1 2 3 4 5 6	James D. Crosby (State Bar No. 110383) Attorney at Law 550 West C Street San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 450-4149 Email: crosby@crosbyattorney.com Attorney for Defendant Larry Geraci	
7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
10	DARRYL COTTON,	Case No. 37-2022-00000023-CU-MC-CTL
11	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO
12	v.	EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY PLAINTIFF IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
13	LAWRENCE (A/K/A LARRY) GERACI, an individual, Defendant.	TO VACATE VOID JUDGMENT
14		Date: July 12. 2024 Time: 9:00 a.m.
15		Dept.: C-75 Judge: Hon. James A. Mangione
16		Complaint Filed: January 3, 2022 Trial Date: Unassigned
17		
18	Defendant Larry Geraci lodges submits the following objections to the evidence submitted	
19	by Plaintiff in support of Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Void Judgment.	
20	1. All of Exhibits A through K attached to Plaintiff's Notice of Ex Parte Application and Ex Parte Application (1) To Vacate Void Judgment and Court Referral to the Department of Justice	
21		
22	Offered in Support of Notice of Ex-Parte Application and Ex-Parte Application (1) To	
23	Vacate Void Judgment and Court Referral to the Department of Justice.	
24	Grounds: Relevance, Evidence Code §210. This "new evidence" and the plethora of	
25	pleadings, grand jury complaints, and other exhibits are wholly irrelevant to whether o	
26	not the Cotton I judgment is void. This is so, especially considering that "[n]o extrinsic	
27	evidence [outside the judgment roll]	is allowed" in a party's attempt to prove that a
28	_	1 -

1	judgment is void. OC Interior Services LLC v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC (2017 4th
2	Dist.) 7 Cal.App.5 th 318, 1327-1328.; Also there is no foundation laid for admission of
3	any of the exhibits.
4	Sustained:
5	Overruled:
6	
7	Date: June 10, 2024
8	James D. Crosby Attorney for Defendant Larry Geraci
9	Attorney for Defendant Karry Geraci
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	