

1. **Party or parties (answer one):**
a. ☒ This statement is submitted by party (name): DARRYL COTTON
b. ☐ This statement is submitted jointly by parties (names):

2. **Complaint and cross-complaint (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)**
a. The complaint was filed on (date):
b. ☐ The cross-complaint, if any, was filed on (date):

3. **Service (to be answered by plaintiffs and cross-complainants only)**
a. ☒ All parties named in the complaint and cross-complaint have been served, have appeared, or have been dismissed.
b. ☐ The following parties named in the complaint or cross-complaint
(1) ☐ have not been served (specify names and explain why not):
(2) ☐ have been served but have not appeared and have not been dismissed (specify names):
(3) ☐ have had a default entered against them (specify names):
c. ☐ The following additional parties may be added (specify names, nature of involvement in case, and date by which they may be served):
The California Department of Cannabis Control (DCC). This Court has found that Geraci's applying for a conditional use permit from the City of San Diego to engage in commercial cannabis activity in the name of his receptionist without being vetted and granted a license by the DCC is not illegal. This is error. (*Wheeler v. Appellate Div. of Superior Court*, 72 Cal. App. 5th 824, 833 (2021) ("engaging in unlicensed commercial cannabis activity is a crime")) (citing Bus. & Prof. Code, § 26038, subd. (c).)

4. **Description of case**
a. Type of case in ☒ complaint ☐ cross-complaint (Describe, including causes of action):
Complaint to set aside judgments that is void as act in excess of the Court's jurisdiction for enforcing an alleged contract that as a matter of law, pursuant to defendant Geraci's own judicial admissions, is not a contract and which has an unlawful object - Geraci's secret and prohibited ownership of dispensary - and therefore an illegal contract. The judgment is also void for being a product of a fraud on the court and because it was rendered by a disqualified judge due to bias.

Page 1 of 6

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: DARRYL COTTON	CASE NUMBER: 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: LARRY (AKA LARRY) GERACI	

4. b. Provide a brief statement of the case, including any damages. *(If personal injury damages are sought, specify the injury and damages claimed, including medical expenses to date [indicate source and amount], estimated future medical expenses, lost earnings to date, and estimated future lost earnings. If equitable relief is sought, describe the nature of the relief.)*
 Plaintiffs seeks to have the judgment set aside and all damages recoverable at law. Once it is established that plaintiff seeks to recover all direct, consequential, punitive and other damages allowed by law for arising from the subject judgment entered against Plaintiff for breach of contract, which include lost profits and punitive damages. Plaintiff will be represented by counsel once the judgment is declared void and allegations of judicial bias do not need to be made.
☐ *(If more space is needed, check this box and attach a page designated as Attachment 4b.)*
5. **Jury or nonjury trial**
 The party or parties request ☒ a jury trial ☐ a nonjury trial. *(If more than one party, provide the name of each party requesting a jury trial):*
6. **Trial date**
 a. ☐ The trial has been set for *(date)*:
 b. ☒ No trial date has been set. This case will be ready for trial within 12 months of the date of the filing of the complaint *(if not, explain)*:
 c. Dates on which parties or attorneys will not be available for trial *(specify dates and explain reasons for unavailability)*:
7. **Estimated length of trial**
 The party or parties estimate that the trial will take *(check one)*:
 a. ☒ days *(specify number)*: One day. But there should be no trial, the issue of illegality is a question of law and judicial bias are
 b. ☐ hours *(short causes)* *(specify)* questions of law, as well as mutual assent based on undisputed facts.
8. **Trial representation (to be answered for each party)**
 The party or parties will be represented at trial ☒ by the attorney or party listed in the caption ☐ by the following:
 a. Attorney:
 b. Firm:
 c. Address:
 d. Telephone number:
 e. E-mail address:
 f. Fax number:
 g. Party represented:
☐ Additional representation is described in Attachment 8.
9. **Preference**
☐ This case is entitled to preference *(specify code section)*:
10. **Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)**
 a. **ADR information package.** Please note that different ADR processes are available in different courts and communities; read the ADR information package provided by the court under rule 3.221 of the California Rules of Court for information about the processes available through the court and community programs in this case.
 (1) For parties represented by counsel: Counsel ☐ has ☐ has not provided the ADR information package identified in rule 3.221 to the client and reviewed ADR options with the client.
 (2) For self-represented parties: Party ☐ has ☐ has not reviewed the ADR information package identified in rule 3.221.
 b. **Referral to judicial arbitration or civil action mediation (if available).**
 (1) ☐ This matter is subject to mandatory judicial arbitration under Code of Civil Procedure section 1141.11 or to civil action mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775.3 because the amount in controversy does not exceed the statutory limit.
 (2) ☐ Plaintiff elects to refer this case to judicial arbitration and agrees to limit recovery to the amount specified in Code of Civil Procedure section 1141.11.
 (3) ☐ This case is exempt from judicial arbitration under rule 3.811 of the California Rules of Court or from civil action mediation under Code of Civil Procedure section 1775 et seq. *(specify exemption)*:

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: DARRYL COTTON	CASE NUMBER: 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: LAWRENCE (AKA LARRY) GERACI	

10. c. Indicate the ADR process or processes that the party or parties are willing to participate in, have agreed to participate in, or have already participated in (*check all that apply and provide the specified information*):

	The party or parties completing this form are willing to participate in the following ADR processes (<i>check all that apply</i>):	If the party or parties completing this form in the case have agreed to participate in or have already completed an ADR process or processes, indicate the status of the processes (<i>attach a copy of the parties' ADR stipulation</i>):
(1) Mediation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Mediation session not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Mediation session scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete mediation by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Mediation completed on (date):
(2) Settlement conference	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete settlement conference by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Settlement conference completed on (date):
(3) Neutral evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete neutral evaluation by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral evaluation completed on (date):
(4) Nonbinding judicial arbitration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete judicial arbitration by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial arbitration completed on (date):
(5) Binding private arbitration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete private arbitration by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Private arbitration completed on (date):
(6) Other (<i>specify</i>):	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ADR session not yet scheduled <input type="checkbox"/> ADR session scheduled for (date): <input type="checkbox"/> Agreed to complete ADR session by (date): <input type="checkbox"/> ADR completed on (date):

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: DARRYL COTTON DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: LAWRENCE (AKA LARRY) GERACI	CASE NUMBER: 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL
--	---

11. Insurance

- a. ☐ Insurance carrier, if any, for party filing this statement (*name*):
- b. Reservation of rights: ☐ Yes ☐ No
- c. ☐ Coverage issues will significantly affect resolution of this case (*explain*):

12. Jurisdiction

Indicate any matters that may affect the court's jurisdiction or processing of this case and describe the status.

☐ Bankruptcy ☐ Other (*specify*):

Status:

13. Related cases, consolidation, and coordination

- a. ☒ There are companion, underlying, or related cases.

(1) Name of case:

(2) Name of court:

(3) Case number:

(4) Status:

☒ Additional cases are described in Attachment 13a.

- b. ☐ A motion to ☐ consolidate ☐ coordinate will be filed by (*name party*):

14. Bifurcation

☐ The party or parties intend to file a motion for an order bifurcating, severing, or coordinating the following issues or causes of action (*specify moving party, type of motion, and reasons*):

The party or parties expect to file the following motions before trial (*Specify moving party, type of motion, and issues*):

15. Other motions

☒

A motion to amend the complaint to find the judgment is void because Judge Joel Wohlfeil who rendered the underlying judgment was disqualified due to bias. And based on new evidence discovered pursuant to Freedom of Information of Act requests that the City of San Diego's employee and Geraci's attorney's and his witnesses colluded to provide perjured testimony in the trial of the underlying judgment on the case dispositive issue - that Geraci could lawfully own a dispensary secretly in the name of his agent without disclosing he had been sanctioned for owning illegal dispensaries.

16. Discovery

- a. ☐ The party or parties have completed all discovery.
- b. ☐ The following discovery will be completed by the date specified (*describe all anticipated discovery*):

Party

Description

Date

- c. ☐ The following discovery issues, including issues regarding the discovery of electronically stored information, are anticipated (*specify*):

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	DARRYL COTTON	CASE NUMBER:	37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	LAWRENCE (AKA LARRY) GERACI		

17. Economic litigation

- a. ☐ This is a limited civil case (i.e., the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less) and the economic litigation procedures in Code of Civil Procedure sections 90-98 will apply to this case.
- b. ☐ This is a limited civil case and a motion to withdraw the case from the economic litigation procedures or for additional discovery will be filed *(if checked, explain specifically why economic litigation procedures relating to discovery or trial should not apply to this case):*

18. Other issues

- ☒ The party or parties request that the following additional matters be considered or determined at the case management conference *(specify):*

Plaintiff apologizes for the delay in submitting this statement. On 12/26/2022, Plaintiff had a heart attack, was hospitalized, and underwent heart surgery from the stress of this case which he has been fighting for almost six years.

19. Meet and confer

- a. ☐ The party or parties have met and conferred with all parties on all subjects required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court *(if not, explain):*
- b. ☐ After meeting and conferring as required by rule 3.724 of the California Rules of Court, the parties agree on the following *(specify):*

20. Total number of pages attached *(if any)*: 6

I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the case management conference, including the written authority of the party where required.

Date: **January 23, 2023**

DARRYL COTTON

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

☐ Additional signatures are attached.

ATTACHMENT 13a

Sherlock Cases 5 & 7, Cotton Cases 1 - 4 & 7, Razuki Cases 1 - 5: Summary of Actions, Judges, Parties and Counsel

As of 10/12/2022

Cotton Case 1

[*Cotton v. Geraci, et al.* – Case No. 37-2017-00010073-CU-BC-CTL](#) (Total Docket Entries as of 07/29/20: 727)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff's Counsel	Defendants/Cross Defendants	Defense Counsel
Wohlfeil	Darryl Cotton	Pro Per	Lawrence aka "Larry" Larry Geraci	Gina M. Austin, Arden Anderson – Austin Legal Group
		David Demian – Finch Thornton & Baird	Rebecca Berry (Cross Defendant)	Julia Dalzell – Pettit, Kohn, Ingrassia & Lutz PC
		Adam Witt – Finch Thornton & Baird		Michael Weinstein – Ferris & Britton
		Jason Thornton – Finch Thornton & Baird		Elyssa Kulas - Ferris & Britton
		Rishi Bhatt – Finch Thornton & Baird		Scott Toothacre - Ferris & Britton
		Evan Schube – Tiffany & Bosco		Megan Lees
		Jacob Austin – Law Offices		
		Andrew Flores – Law Offices		
		JoEllen Baskett – Law Offices		

Cotton Case 2

[*Cotton v. City of San Diego, et al.* – Case No. 37-2017-00037675-CU-WM-CTL](#) (Total Docket Entries as of 11/06/18: 109)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff's Counsel	Defendants/Cross-Defendants	Defense Counsel
Sturgeon	Darryl Cotton	David Demian – Finch Thornton & Baird	City of San Diego	San Diego Office of the City Attorney - Mara Elliott, George Schaefer, M. Travis Phelps, Jana Will
		Rishi Bhatt – Finch Thornton & Baird	Rebecca Berry - Real Party in Interest	Michael Weinstein – Ferris & Britton
		Adam Witt – Finch Thornton & Baird	Larry Geraci – Real Party in Interest	Michael Weinstein - Ferris & Britton
		Jason Thornton – Finch Thornton & Baird		

Cotton 3

[*Cotton v. Geraci, et al.* – Case No. 18CV0325-GPC-MDD](#) (Total Docket Entries as of 05/20/22 : 116)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff's Counsel	Defendants/Cross-Defendants	Defense Counsel
Curiel	Darryl Cotton	Pro Per	Lawrence aka "Larry" Larry Geraci	James Crosby – Law Offices
Bashant		Law Office of Jacob Austin	Rebecca Berry	James Crosby – Law Offices
Robinson			Gina Austin	Douglas Pettit; Julia Dalzell; Michelle Bains – Pettit, Kohn, Ingrassia, Lutz & Dolin
Ohta			Austin Legal Group	Douglas Pettit; Julia Dalzell; Michelle Bains – Pettit, Kohn, Ingrassia, Lutz & Dolin
			Michael Weinstein	James Kjar, Jon Schwalbach, Gregory Emdee – Kjar, McKenna & Stockalper
			Scott Toothacre	James Kjar, Jon Schwalbach, Gregory Emdee – Kjar, McKenna & Stockalper
			Ferris & Britton, APC	James Kjar, Jon Schwalbach, Gregory Emdee– Kjar, McKenna & Stockalper
			City of San Diego	M. Travis Phelps – Deputy City Attorney
			David Demian	Corinne Bertsche – Lewis & Brisbois
			Cynthia Bashant	Carmela Duke – San Diego Superior Court
			Joel Wohlfeil	Carmela Duke – San Diego Superior Court
			Jessica McElfresh	Laura Stewart – Walsh McKean Furcolo LLP
			US DOJ/Office of US Atty - Interested Party	Katherine Parker – DOJ-OUSA

Cotton 4

Cotton and Hurtado v. Geraci, et al – Case No. 18CV027510GPC-MDD (Total Docket Entries as of 05/14/19: 33)

Judge	Plaintiffs	Plaintiffs' Counsel	Defendants/Cross-Defendants	Defense Counsel
Curiel	Darryl Cotton	Law Office of Jacob Austin	Lawrence aka "Larry" Larry Geraci	Law Office of James Crosby
	Joe Hurtado	Law Office of Jacob Austin	Rebecca Berry	Law Office of James Crosby
			Austin Legal Group	Douglas Pettit: Julia Dalzell – Pettit, Kohn Ingrassia, Lutz & Dolin
			Ferris & Britton APC	Eric R. Deitz, Tatiana Dupuy – Gordon & Reese
			Michael Weinstein	Tatiana Dupuy – Gordon & Rees
			Scott Toothacre	Tatiana Dupuy – Gordon & Rees
			Finch Thornton & Baird	Kenneth Feldman, Tim J. Vanden Heuvel – Lewis & Brisbois
			David Demian	Kenneth Feldman, Tim J. Vanden Heuvel – Lewis & Brisbois
			Adam Witt	Kenneth Feldman, Tim J. Vanden Heuvel – Lewis & Brisbois

Cotton 5

Flores, et al. v. Geraci, et al. – Case No. 20CV0656-JLS-LL (Total Docket Entries as of 06/21/22: 42)

Judge	Plaintiffs	Plaintiffs' Counsel	Defendants/Cross-Defendants	Defense Counsel
Sammartino	Andrew Flores	Pro Per	Gina M. Austin	
Bashant	Amy Sherlock	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Austin Legal Group	
Sabraw	T.S. (Minor)	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Joel R. Wohlfeil	Carmela Duke – Superior Court of California
Robinson	S.S. (Minor)	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Lawrence (aka Larry) Geraci	
Ohta			Tax & Liability Financial Center, Inc.	
			Rebecca Berry	
			Jessica McElfresh	
			Salam Razuki	
			Ninus Malan	
			Michael Robert Weinstein	Gregory B. Emdee, Jon R. Schwalbach – Kjar, McKenna & Stackalper
			Scott Toothacre	Gregory B. Emdee, Jon R. Schwalbach – Kjar, McKenna & Stackalper
			Elyssa Kulas	Gregory B. Emdee, Jon R. Schwalbach – Kjar, McKenna & Stackalper
			Rachel M. Prendergast	
			Ferris & Britton APC	Gregory B. Emdee, Jon R. Schwalbach – Kjar, McKenna & Stackalper
			David S. Demian	
			Adam C. Witt	
			Rishi S. Bhatt	
			Finch Thornton & Baird LLP	
			James D. Crosby	
			Abhay Schweitzer	
			James (aka Jim) Bartell	
			Bartell & Associates	
			Matthew William Shapiro	
			Matthew W. Shapiro APC	
			Natalie Trang-My Nguyen	
			Aaron Magagna	
			A-M Industries	

Cotton 5 - CONTINUED

Judge	Plaintiffs	Plaintiff's Counsel	Defendants–Cross-Defendants	Defendants'–Cross-Defendants' Counsel
			Bradford Harcourt	
			Alan Claybon	
			Shawn Miller	
			Logan Stellmacher	
			Eulenthias Duane Alexander	
			Bianca Martinez	
			City of San Diego	
			2018FMO, LLC	
			Firouzeh Tirandazi	
			Stephen G. Cline	

Cotton 6

Cotton v. Geraci, et al. – Case No. 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL (Total Docket Entries as of 07/29/22: 80)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff Counsel	Defendant – Cross Defendant	Defendant Counsel
Mangione	Darryl Cotton	Pro Per	Lawrence AKA “Larry” Larry Geraci	Law Office of James Crosby
				Michael Weinstein – Ferris & Britton

Cotton 7

Sherlock, et al. v. Geraci, et al – Case No. 37-2021-00050889-CU-AT-CTL (Total Docket Entries as of 08/18/22: 97)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff Counsel	Defendant – Cross Defendant	Defendant Counsel
Mangione	Andrew Flores	Pro Per	Lawrence aka “Larry” Larry Geraci	Law Office of James Crosby
	Amy Sherlock	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Rebecca Berry	Michael Weinstein – Ferris & Britton
	T.S. (a Minor)	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Stephen Lake	Steven Wilson Blake – Blake Law Firm
	S.S. (a Minor)	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Jessica McElfresh	Laura E. Stewart – Walsh McKean Furcolo LLP
	Christopher Williams	Law Office of Andrew Flores	Finch Thornton & Baird LLP	
			Salam Razuki	
			Abhay Schweitzer	
			Ninus Malan	
			James Bartell	
			Bartell & Kwiatkowski (formerly Bartell & Associates)	
			Natalie Trang-My Nguyen	
			Bradford Harcourt	
			Logan Miller	
			Eulenthias Duane Alexander	
			Gina Austin	Douglas A. Pettit, Matthew C. Smith, Kayla R. Sealey – Pettit, Kohn, Ingrassia, Lutz & Dolin
			Austin Legal Group APC	Douglas A. Pettit, Matthew C. Smith, Kayla R. Sealey – Pettit, Kohn, Ingrassia, Lutz & Dolin
			Aaron Magagna	
			Allied Spectrum Inc	
			Prodigious Collectives LLC	

Razuki 1

[SDPCC & Harcourt v. Razuki, et al. – Case No. 37-2017-00020661-CU-CO-CTL](#) (Total Docket Entries as of 08/08/22: 512)

Judge	Plaintiffs	Plaintiffs' Counsel	Defendants/Cross Defendants	Defense Counsel
Sturgeon	San Diego Patients Cooperative Corp. Inc	Alan Claybon, Mark Collier - Messner Reeves LLP	Razuki Investments LLC	David K. Demergian – Fitzmaurice, Demergian & Gagnon
	Amy Sherlock (Appellant)	Andrew Flores – Law Offices	Salam Razuki	Steven A. Elia – Elia Law Firm, Douglas Jaffe – Douglas Jaffe Law Offices
	Bradford Harcourt	Alan Claybon, Mark Collier – Messner Reeves LLP	Keith Henderson	Douglas Jaffe – Douglas Jaffe Law Offices
			American Lending and Holdings LLC	David K. Demergian – Fitzmaurice, Demergian & Gagnon
			Balboa Ave. Cooperative	
			California Cannabis Group	Gina M. Austin; Ethan T. Boyer; Tamara M. Leetham – Austin Legal Group APC, Olga Y. Bryan – Ames Karanjia LLP
			Ninus Malan	David K. Demergian – Fitzmaurice, Demergian & Gagnon
			San Diego United Holdings Group LLC	David K. Demergian – Fitzmaurice, Demergian & Gagnon

Razuki 2

[Salam Razuki v. Ninus Malan, et al. – Case No. 37-2018-00034229-CU-BC-CTL](#) (Total Docket Entries as of 08/16/22: 2,258)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff's Counsel	Defendants/Cross Defendants	Defense Counsel
Sturgeon	Salam Razuki	Law Office of John W. Zryd	Ninus Malan	David K. Demergian - Fitzmaurice & Demergian; James R. Lance, Genevieve M. Ruch – Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			SH Westpoint Investments Group LLC	Steven A. Elia; Garret F. Groom; James Joseph – Elia Law Firm; Maura Griffin - Aljabi Law Firm
			Super 5 Consulting Group LLC	Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Sunrise Property Investments LLC	Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Stonecrest Plaza LLC	James Joseph – Elia Law Firm APC
			SoCal Building Ventures LLC	Paul A. Beck - Law Offices of Paul A. Beck APC
			Amy Sherlock (Appellant, Intervenor)	Andrew Flores – Law Offices
			SD United Holding Group LLC	Gina M. Austin-Austin Legal Group; Steven W. Galuppo; Daniel Watts- G10 Law; Louis A. Lance - Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			SD Private Investments LLC	James Joseph – Elia Law Firm APC
			SD Building Ventures LLC	Paul A. Beck - Law Offices of Paul A. Beck APC
			SH Westpoint Group LLC	James Joseph – Elia Law Firm APC
			Roselle Properties LLC	Charles F. Gorla - Gorla & Weber
			Heidi Rising	
			Sarah Razuki	Steven A. Elia - Elia Law Firm APC; Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Matthew Razuki	Steven A. Elia - Elia Law Firm APC; Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Marvin Razuki	Steven A. Elia - Elia Law Firm APC; Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Razuki Investments LLC	James Joseph – Elia Law Firm APC
			RM Property Holders LLC	Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Monarch Management Consulting Inc	Gina M. Austin – Austin Legal Group; Steven W. Blake – Blake Law Firm; James R. Lance; Genevieve M. Ruch – Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			Mira Este Properties LLC	Charles F. Gorla - Gorla & Weber
			Melrose Place Inc	James Joseph – Elia Law Firm APC
			Lemon Grove Plaza LP	James Joseph – Elia Law Firm APC

Razuki 2 (CONTINUED)

Judge	Plaintiff	Counsel	Defendants/Cross-Defendants	Defense Counsel
			Adam Knopf	
			Chris Hakim	Charles F. Gorla - Gorla & Weber; Gregory D. Hagen - Greg Hagen Law
			Goldn Bloom Ventures Inc	Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			G10 Galuppo Law (Intervenor)	Daniel Watts – G10 Law
			Matthew Freeman	
			Flip Management LLC	James R. Lance; Genevieve M. Ruch - Noonan, Lance, Boyer & Banach LLP
			Far West Management LLC	
			Michael Essary (Appeal Respondent)	Richardson Craig Griswold - Griswold Law APC
			El Cajon Investments Group	James Joseph - Jurewitz Law Group
			Devilish Delights Inc	Gina M. Austin – Austin Legal Group; Steven W. Blake – Blake Law Firm ; James R. Lance, Genevieve M. Ruch – Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			California Cannabis Group	Gina M. Austin – Austin Legal Group; Steven W. Blake – Blake Law Firm ; James R. Lance, Genevieve M. Ruch – Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			Balboa Ave Cooperative	Gina M. Austin – Austin Legal Group; Steven W. Blake – Blake Law Firm ; James R. Lance, Genevieve M. Ruch – Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			Alexis Bridgewater	
			American Lending & Holdings LLC	David K. Demergian – Fitzmaurice & Demergian; James R. Lance; Genevieve M. Ruch – Noonan Lance Boyer & Banach LLP
			Alternative Health Cooperative Inc	Law Office of Douglas Jaffe

Razuki 3

[United States v. Salam Razuki, et al. – Case No. 18-mj-05915](#) (Terminated) – Reopened under 18-cr-05260 (Total Docket Entries as of 06/30/22: 77)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff Counsel	Defendant – Cross Defendant	Defense Counsel
Gallo	United States of America	Derek Timothy Ko - USDOJ	Salam Razuki	Dana M. Grimes, Thomas J. Warwick Jr., Jay Temple – Grimes & Warwick; Antonia F. Yoon - Kegel, Tobin & Truce
Bencivengo		Fred A. Shepard - USDOJ	Sylvia Gonzales	Brian P. Funk – Law Office of Brian P. Funk
		Shital Thakkar - USDOJ	Elizabeth Juarez	Allen Robert Bloom – Law Office of Allen Bloom

Razuki 4

[Ninus Malan v. Salam Rakuki, et al. – Case No 27-2019-00041260](#) (Total Docket Entries as of 08/05/22: 77)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff's Counsel	Defendants/Cross Defendants	Defense Counsel
Frazier	Ninus Malan	John Gomez: Jessica Sizemore – Gomez Law	Salam Razuki	
			Marvin Razuki	
			Sarah Razuki	
			Matthew Razuki	
			Razuki Investments	
			SH Westpoint Group LLC	
			San Diego Private Investments LLC	
			Sunrise Property Investments LLC	Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Super 5 Consulting Group LLC	Douglas Jaffe – Law Office of Douglas Jaffe

Razuki 4 (Continued)

Judge	Plaintiff	Plaintiff Counsel	Defendants/Cross Defendants	Defense Counsel
			3407 E Street LLC	Douglas Jaffe - Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			Alternative Health Sunrise Inc	Douglas Jaffe - Law Office of Douglas Jaffe
			El Cajon Investments Group LLC	
			Goldn Bloom Ventures Inc	Douglas Jaffe - Law Office of Douglas Jaffe Law
			Sylvia Gonzales	
			Elizabeth Juarex	Michael Egenthal
			Lemon Grove Plaza LP	
			Melrose Place Inc	
			RM Property Holdings LLC	Douglas Jaffe - Law Office of Douglas Jaffe

1 **DARRYL COTTON, *In pro se***
2 **6176 Federal Boulevard**
3 **San Diego, CA 92114**
4 **Telephone: (619) 954-4447**
5 **151DarrylCotton@gmail.com**

FILED JAN 25 23 PM 3:23

6 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**
7 **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION**

8 **DARRYL COTTON,**
9 **Plaintiff,**
10 **v.**

11 **LAWRENCE (A/K/A LARRY) GERACI, an**
12 **individual,**
13 **Defendant.**

Case No.: 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL

PROOF OF SERVICE

Hearing Date: January 27, 2023
Hearing Time: 9:45 am
Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione
Courtroom: C-75
Complaint Filed: January 3, 2022
Trial Date: Unassigned

14
15 On January 25, 2023, I served the documents described as: PLAINTIFF'S CASE
16 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT on all interested parties in this action as follows:

17 James D. Crosby, Attorney for Defendant Larry Geraci
18 Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com

19 **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE** – I submitted an electronic version of the document(s) by
20 e-mail notification at the email address(s) indicated above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time
21 after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was
22 unsuccessful.

23 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
24 true and correct. Executed at San Diego, California, on March 15, 2022.

25 DATED: January 25, 2023

26 
27 Darryl Cotton
28 Plaintiff Pro Se