" <gaustin@austinlegalgroup.com>, Matthew Dart <matt@dartlawfirm.com>, Matt Mahoney <mahoney@wmalawfirm.com> Cc: Mike <Calsur@aol.com>, Brian Brinig <BPB@btzforensics.com>, Jamie Eberhardt <jeberhardt@griswoldlawca.com>

## Counsel,

The receivership estate has no money. We are all aware that the debts, outstanding invoices, delinquent taxes, breached settlement agreements, etc. continue to mount. Further, some parties take the position that regardless of appeal bonds being posted, the Court is prohibited from "modifying" the existing receivership order (i.e. Court is prohibited from authorizing the receiver to change the operator at Balboa based on existing language of the receivership order) pending the appeal. Even further, we are now in limbo as to whether all appealing parties intend to post the Court-set appeal bond amounts, and if so, when.

I respect and understand the fact that you and your clients are deeply engaged in your respective litigation strategies in this matter. At the same time, I am also encouraged by the fact that some of you and your clients are also engaged in creative-solution/settlement discussions. We support that.

But to be blunt, our hands are currently tied from an operational standpoint, and further, the receiver, receiver's counsel and receiver's appointed forensic accountant have not been paid in months.

We would like to see Balboa up and running again. We continue to be open to discussing with any party or counsel a productive approach to re-opening Balboa's doors and potentially working with an operations team willing to infuse capital at the outset.

We look forward to hearing from you all.

Thanks, Red

Richardson C. Griswold, Esq. Griswold Law, APC 444 S. Cedros Ave., Suite 250 Solana Beach, CA 92075 Tel: 858.481.1300 Fax: 888.624.9177 rgriswold@griswoldlawca.com www.griswoldlawsandiego.com

## PROOF OF SERVICE

1 2 Salam Razuki v. Ninus Malan, et al. San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00034229-CU-BC-CTL 3 I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and 4 5

am not a party to the within action. I am employed by Griswold Law, APC and my business address is 444 S. Cedros Avenue, Suite 250, Solana Beach, California 92075.

On February 20, 2019, I served the documents described as RECEIVER MICHAEL ESSARY'S DECLARATION IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT MALAN'S EX PARTE **APPLICATION** on each interested party, as follows:

## SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

(VIA MAIL) I placed a true and correct copy(ies) of the foregoing document in a sealed envelope(s) addressed to each interested party as set forth above. I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be deposited with the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.

(VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to each interested party. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery in the overnight delivery carrier depository at Solana Beach, California to ensure next day delivery.

X (VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused true and correct copy(ies) of the foregoing document(s) to be transmitted via One Legal e-service to each interested party at the electronic service addresses listed on the attached service list.

(BY FACSIMILE) I transmitted a true and correct copy(ies) of the foregoing documents via facsimile.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 20, 2019, in Solana Beach, California.

Katu Westerdorf
Katie Westendorf

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

1	SERVICE LIST
	Counsel for Plaintiff Salam Razuki
2	Steven A. Elia, Esq. Maura Griffin, Esq.
3	LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN A. ELIA, APC
	2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 207, San Diego, CA 92108
4	Email: steve@elialaw.com; MG@mauragriffinlaw.com
5	
ا '	Counsel for Defendant Ninus Malan
6	Steven Blake, Esq.
_	Daniel Watts, Esq.
7	GALUPPO & BLAKE, APLC
8	2792 Gateway Road, Suite 102, Carlsbad, CA 92009 Email: <a href="mailto:sblake@galuppolaw.com">sblake@galuppolaw.com</a> ; <a href="mailto:dwatts@galuppolaw.com">dwatts@galuppolaw.com</a>
	Liman: Solake(w.garuppolaw.com, dwatts(w.garuppolaw.com
9	Gina M. Austin, Esq.
10	Tamara M. Leetham, Esq.
	AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC
1	3990 Old Town Avenue, Suite A-101, San Diego, CA 92110
12	Email: gaustin@austinlegalgroup.com; tamara@austinlegalgroup.com
	Counsel for Defendant Chris Hakim
13	Charles F. Goria, Esq.
4	GORIA, WEBER & JARVIS
_	1011 Camino del Rio South, #210, San Diego, CA 92108
15	Email: <u>chasgoria@gmail.com</u>
6	Counsel for SoCal Building Ventures, LLC
_,	Robert Fuller, Esq.
7	Salvatore Zimmitti, Esq.
8	NELSON HARDIMAN LLP
	1100 Glendon Avenue, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, CA 90024
9	Email: <u>rfuller@nelsonhardiman.com; szimmitti@nelsonhardiman.com</u>
20	Counsel for Sunrise Property Investments, LLC
, ,	Douglas Jaffe
21	Law Offices of Douglas Jaffe
22	501 West Broadway, Suite 800, San Diego, CA 92101
23	Email: douglasjaffe@aol.com
د.	Counsel for Far West Management, LLC
24	Matthew B. Dart
25	DART LAW
	12526 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92130
26	Email: matt@dartlawfirm.com
27	

28