1 Richardson C. Griswold, Esq. (CA Bar No. 246837) GRISWOLD LAW, APC 2 444 S. Cedros Avenue, Suite 250 Solana Beach, California 92075 3 Phone: (858) 481-1300 Fax: (888) 624-9177 4 5 Attorney For Court-Appointed Receiver Michael Essary 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 9 10 SALAM RAZUKI, an individual, CASE NO.: 37-2018-00034229-CU-BC-CTL 11 Plaintiff. 12 RECEIVER MICHAEL ESSARY'S v. REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 13 NINUS MALAN, an individual; CHRIS REGARDING OPERATION AND HAKIM, an individual; MONARCH FUNDING OF BALBOA AVE DISPENSARY 14 MANAGEMENT CONSULTING, INC. a California corporation; SAN DIEGO UNITED 15 HOLDING GROUP, LLC, a California limited liability company; FLIP MANAGEMENT, Judge: Hon. Eddie C. Sturgeon 16 Dept: C-67 LLC, a California limited liability company; Date: April 5, 2019 MIRA ESTE PROPERTIES, LLC, a California 17 Time: 1:30 p.m. limited liability company; ROSELLE PROPERTIES, LLC, , a California limited 18 liability company; BALBOA AVE COOPERATIVÉ, a California nonprofit mutual 19 benefit corporation; CALIFORNIA CANNABIS GROUP, a California nonprofit mutual benefit 20 corporation; DEVILISH DELIGHTS, INC., a California nonprofit mutual benefit corporation; 21 and DOES 1-100, inclusive, 22 Defendants. 23 24 RECEIVER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION 25 I. INTRODUCTION 26 On September 26, 2018, this Court confirmed the appointment of Michael Essary as the 27 Receiver in this matter ("Receivership Order"). Pursuant to the Receivership Order, the Receiver 28

has been ordered to take possession and control of all assets of the Marijuana Operations, specifically including the Balboa Ave Dispensary. Due to the abrupt abandonment of operations at the Balboa Ave Dispensary by former operator Far West, the dispensary has essentially remained closed and out of operation since November 27, 2018. The dispensary was temporarily re-opened for a brief period in March 2019. Without any revenue generated at Balboa, coupled with the already-existing debt/delinquencies, there are now substantial and urgent delinquencies that require immediate attention.

On March 15, 2019, this Court ordered the Receiver to a) review all final submitted Balboa Ave Dispensary proposals regarding operating and funding, b) conduct due diligence on the potential operators, and c) submit a report and recommendation regarding the Receiver's recommended operator. On March 16, 2019, counsel for the Receiver alerted all seven potential operators that had previously submitted proposals of the guidelines and deadlines for submitting a final operator proposal. Specifically, counsel for the Receiver explained to the potential operators that "sale option" provisions would not be considered at this time. Proposals were required to focus only on operation of the dispensary and a funding plan for the dispensary. The submission deadline was March 22, 2019 by 3:30 p.m. A true and correct copy of counsel for the Receiver's March 16, 2019 email to all potential operators is attached to the Declaration of Richardson Griswold as **Exhibit A**. The Receiver received three final proposals from potential operators. The potential operators that submitted proposals are SoCal Building Ventures, LLC ("SoCal"), March & Ash, Inc ("March & Ash"), and MJIC Regulated, Inc. ("MJIC"). True and correct copies of the operator proposals are attached to the Declaration of Michael Essary as **Exhibit B** (SoCal Proposal), **Exhibit C** (March & Ash Proposal) and **Exhibit D** (MJIC Proposal).

The Receiver recommends this Court approve SoCal Building Ventures, LLC as the Balboa Ave Dispensary operator based on the below assessment.

///

27 11//

1	II. REVIEW OF OPERATOR PROPOSALS
2	Below is a review and comparison of the key provisions within the three operator proposals:
3	SOCAL: KEY PROVISIONS
4	Monthly Rent to Be Paid to Receivership: \$40,000
5	Operator Agreement Term: Month-to-Month; prepared to begin operating immediately
6	Operational/Property Costs: 100% covered by SoCal
7	Receivership Funding: \$500,000 at 6% interest; 1 year term/balloon payment; fund in 5 days
8	
9	MARCH & ASH: KEY PROVISIONS
10	Monthly Rent to Be Paid to Receivership: \$25,000 + net profit split 50/50 with operator
11	Operator Agreement Term: Month-to-Month; 90-Day Termination Notice
12	Operational/Property Costs: Day-to-day costs covered ("start-up" costs" not covered)
13	Receivership Funding: \$550,000 at 10% interest; 3 year term/\$17,746.95 monthly principal &
14	interest payments; \$200,000 "Start-Up Costs" loan at 10% interest; 3 year term/\$6,453.44
15	monthly principal & interest payments
16	<u>Cancellation:</u> If Operator Agreement terminated due to sale, operator requiring an "exit fee"
17	to be negotiated
18	
19	MJIC: KEY PROVISIONS
20	Monthly Rent to Be Paid to Receivership: \$20,000; 1 year term paid upfront (\$240,000)
21	Operator Agreement Term: 12 Month Minimum
22	Operational/Property Costs: 100% covered by MJIC
23	Receivership Funding: Not Offered
24	Based on an analysis of the above key provisions, the SoCal proposal is superior. It contains
25	the highest guaranteed monthly rent to the receivership. The month-to-month term is flexible. It
26	covers all operating and property costs. Its funding plan has the lowest interest rate without any
27	requirement to make loan payments during the term of the loan. It does not require an "exit fee"

28

should the dispensary be sold to a third party.

In addition to an analysis of the proposal terms, the Receiver conducted additional due diligence on all three proposals. The due diligence efforts included a review of the potential operators' history as a dispensary operator, review of management/leadership team of operator, review of previous/pending state or local violations in cannabis industry, proof of funds related to any proposed receivership funding, relationships with any party to the receivership action and operational plans for the Balboa Ave Dispensary.

All three potential operators were cooperative and responded with comprehensive detail to the Receiver's due diligence requests and questions. Further, all three potential operators presented information and documents to support substantial experience in the dispensary operation industry, strong leadership/management teams, zero previous/pending state or local violations in the cannabis industry and sufficient proof (including copies of bank statements) that each has more than sufficient funds to provide proposed funding to the receivership. The Receiver strongly believes that all three potential operators present qualified credentials to operate the dispensary. With this confidence, the Receiver's review became primarily focused on the actual terms of the potential operators' proposals. As stated above, the terms of the SoCal proposal are superior.

The Receiver invited representatives from all three operator companies to attend the April 5th hearing in the event the Court had further questions for any of them.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the reasons stated above, the Receiver respectfully requests that this Court approve SoCal Building Ventures, LLC as the Balboa Ave Dispensary operator and authorize the Receiver to enter into an operator agreement based on the terms within SoCal's submitted proposal.

Dated: March 29, 2019 Respectfully Submitted,

GRISWOLD LAW, APC

Richardson C. Griswold, Esq.

Counsel for Court Appointed Receiver

MICHAEL ESSARY

PROOF OF SERVICE

Salam Razuki v. Ninus Malan, et al. San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-00034229-CU-BC-CTL

I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action. I am employed by Griswold Law, APC and my business address is 444 S. Cedros Avenue, Suite 250, Solana Beach, California 92075.

On March 29, 2019, I served the documents described as RECEIVER MICHAEL ESSARY'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING OPERATION AND FUNDING OF BALBOA AVE DISPENSARY; DECLARATION OF RECEIVER MICHAEL ESSARY IN SUPPORT OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION; DECLARATION OF RICHARDSON GRISWOLD IN SUPPORT OF RECEIVER'S REPORT RECOMMENDATION; [PROPOSED] ORDER on each interested party, as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

(VIA MAIL) I placed a true and correct copy(ies) of the foregoing document in a sealed envelope(s) addressed to each interested party as set forth above. I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be deposited with the United States Postal Service. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.

(VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to each interested party. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery in the overnight delivery carrier depository at Solana Beach, California to ensure next day delivery.

X (VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused true and correct copy(ies) of the foregoing document(s) to be transmitted via One Legal e-service to each interested party at the electronic service addresses listed on the attached service list.

(BY FACSIMILE) I transmitted a true and correct copy(ies) of the foregoing documents via facsimile.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 29, 2019, in Solana Beach, California.

1

2 3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

,	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>
1	Counsel for Plaintiff Salam Razuki
2	Steven A. Elia, Esq.; Maura Griffin, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN A. ELIA, APC
3	2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 207, San Diego, CA 92108
4	Email: steve@elialaw.com; MG@mauragriffinlaw.com
5	Counsel for Defendant Ninus Malan Lou Galuppo, Esq.; Daniel Watts, Esq.
6	G10 GALUPPO LAW
7	2792 Gateway Road, Suite 102, Carlsbad, CA 92009 Email: lgaluppo@galuppolaw.com ; dwatts@galuppolaw.com ; ahall@galuppolaw.com ;
8	Gina M. Austin, Esq.; Tamara M. Leetham, Esq.
9	AUSTIN LEGAL GROUP, APC 3990 Old Town Avenue, Suite A-101, San Diego, CA 92110
10	Email: gaustin@austinlegalgroup.com; tamara@austinlegalgroup.com
11	Counsel for Defendant Chris Hakim Charles F. Goria, Esq.
12	GORIA, WEBER & JARVIS
13	1011 Camino del Rio South, #210, San Diego, CA 92108 Email: chasgoria@gmail.com
14	Council for So Cal Building Vontages II C
15	Counsel for SoCal Building Ventures, LLC Robert Fuller, Esq.; Salvatore Zimmitti, Esq.
16	NELSON HARDIMAN LLP
	1100 Glendon Avenue, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, CA 90024 Email: rfuller@nelsonhardiman.com; szimmitti@nelsonhardiman.com
17	17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
18	Counsel for Sunrise Property Investments, LLC
19	Douglas Jaffe, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS JAFFE
20	501 West Broadway, Suite 800, San Diego, CA 92101
	Email: douglasjaffe@aol.com
21	Counsel for Far West Management, LLC
22	Matthew B. Dart, Esq. DART LAW
23	12526 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92130
24	Email: matt@dartlawfirm.com
25	Counsel for RM Property Holdings, LLC
26	Timothy Daley, Esq.; Michael Hickman, Esq. MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP
	225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101
27	Email: t.daley@musickpeeler.com; m.hickman@musickpeeler.com
1	1